

INTERNAL REVENUE BULLETIN



HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

Bulletin No. 2019-29
July 15, 2019

These synopses are intended only as aids to the reader in identifying the subject matter covered. They may not be relied upon as authoritative interpretations.

ADMINISTRATIVE

REV. PROC. 2019-24, page 353.

This procedure provides specifications for the private printing of red-ink substitutes for the 2019 revisions of certain information returns. This procedure will be reproduced as the next revision of Publication 1179. Rev. Proc. 2018-46 is superseded.

INCOME TAX

Notice 2019-42, page 352.

This notice amplifies Notice 2018-48, 2018-28 I.R.B. 9, which lists the population census tracts that the Secretary of the Treasury (Secretary) designated as qualified opportunity zones (QOZs). Specifically, this notice adds two additional census tracts in Puerto Rico that have been designated as QOZs under § 1400Z-1(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code (Code).

REG-101828-19, page 412.

These proposed regulations provide rules regarding the treatment of domestic partnerships for purposes of de-

termining amounts included in the gross income of their partners with respect to foreign corporations. In addition, these proposed regulations contain rules under the global intangible low-taxed income provisions regarding gross income that is subject to a high rate of foreign tax. These proposed regulations would affect United States persons that own stock of foreign corporations through domestic partnerships and United States shareholders of foreign corporations.

T.D. 9866, page 261.

These final regulations provide guidance to determine the amount of global intangible low-taxed income included in the gross income of certain United States shareholders of foreign corporations, including United States shareholders that are members of a consolidated group. These final regulations also contain rules relating to the determination of a United States shareholder's pro rata share of a controlled foreign corporation's subpart F income included in the shareholder's gross income, as well as certain reporting requirements relating to inclusions of subpart F income and global intangible low-taxed income. Finally, these final regulations contain rules relating to certain foreign tax credit provisions applicable to persons that directly or indirectly own stock in foreign corporations.

The IRS Mission

Provide America's taxpayers top-quality service by helping them understand and meet their tax responsibilities and enforce the law with integrity and fairness to all.

Introduction

The Internal Revenue Bulletin is the authoritative instrument of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue for announcing official rulings and procedures of the Internal Revenue Service and for publishing Treasury Decisions, Executive Orders, Tax Conventions, legislation, court decisions, and other items of general interest. It is published weekly.

It is the policy of the Service to publish in the Bulletin all substantive rulings necessary to promote a uniform application of the tax laws, including all rulings that supersede, revoke, modify, or amend any of those previously published in the Bulletin. All published rulings apply retroactively unless otherwise indicated. Procedures relating solely to matters of internal management are not published; however, statements of internal practices and procedures that affect the rights and duties of taxpayers are published.

Revenue rulings represent the conclusions of the Service on the application of the law to the pivotal facts stated in the revenue ruling. In those based on positions taken in rulings to taxpayers or technical advice to Service field offices, identifying details and information of a confidential nature are deleted to prevent unwarranted invasions of privacy and to comply with statutory requirements.

Rulings and procedures reported in the Bulletin do not have the force and effect of Treasury Department Regulations, but they may be used as precedents. Unpublished rulings will not be relied on, used, or cited as precedents by Service personnel in the disposition of other cases. In applying published rulings and procedures, the effect of subsequent legislation, regulations, court decisions, rulings, and procedures must be considered, and Service personnel and others concerned are cautioned

against reaching the same conclusions in other cases unless the facts and circumstances are substantially the same.

The Bulletin is divided into four parts as follows:

Part I.—1986 Code.

This part includes rulings and decisions based on provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

Part II.—Treaties and Tax Legislation.

This part is divided into two subparts as follows: Subpart A, Tax Conventions and Other Related Items, and Subpart B, Legislation and Related Committee Reports.

Part III.—Administrative, Procedural, and Miscellaneous.

To the extent practicable, pertinent cross references to these subjects are contained in the other Parts and Subparts. Also included in this part are Bank Secrecy Act Administrative Rulings. Bank Secrecy Act Administrative Rulings are issued by the Department of the Treasury's Office of the Assistant Secretary (Enforcement).

Part IV.—Items of General Interest.

This part includes notices of proposed rulemakings, disbarment and suspension lists, and announcements.

The last Bulletin for each month includes a cumulative index for the matters published during the preceding months. These monthly indexes are cumulated on a semiannual basis, and are published in the last Bulletin of each semiannual period.

The contents of this publication are not copyrighted and may be reprinted freely. A citation of the Internal Revenue Bulletin as the source would be appropriate.

Part I.

T.D. 9866

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Internal Revenue Service

26 CFR Part 1

Guidance Related to Section 951A (Global Intangible Low-Taxed Income) and Certain Guidance Related to Foreign Tax Credits

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Final and temporary regulations.

SUMMARY: This document contains final regulations that provide guidance to determine the amount of global intangible low-taxed income included in the gross income of certain United States shareholders of foreign corporations, including United States shareholders that are members of a consolidated group. This document also contains final regulations relating to the determination of a United States shareholder's pro rata share of a controlled foreign corporation's subpart F income included in the shareholder's gross income, as well as certain reporting requirements relating to inclusions of subpart F income and global intangible low-taxed income. Finally, this document contains final regulations relating to certain foreign tax credit provisions applicable to persons that directly or indirectly own stock in foreign corporations.

DATES: Effective date: These regulations are effective on June 21, 2019.

Applicability dates: For dates of applicability, see §§1.78-1(c), 1.861-12(k),

1.951-1(i), 1.951A-7, 1.1502-51(g), 1.6038-2(m), and 1.6038-5(e).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Concerning the regulations §§1.951-1, 1.951A-0 through 1.951A-7, 1.6038-2, and 1.6038-5, Jorge M. Oben at (202) 317-6934; concerning the regulations §§1.951A-1(e) and 1.951A-3(g), Jennifer N. Keeney at (202) 317-5045; concerning the regulations §§1.1502-12, 1.1502-32, and 1.1502-51, Katherine H. Zhang at (202) 317-6848 or Kevin M. Jacobs at (202) 317-5332; concerning the regulations §§1.78-1, 1.861-12, 1.861-12T, and 1.965-7, Karen J. Cate at (202) 317-6936 (not toll free numbers).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

Section 951A was added to the Internal Revenue Code (the "Code")¹ by the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, Pub. L. 115-97, 131 Stat. 2054, 2208 (2017) (the "Act"), which was enacted on December 22, 2017. On October 10, 2018, the Department of the Treasury ("Treasury Department") and the IRS published proposed regulations (REG-104390-18) under sections 951, 951A, 1502, and 6038 in the **Federal Register** (83 FR 51072) (the "proposed regulations"). A public hearing on the proposed regulations was held on February 13, 2019. The Treasury Department and the IRS also received written comments with respect to the proposed regulations.

In addition, on December 7, 2018, the Treasury Department and the IRS published proposed regulations (REG-105600-18) relating to foreign tax credits in the **Federal Register** (83 FR 63200) ("foreign tax credit proposed regulations"). A public hearing on these regulations was scheduled for March 14, 2019, but it was not held because there were no requests to speak. However, the Treasury Department and the IRS received written comments with respect to the foreign tax credit proposed regulations. Certain rules in the foreign tax credit proposed regulations are being finalized in this Treasury

decision to ensure that the applicability dates of these rules coincide with the applicability dates of the statutory provisions to which they relate. See section 7805(b)(2). The rules being finalized relate to §§1.78-1, 1.861-12(c)(2), and 1.965-7(e). See part XI of the Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section.

Comments outside the scope of this rulemaking are generally not addressed but may be considered in connection with future guidance projects. In this regard, the Treasury Department and the IRS expect that future guidance will address issues concerning the allocation and apportionment of expenses in order to determine a taxpayer's foreign tax credit limitation under section 904. All written comments received in response to the proposed regulations and the foreign tax credit proposed regulations are available at www.regulations.gov or upon request. Terms used but not defined in this preamble have the meaning provided in these final regulations.

Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions

I. Overview

The final regulations retain the basic approach and structure of the proposed regulations and foreign tax credit proposed regulations, with certain revisions. This Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section discusses those revisions as well as comments received in response to the solicitation of comments in the notices of proposed rulemaking accompanying those regulations.

II. Comments and Revisions to Proposed §1.951-1 – Amounts Included in Gross Income of United States Shareholders

A. Hypothetical distribution of allocable E&P

A United States shareholder ("U.S. shareholder") who owns stock of a foreign corporation on the last day of the foreign corporation's taxable year on which the

¹ Except as otherwise stated, all section references in this preamble are to the Internal Revenue Code.

foreign corporation is a controlled foreign corporation (“CFC”) includes in gross income its “pro rata share” of the CFC’s subpart F income (as defined in section 952) for the taxable year. See section 951(a)(1) and §1.951-1(a). In general, a U.S. shareholder’s pro rata share of subpart F income is determined based on its proportionate share of a hypothetical distribution of all the current earnings and profits (“E&P” and “current E&P”) of the CFC. See section 951(a)(2)(A) and §1.951-1(b)(1)(i) and (e)(1). A U.S. shareholder’s pro rata share of tested income (as defined in section 951A(c)(2)(A) and §1.951A-2(b)(1)), tested loss (as defined in section 951A(c)(2)(B)(i) and §1.951A-2(b)(2)), qualified business asset investment (“QBAI”) (as defined in section 951A(d)(1) and §1.951A-3(b)), tested interest expense (as defined in §1.951A-4(b)(1)), and tested interest income (as defined in §1.951A-4(b)(2)) (each a “tested item”) generally are also determined based on a hypothetical distribution of current E&P, with certain modifications to account for the differences between each tested item and subpart F income. See section 951A(e)(1) and §1.951A-1(d).

For purposes of the hypothetical distribution, the proposed regulations define “current E&P” for a taxable year as the greater of (i) the E&P of the corporation for the taxable year determined under section 964, or (ii) the sum of the subpart F income (as determined under section 952, as increased under section 951A(c)(2)(B)(ii) and proposed §1.951A-6(d)) and the tested income of the corporation for the taxable year. See proposed §1.951-1(e)(1)(ii). One comment asserted that using the term “current earnings and profits” for this purpose is confusing because the definition differs significantly from the definition of “earnings and profits” provided in section 964(a), and therefore suggested using a different term for this purpose. In response to this comment, the final regulations replace the term “current earnings and profits” with “allocable earnings and profits” (“allocable E&P”).

B. Pro rata share anti-abuse rule

The proposed regulations provide that any transaction or arrangement that is part of a plan a principal purpose of which is

the avoidance of Federal income taxation, including, but not limited to, a transaction or arrangement to reduce a U.S. shareholder’s pro rata share of the subpart F income of a CFC, which transaction or arrangement would otherwise avoid Federal income taxation, is disregarded in determining such U.S. shareholder’s pro rata share of the subpart F income of the corporation (the “pro rata share anti-abuse rule”). See proposed §1.951-1(e)(6). The pro rata share anti-abuse rule also applies in determining the pro rata share of each tested item of a CFC for purposes of determining a U.S. shareholder’s global intangible low-taxed income (“GILTI”) inclusion amount under section 951A(a) and §1.951A-1(b). See id.

Several comments suggested that the pro rata share anti-abuse rule is overbroad and could be interpreted to apply to nearly all transactions, arrangements, or tax elections that reduce the pro rata share amounts of a U.S. shareholder. In particular, comments noted that, under one interpretation of the rule, a U.S. shareholder that disposes of CFC stock could be required indefinitely to include its “pro rata share” of the CFC’s subpart F income or tested items with respect to such stock. These comments recommended that the final regulations clarify the scope of the rule and, in particular, provide that the rule applies only to reallocate subpart F income and tested items of a CFC as of a hypothetical distribution date among persons that own, directly or indirectly, shares of the CFC on such date. According to these comments, the rule, as narrowed in this manner, could not apply to cause a U.S. person that disposes of stock of a CFC before a hypothetical distribution date to be treated as having a pro rata share of the CFC’s subpart F income or tested items as of such date by reason of such stock.

The Treasury Department and the IRS agree that the scope of the pro rata share anti-abuse rule should be clarified. Accordingly, the final regulations clarify that the rule applies only to require appropriate adjustments to the allocation of allocable E&P that would be distributed in a hypothetical distribution with respect to any share outstanding as of the hypothetical distribution date. See §1.951-1(e)(6). Thus, under the rule, if applicable, adjustments will be made solely to the allocation

of allocable E&P in the hypothetical distribution between shareholders that own, directly or indirectly, stock of the CFC as of the relevant hypothetical distribution date. As clarified, the rule will not apply to adjust the allocable E&P allocated to a shareholder by reason of a transfer of CFC stock, except by reason of a change to the distribution rights with respect to stock in connection with such transfer (for example, an issuance of a new class of stock, including by recapitalization).

Other comments suggested that the final regulations limit the pro rata share anti-abuse rule to transactions or arrangements that lack economic substance or are artificial, or only to transactions or arrangements that result in non-economic allocations that shift subpart F income or tested items away from a U.S. shareholder. One comment suggested that the rule should apply only to enumerated transactions identified by the Treasury Department and the IRS as being abusive, and another comment suggested that the regulations should include examples illustrating transactions to which the pro rata share anti-abuse rule would or would not apply.

The Treasury Department and the IRS do not adopt these recommendations. Transactions that lack economic substance or are artificial would typically be disregarded under general tax principles, and non-economic allocations would generally be addressed through the facts and circumstances approach of §1.951-1(e)(3) (as discussed in part II.C of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section), such that limiting the pro rata share anti-abuse rule in the manner recommended could render it superfluous. Moreover, the concerns underlying the rule may arise in non-artificial transactions, or transactions with substance, that would be respected under general tax principles. In addition, attempting to specifically identify all the transactions covered by the rule or to specify such transactions by example would be impractical and inconsistent with one of the purposes underlying any anti-avoidance rule – that is, to deter the development and implementation of new transactions or arrangements intended to avoid the operative rule.

Another comment recommended an exception to the pro rata share anti-abuse

rule for transactions entered into with unrelated parties and for transactions entered into with related parties located in the same country of tax residence as the relevant CFC. The comment also recommended a “small business” exception for U.S. shareholders with worldwide gross receipts under \$25 million. The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that the policy concerns underlying the rule can be implicated by transactions that involve unrelated parties, such as accommodation parties (for instance, a financial institution) that hold stock with certain distribution rights in order to reduce an unrelated U.S. shareholder’s pro rata share of subpart F income or tested items. Further, these concerns can arise regardless of whether the parties involved are located in the same country of tax residence as the CFC. Finally, the Treasury Department and the IRS have concluded that the level of gross receipts of the shareholders is not relevant to, and therefore does not justify, an exception to the rule. Any administrative burden on small businesses would not stem from the rule itself but rather from engaging in a transaction a principal purpose of which is to avoid Federal income taxation. Accordingly, these recommendations are not adopted.

C. Facts and circumstances approach

Section 1.951-1(e)(3)(ii) of the existing regulations provides special rules applicable to CFCs with two or more classes of stock with discretionary distribution rights. Under these rules, the allocation of current E&P is primarily based on the relative fair market value of the stock with discretionary distribution rights. The preamble to the proposed regulations notes that this fair market value allocation method had been the basis of certain attempted avoidance structures. Accordingly, the proposed regulations adopt a facts and circumstances approach in allocating current E&P in a hypothetical distribution between multiple classes of stock, including stock with discretionary distribution rights. See proposed §1.951-1(e)(3). The proposed regulations provide that, where appropriate, the relative fair market value of the stock may still be taken into account, but as one of several factors, none of which is dispositive. See id.

A comment asserted that the facts and circumstances approach set forth in the proposed regulations is a vague and subjective standard that would create uncertainty, while the fair market value approach in the existing regulations for stock with discretionary distribution rights is a long-standing and objective standard. The comment further noted that the preamble to the 2005 Treasury decision that adopts the fair market value approach specifically rejects the facts and circumstances approach, stating that “the interests of sound tax policy and administration are served by requiring the value-based allocation.” TD 9222, 70 FR 49864 (August 25, 2005). The comment recommended that the fair market value approach be retained in the final regulations, in lieu of the proposed facts and circumstances approach, for purposes of determining the pro rata share of subpart F income and tested items.

The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined, based on experience administering the fair market value approach, that a facts and circumstances approach, in which the fair market value of stock is relevant but not determinative, would be a more reliable method for determining a U.S. shareholder’s pro rata share of subpart F income (and tested items) than the fair market value approach. While fair market value is easily determinable for publicly traded stock, determining the fair market value of privately-held stock is more difficult and typically requires a determination of the stock’s rights to distributions of current and accumulated E&P and capital, as well as the voting rights with respect to such stock. In contrast, under section 951(a)(2) and §1.951-1(b)(1), a shareholder’s pro rata share of subpart F income is determined based solely on a hypothetical distribution of subpart F income for the taxable year. Furthermore, the amount of subpart F income treated as distributed in the hypothetical distribution is determined under §1.951-1(e) based on a distribution of allocable E&P. Thus, the most relevant attribute of any share of CFC stock for purposes of the hypothetical distribution is its economic rights with respect to the allocable E&P of the CFC, which is generally determined by reference to its current E&P. Generally, a share’s voting rights, rights to distributions of E&P accumulated

before the current year, and rights to capital, all of which are also taken into account in determining fair market value, are not relevant to the hypothetical distribution of allocable E&P, and therefore a fair market value approach can distort the determination required under section 951(a)(2) and §1.951-1(b)(1). A more flexible facts and circumstances approach that considers fair market value as a factor can also take into account other factors related to the expected distributions of allocable E&P with respect to such stock, without taking into account capital liquidation rights and other factors that are not relevant to the distribution of allocable E&P. Accordingly, the final regulations do not adopt this recommendation.

D. Modifications to Example 4

The proposed regulations provide that no amount of current E&P is distributed in the hypothetical distribution with respect to a particular class of stock to the extent that a distribution of such amount would constitute a redemption of stock (even if the redemption would be treated as a dividend under sections 301 and 302(d)), a distribution in liquidation, or a return of capital. See proposed §1.951-1(e)(4)(i). The proposed regulations include an example to illustrate the application of this rule. See proposed §1.951-1(e)(7)(v) *Example 4*. A comment asserted that proposed §1.951-1(e)(4)(i) and the example illustrating the rule are confusing because, given the definition of current E&P in the proposed regulations, the hypothetical distribution would typically not give rise to a return of capital (other than through a redemption).

This rule is not intended to refer to the consequences of the hypothetical distribution itself (for example, the extent to which it could give rise to a return of capital), but rather is intended to provide that terms of the stock or related agreements and arrangements that could give rise to redemptions, liquidations, or returns of capital if actually exercised (or otherwise taken into account) are not taken into account for purposes of the hypothetical distribution. The final regulations and the related example are clarified to reflect this intent. See §1.951-1(e)(4)(i) and §1.951-1(e)(7)(v) *Example 4*. Similarly, the final

regulations clarify that the facts and circumstances taken into account in determining the distribution rights of a class of stock do not include actual distributions (or any amount treated as a dividend) made during the taxable year that includes the hypothetical distribution date. See §1.951-1(e)(3). Such distributions (or dividends) are not relevant in determining a class of stock's economic rights and interest in the allocable E&P (which are not reduced by actual distributions during the taxable year) as of the hypothetical distribution date.

E. Application of section 951(a)(2)(B) to subpart F income and tested income in the same taxable year

Under section 951(a)(2)(B), a U.S. shareholder's pro rata share of subpart F income with respect to stock for a taxable year (as determined under section 951(a)(2)(A)) is reduced by the amount of distributions received by any other person during the year as a dividend with respect to the stock, subject to a limitation based on the period of the taxable year in which the shareholder owned the stock within the meaning of section 958(a). Section 951A(e)(1) provides that the pro rata share of tested income, tested loss, and QBAI is determined under the rules of section 951(a)(2) in the same manner as such section applies to subpart F income. Accordingly, the proposed regulations provide that a U.S. shareholder's pro rata share of tested income is determined under section 951(a)(2) and §1.951-1(b) and (e), generally substituting "tested income" for "subpart F income" each place it appears. See proposed §1.951A-1(d)(2).

Because section 951(a)(2)(B) applies for purposes of determining the pro rata share of both subpart F income and tested income, the proposed regulations could be interpreted as permitting a dollar-for-dollar reduction under section 951(a)(2)(B) in both a U.S. shareholder's pro rata share of subpart F income and its pro rata share of tested income. The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that this would be an inappropriate double benefit that is not contemplated under section 951(a)(2)(B) and section 951A(e)(1). Accordingly, the regulations under section 951(a)(2)(B) are revised to clarify that a

dividend received during the taxable year by a person other than the U.S. shareholder reduces the U.S. shareholder's pro rata share of subpart F income and its pro rata share of tested income in the same proportion as its pro rata share of each amount bears to its aggregate pro rata share of both amounts. See §1.951-1(b)(1)(ii).

The examples in §1.951-1(b)(2) are modified solely to illustrate the application of the revised rule in §1.951-1(b)(1) and to conform to the terminology in the final regulations. The Treasury Department and the IRS are studying the application of section 951(a)(2)(A) and (B) in certain cases that may lead to inappropriate results, for example, due to the concurrent application of the provisions. In addition, the Treasury Department and the IRS are studying the application of section 951(a)(2)(B) with respect to dividends paid to foreign persons, dividends that give rise to a deduction under section 245A(a), and dividends paid on stock after the disposition of such stock by a U.S. shareholder. Comments are requested in this regard.

F. Revisions to cumulative preferred stock rule

The proposed regulations provide a special rule applicable to preferred shares with accrued but unpaid dividends that do not compound annually at or above the applicable Federal rate ("AFR") under section 1274(d)(1) ("cumulative preferred stock rule"). See proposed §1.951-1(e)(4)(ii). If the cumulative preferred stock rule applies with respect to stock, the current E&P allocable to the stock may not exceed the amount of dividends actually paid during the taxable year with respect to the stock plus the present value of the unpaid current dividends with respect to the stock determined by using the AFR that applies on the date the stock is issued for the term from such issue date to the mandatory redemption date and assuming the dividends will be paid at the mandatory redemption date. See *id.*

A comment stated that it is unclear whether the applicability of the cumulative preferred stock rule is determined based on the AFR as of the issuance date or, alternatively, the AFR for the current year. The comment suggested that, because the amount of the preferred divi-

dend determined under the cumulative preferred stock rule is based on the AFR as of the issue date, for consistency, the applicability of the rule should be determined by reference to the AFR as of the issue date as well. The Treasury Department and the IRS agree with this comment, and the final regulations are revised accordingly. See §1.951-1(e)(4)(ii).

The proposed regulations provide that the amount of any arrearage on cumulative preferred stock is determined taking into account the time value of money principles in the cumulative preferred stock rule. See proposed §1.951-1(e)(4)(iii). A comment recommended that the rule be clarified to reference the calculation of the present value of the unpaid current dividends described in the cumulative preferred stock rule. The Treasury Department and the IRS agree with this comment, and the final regulations are revised accordingly. See §1.951-1(e)(4)(iii).

The proposed regulations contain a special rule for purposes of sections 951 through 964 to treat a controlled domestic partnership as a foreign partnership to determine stock ownership in a CFC by a U.S. person for purposes of section 958(a) if certain conditions are met. See proposed §1.951-1(h). A comment suggested that because the proposed regulations define a "controlled domestic partnership" by reference to a specific U.S. shareholder, the rule could be read to apply only with respect to that shareholder but not with respect to other partners of the controlled domestic partnership, for which the partnership would therefore still be treated as domestic. The comment requested that the final regulations clarify that the treatment as a foreign partnership is with respect to all partners of the partnership. The rule, if applicable, is intended to treat a domestic partnership as a foreign partnership with respect to all its partners. The final regulations revise the definition of controlled domestic partnership to clarify the scope of the rule. See §1.951-1(h)(2); see also §1.965-1(e)(2). A change is also made to §1.951-1(h) to conform to the change in the final regulations to the treatment of domestic partnerships for purposes of section 951A. See part VII.C of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section.

Finally, certain regulations have been revised to reflect the repeal of section 954(f) (regarding foreign base company shipping income) and section 955 (regarding foreign investments in less developed countries). See Pub. L. 108-357, §415(a)(2) (2004) and Pub. L. 115-97, §14212(a) (2017). The Treasury Department and the IRS intend to revise other regulations to reflect the repeal of these provisions in future guidance projects.

III. *Comments and Revisions to Proposed §1.951A-1 – General Provisions*

A. *CFC inclusion date*

The proposed regulations provide that, for purposes of determining the GILTI inclusion amount of a U.S. shareholder for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year, the U.S. shareholder takes into account its pro rata share of a tested item with respect to a CFC for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year that includes a CFC inclusion date with respect to the CFC. See proposed §1.951A-1(d)(1). Under the proposed regulations, the term “U.S. shareholder inclusion year” means a taxable year of a U.S. shareholder that includes a CFC inclusion date of a CFC of the U.S. shareholder, the term “CFC inclusion date” means the last day of a CFC inclusion year on which a foreign corporation is a CFC, and the term “CFC inclusion year” means any taxable year of a foreign corporation beginning after December 31, 2017, at any time during which the corporation is a CFC. See proposed §1.951A-1(e)(1), (2) and (4).

Several comments noted that, under certain circumstances, the requirement that a U.S. shareholder take into account its pro rata share of a CFC’s tested items for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year that includes a CFC inclusion date could have the effect of requiring a U.S. shareholder to take into account its pro rata share of the CFC’s tested items for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year that does not include the last day of the CFC inclusion year. This could happen, for instance, if a U.S. person with a taxable year ending December 31, 2019, sells a wholly-owned foreign corporation with a taxable year ending November 30, 2020, to a foreign person on December 1, 2019 and, as a result of the sale, the foreign corporation ceases to

be a CFC; in that case, under the proposed regulations, the CFC inclusion date with respect to the foreign corporation would be December 1, 2019, whereas the CFC inclusion year of the foreign corporation would not end until November 30, 2020. The comments raised several concerns, in particular, that the U.S. person in this example would be unable to determine its pro rata share of any tested item of the foreign corporation as of December 31, 2019, since the foreign corporation’s tested items could not be determined until November 30, 2020. The comments also noted that the proposed regulations’ definition of CFC inclusion date was inconsistent with section 951A(e)(1), which provides that the pro rata share of certain amounts is taken into account in the taxable year of the U.S. shareholder in which or with which the taxable year of the CFC ends. The comments recommended that the relevant definitions be revised to accord with section 951A(e)(1).

The Treasury Department and the IRS agree with these comments. Accordingly, the final regulations provide that a U.S. shareholder takes into account its pro rata share of a tested item of a CFC in the U.S. shareholder inclusion year that includes the last day of the CFC inclusion year. See §1.951A-1(d)(1). However, consistent with sections 951(a)(2) and 951A(e)(1), a U.S. shareholder’s pro rata share of each tested item of a CFC is still determined based on the section 958(a) stock owned by the shareholder on the last day of the CFC’s taxable year on which it is a CFC (the “hypothetical distribution date”). See §§1.951-1(e)(1)(i) and 1.951A-1(f)(3). The term “hypothetical distribution date” in the final regulations has the same meaning as the term “CFC inclusion date” in the proposed regulations.

B. *Pro rata share of certain tested items*

1. *Pro Rata Share of QBAI*

The proposed regulations provide that, in general, a U.S. shareholder’s pro rata share of the QBAI of a tested income CFC is proportionate to the U.S. shareholder’s pro rata share of the tested income of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year. See proposed §1.951A-1(d)(3)(i). However, the proposed regulations pro-

vide that, to the extent the amount of a tested income CFC’s QBAI is greater than ten times its tested income for the year (that is, the point at which the shareholder’s deemed tangible income return (“DTIR”) attributable to the QBAI would fully offset its pro rata share of the tested income CFC’s tested income), the excess QBAI is allocated solely to common shares (and not to preferred shares) (the “excess QBAI rule”). See proposed §1.951A-1(d)(3)(ii). The excess QBAI rule is intended to ensure that a shareholder cannot obtain an increase in its DTIR by reason of preferred stock that exceeds the increase in its aggregate pro rata share of tested income from the ownership of the stock. Without the excess QBAI rule, U.S. persons would be incentivized to acquire debt-like preferred stock of CFCs that have significant amounts of QBAI and minimal tested income in order to effectively exempt some or all of the U.S. person’s pro rata shares of tested income from other CFCs from taxation under section 951A. The preamble to the proposed regulations requested comments on the approach in the proposed regulations, including the excess QBAI rule, for determining a U.S. shareholder’s pro rata share of a CFC’s QBAI.

The only comment received with respect to the QBAI allocation approach in the proposed regulations agreed that it was appropriate to limit the allocation of QBAI to a preferred shareholder, because the debt-like claim that a preferred shareholder has on a CFC should not entitle it to an amount of QBAI that could be used to effectively exempt tested income of the shareholder’s other CFCs. The comment noted that, in cases where a CFC has minimal tested income and substantial QBAI, the approach in the proposed regulations could result in a common shareholder receiving a pro rata share of QBAI that is disproportionate to its pro rata share of tested income, but acknowledged that this effect would be reversed in future years when the CFC generates more tested income.

The Treasury Department and the IRS agree with the comment that the approach in the proposed regulations achieves the correct result over a multi-year period. Accordingly, the final regulations generally adopt the QBAI allocation rule of the proposed regulations, with certain

modifications to the excess QBAI rule to better effectuate the purposes of the rule. Specifically, the final regulations provide that, in the case of a tested income CFC with tested income that is less than ten percent of its QBAI (the tested income CFC's "hypothetical tangible return"), a shareholder's pro rata share of QBAI is determined based on the shareholder's pro rata share of this hypothetical tangible return. See §1.951A-1(d)(3)(ii)(A) and (C). A U.S. shareholder's pro rata share of the hypothetical tangible return is determined under the rules for determining the shareholder's pro rata share of tested income, for this purpose treating the hypothetical tangible return as tested income. See §1.951A-1(d)(3)(ii)(B). In most cases, the excess QBAI rule in the final regulations will produce the same results as the excess QBAI rule in the proposed regulations. However, unlike the excess QBAI rule in the proposed regulations, the application of the excess QBAI rule in the final regulations is not limited to preferred stock.² Further, with respect to common stock, by untethering the allocation of excess QBAI from the allocation of tested income, and instead applying a hypothetical distribution model to the excess QBAI, the rule ensures that the reduction under section 951(a)(2)(B) and §1.951A-1(b)(1)(ii) to a U.S. shareholder's pro rata share of tested income does not result in an excessive reduction to the U.S. shareholder's pro rata share of QBAI. See §1.951A-1(d)(3)(iii)(C) *Example 3*.

One comment recommended that the final regulations allocate QBAI to convertible preferred stock or participating preferred stock by bifurcating the stock into preferred stock (to the extent of the dividend and liquidation preference) and common stock (to the extent that the participation right is "in the money"), and then allocating QBAI to each component separately. This issue has been mooted because the determination of a U.S. shareholder's pro rata share of QBAI no longer depends on whether the stock owned by the shareholder is common or preferred. Accordingly, the final regulations do not adopt this recommendation.

Finally, for the avoidance of doubt, the final regulations clarify that the aggregate amount of any tested item (including QBAI) of a CFC for a CFC inclusion year allocated to the CFC's stock cannot exceed the amount of such tested item of the CFC for the CFC inclusion year. See §1.951A-1(d)(1).

2. Pro Rata Share of Tested Loss

The proposed regulations provide that a CFC's tested loss is allocated based on a hypothetical distribution of an amount of current E&P equal to the amount of tested loss, except that, in general, tested loss is allocated only to common stock. See proposed §1.951A-1(d)(4)(i)(C). The general rule that tested loss is allocated only to common stock is subject to two exceptions. First, the proposed regulations allocate tested loss to preferred shares to the extent the tested loss reduces the E&P accumulated since the issuance of those preferred shares to an amount below the amount necessary to satisfy any accrued but unpaid dividends with respect to such preferred shares. See proposed §1.951A-1(d)(4)(ii). Second, when the common stock has no liquidation value, the proposed regulations allocate tested loss to classes of preferred stock with liquidation value in reverse order of priority. See proposed §1.951A-1(d)(4)(iii). These two exceptions result in tested loss allocations corresponding to changes in the economic value of the CFC stock. The preamble to the proposed regulations requested comments on the proposed approach for determining a U.S. shareholder's pro rata share of a CFC's tested loss, including how (or whether) to allocate tested loss of a CFC when no class of CFC stock has positive liquidation value.

Comments were supportive of the approach taken in the proposed regulations to determine pro rata shares of tested loss because the approach avoids complexity, minimizes the potential for abusive allocations of tested loss, and is consistent with the economic reality that common stock generally bears the risk of loss before preferred stock. One comment that

was supportive of the approach in the proposed regulations suggested a possible alternative approach of allocating tested loss to preferred shares to the extent the preferred shares were allocated subpart F income. However, the comment noted that the approach of the proposed regulations is simpler and that the suggested approach would require additional rules to ensure that corresponding allocations of tested income were made in future periods to the preferred shares to reflect an actual payment of a dividend to the preferred shares. The Treasury Department and the IRS agree with the comment that the approach for allocating tested loss in the proposed regulations is simpler and that the suggested approach would require adjustments to the pro rata share rules for tested income as well, resulting in more complex tracking of previous year pro rata allocations for CFCs and their shareholders to determine current year allocations. Accordingly, the suggestion is not adopted.

One comment recommended that if no class of stock has liquidation value, the tested loss should be allocated first to any shareholders that hold guaranteed debt of the CFC, and then to the most senior class of common stock, unless another class of stock will in fact bear the economic loss. The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined, based on experience with pro rata share rules in the subpart F context, that the facts and circumstances approach provides a flexible and appropriate allocation of tested loss, including in cases where no class of stock has liquidation value. Therefore, this comment is not adopted.

IV. Comments and Revisions to Proposed §1.951A-2 – Tested Income and Tested Loss

A. Determination of gross income and allowable deductions

For purposes of determining tested income or tested loss, gross tested income is reduced by deductions (including taxes) properly allocable to the gross tested income (or which would be properly allo-

² When the excess QBAI rule in the final regulations applies to a CFC with preferred stock, the increase to the preferred shareholder's DTIR by reason of the preferred stock generally will be limited to an amount equal to its pro rata share of tested income, consistent with the purpose of the rule in the proposed regulations. This is the case because the formula for determining the preferred shareholder's pro rata share of QBAI (that is, multiplying the CFC's QBAI by the ratio that such shareholder's pro rata share of the hypothetical tangible return bears to the CFC's total hypothetical tangible return) will yield a product that equals 10 times that shareholder's pro rata share of tested income. For an illustration, see §1.951A-1(d)(3)(iii)(B) *Example 2*.

cable to gross tested income if there were such gross income) under rules similar to the rules of section 954(b)(5). See section 951A(c)(2)(A)(ii). The proposed regulations provide that, for purposes of determining tested income and tested loss, the gross income and allowable deductions of a CFC for a CFC inclusion year are determined under the rules of §1.952-2 for determining the subpart F income of a CFC. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(2). Section 1.952-2 provides rules for determining gross income and taxable income of a foreign corporation. For this purpose, and subject to certain exceptions, these rules generally treat foreign corporations as domestic corporations. See §1.952-2(a)(1) and (b)(1).

The preamble to the proposed regulations requested comments on the application of §1.952-2 for purposes of determining subpart F income, tested income, and tested loss, including whether other approaches for determining tested income and tested loss, or whether additional modifications to §1.952-2 for purposes of calculating tested income and tested loss, would be appropriate. Several comments were received in response to this request. The comments generally supported applying §1.952-2 for purposes of determining tested income. However, a number of comments requested modifications to, or clarifications regarding, the application of §1.952-2. Some comments suggested that §1.952-2 be revised for purposes of determining tested income and tested loss to allow the use of net operating loss carryforwards under section 172 and net capital losses subject to limits under section 1212. Another comment requested that the Treasury Department and the IRS provide a list of specific deductions allowed to a CFC that would be disallowed to a domestic corporation, such as under section 162(m) or 280G. The same comment requested clarification that carryforwards of a CFC's disallowed interest deduction under section 163(j)(2) are not subject to any limitation or restrictions. Several comments suggested that section 245A should apply to determine a CFC's subpart F income and tested income and tested loss under §1.952-2. There is also a concern that §1.952-2 could be interpret-

ed so expansively as to entitle a CFC to a deduction expressly limited to domestic corporations, such as a deduction under section 250.

The Treasury Department and the IRS intend to address issues related to the application of §1.952-2, taking into account these comments, in connection with a future guidance project. This guidance is expected to clarify that, in general, any provision that is expressly limited in its application to domestic corporations, such as section 250, does not apply to CFCs by reason of §1.952-2. The Treasury Department and the IRS continue to study whether, and to what extent, section 245A should apply to dividends received by a CFC and welcome comments on this subject.

Section 1.952-2(b)(2) provides that the taxable income of a CFC engaged in the business of reinsuring or issuing insurance or annuity contracts and which, if it were a domestic corporation engaged in such business, would be taxable as a life insurance company to which subchapter L applies, is generally determined by treating such corporation as a domestic corporation taxable under subchapter L and by applying the principles of §§1.953-4 and 1.953-5 for determining taxable income. These regulations, which were promulgated in 1964, have not been updated to reflect current sections 953(a), 953(b)(3), and 954(i). A comment requested that the final regulations confirm that the rules of current sections 953 and 954(i) apply in determining the tested income or tested loss of a CFC described in §1.952-2(b)(2). The Treasury Department and the IRS agree that the tested income or tested loss of a CFC described in §1.952-2(b)(2) is calculated in the same manner as its insurance income under sections 953 and 954(i), and the rule is revised accordingly. See §1.951A-2(c)(2)(i). However, no inference is intended that a CFC may determine reserve amounts based on foreign statement reserves in the absence of a ruling request. See section 954(i)(4)(B)(ii). In this regard, the Treasury Department and the IRS intend to address, in separate guidance, the use of foreign statement reserves for purposes of measuring qualified insurance income under section 954(i).

B. Gross income excluded by reason of section 954(b)(4)

Section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(III) provides that gross tested income does not include any item of gross income excluded from foreign base company income (as defined in section 954) ("FBCI") or insurance income (as defined in section 953) "by reason of section 954(b)(4)" (the "GILTI high tax exclusion"). The proposed regulations clarify that the GILTI high tax exclusion applies only to items of gross income that are excluded from FBCI or insurance income solely by reason of an election under section 954(b)(4) and §1.954-1(d)(5). See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(1)(iii). Thus, this exclusion does not apply to any item of gross income excluded from FBCI or insurance income by reason of an exception other than section 954(b)(4), regardless of the effective rate of foreign tax to which such item is subject.

One comment noted that this clarification is consistent with the language of the GILTI high tax exclusion, which is limited by its terms to income subject to the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4). Several comments, however, requested that the final regulations expand the GILTI high tax exclusion to exclude additional categories of high-taxed income. These comments asserted, based on the legislative history of the Act, that Congress intended that income of a CFC would be subject to tax under the GILTI regime only if it is subject to a low rate of foreign tax. Some of these comments suggested that the exclusion be expanded to apply to high-taxed income that would be FBCI or insurance income but for the application of one or more exceptions in section 954(c), (h), or (i). Others recommended that the final regulations apply the GILTI high tax exclusion to any item of gross income subject to a sufficiently high effective foreign tax rate, regardless of whether such income would be FBCI or insurance income but for an exception. Comments suggested that the Treasury Department and the IRS could exercise their authority under section 951A(f)(1)(B) to treat a GILTI inclusion as a subpart F inclusion that could potentially be excludible, on an elective basis, from FBCI (or insurance income) under section 954(b)(4).

Comments recommending an expansion of the GILTI high tax exclusion to any item of high-taxed income suggested various methods to determine the appropriate foreign tax rate for this purpose. One comment recommended the same threshold as used for the high tax exception for subpart F income under section 954(b)(4) – that is, a rate that is 90 percent of the maximum rate specified in section 11 (21 percent), or 18.9 percent. Another comment recommended a 13.125 percent rate, citing the conference report accompanying the Act that indicated that, in general, no residual U.S. tax would be owed on GILTI subject to a foreign tax rate greater than or equal to that rate. H.R. Rep. No. 115-466, at 627 (2017) (Conf. Rep.) (“Conference Report”).

Other comments suggested that even if the GILTI high tax exclusion is not expanded to take into account all high-taxed income, taxpayers should be permitted to elect to treat income that would otherwise be gross tested income as subpart F income in order to qualify for the exception under section 954(b)(4), for example, through a rebuttable presumption that all income (or alternatively, all high-taxed income) of a CFC is subpart F income. One comment asserted that such a rule would be consistent with taxpayers’ historical ability to elect through the choice of transactional or operational structure to subject their CFC income to current taxation under subpart F. For example, the comment stated that a taxpayer could cause a CFC to make a loan to its U.S. shareholder, resulting in an inclusion under section 956, or could intentionally structure its operations in a manner that causes income to be characterized as FBCI. The comment also asserted that a rule that effectively permits a taxpayer to elect into subpart F income is consistent with the regulations under section 954, which permit an election to be made with respect to high-taxed income under section 954(b)(4) notwithstanding that that provision, similar to section 954(a) itself, is expressed as a mandatory rule. See §1.954-1(d).

The final regulations do not adopt these comments. The Treasury Department and the IRS have declined to exercise regulatory authority under section 951A(f)(1)(B) because that authority relates to the treatment of a GILTI inclusion amount,

rather than an item of gross tested income. A GILTI inclusion amount is determined based on a U.S. shareholder’s pro rata share of all the tested items of one or more CFCs and, as a result, the determination of the extent to which foreign tax is imposed on any single item of net income for purposes of section 954(b)(4) cannot be made by reference to a GILTI inclusion amount. The final regulations also do not permit taxpayers to elect to treat income that would otherwise be gross tested income as subpart F income in order to qualify for the exception under section 954(b)(4). Unlike section 954(b)(4), nothing in section 954(a) or the legislative history suggests that taxpayers should be permitted to treat income that is not described in section 954(a), such as gross tested income, as FBCI through a rebuttable presumption or otherwise. In addition, this type of rebuttable presumption could give rise to significant administrability concerns. These concerns are discussed further in a notice of proposed rulemaking published in the same issue of the **Federal Register** addressing an election under section 954(b)(4) with respect to income that would otherwise qualify as tested income.

The Treasury Department and the IRS continue to believe that the GILTI high tax exclusion, as articulated in the proposed regulations, reflects a reasonable interpretation of section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(III) and section 954(b)(4), for the reasons stated in the notice of proposed rulemaking accompanying the proposed regulations. Accordingly, the final regulations retain the GILTI high tax exclusion without modification. See §1.951A-2(c)(1)(iii). However, the Treasury Department and the IRS are studying, in light of the addition of section 951A by the Act, the appropriate circumstances under which taxpayers should be permitted to make an election under section 954(b)(4), with respect to income that would not be FBCI or insurance income, to exclude such income from gross tested income under the GILTI high tax exclusion using authority other than section 951A(f)(1)(B). In that regard, existing §1.954-1(d)(1) does not provide the necessary framework for applying the exception under section 954(b)(4) to income that would be gross tested income, such as rules to determine the scope of an item of gross tested income to which the election

applies and rules to determine the rate of foreign tax on such items. Therefore, the Treasury Department and the IRS are issuing a notice of proposed rulemaking published in the same issue of the **Federal Register** as these final regulations that will propose a framework under which taxpayers would be permitted to make an election under section 954(b)(4) with respect to income that would otherwise be gross tested income in order to exclude that income from gross tested income by reason of the GILTI high tax exclusion. However, until the regulations described in the separate notice of proposed rulemaking are effective, a taxpayer may not exclude any item of income from gross tested income under section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(III) unless the income would be FBCI or insurance income but for the application of section 954(b)(4) and §1.954-1(d).

C. Gross income taken into account in determining subpart F income

1. In General

Section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) provides that gross tested income is determined without regard to any gross income taken into account in determining the subpart F income of the corporation (the “subpart F exclusion”). Section 952(a) defines “subpart F income” as the sum of certain categories of income, including FBCI and insurance income.

Other than with respect to the coordination between the subpart F exclusion and section 952(c) (discussed in part IV.C.2 of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section), the proposed regulations do not provide guidance on income that is “taken into account in determining the subpart F income” of a CFC within the meaning of the subpart F exclusion. In this regard, the final regulations provide rules for determining gross income included in FBCI and insurance company for purposes of the subpart F exclusion, including by reason of the application of the de minimis and full inclusion rules in section 954(b). See §1.951A-2(c)(4)(ii)(A), (B), and §1.951A-2(c)(4)(iii)(C); see also part IV.C.3 of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section. The final regulations also clarify the circumstances in which the

subpart F exclusion applies to less common items included in subpart F income under section 952(a)(3) through (5) (subpart F income resulting from participation in or cooperation with certain international boycotts, payments of illegal bribes, kickbacks, or other payments, or income derived from any country during which section 901(j) applies to that country). See §1.951A-2(c)(4)(ii)(C) through (E).

2. Coordination with Section 952(c)

a. In general

The amount of subpart F income for a taxable year is subject to the E&P limitation and recapture provisions in section 952(c). Section 952(c)(1)(A) provides that a CFC's subpart F income for any taxable year cannot exceed its E&P for that year. See also §1.952-1(c)(1). However, section 952(c)(2) provides that, to the extent subpart F income is reduced by reason of the E&P limitation in any taxable year, any excess of the E&P of the corporation for any subsequent taxable year over the subpart F income for that year is recharacterized as subpart F income. See also §1.952-1(f)(1). An amount recaptured under section 952(c)(2) is treated as subpart F income in the same separate category (as defined in §1.904-5(a)) as the subpart F income that was subject to the E&P limitation in a prior taxable year. See §1.952-1(f)(2)(ii).

The Code does not provide a rule that explicitly coordinates the subpart F exclusion with section 952(c), which commenters identified as a source of confusion and potential inconsistency. In order to resolve this ambiguity, the proposed regulations set forth such a coordination rule by providing that the gross tested income and allowable deductions properly allocable to gross tested income are determined without regard to the application of section 952(c) (the "section 952(c) coordination rule"). See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(4)(i). Thus, income that would be subpart F income but for the application of the E&P limitation in section 952(c)(1)(A) is excluded from gross tested income by reason of the subpart F exclusion. In addition, income that gives rise to E&P that results in subpart F recapture under section 952(c)(2) is not excluded from gross tested income by reason of the subpart F

exclusion. In effect, the section 952(c) coordination rule treats an item of gross income as "taken into account" in determining subpart F income to the extent, and only to the extent, that the item would be included in subpart F income absent the application of section 952(c).

The proposed regulations include an example that illustrates this rule. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(4)(ii)(A). In the example, in Year 1, FS, a CFC wholly owned by a U.S. shareholder, has \$100x of foreign base company sales income, a \$100x loss in foreign oil and gas extraction income, and no E&P. In Year 2, FS has gross income of \$100x that is not otherwise excluded from the definition of gross tested income in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(1)(i) through (v), and no allowable deductions, and \$100x of E&P. The example concludes that in Year 1 FS has no subpart F income because of the E&P limitation in section 952(c)(1)(A) and no gross tested income because gross tested income is determined without regard to section 952(c). In Year 2, the example concludes that, because FS's E&P (\$100x) exceed its Year 2 subpart F income (\$0), the subpart F income of Year 1 is recaptured in Year 2 under section 952(c)(2), and FS also has \$100x of gross tested income in Year 2 because gross tested income is determined without regard to section 952(c).

One comment agreed that the section 952(c) coordination rule was an appropriate interpretation of the statute, noting that the rule preserves the ability for section 952(c)(2) to recapture subpart F income generated in prior years, while preventing recapture under section 952(c)(2) from permanently exempting gross tested income generated in subsequent years. However, several comments suggested that the section 952(c) coordination rule be withdrawn. These comments asserted that the section 952(c) coordination rule can lead to double taxation because the rule can result in the taxation of an aggregate amount of CFC income in excess of the net economic CFC income over a multi-year period. Some comments further suggested that the section 952(c) coordination rule is contrary to the language of the subpart F exclusion, on the grounds that any income of a CFC that generates E&P that are recharacterized as subpart F income by reason of the E&P recapture

rule is "taken into account in determining the subpart F income" of the CFC and should therefore be excluded from gross tested income under the subpart F exclusion. Other comments recommended that the section 952(c) coordination rule be retained as it pertains to the E&P limitation rule under section 952(c)(1)(A), but be modified to exclude from its scope the E&P recapture rule of section 952(c)(2). Under that approach, both the subpart F income subject to E&P limitation in a prior year and gross income in a subsequent year that generates E&P giving rise to recapture of subpart F income would be excluded from gross tested income.

The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that the section 952(c) coordination rule is consistent with the relevant statutory provisions and results in the appropriate amount of income that is subject to tax under sections 951 and 951A. Gross income that would be subpart F income during the current year but for the application section 952(c)(1)(A) is literally "taken into account" in determining subpart F income in that it potentially gives rise to future subpart F income by reason of section 952(c)(2). Furthermore, gross tested income is not subject to an E&P limitation analogous to the E&P limitation on subpart F income under section 952(c)(1)(A). In this regard, the determination of tested income under the GILTI regime is based on a taxable income concept, similar to the determination of income earned directly by a U.S. taxpayer, whereas the subpart F regime is rooted in a distributable dividend model, and thus predicated on the existence of E&P. Therefore, for example, a CFC may have \$100x of gross tested income but no E&P in a taxable year (due, for instance, to a loss in foreign oil and gas extraction income), and the U.S. shareholder of the CFC (assuming no QBAI or other CFCs) will nonetheless have a \$100x GILTI inclusion amount for the taxable year. This is the result under section 951A notwithstanding that the CFC in this case has no net economic income and no E&P for the year. If the same CFC for the same taxable year also has \$100x of foreign base company sales income and \$100x of E&P related to such income, in addition to the \$100x GILTI inclusion amount, the CFC's U.S. shareholder would have a \$100x

subpart F inclusion. Under these facts, the U.S. shareholder is taxed on an aggregate amount of taxable income of the CFC (\$200x) that exceeds the CFC's net economic income and E&P (\$100x). In this example, the U.S. shareholder is not subject to tax twice with respect to a single item of income, but rather is subject to tax once with respect to each of two items – the CFC's subpart F income of \$100x and the CFC's gross tested income of \$100x. The section 952(c) coordination rule merely ensures that the same result obtains whether all items of income and loss arise in a single year (as in this example) or arise in different taxable years (as in the example in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(4)(ii)(A)).

The Treasury Department and the IRS have also determined that it is not appropriate to exclude the E&P recapture rule from the scope of the section 952(c) coordination rule. Because section 951A contains no analog to the E&P limitation in section 952(c)(1)(A), it also contains no analog to the E&P recapture rule in section 952(c)(2). Without a GILTI recapture rule, the approach recommended by comments would effectively allow prior year losses in categories of income excluded from gross tested income (for example, subpart F income or foreign oil and gas extraction income) to permanently exempt gross tested income in subsequent years. For instance, if, in a taxable year, a CFC has \$100x of foreign base company sales income, a \$100x loss in foreign base company services income, and thus no subpart F income by reason of the E&P limitation of section 952(c)(1)(A), any gross tested income earned by the CFC in a subsequent year would recapture the foreign base company sales income from the previous year, and thus such gross income would never be subject to section 951A.

In excluding certain categories of income from gross tested income (namely, subpart F income, foreign oil and gas extraction income, and effectively connected income), Congress not only ensured that such income would not be subject to the GILTI regime, but also that losses with respect to such income would not be permitted to reduce income subject to the GILTI regime. Likewise, section 951A(c)(2)(B)(ii) provides that a loss in a category of income subject to the GILTI regime (that

is, tested loss) cannot reduce the income subject to the subpart F regime by reason of the E&P limitation rule of section 952(c)(1)(A). See also §1.951A-6(b) and part VIII.A of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section. It is apparent, based on the purpose and structure of section 951A, that Congress intended for the GILTI and subpart F regimes to act as parallel, independent systems of taxation with respect to prescribed categories of CFC income, and losses with respect to one regime (or subject to neither regime) should not be permitted to permanently exempt the income subject to another regime. Therefore, an interpretation of section 952(c) that permits losses related to GILTI-exempt categories of income to reduce gross tested income would be contrary to the purpose and structure of section 951A.

A comment recommended, as an alternative to taking into account section 952(c)(2) recapture in determining gross tested income, that the recapture rules of section 952(c)(2) be modified so that E&P derived from gross tested income does not trigger recapture under section 952(c)(2). Although such amount would not be recaptured as subpart F income, the comment recommended that, in order to avoid double taxation of the same earnings, any recapture account should nonetheless be reduced by the amount treated as gross tested income. The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that this recommendation is inconsistent with the language and purpose of section 952(c)(2). Section 952(c)(2) requires recapture in any taxable year in which E&P exceed subpart F income, and the recommendation would not result in recapture in these circumstances. Further, the purpose of section 952(c)(2) is to postpone the inclusion of subpart F income to a subsequent taxable year in which the CFC has sufficient E&P. The recommendation, by reducing a recapture account without recapture of subpart F income, would result in the permanent exemption of subpart F income. Finally, as illustrated in this part IV.C of the Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section, the simultaneous recapture of subpart F income and the inclusion of gross tested income does not amount to double taxation of a single item of income, but rather the single taxation of

each of two items of income. Accordingly, this recommendation is not adopted.

A comment recommended as another alternative that the section 952(c)(2) coordination rule not be applied with respect to recapture accounts that existed before the Act. The comment asserted that it would be inappropriate for income that triggers recapture under section 952(c)(2) based on pre-Act recapture account balances to also be treated as gross tested income because section 951A did not exist before 2018 and therefore no tested losses could have reduced subpart F income. The final regulations do not adopt this recommendation. Nothing in the statute or legislative history suggests that pre-Act recapture account balances should be treated differently than post-Act account balances. Further, there appears to be no stronger policy rationale for permitting losses that arose before the Act to permanently exempt gross tested income from taxation than for permitting GILTI-exempt losses that arise after the Act to do the same.

While the comments with respect to the section 952(c) coordination rule generally pertained to the application of the E&P limitation in section 952(c)(1)(A), the same issues as discussed in respect to section 952(c)(1)(A) arise with respect to application of the qualified deficit rule in section 952(c)(1)(B) and the chain deficit rule in section 952(c)(1)(C). Accordingly, the final regulations revise the section 952(c) coordination rule to apply also to disregard the effect of a qualified deficit or a chain deficit in determining gross tested income. See §1.951A-2(c)(4)(ii).

One comment requested clarification that income subject to the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) is not included in gross tested income even if such income would also be excluded from subpart F income by reason of section 952(c)(1)(A). The comment provided an example in which a CFC has \$100x of foreign base company services income, a \$100x loss in another category of subpart F income, no E&P, and thus no subpart F income by reason of the E&P limitation of section 952(c)(1)(A). According to the comment, if the election under section 954(b)(4) is made with respect to the foreign base company services income, one interpretation of the proposed regulations is that the \$100x of foreign base company services

income is not excluded from gross tested income by either the subpart F exclusion under section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) (because such income is not included in subpart F by reason of the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4)) or the GILTI high tax exclusion under section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(III) (because such income is not excluded from subpart F income “solely” by reason of the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4)). The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that such clarification is unnecessary because an election under section 954(b)(4) cannot be made with respect to a net item eliminated by reason of section 952(c)(1)(A). Section 1.954-1(d)(4)(ii) provides that the net item of income to which the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) applies is the subpart F income of a CFC determined after taking into account the earnings and profits limitation of section 952(c)(1)(A). Therefore, the net item of income that can be excluded under the high tax exception is determined after the application of section 952(c)(1)(A). Indeed, in the example presented by the comment, because the subpart F income of the CFC after application of the E&P limitation is zero, there is no net item of income for which an election under section 954(b)(4) and §1.954-1(d)(5) can be made. Accordingly, the \$100x of foreign base company services income is excluded from gross tested income solely by reason of the subpart F exclusion under section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II).

b. Coordination with qualified deficit rule in section 952(c)(1)(B)

The qualified deficit rule in section 952(c)(1)(B) reduces a U.S. shareholder’s subpart F inclusion attributable to a qualified activity (defined in section 952(c)(1)(B)(iii)) to the extent of that shareholder’s pro rata share of any qualified deficit (defined in section 952(c)(1)(B)(ii)). A comment suggested that a tested loss could, in some cases, also give rise to a qualified deficit that could reduce subpart F income in a subsequent taxable year. The comment asserted that this could occur, for example, if certain deductions and losses that make up a qualified deficit are also properly allocable to gross tested income. Accordingly, the comment recommended that the final regulations deny a

U.S. shareholder the ability to both reduce its net CFC tested income and increase a qualified deficit by reason of the same economic loss.

The Treasury Department and the IRS agree that the same deduction or loss should not result in a double benefit under section 951A and the qualified deficit rule, but have not identified a situation in which a single deduction or loss can both reduce tested income (or increase tested loss) and also give rise to or increase a qualified deficit. A deduction or loss that is properly allocable to gross tested income cannot also be attributable to a qualified activity that gives rise to subpart F income, and the same deduction cannot be taken into account more than once under sections 954(b)(5) and 951A(c)(2)(A)(ii). Nevertheless, for the avoidance of doubt, the final regulations provide that deductions that are allocated and apportioned to gross tested income are not attributable to a qualified activity and thus do not also increase or give rise to a qualified deficit. See §1.951A-2(c)(3).

c. Coordination with section 952(c)(1)(B)(vii)

Section 952(c)(1)(B)(vii)(I) contains an election to apply section 953(a) without regard to the same country exception in section 953(a)(1)(A). Comments requested that the section 952(c) coordination rule be modified to clarify that gross tested income is determined after giving effect to the election in section 952(c)(1)(B)(vii)(I). The rule in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(4) was not intended to address the election in section 952(c)(1)(B)(vii)(I). Accordingly, the final regulations modify the section 952(c) coordination rule to apply only with respect to the E&P limitation rules of section 952(c)(1) (including the qualified deficit and chain deficit rules) and the E&P recapture rule of section 952(c)(2).

3. Coordination with De Minimis Rule, Full Inclusion Rule, and High Tax Exception

Section 954(a) provides that FBCI for a taxable year is equal to the sum of foreign personal holding company income (as determined under section 954(c)) (“FPHCI”), foreign base company sales income

(as determined under section 954(d)) and foreign base company services income (as determined under section 954(e)). However, section 954(b)(3)(A) provides that if the sum of FBCI (determined without regard to allocable deductions) (“gross FBCI”) and gross insurance income for the taxable year is less than the lesser of five percent of gross income or \$1,000,000, then no part of the gross income for the taxable year is treated as FBCI or insurance income (the “de minimis rule”). Conversely, section 954(b)(3)(B) provides that if the sum of gross FBCI and gross insurance income for the taxable year exceeds 70 percent of gross income, the entire gross income for the taxable year is treated as gross FBCI or gross insurance income, as appropriate (the “full inclusion rule”).

One comment requested that the de minimis and full inclusion rules be taken into account for purposes of determining “gross income taken into account” in determining subpart F income within the meaning of the subpart F exclusion. The comment asserted that such a rule would prevent double taxation because full inclusion subpart F income would be taxed solely under section 951 (and not section 951A), whereas de minimis subpart F income would be taxed solely under section 951A (and not section 951).

The Treasury Department and the IRS agree with this comment. Accordingly, subject to the application of the section 952(c) coordination rule, discussed in part IV.C.2 of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section, the final regulations provide that the subpart F exclusion applies to gross income included in FBCI (adjusted net FBCI as defined in §1.954-1(a)(5)) or insurance income (adjusted net insurance income as defined in §1.954-1(a)(6)). See §1.951A-2(c)(4)(i). Thus, for purposes of the subpart F exclusion, gross income taken into account in determining subpart F income does not include any item of gross income excluded from FBCI or insurance income under the de minimis rule or the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4), but generally does include any item of gross income included in FBCI or insurance income under the full inclusion rule. In addition, for purposes of the subpart F exclusion, gross income taken into account in determining

subpart F income does not include gross income that qualifies for an exception to a category of FBCI described in section 954(a), including amounts excepted from the definition of FPHCI, such as rents and royalties derived from an active business under section 954(c)(2)(A) and §1.954-2(b)(5) and (6) or active financing income under section 954(h).

Section 1.954-1(d)(6) provides that an item of gross income that is included in FBCI or insurance income under the full inclusion rule (“full inclusion FBCI”) is excluded from subpart F income if more than 90 percent of the gross FBCI and gross insurance income for the taxable year (determined without regard to the full inclusion rule) is attributable to net amounts excluded from subpart F income under the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4). The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that it would be inappropriate for an item of gross income that would be included in gross tested income but for the full inclusion rule to be excluded from both gross tested income (by reason of the subpart F exclusion) and subpart F income (by reason of §1.954-1(d)(6)). Accordingly, the final regulations provide that full inclusion FBCI excluded from subpart F income by reason of §1.954-1(d)(6) is not excluded from gross tested income by reason of the subpart F exclusion. See §1.951A-2(c)(4)(iii)(C). The final regulations further clarify that income excluded from subpart F income under §1.954-1(d)(6) is also not excluded from gross tested income by reason of the GILTI high tax exclusion (discussed in part IV.B of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section). See *id.* Accordingly, income excluded from subpart F income by reason of §1.954-1(d)(6) is included in gross tested income.

D. Effect of basis adjustments under section 961(c)

Section 961(c) provides that, under regulations prescribed by the Secretary, if a U.S. shareholder is treated under section 958(a)(2) as owning stock of a CFC which is owned by another CFC, then adjustments similar to those provided under section 961(a) and (b) are made to the basis in such stock, and the basis in stock

of any other CFC by reason of which the U.S. shareholder is considered under section 958(a)(2) as owning the stock. The provision further provides, however, that these adjustments are made only for the purposes of determining the amount included under section 951 in the gross income of such U.S. shareholder (or any successor U.S. shareholder). There are no regulations in effect under section 961(c).

Comments have questioned whether basis adjustments under section 961(c) should be taken into account for purposes of determining gross tested income of a CFC upon the CFC’s disposition of stock of another CFC. One comment noted that, while section 951A(f)(1)(A) treats a GILTI inclusion in the same manner as a subpart F inclusion for purposes of basis adjustments under section 961, the resulting basis under section 961(c) only applies for purposes of determining amounts included in gross income under section 951. The comment recommended nonetheless that regulations provide that section 961(c) basis adjustments apply both for purposes of determining subpart F income and gross tested income to prevent certain items of income from being inappropriately taxed twice; the comment further noted, however, that unintentional non-taxation should also be avoided.

The interaction of basis adjustments under section 961(c) and section 951A will be further considered in connection with a guidance project addressing previously taxed E&P (“PTEP”) under sections 959 and 961. See Notice 2019-1, 2019-2 I.R.B. 275, section 3 (announcing an intention to address PTEP in forthcoming proposed regulations). The Treasury Department and the IRS are sensitive to the concern expressed in the comment but are also aware that taking into account section 961(c) basis adjustments for purposes of determining gross tested income could inappropriately reduce the amount of stock gain subject to tax. This may occur because, as was the case before the Act, section 961(c) adjustments are not taken into account for purposes of determining E&P, and thus a disposition of lower-tier CFC stock may generate E&P for the upper-tier CFC to the extent of the amount of the gain in the stock determined without regard to section 961(c). If the resulting E&P give rise to a dividend (including by

reason of a disposition under section 1248) to a corporate U.S. shareholder, the dividend may result in an offsetting dividends received deduction. See sections 245A(a) and 1248(j). If section 245A(a) applies to the dividend, the taxable portion of any unrealized appreciation in the upper-tier CFC stock, to the extent attributable to unrealized appreciation in assets of the upper-tier CFC, would effectively be reduced in an amount equal to the dividend, either because of a dividend distribution that reduces the value in the upper-tier CFC stock without a corresponding basis reduction (section 961(d) applies only to the extent loss would otherwise be recognized) or by reason of a disposition to the extent the gain is recharacterized under section 1248(j) as a dividend for purposes of applying section 245A. Comments are requested on this issue, including the extent to which adjustments should be made to minimize the potential for the same item of income being subject to tax more than once and to minimize the inappropriate reduction of gain in CFC stock held by corporate U.S. shareholders.

E. Deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis

1. In General

The proposed regulations include a rule that generally disallows, for purposes of calculating tested income or tested loss, any deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis in depreciable or amortizable property (including, for example, intangible property) resulting from a disqualified transfer of the property. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(5). The relevant terms for purposes of applying the rule in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(5) are defined by reference to certain provisions and terms in proposed §1.951A-3(h)(2) (disregarding disqualified basis for purposes of determining QBAI), with certain modifications. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(5) (iii). In general, the term “disqualified basis” is defined as the excess of a property’s adjusted basis immediately after a disqualified transfer, over the sum of the property’s adjusted basis immediately before the disqualified transfer and the amount of gain recognized by the transferor in the disqualified transfer that is

subject to tax as subpart F income or effectively connected income. See proposed §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(A) and (B). The term “disqualified transfer” is defined as a transfer of property by a transferor CFC during the transferor CFC’s disqualified period to a related person in which gain was recognized, in whole or in part. See proposed §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(C). Finally, the term “disqualified period” is defined with respect to a transferor CFC as the period that begins on January 1, 2018, and ends as of the close of the transferor CFC’s last taxable year that is not a CFC inclusion year. See proposed §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(D). Income generated by fiscal-year CFCs during the disqualified period is subject to neither the transition tax under section 965 nor the tax on GILTI under section 951A.

In response to comments, the Treasury Department and the IRS have revised these rules in a manner consistent with the purpose of the rule in the proposed regulations, as discussed in this part IV.E of the Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section. Certain comments and revisions related to the determination of disqualified basis for purposes of both proposed §§1.951A-2(c)(5) and 1.951A-3(h)(2) are discussed in part IV.E.3 and 4 of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section. For a discussion of additional comments and revisions related to the determination of disqualified basis for purposes of both proposed §§1.951A-2(c)(5) and 1.951A-3(h)(2), see part V.G of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section.

2. Authority

Several comments recommended that the rule in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(5) be withdrawn or substantially narrowed and re-proposed. Some of these comments recommended that the rule be revised to apply only to “non-economic” transactions or transactions engaged in with a tax-avoidance purpose, or that avoidance-type transactions be addressed through existing statutory or judicial doctrines. One comment recommended that the rule continue to be limited to transfers between related persons because third-party sales are fundamentally different from the “non-economic

transactions” described in the legislative history. However, one comment opposed any additional limitations or weakening of the anti-abuse rules in the proposed regulations.

Several comments questioned the Treasury Department and the IRS’s authority for issuing the rule. Many of these comments asserted that section 951A(d)(4), which provides authority to issue regulations that are “appropriate to prevent the avoidance of the purposes of this subsection,” does not authorize the Treasury Department and the IRS to promulgate rules that apply for any purpose other than for purposes of determining QBAI under section 951A(d). Also, two comments stated that the disallowance of deductions under proposed §1.951A-2(c)(5) is contrary to, and therefore not authorized by, section 951A(c)(2)(A)(ii), which requires that the deductions of the CFC be allocated to gross tested income under rules similar to the rules of section 954(b)(5) for purposes of calculating tested income or tested loss.

In response to these comments, the Treasury Department and the IRS have revised the proposed rule in a manner that better reflects the source of its authority. Section 7805(a) provides that “the Secretary shall prescribe all needful rules and regulations for the enforcement of this title, including all rules and regulations as may be necessary by reason of any alteration of law in relation to internal revenue.” Section 951A(c)(2)(A) defines “tested income” by reference to certain items of gross income, reduced by “the deductions (including taxes) properly allocable to such gross income under rules similar to the rules of section 954(b)(5) (or to which such deductions would be allocable if there were such gross income).” Section 954(b)(5) provides that FPHCI, foreign base company sales income, and foreign base company services income are reduced, “under regulations prescribed by the Secretary,” by deductions “properly allocable” to such income. Similarly, section 882(c)(1)(A) provides that, for purposes of determining a foreign corporation’s income which is effectively connected with the conduct of a trade or business within the United States (“effectively connected income”), “proper apportionment and allocation” of deductions of the foreign corporation “shall be determined

as provided in regulations prescribed by the Secretary.” The rule, as revised in the final regulations, provides guidance for determining whether certain deductions or losses are “properly allocable” to gross tested income, subpart F income, or effectively connected income within the meaning of section 951A(c)(2)(A), section 954(b)(5), or section 882(c)(1)(A), respectively. See, for example, *Redlark v. Commissioner*, 141 F.3d 936, 940-41 (9th Cir. 1998) and *Miller v. United States*, 65 F.3d 687, 690 (8th Cir. 1995) (determining that the term “properly allocable” in section 163(e) is ambiguous and therefore there is an implicit legislative delegation of authority to the Commissioner to define the term).

The legislative history to the Act indicates that section 965 was intended as a transition measure to the new territorial tax system in which section 951A applies, and that Congress intended that all earnings of a CFC would be potentially subject to tax under either section 965 or section 951A. Conference Report, at 613 (“The [transition tax applies in] the last taxable year of a deferred foreign income corporation that begins before January 1, 2018, which is that foreign corporation’s last taxable year before the transition to the new corporate tax regime elsewhere in the bill goes into effect.”). Because the final date for measuring the E&P of a CFC for purposes of section 965 is December 31, 2017 (the “final E&P measurement date”), and the effective date of section 951A is the first taxable year of a CFC beginning after December 31, 2017, all the earnings of a calendar year CFC are potentially subject to taxation under either section 965 or section 951A. However, a fiscal year CFC (for example, a CFC with a taxable year ending November 30) may have a gap between its final E&P measurement date under section 965 (December 31, 2017) and the date on which section 951A first applies with respect to its income (December 1, 2018, for a CFC with a taxable year ending November 30). Congress was aware that taxpayers could take advantage of this period to create “cost-free” basis in assets that could be used to reduce their U.S. tax liability in subsequent years, and expected the Treasury Department and the IRS to issue regulations to prevent this result. See Conference Report, at 645

“The conferees intend that non-economic transactions intended to affect tax attributes of CFCs and their U.S. shareholders (including amounts of tested income and tested loss, tested foreign income taxes, net deemed tangible income return, and QBAI) to minimize tax under this provision be disregarded. For example, the conferees expect the Secretary to prescribe regulations to address transactions that occur after the measurement date of post-1986 earnings and profits under amended section 965, but before the first taxable year for which new section 951A applies, if such transactions are undertaken to increase a CFC’s QBAI.”)

Consistent with the statute and the legislative history, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that a deduction or loss attributable to basis (disqualified basis) created by reason of a transfer from a CFC to a related CFC (a disqualified transfer) during the period between the final E&P measurement date and the effective date of section 951A (the disqualified period), to the extent no taxpayer included an amount in gross income by reason of such disqualified transfer, should not be permitted to reduce a taxpayer’s U.S. income tax liability in subsequent years. Accordingly, the final regulations treat any deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis as not “properly allocable” to gross tested income, subpart F income, or effectively connected income of the CFC (“residual CFC gross income”). See §1.951A-2(c)(5)(i).

While the rules that allocate and apportion expenses generally depend on the factual relationship between the item of expense and the associated gross income, the relevant statutory language in sections 882(c)(1)(A), 951A(c)(2)(A)(ii), and 954(b)(5) does not constrain the Secretary from taking into account other considerations in determining whether it is “proper” for a certain item of expense to be allocated to, and therefore reduce, a particular item of gross income. Indeed, the Treasury Department and the IRS are not required to issue rules that mechanically allocate an item of expense to gross income to which such expense factually relates if taxable income would be distorted by reason of such allocation. In this regard, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that the rule in §1.951A-2(c)

(5) is necessary to ensure that transactions during the disqualified period, the income or earnings from which are not subject to tax, are not permitted to improperly reduce or eliminate a taxpayer’s income that would be subject to tax after the disqualified period. This rule creates symmetry between the category of income generated by reason of a transfer during the disqualified period and the category of income to which any deduction or loss attributable to the resulting basis is allocated. That is, a disqualified transfer, by definition, generates residual CFC gross income (income that is not subpart F income, tested income, or effectively connected income), and the rule in §1.951A-2(c)(5) allocates the deduction or loss attributable to the disqualified basis to the same category of income. In the case of a depreciable or amortizable asset with disqualified basis that is held until the end of its useful life, the aggregate amount of deduction or loss attributable to the disqualified basis allocated to residual CFC gross income under the rule will equal the amount of residual CFC gross income generated in the disqualified transfer.

The rule in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(5) provides that any deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis is disregarded for purposes of determining tested income or tested loss. In contrast, the rule in the final regulations allocates and apportions any such deduction or loss to gross income other than gross tested income, subpart F income, or effectively connected income. With respect to the determination of tested income or tested loss, whether an item of deduction or loss is disregarded (under the proposed regulations) or allocated to income other than gross tested income (under the final regulations) does not provide a different result. In either case, the deduction or loss is not permitted to reduce tested income or increase tested loss. However, by allocating an item of deduction or loss to residual CFC gross income, the rule in the final regulations ensures that any deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis is also not taken into account for purposes of determining the CFC’s subpart F income or effectively connected income. The broadening of the rule to allocate any deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis away from subpart F income and effectively connect-

ed income is intended to ensure that taxpayers cannot simply circumvent the rule by converting their gross tested income into either subpart F income or effectively connected income, and thus be permitted to use the deduction or loss attributable to the disqualified basis against such income. The preamble to the proposed regulations evidenced an intention that taxpayers not be permitted to claim tax benefits with respect to cost-free disqualified basis, and the rule in the final regulations effectuates this intent by closing an obvious loophole. Furthermore, the rule ensures that the words “properly allocable” are interpreted consistently across provisions – sections 882(c)(1)(A), 951A(c)(2)(A)(ii), and 954(b)(5) – with respect to any deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis.

The rule in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(5) applies only to deductions or losses attributable to disqualified basis in “specified property,” which is defined as property that is of a type with respect to which a deduction is allowable under section 167 or 197. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(5)(ii). The Treasury Department and the IRS have concluded, however, that the rule should not be limited to specified property because deductions or losses attributable to disqualified basis in other property may also be used to inappropriately reduce a taxpayer’s U.S. income tax liability. On the other hand, the Treasury Department and the IRS have concluded that it would be unduly burdensome to require CFCs to determine the disqualified basis in each item of inventory and that it is reasonable to expect that most inventory acquired during the disqualified period will be sold at a gain such that the disqualified basis in an item of inventory would rarely be relevant. Accordingly, the rule in the final regulations applies to deductions or losses attributable to disqualified basis in any property, other than property described in section 1221(a)(1), regardless of whether the property is of a type with respect to which a deduction is allowable under section 167 or 197. See §§1.951A-2(c)(5)(iii)(A) and 1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii).

One comment asserted that the use of the phrase “non-economic transactions” in the Conference Report means that the authority to draft anti-abuse rules pursuant to sections 7805 and 951A(d)(4) is limited to non-economic transactions,

which necessitates a facts and circumstances test. The rule in §1.951A-2(c)(5) is not premised upon facts and circumstances, such as a taxpayer's intent; rather, the rule is based on an interpretation of the term "properly allocable" in the context of a deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis. Moreover, the rule applies only to a narrow subset of transactions – that is, transfers by fiscal year CFCs to related parties that occur between the final E&P measurement date under section 965 and the effective date of section 951A – and only has the effect of allocating a deduction or loss attributable to the cost-free basis created in such transaction to residual CFC gross income. The Treasury Department and the IRS have concluded that these narrowly circumscribed transactions will in almost all cases be motivated by tax avoidance rather than business exigencies, and that the allocation and apportionment of deduction or loss to residual CFC gross income is an appropriately tailored measure to address these transactions.

Based on the foregoing, the Treasury Department and the IRS have concluded that the rule in §1.951A-2(c)(5), with the modifications discussed in this part IV.E of the Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section, represents an appropriate exercise of its authority under sections 951A and 7805.

3. Effect of Disqualified Basis for Purposes of Determining Income or Gain

Some comments noted that the rule in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(5) addresses only deductions or losses attributable to disqualified basis and does not address the effect of disqualified basis in determining a CFC's income or gain upon the disposition of property. For example, assume USP, a domestic corporation, wholly owns CFC1, which holds property with a fair market value of \$100x and an adjusted basis of \$80x, \$70x of which is disqualified basis. CFC1 sells the property to an unrelated party in exchange for \$100x of cash and, without regard to proposed §1.951A-2(c)(5), recognizes \$20x of gain. The comments asked whether, under the rule, the disqualified basis of \$70x in the property is disregarded such that the sale

results in \$90x (rather than \$20x) of gross tested income to CFC1.

The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that the rule in §1.951A-2(c)(5) should apply only for purposes of determining whether a deduction or loss is properly allocable to gross tested income, subpart F income, or effectively connected income. Thus, disqualified basis is not disregarded for purposes of determining income or gain recognized on the disposition of the property. However, because many taxpayers capitalize depreciation or amortization expense to other property, including inventory, and recover those costs through cost of goods sold or depreciation of the other property, the final regulations also provide that any depreciation, amortization, or cost recovery allowances attributable to disqualified basis is not properly allocable to property produced or acquired for resale under section 263, 263A, or 471. See §1.951A-2(c)(5)(i). This rule ensures that depreciation or amortization expenses attributable to disqualified basis are not permitted to indirectly reduce taxable income through the depreciation expense of other property or from the disposition of inventory.

As discussed in part V.G of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section, disqualified basis is generally reduced or eliminated to the extent that such basis reduces taxable income. Therefore, a sale of property with disqualified basis generally results in the elimination of the disqualified basis, because the basis is taken into account in determining the CFC's taxable income. As a result, absent a special provision, a CFC could "cleanse" the disqualified basis in property by selling the property to a related person after the disqualified period; the related person would have no disqualified basis in the property, and the selling CFC would recognize income only to the extent the amount realized exceeded its adjusted basis in the property (for this purpose, including its disqualified basis). To address this obvious loophole, the final regulations provide that, except to the extent that any loss recognized on the transfer of such property is treated as attributable to disqualified basis under §1.951A-2(c)(5), or the basis is reduced or eliminated in a nonrecognition transaction within the meaning of section 7701(a)

(45), a transfer of property with disqualified basis in the hands of a CFC to a related person does not reduce the disqualified basis in the hands of the transferee. See §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(I)(ii). Thus, for example, if a CFC sells property with an adjusted basis of \$80x and disqualified basis of \$70x to a related person for \$100x in a fully taxable exchange, the selling CFC would recognize \$20x of gross income on the sale, which income may be included in gross tested income, and the disqualified basis in the property immediately after the transfer would remain \$70x in the hands of the related person.

4. Concurrent Application of the Rule with Other Provisions

One comment asserted that if the Treasury Department and the IRS retain the rule in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(5), then the disqualified transfer should be disregarded for all U.S. tax purposes, including for purposes of determining the gain or loss recognized by the transferor CFC by reason of the transfer and the tax attributes of the transferor CFC created by reason of the transfer. The comment expressed concern with potentially adverse consequences to the transferor CFC from the concurrent application of the rule and certain other provisions, such as incremental subpart F income generated by reason of the transfer, additional E&P that could dilute foreign tax credits with respect to a subpart F inclusion, and immediate U.S. taxation on any effectively connected income under section 882 from the transfer.

As discussed in part IV.E.2 of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section, the rule in §1.951A-2(c)(5) is intended to provide guidance on determining whether deductions of a CFC attributable to disqualified basis are properly allocable to gross tested income, subpart F income, and effectively connected income. The rule is not intended to disregard the transfer that created the disqualified basis in its entirety. Moreover, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that disregarding the transfer for all U.S. tax purposes is not appropriate because the property has in fact been transferred. In addition, disqualified basis in property does not include basis resulting from "qualified gain," which is gain

from the transfer included by the transferor or CFC as effectively connected income or by a U.S. shareholder as its pro rata share of subpart F income. See §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(C)(3). Thus, the rule in §1.951A-2(c)(5) does not apply to basis created in connection with amounts that are taxed under sections 882 and 951. Accordingly, this recommendation is not adopted.

Section 901(m) disallows certain foreign tax credits on foreign income not taken into account for U.S. tax purposes as a result of a “covered asset acquisition,” which includes an acquisition of assets for U.S. tax purposes that is treated as the acquisition of stock of a corporation (or is disregarded) for foreign tax purposes and an acquisition of an interest in a partnership which has an election in effect under section 754. See section 901(m)(2)(B) and (C). One comment noted that a disqualified transfer subject to the rule in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(5) could also constitute a covered asset acquisition under section 901(m), such as the sale of an interest in a disregarded entity during the disqualified period. In such a case, according to the comment, a deduction or loss that is not taken into account for purposes of determining tested income or tested loss under the rule may nevertheless be taken into account for purposes of section 901(m) such that foreign tax credits under section 960 might be disallowed. The comment asserted that the concurrent application of the rule and section 901(m) could be unduly punitive to taxpayers that engaged in disqualified transfers that were also covered asset acquisitions and therefore recommended that a deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis also be disregarded for purposes of section 901(m).

Disqualified basis could give rise to policy concerns under section 901(m) even when a deduction attributable to the disqualified basis is not taken into account in determining tested income or tested loss (or subpart F income or effectively connected income). For example, a deduction or loss attributable to the disqualified basis can reduce E&P for a taxable year, with the result that subpart F income for the taxable year may be limited under section 952(c)(1)(A). Indeed, proposed §1.901(m)-5(b)(1) provides that basis differences must be taken into account under section 901(m) regardless of whether the

deduction is deferred or disallowed for U.S. income tax purposes.

Based on the foregoing, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that it is not appropriate to disregard disqualified basis for purposes of section 901(m). However, in response to this comment, the final regulations permit taxpayers to make an election pursuant to which the adjusted basis in each property with disqualified basis held by a CFC or a partnership is reduced by the amount of the disqualified basis and the disqualified basis is eliminated. See §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(3). This reduction in adjusted basis is for all purposes of the Code, including section 901(m). Thus, if an election is made, a disqualified transfer of property that is also a covered asset acquisition of a relevant foreign asset will result in neither disqualified basis in the property within the meaning of §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii) nor a basis difference with respect to the relevant foreign asset within the meaning of section 901(m)(3)(C). As a result, in the case of an election, the rule in §1.951A-2(c)(5) and section 901(m) will not apply concurrently with respect to a disqualified transfer that is also a covered asset acquisition.

F. Other comments and revisions

1. Tested Loss Carryforward

In determining a U.S. shareholder’s net CFC tested income for a taxable year, the U.S. shareholder’s aggregate pro rata share of tested losses for the taxable year reduces the shareholder’s aggregate pro rata share of tested income for the taxable year. See section 951A(c)(1). Comments recommended that the final regulations include a provision allowing a U.S. shareholder’s aggregate pro rata share of tested losses in excess of the shareholder’s aggregate pro rata share of tested income for the taxable year to be carried forward to offset the shareholder’s net CFC tested income in subsequent years.

A GILTI inclusion amount is an annual calculation, and nothing in the statute or legislative history suggests that unused items, such as a U.S. shareholder’s aggregate pro rata share of tested losses in excess of the shareholder’s aggregate pro rata share of tested income for the taxable

year, can or should be carried to another taxable year. Accordingly, this recommendation is not adopted.

2. Deemed Payments under Section 367(d)

In general, section 367(d) provides that if a U.S. person transfers intangible property to a foreign corporation in an exchange described in section 351 or 361, the person is treated as having sold the property in exchange for payments contingent upon the productivity, use, or disposition of such property. The regulations under section 367(d) provide that the deemed payment may be treated as an expense (whether or not that amount is actually paid) of the transferee foreign corporation that is properly allocated and apportioned to gross income subject to subpart F under the provisions of §§1.954-1(c) and 1.861-8. See §1.367(d)-1T(c)(2)(ii) and (e)(2)(ii).

In response to comments, the final regulations clarify that a deemed payment under section 367(d) is treated as an allowable deduction for purposes of determining tested income and tested loss. See §1.951A-2(c)(2)(ii). Accordingly, consistent with the regulations under section 367(d), such deemed payments may be allocated and apportioned to gross tested income to the extent provided under §1.951A-2(c)(3).

3. Compute Tested Income in the Same Manner as E&P

A comment requested that the final regulations provide that tested income and tested loss be determined under the principles of section 964, which provides rules for the calculation of E&P of foreign corporations. Another comment requested that the final regulations permit small CFCs to make an annual election to treat their tested income or tested loss for a CFC inclusion year to be equal to their E&P for such CFC inclusion year. Section 951A(c)(2) is clear that tested income or tested loss for a CFC inclusion year is computed by subtracting properly allocable deductions from gross tested income, and there is nothing in the statute or legislative history that indicates that tested income or tested loss should be limited by,

or otherwise determined by reference to, E&P for such year. Accordingly, these recommendations are not adopted.

4. Effect of Losses in Other Categories of Income

The proposed regulations provide that allowable deductions are allocated and apportioned to gross tested income under the principles of section 954(b)(5) and §1.954-1(c), by treating gross tested income within a single category (as defined in §1.904-5(a)) as a single item of gross income, in addition to the items in §1.954-1(c)(1)(iii). See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(3). The final regulations clarify that losses in other categories of income (such as FBCI) cannot reduce gross tested income, and that tested losses cannot reduce other categories of income. See §1.951A-2(c)(3).

V. Comments and Revisions to Proposed §1.951A-3 – Qualified Business Asset Investment

A. Inability of tested loss CFCs to have QBAI

A U.S. shareholder's GILTI inclusion amount is equal to the excess of its net CFC tested income over its net DTIR for the taxable year. See section 951A(b)(1) and §1.951A-1(c)(1). A U.S. shareholder's net DTIR is equal to 10 percent of its aggregate pro rata share of the QBAI of its CFCs. See section 951A(b)(2) and §1.951A-1(c)(3). A CFC's QBAI is equal to its aggregate average adjusted basis in specified tangible property. See section 951A(1) and proposed §1.951A-3(b). Specified tangible property is defined as tangible property used in the production of tested income. See section 951A(d)(2)(A) and proposed §1.951A-3(c)(1). Consistent with the statute and the Conference Report, the proposed regulations clarify that tangible property of a tested loss CFC is not used in the production of tested income within the meaning of section 951A(d)(2)(A). See Conference Report, at 642, fn. 1536. In this regard, the proposed regulations provide that tangible property of a tested loss CFC is not specified tangible property and thus a tested loss CFC's QBAI is zero (the "tested loss QBAI ex-

clusion"). See proposed §1.951A-3(b), (c)(1), and (g)(1).

Comments recommended that the final regulations eliminate the tested loss QBAI exclusion, such that a tested loss CFC could have specified tangible property and therefore QBAI. One of the comments noted that the version of section 951A in the House bill defined specified tangible property as any tangible property to the extent such property is used in the production of tested income or tested loss. See H.R. 1, 115th Cong. §4301(a) (2017). The comment posited that the text of the statute is ambiguous, the tested loss QBAI exclusion is otherwise inconsistent with section 951A, and the exclusion is not compelled by the statute. The comment also asserted that this rule may be easily avoided by combining a tested loss CFC with a tested income CFC (including through an election under §301.7701-3 to change the classification of either entity for U.S. tax purposes) because there is no corollary to the tested loss QBAI exclusion for partnerships or disregarded entities.

The Treasury Department and the IRS reject this recommendation. The Senate amendment to the House bill struck the reference to "tested loss" in the definition of specified tangible property, and the Conference Report explains that the term "used in the production of tested income" means that "[s]pecified tangible property does not include property used in the production of a tested loss, so that a CFC that has a tested loss in a taxable year does not have QBAI for the taxable year." See Conference Report, at 642, fn.1536. Thus, the statute, taking into account the footnote in the Conference Report, unambiguously provides that tested loss CFCs cannot have QBAI. Accordingly, the final regulations retain the tested loss QBAI exclusion. But cf. part VI.D of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section regarding a reduction to tested interest expense of a CFC for a "tested loss QBAI amount," a new component in computing specified interest expense.

One comment requested that, if the tested loss QBAI exclusion is retained, proposed §1.951A-3(b) and (c) should be revised to clarify that the exclusion applies only for a CFC inclusion year with respect to which a CFC is a tested loss CFC. The final regulations do not revise

these provisions because it is sufficiently clear that the tested loss QBAI exclusion rule applies only with respect to a CFC inclusion year of a CFC for which it is a tested loss CFC and that a CFC is a tested loss CFC only for a CFC inclusion year in which the CFC does not have tested income. See §1.951A-2(b)(2).

B. Determination of depreciable property

Section 951A(d)(1)(B) provides that specified tangible property is taken into account in determining QBAI only if the property is of a type with respect to which a depreciation deduction is allowable under section 167. Similarly, the proposed regulations define "specified tangible property" as tangible property used in the production of tested income, and define "tangible property" as property for which the depreciation deduction provided by section 167(a) is eligible to be determined under section 168 (even if the CFC has elected not to apply section 168). See proposed §1.951A-3(c)(1) and (2).

A comment recommended that, for purposes of determining QBAI, the final regulations take into account the entire adjusted basis in precious metals and other similar tangible property that are used in the production of tested income, even if only a portion of the adjusted basis in such property is depreciable in calculating regular taxable income. The comment suggested that if property is depreciable in part, then the entire asset is "of a type" with respect to which a deduction is allowable under section 167 within the meaning of section 951A(d)(1)(B).

In defining QBAI, section 951A(d) distinguishes between depreciable tangible property and non-depreciable tangible property, such as land. Section 951A(d) defines QBAI as specified tangible property "of a type" for which a deduction is allowable under section 167. The proposed and final regulations interpret the phrase "of a type" consistent with the interpretation of the phrase "of a character" with respect to section 168. See Rev. Rul. 2015-11, 2015-21 I.R.B. 975. See §1.951A-3(c)(2) (defining tangible property as property for which the depreciation deduction provided by section 167(a) is eligible to be determined under section 168 (with certain exclusions)). The Trea-

surey Department and the IRS determined that for consistency, the same standard for determining whether property is depreciable should apply for determining whether property qualifies as QBAI.

In *Newark Morning Ledger Co. v. United States*, 507 U.S. 546 (1993), the Supreme Court provided that “[w]hether or not ... a tangible asset, is depreciable for Federal income tax purposes depends upon the determination that the asset is actually exhausting, and that such exhaustion is susceptible of measurement.” *Newark Morning Ledger Co. v. United States* at 566. Although unrecoverable commodities used in a business are depreciable, recoverable commodities used in a business are not depreciable because they do not suffer from exhaustion, wear and tear, or obsolescence over a determinable useful life. *O’Shaughnessy v. Commissioner*, 332 F.3d 1125 (8th Cir. 2003); *Arkla, Inc. v. United States*, 765 F.2d 487 (5th Cir. 1985). The recoverable quantity of a commodity used in the business suffers no change in its physical characteristics or value as a result of its use in the business. The comment seemed to imply that precious metals were a single unit of property that was partially depreciable and partially non-depreciable, rather than quantities of metal in separate categories of property, one of which is depreciable.

The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that it would not be appropriate for purposes of determining a CFC’s QBAI to take into account the CFC’s entire adjusted basis in an asset that is only partially depreciable. Taking into account basis that is not subject to a depreciation allowance would overstate a CFC’s QBAI. For example, in the case of precious metals that are partially depreciable, such as platinum used in a catalyst, a portion of the metal may be subject to exhaustion, wear and tear, or obsolescence during its useful life. The remainder of the metal is recoverable for reuse or sale. When initially purchased, the value and tax basis of the recoverable portion generally should reflect the forward price of such metal. The value and tax basis of the depreciable portion of the metal generally should reflect the net present value of the expected returns generated by the metal. QBAI is a proxy for the base upon which

non-extraordinary, tangible returns should be calculated. See S. Comm. on the Budget, Reconciliation Recommendations Pursuant to H. Con. Res. 71, S. Print No. 115-20, at 371 (2017) (“Senate Explanation”) (The provision approximates ... tangible income ... as a 10-percent return on ... the adjusted basis in tangible depreciable property.”). Therefore, only the depreciable portion of the precious metal, which is associated with the tangible returns, should be taken into account in this measurement. Given that liquid commodity markets exist for these precious metals, taxpayers could sell the future rights to the recoverable portion of the asset (thereby reducing their economic outlay and exposure with respect to the property). Cf. *Guardian Industries v. Commissioner*, 97 T.C. 308 (1991) (taxpayer regularly sold silver waste from photographic development process to refiners). Thus, the depreciable portion of the asset represents the taxpayer’s economic investment in generating tangible returns. Accordingly, the comment is not adopted.

The comment also requested that in calculating the adjusted basis in precious metals for QBAI purposes, the final regulations provide that class lives applied to precious metals for purposes of the alternative depreciation system (“ADS”) are the same class lives determined under the principles of Rev. Rul. 2015-11, rather than the ADS class lives of the equipment to which the precious metals attach. This recommendation is not adopted because Rev. Rul. 2015-11 does not establish principles for determining class lives of the precious metals discussed therein, but rather addresses whether certain precious metals are depreciable under the facts and circumstances described in the ruling.

One comment requested that all expenditures paid or incurred with respect to the acquisition, exploration, and development of a mine or other natural deposit should be taken into account in determining QBAI. The comment stated that such exploration and development costs for mining operations are “of a type” for which depreciation is allowed, even though the costs are recovered through depletion rather than depreciation. The comment also recommended that the adjusted basis in a mine or other natural deposit included

as QBAI should be determined using cost depletion, rather than percentage depletion.

Section 951A(d)(1)(B) limits property taken into account in determining QBAI to tangible property of a type with respect to which a deduction is allowable under section 167. Congress did not extend the definition of QBAI to property of a type with respect to which a deduction is allowed under section 611 (the allowance of deduction for depletion). Although the comment focused on the similarities between cost depletion and depreciation, there are also similarities between cost depletion of mineral properties and the acquisition cost of inventory. The inventory cost of a severed mineral includes the cost depletion attributable to the severed mineral. See section 263A and §1.263A-1(e)(3)(ii)(J). In essence, the acquisition cost of the mineral property recovered through cost depletion is the inventory cost of the severed mineral, and QBAI does not include inventory. Accordingly, the recommendation is not adopted.

The proposed regulations define “tangible property” as property for which the depreciation deduction provided by section 167(a) is eligible to be determined under section 168 without regard to section 168(f)(1), (2), or (5) and the date placed in service. See proposed §1.951A-3(c)(2). Section 168(k) increases the depreciation deduction allowed under section 167(a) with respect to qualified property, which includes tangible and certain intangible property. The final regulations revise the definition of tangible property in §1.951A-3(c)(2) to exclude certain intangible property to which section 168(k) applies, namely, computer software, qualified film or television productions, and qualified live theatrical productions described in section 168(k)(2)(A).

C. Determination of basis under alternative depreciation system

For purposes of determining QBAI, the adjusted basis in specified tangible property is determined by using ADS under section 168(g), and by allocating the depreciation deduction with respect to such property for the CFC inclusion year ratably to each day during the period in the taxable year to which such

depreciation relates. See section 951A(d)(3)³ and §1.951A-3(e)(1). ADS applies to determine the adjusted basis in property for purposes of determining QBAI regardless of whether the property was placed in service before the enactment of section 951A, or whether the basis in the property is determined under another depreciation method for other purposes of the Code. See section 951A(d)(3) and §1.951A-3(e)(2). In addition, for purposes of determining income and E&P, a CFC is generally required to use ADS for depreciable property used predominantly outside the United States. See section 168(g) and §§1.952-2(c)(2)(ii) and (iv) and 1.964-1(a)(2). However, a CFC may instead use for this purpose a depreciation method used for its books of account regularly maintained for accounting to shareholders or a method conforming to United States generally accepted accounting principles (a “non-ADS depreciation method”) if the differences between ADS and the non-ADS depreciation method are immaterial. See §§1.952-2(c)(2)(ii) and (iv) and 1.964-1(a)(2).

A comment recommended that ADS not be required under section 951A(d) for specified tangible property placed in service before the enactment of section 951A. This comment asserted that section 951A(d)(3) does not compel the conclusion that ADS must be used for assets placed in service before the enactment of section 951A, and cited compliance concerns as a justification for not requiring the use of ADS with respect to such assets. Another comment recommended that the final regulations permit taxpayers to elect to compute the adjusted basis in all specified tangible property of a CFC – not just specified tangible property placed in service before the enactment of section 951A – under the method that the CFC uses to compute its tested income and tested loss, even if such method is not ADS.

Section 951A(d)(3) is clear that the adjusted basis in specified tangible property is determined using ADS under section 168(g), and therefore the final regulations do not adopt the recommendation to permit taxpayers an election to compute the adjusted basis in all specified tangible

property under the CFC’s non-ADS depreciation method. However, recognizing the potential burden of re-determining the basis under ADS of all specified tangible property held by a CFC placed in service before the enactment of section 951A, and given that a non-ADS depreciation method is permissible only when there are immaterial differences between ADS and such other method, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that a transition rule is warranted for CFCs that are not required to use ADS for purposes of computing income and E&P. Accordingly, the final regulations provide that a CFC that is not required to use ADS for purposes of computing income and E&P may elect, for purposes of calculating QBAI, to use its non-ADS depreciation method to determine the adjusted basis in specified tangible property placed in service before the first taxable year beginning after December 22, 2017, subject to a special rule related to salvage value. See §1.951A-3(e)(3)(ii). The election also applies to the determination of a CFC’s partner adjusted basis under §1.951A-3(g)(3) in partnership specified tangible property placed in service before the CFC’s first taxable year beginning after December 22, 2017. See *id.* This transition rule does not apply for purposes of determining the foreign-derived intangible income (“FDII”) of a domestic corporation. Cf. section 250(b)(2)(B) (in calculating deemed tangible income return for purposes of FDII, QBAI is generally determined under section 951A(d)).

A comment requested that the final regulations confirm that the use of ADS in determining the basis in specified tangible property, whether placed in service before or after the enactment of section 951A, for purposes of determining QBAI is not a change in method of accounting or, if it is a change in method, that global approval under section 446(e) be given for such a change. Another comment recommended that a CFC switching to ADS for property placed in service before the enactment of section 951A should not be required to file Form 3115 to request an accounting method change for depreciation, and that the cumulative adjustment should be tak-

en into account for the adjusted basis in the specified tangible property as of the CFC’s first day of the first year to which section 951A applies.

The determination of the adjusted basis in property under section 951A(d) is not a method of accounting subject to the consent requirement of section 446(e). As a result, a CFC does not need the Commissioner’s consent to use ADS for purposes of determining its adjusted basis in specified tangible property in determining its QBAI. A CFC that uses ADS for purposes of determining QBAI should determine the correct basis in the property under ADS as of the CFC’s first day of the first taxable year to which section 951A applies and apply section 951A(d)(3) accordingly. The final regulations also clarify that the adjusted basis in property is determined based on the cost capitalization methods of accounting used by the CFC for purposes of determining its tested income and tested loss. See §1.951A-3(e)(1).

A change to ADS from another depreciation method for purposes of computing tested income or tested loss is a change in method of accounting subject to section 446(e). The Treasury Department and the IRS expect that many CFCs that are not already using ADS for purposes of computing income and E&P will change their method of accounting for depreciation to the straight-line method, the applicable recovery period, or the applicable convention under ADS to comply with §1.952-2(c)(2)(iv) and §1.964-1(c)(1)(iii)(c) and that most of such changes are already eligible for automatic consent under Rev. Proc. 2015-13, 2015-5 I.R.B. 419. The Treasury Department and the IRS intend to publish another revenue procedure further expanding the availability of automatic consent for depreciation changes and updating the terms and conditions in sections 7.07 and 7.09 of Rev. Proc. 2015-13 (related to the source, separate limitation classification, and character of section 481(a) adjustments) to take into account section 951A. After the change in accounting method, the basis in specified tangible property will be the correct basis for purposes of determining income, E&P, and QBAI.

³ As enacted, section 951A(d) contains two paragraphs designated as paragraph (3). The section 951A(d)(3) discussed in this part V.C of the Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section relates to the determination of the adjusted basis in property for purposes of calculating QBAI.

The final regulations clarify the interaction between the daily proration of depreciation rule in section 951A(d)(3) and the applicable convention under ADS. Under section 951A(d)(3), the adjusted basis in property is determined by allocating the depreciation deduction with respect to property to each day during the period in the taxable year to which the depreciation relates. The half-year convention, mid-month convention, and mid-quarter convention in section 168(d) treat property as placed in service (or disposed of) for purposes of section 168 at the midpoint of the taxable year, month, or quarter, as applicable, irrespective of when the property was placed in service (or disposed of) during the taxable year. The final regulations clarify that the period in the CFC inclusion year to which such depreciation relates is determined without regard to the applicable convention under section 168(d). See §1.951A-3(e)(1). Accordingly, in the year property is placed in service, the depreciation deduction allowed for the taxable year is prorated from the day the property is actually placed in service, and, in the year property is disposed of, the depreciation deduction allowed for the taxable year is prorated to the date of disposition. Allocating depreciation to each day during the period in which the property is used irrespective of the applicable convention ensures that the average of the aggregate adjusted basis as of the close of each quarter is properly adjusted to reflect the depreciation allowed for the taxable year.

The Treasury Department and the IRS continue to study issues related to the determination of QBAI for purposes of section 951A. In particular, the Treasury Department and the IRS are aware that a CFC that is a partner in a foreign partnership may have difficulty determining the basis in partnership property under ADS, particularly when the partnership is not controlled by U.S. persons. Comments are requested on methodologies for determining the basis in partnership property owned by a foreign partnership that is not controlled directly or indirectly by U.S. persons.

D. Dual use property

Section 951A(d)(2)(B) provides that if property is used both in the production

of tested income and income that is not tested income, the property is specified tangible property in the same proportion that the gross income described in section 951A(c)(1)(A) produced with respect to such property bears to the total gross income produced with respect to such property. The proposed regulations provide that if tangible property is used in both the production of gross tested income and other income, the portion of the adjusted basis in the property treated as adjusted basis in specified tangible property is determined by multiplying the average of the adjusted basis in the property by the dual use ratio. See proposed §1.951A-3(d)(1). If the property produces directly identifiable income for a CFC inclusion year, the dual use ratio is the ratio of the gross tested income produced by the property to the total amount of gross income produced by the property. See proposed §1.951A-3(d)(2)(i). In all other cases, the dual use ratio is the ratio of the gross tested income of the tested income CFC to the total amount of gross income of the tested income CFC. See proposed §1.951A-3(d)(2)(ii).

Under the proposed regulations, the dual use ratio requires a determination of whether and how much gross income is “directly identifiable” with particular specified tangible property. The Treasury Department and the IRS recognize that application of the directly identifiable standard could result in substantial uncertainty and controversy. In addition, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that the rules under section 861 for allocating a depreciation or amortization deduction attributable to property owned by a CFC to categories of income of the CFC represent a reliable and well-understood proxy for determining the type of income produced by the property, even in circumstances where there is no income that is “directly identifiable” with the property. Accordingly, the final regulations provide that the dual use ratio, with respect to tangible property for a CFC inclusion year, is the ratio calculated as the sum of the amount of the depreciation deduction with respect to the property for the CFC inclusion year that is allocated and apportioned to gross tested income for the CFC inclusion year under §1.951A-2(c)(3) and the depreciation with respect to the property capitalized to inventory or other

property held for sale, the gross income or loss from the sale of which is taken into account in determining tested income for the CFC inclusion year, divided by the sum of the total amount of the depreciation deduction with respect to the property for the CFC inclusion year and the total amount of depreciation with respect to the property capitalized to inventory or other property held for sale, the gross income or loss from the sale of which is taken into account for the CFC inclusion year. See §1.951A-3(d)(3). The dual use ratio also applies with respect to partnership specified tangible property, except, for this purpose, determined by reference to a tested income CFC’s distributive share of the amounts described in the preceding sentence. See §1.951A-3(g)(3)(iii) and part V.E of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section.

A comment recommended that the final regulations clarify, through additional examples, that the method for determining the dual use ratio with respect to specified tangible property does not change if (i) the dual use property becomes or ceases to be specified tangible property during the year, or (ii) the dual use property gives rise to increasing or decreasing gross tested income across quarters in a taxable year. The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that additional examples are unnecessary. As the comment suggests, the dual use ratio is not determined on the basis of the type and amount of gross income produced by the property as of any particular quarter close, but rather is determined based on the type and the amount of gross income produced by the property for the entire taxable year. In this regard, there is no ambiguity in the language in the regulations, and therefore no need for additional clarification.

The rules in §1.951A-3 do not apply in determining QBAI for purposes of computing the deduction of a domestic corporation under section 250 for its FDII. See proposed §1.250(b)-2 (REG-104464-18, 84 FR 8188 (March 6, 2019)) for the QBAI rules related to the FDII deduction. However, it is anticipated that, except as indicated in part V.D of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section with respect to the election to use a non-ADS depreciation method for assets placed in service before the enactment of

section 951A, revisions similar to the revisions to proposed §1.951A-3 discussed in parts V.B through E of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section will be made to proposed §1.250(b)-2.

E. Partnership QBAI

Section 951A(d)(3)⁴ provides that, for purposes of calculating QBAI, if a CFC holds an interest in a partnership at the close of the CFC's taxable year, the CFC takes into account its distributive share of the aggregate of the partnership's adjusted basis in depreciable tangible property used in its trade or business that is used in the production of tested income (determined with respect to the CFC's distributive share of income with respect to such property). For this purpose, a CFC's distributive share of the adjusted basis in any property is the CFC's distributive share of income with respect to such property. See section 951A(d)(3) (flush language).

The proposed regulations implement the rule in section 951A(d)(3) by providing that, if a tested income CFC holds an interest in one or more partnerships as of the close of a CFC inclusion year, the QBAI of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year is increased by the sum of the tested income CFC's partnership QBAI with respect to each partnership for the CFC inclusion year. See proposed §1.951A-3(g)(1). A tested income CFC's partnership QBAI with respect to a partnership is the sum of the tested income CFC's share of the partnership's adjusted basis in partnership specified tangible property as of the close of a partnership taxable year that ends with or within a CFC inclusion year. See proposed §1.951A-3(g)(2)(i). A tested income CFC's share of the partnership's adjusted basis in partnership specified tangible property is determined by multiplying the partnership's adjusted basis in the property by the tested income CFC's partnership QBAI ratio with respect to the property. See *id.* Similar to the rule for dual use property, under the proposed regulations, the tested income CFC's partnership QBAI ratio with re-

spect to partnership specified tangible property depends on whether the property produces directly identifiable income. In the case of partnership specified tangible property that produces directly identifiable income for a partnership taxable year, a tested income CFC's partnership QBAI ratio with respect to the property is the tested income CFC's distributive share of the gross income produced by the property for the partnership taxable year that is included in the gross tested income of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year to the total gross income produced by the property for the partnership taxable year. See proposed §1.951A-3(g)(2)(ii)(A). In the case of partnership specified tangible property that does not produce directly identifiable income for a partnership taxable year, a tested income CFC's partnership QBAI ratio with respect to the property is the tested income CFC's distributive share of the gross income of the partnership for the partnership taxable year that is included in the gross tested income of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year to the total amount of gross income of the partnership for the partnership taxable year. See proposed §1.951A-3(g)(2)(ii)(B).

The partnership QBAI ratio in the proposed regulations is effectively an amalgamation of two ratios – a ratio that describes the portion of the partnership specified tangible property that is used in the production of gross tested income (that is, the dual use ratio) and a ratio that describes a tested income CFC's proportionate interest in all the income produced by the property. The final regulations disaggregate the partnership QBAI ratio into these two ratios – the dual use ratio (as defined in §1.951A-3(d)(3)) and a new proportionate share ratio (as defined in §1.951A-3(g)(4)(ii)). Accordingly, the final regulations provide that a tested income CFC's "partner adjusted basis" with respect to partnership specified tangible property – that is, the adjusted basis in partnership specified tangible property taken into account in determining the tested income CFC's partnership QBAI – is generally, in the case of partnership specified tangible property

used in the production of only gross tested income ("sole use partnership property"), the tested income CFC's proportionate share of the partnership's adjusted basis in the property for the partnership taxable year. See §1.951A-3(g)(3)(ii). A tested income CFC's partner adjusted basis with respect to partnership specified tangible property used in the production of gross tested income and gross income that is not gross tested income ("dual use partnership property") is generally the tested income CFC's proportionate share of the partnership's adjusted basis in the property for the partnership taxable year, multiplied by the tested income CFC's dual use ratio with respect to the property (determined by reference to the tested income CFC's distributive share of amounts described in §1.951A-3(d)(3)). See §1.951A-3(g)(3)(iii). In either case, a tested income CFC's proportionate share of the partnership's adjusted basis in partnership specified tangible property is the partnership's adjusted basis in the property for the partnership taxable year multiplied by the tested income CFC's proportionate share ratio with respect to the property for the partnership taxable year.

As discussed in part V.D of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section, a rule that determines adjusted basis in specified tangible property taken into account in determining QBAI by reference to the "directly identifiable income" attributable to such property would lead to substantial uncertainty and controversy, whereas the rules under section 861 for allocating and apportioning depreciation attributable to property owned by a CFC to categories of income represent a longstanding proxy for determining the types of income produced by the property. For this reason, the final regulations determine the dual use ratio by reference to the amount of depreciation deductions allocated to gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). Similarly, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that calculating partnership QBAI by reference to the "directly identifiable income" produced by partnership specified tangible property would lead to

⁴ As enacted, section 951A(d) contains two paragraphs designated as paragraph (3). The section 951A(d)(3) discussed in this part V.E of the Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section relates to tangible property held by a partnership taken into account in calculating the QBAI of a CFC partner.

substantial uncertainty and controversy, and that a partner's share of a depreciation deduction with respect to partnership specified tangible property is a reliable proxy for determining a CFC's distributive share of income with respect to such property. Accordingly, the final regulations determine the proportionate share ratio with respect to partnership specified tangible property also by reference to the depreciation with respect to the property, rather than the directly identifiable income attributable to the property or the gross income of the partner. See §1.951A-3(g)(4)(ii).

A comment requested clarification that the partnership QBAI ratio in the proposed regulations, which references the amount of "gross income" produced by the property, is determined by reference to "gross taxable income," rather than gross section 704(b) income. The comment also recommended that if the partnership QBAI ratio is determined by reference to a partnership's gross taxable income, that section 704(c) allocations (including items of income under the remedial method) be taken into account in determining the CFC's distributive share of the gross income produced by the property for the partnership taxable year. The specific comment regarding the calculation of gross income produced by property has been mooted by the change to determining the dual use and proportionate share ratios by reference to the depreciation with respect to the property. However, the comment remains relevant to the calculation of the depreciation with respect to property for purposes of determining the dual use ratio and proportionate share ratio.

For purposes of the proportionate share ratio, the final regulations do not adopt this recommendation. Section 704(b) income represents a partner's economic interest in the partnership and therefore more closely aligns with the economic production of income from partnership property that QBAI is intended to measure. Accordingly, the final regulations clarify that the proportionate share ratio is determined by reference to the amount of depreciation with respect to property (and a tested income CFC's distributive share of such amount) determined under section 704(b). See §1.951A-3(g)(4)(i).

Therefore, items determined under section 704(c) are not taken into account for purposes of determining a tested income CFC's partner adjusted basis in partnership specified tangible property held by a partnership and thus the tested income CFC's partnership QBAI with respect to the partnership. However, because the dual use ratio is determined by reference to the allocation and apportionment of depreciation deductions to gross tested income of a tested income CFC, and thus is based on a taxable income concept, items determined under section 704(c) are taken into account for purposes of determining the dual use ratio.

The proposed regulations provide that partnership QBAI is the sum of the tested income CFC's share of the partnership's adjusted basis in partnership specified tangible property. See proposed §1.951A-3(g)(2)(i). A comment recommended that the final regulations clarify that the adjusted basis in partnership specified tangible property includes any basis adjustment under section 743(b). In response to this comment, the final regulations clarify that an adjustment under section 743(b) to the adjusted basis in partnership specified tangible property with respect to a tested income CFC is taken into account in determining the tested income CFC's partner adjusted basis in the partnership specified tangible property. See §1.951A-3(g)(3) and (7). In addition, to ensure that the adjusted basis in property other than tangible property is not inappropriately shifted to tangible property for purposes of determining QBAI, the final regulations provide that basis adjustments to partnership specified tangible property under section 734(b) are taken into account only if they are basis adjustments under section 734(b)(1)(B) or 734(b)(2)(B) attributable to distributions of tangible property or basis adjustments under section 734(b)(1)(A) or 734(b)(2)(A) by reason of gain or loss recognized by a distributee partner under section 731(a). See §1.951A-3(g)(6).

A comment also requested that the final regulations clarify that a CFC's QBAI is increased not only for partnership specified tangible property owned by partnerships in which the CFC is a direct partner, but also for lower-tier partnerships in which the CFC indirectly owns an interest

through one or more upper-tier partnerships. The final regulations make this clarification. See §1.951A-3(g)(1).

Finally, a comment suggested that, under section 951A(d)(3) and the proposed regulations, a disposition of a partnership interest by a tested income CFC could result in the CFC including its distributive share of partnership income in its gross tested income, but not taking into account any of the partnership's basis in partnership specified tangible property for purposes of calculating the CFC's QBAI. Under section 951A(d)(3) and proposed §1.951A-3(g)(1), if a CFC holds an interest in a partnership at the close of the taxable year of the CFC, the CFC takes into account its share of a partnership's adjusted basis in certain tangible property for QBAI purposes. However, neither section 951A(d)(3) nor the proposed regulations have a rule that would allow a tested income CFC to increase its QBAI for its share of partnership QBAI if the tested income CFC owned the partnership interest for part of the year but not at the close of the CFC taxable year. However, a partner that disposes of its entire partnership interest before the close of the CFC taxable year could have a distributive share of partnership income if the partnership taxable year closes before the close of the CFC taxable year, including by reason of the disposition itself. See section 706(c)(2)(A) (taxable year of partnership closes with respect to partner whose entire interest terminates, including by reason of a disposition).

The Treasury Department and the IRS agree that a partner that has a distributive share of income from a partnership should also be permitted partnership QBAI with respect to the partnership. Therefore, the final regulations are revised to provide that a partner need only hold an interest in a partnership during the CFC inclusion year to have partnership QBAI with respect to the partnership. See §1.951A-3(g)(1). The final regulations also provide that section 706(d) applies to determine a tested income CFC's partner adjusted basis in partnership specified tangible property owned by a partnership if there is a change in the tested income CFC's interest in the partnership during the CFC inclusion year. See §1.951A-3(g)(3)(i).

F. Disregard of basis in specified tangible property held temporarily

Section 951A(d)(4) authorizes the issuance of regulations or other guidance that the Secretary determines are appropriate to prevent the avoidance of the purposes of section 951A(d), including regulations or other guidance which provide for the treatment of property that is transferred, or held, temporarily. The proposed regulations provide that if a tested income CFC (“acquiring CFC”) acquires specified tangible property with a principal purpose of reducing the GILTI inclusion amount of a U.S. shareholder for any U.S. shareholder inclusion year, and the tested income CFC holds the property temporarily but over at least the close of one quarter, the specified tangible property is disregarded in determining the acquiring CFC’s average adjusted basis in specified tangible property for purposes of determining the acquiring CFC’s QBAI for any CFC inclusion year during which the tested income CFC held the property (the “temporary ownership rule”). See proposed §1.951A-3(h)(1). If an acquisition of specified tangible property would, but for the temporary ownership rule, reduce the GILTI inclusion amount of a U.S. shareholder, then the property is “per se” treated as temporarily held and acquired with a principal purpose of reducing the GILTI inclusion amount of a U.S. shareholder if the tested income CFC holds the property for less than a 12-month period that includes at least the close of one quarter during its taxable year (the “12-month per se rule”). See *id.* Therefore, the specified tangible property is disregarded under the proposed regulations for purposes of determining QBAI.

Although some comments supported the temporary ownership rule and, in particular, stated that the principal purpose standard was a reasonable interpretation of section 951A(d)(4), many comments asserted that it was overbroad. Comments expressed particular concern with the scope of the 12-month per se rule, noting for example that it could (i) apply to transactions not motivated by tax avoidance such as ordinary course transactions, (ii) require burdensome asset-level tracking of CFC property, and (iii) lead to uncertain return filing positions or financial accounting volatility if property acquired by

a CFC has not yet been held for 12 months when a U.S. shareholder files its return or publishes a financial statement.

Comments suggested various ways to minimize the scope of the temporary ownership rule, including (i) eliminating the 12-month per se rule; (ii) converting the 12-month per se rule into a rebuttable presumption; (iii) providing an exception for property transferred among related CFCs owned by a U.S. shareholder when there is no decrease in that shareholder’s GILTI inclusion amount (for this purpose, treating a consolidated group as a single entity); (iv) providing that, for purposes of applying the 12-month per se rule, a CFC’s holding period in property received in a nonrecognition transaction include a tacked holding period under section 1223(2); (v) providing de minimis or ordinary course transaction exceptions; (vi) excepting acquisitions of property that result in effectively connected income or subpart F income to the transferor; (vii) tailoring the rule’s application depending on whether property is acquired from or transferred to unrelated parties; and (viii) establishing a period of ownership that will not be considered temporary.

In response to the comments, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that it is appropriate to narrow the scope of the temporary ownership rule, and that the following changes strike the appropriate balance between mitigating the compliance burden and identifying transactions that have the potential to avoid the purposes of section 951A(d). First, the final regulations make certain technical changes that are intended to refine and clarify the application of the temporary ownership rule. For example, the rule applies, in part, based on a principal purpose of increasing the DTIR of a U.S. shareholder (“applicable U.S. shareholder”) and, for this purpose, certain related U.S. persons are treated as a single applicable U.S. shareholder. See §1.951A-3(h)(1)(i) and (vi). Further, in response to comments, the final regulations clarify that property held temporarily over a quarter close is subject to the temporary ownership rule only if the holding of the property over the quarter close would, without regard to the temporary ownership rule, increase the DTIR of an appli-

cable U.S. shareholder for its taxable year. See §1.951A-3(h)(1)(i).

The final regulations also clarify that a CFC’s holding period for purposes of this rule does not include the holding period for which the property was held by any other person under section 1223. See §1.951A-3(h)(1)(v). The final regulations do not adopt the request to permit a tacking of holding periods for purpose of the temporary ownership rule, because temporary acquisitions of property through nonrecognition transactions, particularly between related parties, can artificially increase a U.S. shareholder’s DTIR by, for instance, causing the property to be taken into account for an additional quarter close for purposes of calculating QBAI.

The final regulations also modify the 12-month per se rule to make it a presumption rather than a per se rule. Therefore, under the final regulations the temporary ownership rule is presumed to apply only if property is held for less than 12 months. See §1.951A-3(h)(1)(iv)(A). This presumption may be rebutted if the facts and circumstances clearly establish that the subsequent transfer of the property was not contemplated when the property was acquired by the acquiring CFC and that a principal purpose of the acquisition of the property was not to increase the DTIR of the applicable U.S. shareholder. See *id.* As a result of this change, a taxpayer generally will know when it files its return whether the temporary ownership rule will apply. In order to rebut the presumption, a taxpayer must attach a statement to the Form 5471 filed with the taxpayer’s return for the taxable year of the CFC in which the subsequent transfer occurs disclosing that it rebuts the presumption. See *id.* In response to a comment, the final regulations include a second presumption that generally provides that property is presumed not to be subject to the temporary ownership rule if held for more than 36 months. See §1.951A-3(h)(1)(iv)(B).

The final regulations clarify that the adjusted basis in property may be disregarded under the rule for multiple quarter closes. See §1.951A-3(h)(1)(ii). However, in the case that the temporary holding results in the property being taken into account for only one additional quarter close of a tested income CFC in determining the DTIR of a U.S. shareholder inclusion

year, the adjusted basis in the property is disregarded under this rule only as of the first tested quarter close that follows the acquisition. See *id.*; see also §1.951A-3(h)(1)(vii)(C) (*Example 2*) (disregarding the adjusted basis in specified tangible property for a single quarter due to differences in CFC taxable years). This rule ensures that the adjusted basis in property is not inappropriately disregarded in excess of the amount necessary to eliminate the increase in the DTIR of the applicable U.S. shareholder by reason of the temporary holding.

The final regulations also include a safe harbor for certain transfers involving CFCs. See §1.951A-3(h)(1)(iii). Under the safe harbor, the holding of property as of a tested quarter close is not treated as increasing the DTIR if certain conditions are satisfied. In general, the safe harbor applies to transfers between CFCs that are owned in the same proportion by the U.S. shareholder, have the same taxable years, and are all tested income CFCs. The safe harbor is intended to exempt non-tax motivated transfers from the rule when the temporary holding of the property does not have the potential for increasing the DTIR of an applicable U.S. shareholder. The addition of the safe harbor responds to the comment requesting that the rule be tailored depending on whether the transfers involve related or unrelated parties.

In addition, in response to comments, the final regulations include four new examples to illustrate the application of the rule. See §1.951A-3(h)(1)(vii). The examples identify a transaction that is not subject to the rule due to the application of the safe harbor, and three transactions that are subject to the rule, including transfers of property between CFCs that have different taxable years, and an acquisition of property by a tested income CFC from a tested loss CFC, which cannot have QBAI pursuant to §1.951A-3(b) and (c)(1).

The final regulations do not adopt the comments requesting a *de minimis* or ordinary course transaction exception. The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that these types of exceptions are unnecessary due to the narrowed and refined scope of the rule in the final regulations, including as a result of converting the 12-month *per se* rule into a rebuttable

presumption, adding the safe harbor, and illustrating certain transactions that are targeted by the rule through new examples. Moreover, because the rule is limited to the temporary holding of depreciable property used in a CFC's trade or business (that is, specified tangible property), the Treasury Department and the IRS do not anticipate that many such assets will be acquired and disposed of in the "ordinary course" of a CFC's business, however that standard is defined.

Finally, the final regulations do not adopt the comment requesting an exception for acquisitions of property that result in effectively connected income or subpart F income to the transferor. The Treasury Department and the IRS have concluded that, unlike the rule that addresses disqualified basis in §1.951A-2(c)(5) and §1.951A-3(h)(2), the treatment of gain recognized by the transferor (if any) is not relevant for purposes of determining whether it is appropriate to take into account specified tangible property held temporarily for purposes of determining QBAI. Nothing in section 951A(d)(4) or the legislative history suggests that transfers of property that result in income or gain that is subject to U.S. tax should be exempt from the rule. Indeed, the policy concern underlying this rule – the temporary holding of specified tangible property with a principal purpose of increasing the DTIR of a U.S. shareholder – is present regardless of whether the basis in the specified tangible property reflects gain that is subject to U.S. tax.

G. Determination of disqualified basis

The determination of disqualified basis is relevant for purposes of both the rule in §1.951A-2(c)(5) (allocating deductions attributable to disqualified basis to residual CFC gross income) and the rule in §1.951A-3(h)(2) (disregarding disqualified basis for purposes of calculating QBAI). This part V.G of the Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions related to the computation of disqualified basis both for purposes of §1.951A-2(c)(5) and §1.951A-3(h)(2). For other comments and revisions related to the computation of disqualified basis discussed in the context of the application of §1.951A-2(c)

(5), see part IV.E.3 and 4 of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section.

As described in part IV.E.1 of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section, the proposed regulations define "disqualified basis" in property as the excess of the property's adjusted basis immediately after a disqualified transfer, over the sum of the property's adjusted basis immediately before the disqualified transfer and the qualified gain amount with respect to the disqualified transfer. See proposed §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(A). In addition, the proposed regulations define "disqualified transfer" as a transfer of property by a transferor CFC during a transferor CFC's disqualified period to a related person in which gain was recognized, in whole or in part. See proposed §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(C). One comment recommended that the definition of disqualified transfer not be expanded to include transfers of property to unrelated persons. The final regulations do not modify the definition of disqualified transfer, and therefore the term continues to be limited to transfers of property by a CFC to a related person. See §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(C)(2).

A comment noted that the proposed regulations do not explain whether the computation of disqualified basis in property takes into account basis adjustments under section 743(b) or section 734(b) allocated to that property under section 755 during the disqualified period. The final regulations clarify that adjustments under sections 732(d), 734(b), and 743(b) can create, increase, or reduce disqualified basis in property. See §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(A) and (B).

The proposed regulations provide that disqualified basis may be reduced or eliminated through depreciation, amortization, sales or exchanges, section 362(e), and other methods. See proposed §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(A). The final regulations clarify the circumstances under which disqualified basis is reduced. Specifically, the final regulations provide that disqualified basis in property is reduced to the extent that a deduction or loss attributable to the disqualified basis in the property is taken into account in reducing gross income, including any deduction or loss allocated to residual CFC gross income by

reason of the rule in §1.951A-2(c)(5). See §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(1)(i).

The proposed regulations provide that, if the adjusted basis in property with disqualified basis and adjusted basis other than disqualified basis is reduced or eliminated, then the disqualified basis in the property is reduced or eliminated in the same proportion that the disqualified basis bears to the total adjusted basis in the property. See proposed §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(A). The final regulations adopt this rule without substantial modification, except that the final regulations provide a special rule where a loss is recognized on a taxable sale or exchange. See §§1.951A-2(c)(5)(ii) and 1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(1)(i). In the case of a loss recognized on a taxable sale or exchange of the property, the loss is treated as attributable to disqualified basis to the extent thereof. See *id.* Therefore, to the extent of the disqualified basis, the loss on the sale is allocated to residual CFC gross income and the disqualified basis in the property is reduced.

A comment noted that the proposed regulations do not specify when the proportion of the disqualified basis to the total adjusted basis in the property is determined for purposes of determining the reduction to disqualified basis. The comment recommended that the Treasury Department and the IRS clarify that this proportion is determined immediately after the disqualified transfer and does not change throughout the useful life of the property absent a subsequent disqualified transfer. The final regulations do not adopt this recommendation, because the proportion of disqualified basis to total adjusted basis in property can change by reason of one or more transactions subsequent to a disqualified transfer. For instance, a loss recognized on a taxable sale of property with disqualified basis and adjusted basis other than disqualified basis, which reduces disqualified basis to the extent of the loss under §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(1)(i), will have the effect of decreasing the proportion of disqualified basis to total adjusted basis. See, generally, 1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B) and this part V.G of the Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions for additional adjustments to disqualified basis.

A comment recommended that the Treasury Department and the IRS clarify

that depreciation or amortization that is disregarded for purposes of determining tested income or tested loss under proposed §1.951A-2(c)(5) nonetheless reduces the adjusted basis in the property. The final regulations do not disregard a deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis, but rather allocate and apportion such deduction or loss to residual CFC gross income. Depreciation or amortization that is allocated and apportioned to residual CFC gross income continues to reduce the adjusted basis in the property in accordance with section 1016(a)(2). Accordingly, clarification that any depreciation or amortization attributable to disqualified basis in property reduces adjusted basis in the property is unnecessary.

Disqualified basis in property is generally an attribute specific to the property itself, rather than an attribute of a CFC or a U.S. shareholder with respect to the property. The final regulations, however, provide rules to treat basis in other property as disqualified basis if such basis was determined, in whole or in part, by reference to the basis in property with disqualified basis. See §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(2). These rules are intended to prevent taxpayers from eliminating disqualified basis in nonrecognition transactions that would otherwise have the effect of granting taxpayers the benefit of the disqualified basis. This could occur, for example, if property with disqualified basis is transferred in a nonrecognition transaction, such as a like-kind exchange under section 1031, in exchange for other depreciable property. In that case, a portion of the basis in the newly acquired property is treated as disqualified basis. Also, disqualified basis may be duplicated through certain nonrecognition transactions. For example, if property with disqualified basis is transferred in a section 351 exchange, both the stock received by the transferor and the property received by the transferee will have disqualified basis, in each case determined by reference to the disqualified basis in the property in the hands of the transferor immediately before the transaction. See §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(2)(ii). The final regulations also provide that basis arising from other transactions, such as distributions of property from a partnership to a partner, can create disqualified basis in property to the extent the transaction has the effect of shifting

disqualified basis from one property to another. See §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(2)(i). This might occur, for example, if low-basis property is distributed in liquidation of a high-basis partner under section 732(b) resulting in a decrease to disqualified basis in other partnership property under section 734(b)(2)(B). See §1.951A-3(h)(2)(iii)(D) *Example 4*.

The final regulations also clarify how disqualified basis is disregarded under §1.951A-3(h)(2)(i) in the case of dual use property and partnership specified tangible property for purposes of determining QBAI and partnership QBAI, respectively. The portion of the adjusted basis in dual use property with disqualified basis that is taken into account for determining QBAI is the average adjusted basis in the property, multiplied by the dual use ratio, and then reduced by the disqualified basis in the property. See §1.951A-3(h)(2)(i)(B); see also §1.951A-3(d)(4) *Example*. For purposes of determining partnership QBAI, a CFC's partner adjusted basis with respect to partnership specified tangible property with disqualified basis is first determined under the general rules of §1.951A-3(g)(3)(i) and then reduced by the partner's share of the disqualified basis in the property. See §1.951A-3(h)(2)(i)(C). In either case, the allocation and apportionment rules of §1.951A-2(c)(5) are not taken into account for purposes of applying the dual use ratio and the proportionate share ratio to determine the amount of the adjusted basis in property that is reduced by the disqualified basis. See §1.951A-3(h)(2)(i)(B) and (C).

The Treasury Department and the IRS request comments on the application of the rules that reduce or increase disqualified basis including, for example, how the rules should apply in an exchange under section 1031 where property with disqualified basis is exchanged for property with no disqualified basis.

VI. Comments and Revisions to Proposed §1.951A-4 – Tested Interest Expense and Tested Interest Income

A. Determination of specified interest expense under netting approach

Section 951A(b)(2)(B) reduces net DTIR of a U.S. shareholder by interest

expense that reduces tested income (or increases tested loss) for the taxable year of the shareholder to the extent the interest income attributable to such expense is not taken into account in determining such shareholder's net CFC tested income. The proposed regulations adopt a netting approach to determine the amount of interest expense of a U.S. shareholder described in section 951A(b)(2)(B) ("specified interest expense"), defining such amount as the excess of such shareholder's pro rata share of "tested interest expense" of each CFC over its pro rata share of "tested interest income" of each CFC. See proposed §1.951A-1(c)(3)(iii).

Several comments agreed with the adoption of the netting approach, principally on the grounds of administrability and policy. However, one comment noted that the netting approach for determining specified interest expense is potentially more favorable to taxpayers than permitted by the statute because it provides that specified interest expense is reduced by all interest income included in the tested income of the U.S. shareholder (subject to certain exceptions), even if earned from unrelated parties.

The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that the netting approach appropriately balances administrability concerns with the purpose and language of section 951A(b)(2)(B). As discussed in the preamble to the proposed regulations, the netting approach avoids the complexity related to a tracing approach, under which a U.S. shareholder's pro rata share of each item of interest expense of a CFC would have to be matched to the shareholder's pro rata share of the interest income attributable to such interest expense received by a CFC. Furthermore, the amount of specified interest expense should, in most cases, be the same whether determined under a netting approach or under a tracing approach. In this regard, while the netting approach does not require a factual link between the interest income and interest expense, only interest income included in gross tested income, other than income included by reason of section 954(h) or (i) (that is, "qualified interest income"), is taken into account for this purpose. Because interest income is generally FPHCI under section 954(c)(1)(A) and qualified interest income is not

taken into account under the netting approach, interest income taken into account under the netting approach is generally limited to interest income that is excluded from subpart F income by reason of section 954(c)(3) or (6). Furthermore, because the exceptions under section 954(c)(3) and (6) apply only to interest income paid or accrued by related party foreign corporations, both the interest income excluded by reason of section 954(c)(3) or (6) and the interest expense attributable to such interest income will generally be taken into account in determining the net CFC tested income of either the same U.S. shareholder or a related U.S. shareholder. Accordingly, the final regulations retain the netting approach for determining specified interest expense, with certain modifications described in part VI.B through D of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section. See §1.951A-1(c)(3)(iii).

B. Definition of tested interest expense and tested interest income

For purposes of determining specified interest expense, "tested interest expense" is defined in the proposed regulations as interest expense paid or accrued by a CFC that is taken into account in determining the tested income or tested loss of the CFC, reduced by the qualified interest expense of the CFC. See proposed §1.951A-4(b)(1)(i). For this purpose, "interest expense" is defined as any expense or loss treated as interest expense under the Code or regulations, and any other expense or loss incurred in a transaction or series of integrated or related transactions in which the use of funds is secured for a period of time if such expense or loss is predominantly incurred in consideration of the time value of money. See proposed §1.951A-4(b)(1)(ii). The proposed regulations include similar definitions for "tested interest income" and "interest income." See proposed §1.951A-4(b)(2)(i) and (ii).

One comment asserted that the concepts of "predominantly incurred in consideration of the time value of money" and "predominantly derived from consideration of the time value of money" are new and unclear, and lack analogies in other authorities. The comment also stated that this new standard is further compli-

cated by references to "a transaction or series of integrated or related transactions." Other comments asserted that creating a new standard for interest expense and interest income specifically for specified interest expense would result in additional confusion and complexity. Another comment questioned the inclusion of interest equivalents in the definition of interest in the proposed regulations and noted that, because the definition covers both interest income and interest expense, there is a particular risk of whipsaw to the government unless the authority for the regulations is clear. Some comments recommended that the final regulations replace the definitions of interest expense and interest income in the proposed regulations with references to interest expense or interest income under any provision of the Code or regulations, or as a consequence of issuing or holding an instrument that is treated as indebtedness for Federal income tax purposes, such as instruments characterized as indebtedness under judicial factors or administrative guidance, or payments "equivalent to interest."

The Treasury Department and the IRS did not intend to create a new standard of interest solely for purposes of determining specified interest expense. In this regard, the reduction of net DTIR by specified interest expense under section 951A(b)(2)(B) and the limitation on business interest under section 163(j) are meant to achieve similar policy goals, namely preventing certain interest expense in excess of interest income from being taken into account in determining taxable income. Further, because the amount of interest expense subject to each of these provisions is determined, in part, by reference to interest income received, each of these provisions need clear and consistent definitions of both interest expense and interest income, including when and to what extent transactions that result in a financing from an economic perspective may be treated as generating interest expense and interest income. Finally, the relevant terms used in each provision – "interest expense" and "interest income" in section 951A(b)(2)(B) and "business interest" and "business interest income" in section 163(j) – do not differ meaningfully in their respective contexts and therefore do not necessitate different definitions. As a result of the

foregoing, and in order to reduce administrative complexity, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that taxpayers and the government would benefit from the application of a single definition of interest for both section 951A(b)(2)(B) and section 163(j) (rather than the application of two partially overlapping, but ultimately different standards). Accordingly, the final regulations define “interest expense” and “interest income” by reference to the definition of interest expense and interest income under section 163(j). See §1.951A-4(b)(1)(ii) and (2)(ii).

The regulations under section 163(j), when finalized, will address comments on the validity of the definition of interest expense and interest income that are used in those regulations. Because the final regulations adopt this definition for purposes of determining specified interest expense, the discussion in the regulations under section 163(j) will, by extension, address the validity of the definitions as used in these final regulations.

Finally, the definition of tested interest expense is revised in the final regulations to mean interest expense that is “allocated and apportioned to gross tested income” of a CFC under §1.951A-2(c)(3). See §1.951A-4(b)(1)(i). This revision does not reflect a substantive change to the definition in the proposed regulations – interest expense “taken into account in determining the tested income or tested loss” – but rather is intended to more clearly articulate that definition.

C. Determination of qualified interest expense and qualified interest income

The proposed regulations provide that, for purposes of determining the specified interest expense of a U.S. shareholder, the tested interest expense and tested interest income of a “qualified CFC” are reduced by its “qualified interest expense” and “qualified interest income,” respectively. See proposed §1.951A-4(b)(1) and (2). The reduction for qualified interest expense and qualified interest income is intended to neutralize the effect of interest expense and interest income attributable to the active conduct of a financing or insurance business on a U.S. shareholder’s net DTIR. For example, absent the rule for

qualified interest expense, the third-party interest expense of a captive finance company – to the extent its interest expense exceeds its interest income – could inappropriately increase specified interest expense (and thus reduce the net DTIR) of its U.S. shareholder. Alternatively, under a netting approach to calculating specified interest expense, the third-party interest income of a captive finance company – to the extent its interest income exceeds interest expense – could inappropriately reduce the specified interest expense (and thus increase the net DTIR) of its U.S. shareholder.

For purposes of these rules, the proposed regulations define a “qualified CFC” as an eligible controlled foreign corporation (within the meaning of section 954(h)(2)) or a qualifying insurance company (within the meaning of section 953(e)(3)). See proposed §1.951A-4(b)(1)(iv). Further, “qualified interest income” is defined as interest income included in the gross tested income of the qualified CFC that is excluded from FPHCI by reason of section 954(h) or (i). See proposed §1.951A-4(b)(2)(iii). The proposed regulations define “qualified interest expense” as the portion of the interest expense of a qualified CFC, which portion is determined based on a two-step approach. First, a qualified CFC’s interest expense is multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is the CFC’s average basis in assets which give rise to income excluded from FPHCI by reason of section 954(h) or (i), and the denominator is the CFC’s average basis in all its assets. See proposed §1.951A-4(b)(1)(iii)(A). Second, the product of the first step is reduced by the interest income of the qualified CFC that is excluded from FPHCI by reason of section 954(c)(3) or (6). See proposed §1.951A-4(b)(1)(iii)(B). This two-step approach effectively treats all interest expense of a qualified CFC as attributable ratably to the assets of the qualified CFC that give rise to income excluded from FPHCI by reason of section 954(h) and (i), but then traces such interest expense, after attribution to such assets, to any interest income received from related CFCs to the extent thereof.

A comment indicated that the two-step approach in the proposed regulations can understate the amount of qualified interest

expense. Specifically, the comment noted that the proposed regulations include related party receivables in the denominator of the fraction under the first step, thus diluting the fraction and resulting in less qualified interest expense, and then interest income from such receivables further reduce qualified interest expense dollar-for-dollar under the second step. The comment recommended that, to avoid double counting, related party receivables should be excluded from the fraction in the first step.

The Treasury Department and the IRS agree with the comment that, under the two-step approach to the proposed regulations, related party receivables are effectively double-counted, and therefore the final regulations eliminate the second step reduction for interest income included in the gross tested income of a qualified CFC that is excluded from FPHCI by reason of section 954(c)(3) or (6). See §1.951A-4(b)(1)(iii)(A). This revision ensures that a related party receivable is not double-counted in the determination of qualified interest expense, and thus qualified interest expense as calculated under the final regulations more accurately reflects the interest expense incurred to earn income earned from unrelated parties in an active financing or insurance business. Further, the Treasury Department and the IRS preferred the elimination of the second step reduction for resolving the double-counting issue, rather than the recommended alternative of excluding related party receivables from the fraction in the first step, because the elimination of an additional step substantially simplifies the calculation of qualified interest expense.

In addition, with regard to the effect of related party receivables on the computation of qualified interest expense, the final regulations clarify that a receivable that gives rise to income that is excludible from FPHCI by reason of section 954(c)(3) or (6) is excluded from the numerator of the fraction (that is, the receivable is not a “qualified asset” within the meaning of §1.951A-4(b)(1)(iii)(B), a new term in the final regulations), notwithstanding that such receivable may also give rise to income excluded from FPHCI by reason of section 954(h) or (i). See §1.951A-4(b)(1)(iii)(B)(2). Similarly, the final regulations clarify that interest income that is exclu-

dible from FPHCI by reason of section 954(c)(3) or (6) is excluded from qualified interest income, notwithstanding that such income may also be excluded from FPHCI by reason of section 954(h) or (i). See §1.951A-4(b)(2)(iii)(B). These clarifications ensure that the computation of qualified interest income and qualified interest expense is determined by reference only to interest expense and interest income attributable to a CFC's active conduct of a financing or insurance business with unrelated persons.

A comment recommended that, for purposes of determining the amount of qualified interest expense of a CFC, instruments or obligations that give rise to interest income derived by active securities and derivatives dealers that is excluded from FPHCI under section 954(c)(2)(C) should also be included in the numerator for calculating qualified interest expense. The final regulations adopt this recommendation by including such instruments or obligations in the definition of qualified assets. See §1.951A-4(b)(1)(iii)(B)(7). Similarly, interest income excluded from FPHCI under section 954(c)(2)(C) is included in the definition of qualified interest income. See §1.951A-4(b)(2)(iii)(A).

A comment suggested that the benefit to some U.S. shareholders from the exclusion for qualified interest expense may not justify the difficulty and expense to determine the amount excluded. Therefore, the comment recommended that the final regulations provide taxpayers the ability to either establish the amount of their qualified interest expense or, alternatively, to assume that none of their interest expense constitutes qualified interest expense. The Treasury Department and the IRS agree that taxpayers should not be required to reduce their CFCs' tested interest expense by their CFCs' qualified interest expense if the taxpayer determines that the value of such reduction is outweighed by the cost of compliance. Accordingly, the final regulations provide that a CFC's qualified interest expense is taken into account only to the extent established by the CFC. See §1.951A-4(b)(1)(iii)(A). Thus, if a CFC does not establish an amount of qualified interest expense, the taxpayer can assume that none of the CFC's interest expense is qualified interest expense. However,

regardless of whether a CFC avails itself of the reduction for qualified interest expense, the exclusion for qualified interest income is mandatory. See §1.951A-4(b)(2)(iii)(A).

A comment recommended an exception from the qualified interest rules for a CFC that is a qualified insurance company under section 954(i), or in the alternative, an exception from the qualified interest rules for any CFC that is part of a financial services group defined in section 904(d)(2)(C)(ii), with the result that all interest income and interest expense of such CFCs would be tested interest income and tested interest expense taken into account in determining a U.S. shareholder's specified interest expense. The comment speculated that the qualified interest rules may have been crafted to address a CFC involved in a financial services business that was not a member of a business group primarily engaged in a financial services business. The Treasury Department and the IRS decline to adopt this recommendation. The qualified interest rules are intended to neutralize the effect of an active finance business or an active business of a CFC on the specified interest expense (and thus net DTIR) of its U.S. shareholder, irrespective of whether the CFC is a member of a business group primarily engaged in such activities. In contrast, the recommended exception would permit interest income from an active finance business or active insurance business in excess of the associated interest expense to net against other interest expense in the computation of specified interest expense.

The same comment also explained that some foreign financial service groups borrow externally through a holding company to fund their qualifying insurance company subsidiaries that earn qualified interest income. The comment noted that the proposed regulations create a mismatch between the treatment of the interest income of the subsidiaries, which is qualified interest income of a qualified CFC and thus not taken into account in calculating specified interest expense, and the interest expense of the holding company, which is not qualified interest expense of a qualified CFC and thus is taken into account in calculating specified interest expense. To address this mismatch, the final regulations eliminate the term "qualified

CFC." Therefore, if a holding company that is not engaged in an active financing or insurance business borrows to fund the activities of subsidiaries that are engaged in an active financing or insurance business, the interest expense of the holding company may constitute qualified interest expense and thus be disregarded in determining specified interest expense. In this regard, the final regulations retain the rule that the adjusted basis in stock of a subsidiary is treated as basis in a qualified asset to the extent that the assets of the subsidiary are qualified assets. See §1.951A-4(b)(1)(iii)(B)(3). In addition, the final regulations provide a new rule that treats a CFC that owns 25 percent or more of the capital or profits interest in a partnership as owning its attributable share of any property held by the partnership, as determined under the principles of §1.956-4(b). See §1.951A-4(b)(1)(iii)(B)(4). Therefore, under the final regulations, whether, and to what extent, the interest expense of a CFC is qualified interest expense depends entirely on the nature of the assets it holds directly and indirectly, and not on whether the CFC itself is engaged in an active financing or insurance business.

Finally, the definition of qualified interest expense in the proposed regulations includes a parenthetical that indicates that the fraction for determining qualified interest expense cannot exceed one. See proposed §1.951A-4(b)(1)(iii). The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that, because the numerator (average basis in qualified assets) is a subset of the denominator (average basis in all assets), this fraction can never exceed one, even without regard to the parenthetical. Therefore, the final regulations eliminate the parenthetical in the definition of qualified interest expense as surplusage. See §1.951A-4(b)(1)(iii)(A).

D. Interest expense paid or accrued by a tested loss CFC

Under the proposed regulations, tested interest expense includes interest expense paid or accrued by a tested loss CFC, notwithstanding that the proposed regulations provide that a tested loss CFC has no QBAI. See proposed §1.951A-3(b) and §1.951A-4(b)(1). As discussed in part V.A of this Summary of Comments and Expla-

nation of Revisions section, the final regulations continue to provide that a tested loss CFC has no QBAI. See §1.951A-3(b). Comments recommended that, if the rule excluding the QBAI of a tested loss CFC were retained, the final regulations should also exclude all interest expense of a tested loss CFC from the calculation of tested interest expense. Comments asserted that exempting interest expense of tested loss CFCs from the calculation of specified interest expense, in conjunction with the exclusion of the QBAI of tested loss CFCs, would produce appropriate results, though one comment acknowledged that such a rule might need to be accompanied by an anti-abuse rule. One comment asserted that excluding interest expense of a tested loss CFC would be appropriate under section 951A(b)(2)(B), because that subparagraph refers only to interest expense “taken into account under subsection (c) (2)(A)(ii),” which, according to the comment, describes only deductions taken into account in determining tested income. Another comment recommended that, rather than excluding all the interest expense of a tested loss CFC, the final regulations should exclude the interest expense incurred to fund acquisitions of tangible property held by the tested loss CFC. The comments suggested that including interest expense of a tested loss CFC (or incurred to acquire tangible property of the tested loss CFC), which reduces net DTIR of a U.S. shareholder, while excluding the QBAI of a tested loss CFC, which increases the net DTIR of a U.S. shareholder, results in unfair and asymmetrical treatment of tested loss CFCs.

The final regulations do not adopt the recommendation to exclude all interest expense of a tested loss CFC, because such exclusion would be inconsistent with the text of section 951A(d)(2)(A) and footnote 1563 of the Conference Report and could create an incentive to inappropriately shift interest expense to a tested loss CFC in order to avoid reducing a U.S. shareholder’s net DTIR. The reference to section 951A(c)(2)(A)(ii) in section 951A(b)(2)(B) encompasses all deductions properly allocable to gross tested income, including deductions taken into account in determining tested loss. See section 951A(c)(2)(B)(i) (defining tested loss as the excess of deduction described in section 951A(c)(2)

(A)(ii) over gross tested income described in section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)).

However, in response to the comments, the final regulations reduce a tested loss CFC’s tested interest expense by its tested loss QBAI amount, an amount equal to 10 percent of the QBAI that the tested loss CFC would have had if it were instead a tested income CFC. See §1.951A-4(b)(1)(i) and (iv) and (c) *Example 5*. This rule has the effect of not taking into account the tested interest expense of a tested loss CFC to the extent that such tested interest expense is less than or equal to a notional 10 percent return on the tested loss CFC’s tangible assets that are used in the production of gross tested income.

E. Interest expense paid or accrued to a U.S. shareholder

As discussed in part VI.A of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section, the proposed regulations adopt a netting approach with the result that specified interest expense is the excess of a U.S. shareholder’s pro rata share of tested interest expense of each CFC over its pro rata share of tested interest income of each CFC. See proposed §1.951A-1(c)(3)(ii). Several comments recommended that the final regulations exclude interest expense paid by a CFC to a U.S. shareholder or a related U.S. person from the definition of tested interest expense. One comment recommended that this exclusion be applied to a payment of interest to any U.S. person, whereas two comments suggested that this exclusion also apply to interest expense to the extent the related interest income is subject to U.S. tax as effectively connected income or subpart F income. These comments asserted that interest expense should not generally increase specified interest expense to the extent that the related interest income is subject to U.S. tax at the regular statutory rate, at least in the hands of a U.S. shareholder or related person. According to these comments, excluding interest expense under these circumstances would be consistent with the policy of section 951A(b)(2)(B), which does not reduce a U.S. shareholder’s net DTIR for a CFC’s interest expense to the extent that the related income increases the U.S. shareholder’s net CFC tested income.

The final regulations do not adopt these recommendations. Section 951A(b)(2)(B) generally reduces net DTIR of a U.S. shareholder by the full amount of its pro rata share of the interest expense of a CFC, but then provides a limited exception for the CFC’s interest expense to the extent the related interest income is taken into account in determining the net CFC tested income of the U.S. shareholder. In effect, the rule generally reduces net DTIR of a U.S. shareholder by its pro rata share of the net external interest expense incurred by its CFCs. Thus, borrowing between commonly-owned CFCs generally does not reduce net DTIR, whereas external borrowing generally does. The statute does not provide a similar exception for any payment of interest to the extent the related interest income is subject to U.S. tax, nor is there any indication in the legislative history of the Act that Congress intended that the Treasury Department and the IRS should provide such an exception. Further, an exception for interest paid to U.S. persons could permit taxpayers to circumvent section 951A(b)(2)(B) by borrowing externally at the U.S. shareholder level and then on-lending the borrowed funds to CFCs. In this case, the borrowing by the U.S. shareholder would not reduce net DTIR, notwithstanding that the borrowing is factually traceable to the acquisition by the CFC of specified tangible property and net DTIR would have been reduced if instead the CFC had borrowed directly from the third party.

VII. Comments and Revisions to Proposed §1.951A-5 – Domestic Partnerships and Their Partners

A. Proposed hybrid approach

The proposed regulations provide that, in general, a domestic partnership that is a U.S. shareholder (“U.S. shareholder partnership”) of a CFC (“partnership CFC”) determines a GILTI inclusion amount, and partners of the partnership that are not also U.S. shareholders of the partnership CFC take into account their distributive share of the partnership’s GILTI inclusion amount. See proposed §1.951A-5(b). Partners that are U.S. shareholders of a partnership CFC (“U.S. shareholder partners”), however, do not take into account

their distributive share of the partnership's GILTI inclusion amount to the extent determined by reference to the partnership CFC but instead are treated as proportionately owning the stock of the partnership CFC within the meaning of section 958(a) as if the domestic partnership were an aggregate of its partners. To accomplish this result, the proposed regulations, with respect to U.S. shareholder partners, treat the domestic partnership in the same manner as a foreign partnership, which is treated as an aggregate of its partners under section 958(a)(2). As a result, a U.S. shareholder partner determines its GILTI inclusion amount taking into account its pro rata share of any tested item of the partnership CFC. If the U.S. shareholder partnership holds other partnership CFCs in which the partner is not a U.S. shareholder, then a separate GILTI computation is made at the partnership level with respect to such partnership CFCs' tested items, and the partner includes its distributive share of this separately determined GILTI inclusion amount as well. See proposed §1.951A-5(c). This hybrid approach ("proposed hybrid approach") of treating a domestic partnership as an entity with respect to partners that are not U.S. shareholders, but as an aggregate of its partners with respect to partners that are U.S. shareholders, is intended to balance the policies underlying GILTI with the relevant statutory provisions. In particular, a domestic partnership is a U.S. person under sections 957(c) and 7701(a)(30) and thus a U.S. shareholder under section 951(b), which suggests that a domestic partnership should generally be treated as an entity for purposes of subpart F. On the other hand, if a domestic partnership were treated strictly as an entity for purposes of section 951A, a domestic partnership with a GILTI inclusion amount would be ineligible for foreign tax credits under section 960(d) or a deduction under section 250 with respect to its GILTI inclusion amount.

In the proposed regulations, the Treasury Department and the IRS rejected an approach that would treat a domestic partnership as an entity with respect to all its partners ("pure entity approach") for purposes of section 951A, because treating a domestic partnership as the section 958(a) owner of stock in all cases would frustrate

the GILTI framework by creating unintended planning opportunities for well-advised taxpayers and traps for the unwary. However, the Treasury Department and the IRS also did not adopt an approach that would treat a domestic partnership as an aggregate with respect to all its partners ("pure aggregate approach") for purposes of GILTI, because such an approach would be inconsistent with the treatment of domestic partnerships as entities for purposes of subpart F.

B. Comments on proposed hybrid approach

Two comments were received on the treatment of domestic partnerships and their partners under the proposed regulations. These comments raised concerns regarding the procedural and computational complexity of the proposed hybrid approach. The comments highlighted the difficulty that some partnerships would have in determining whether and to what extent its partners are U.S. shareholder partners of partnership CFCs in order to determine whether and with respect to which partnership CFCs to calculate a partnership-level GILTI inclusion amount for each of its partners. In this regard, a partner of a U.S. shareholder partnership may itself be a U.S. shareholder of one or more partnership CFCs, but not a U.S. shareholder of one or more others. According to the comments, the proposed hybrid approach also raises administrability concerns under the centralized partnership audit regime enacted by section 1101 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2015, Public Law 114-74 (BBA) as some determinations are made at the partnership level and others at the partner level.

The comments also raised concerns that the determination of a GILTI inclusion amount at the partnership level and the disparate treatment of U.S. shareholder partners and non-U.S. shareholder partners under the proposed hybrid approach leads to uncertainty regarding the application of sections 959 and 961 (regarding PTEP and corresponding basis adjustments) with respect to domestic partnerships and partnership CFCs, basis adjustments with respect to partnership interests and partnership CFCs, and capital accounts determined and maintained

in accordance with §1.704-1(b)(2). For instance, there are no rules in the proposed regulations regarding whether and to what extent a U.S. shareholder partner's capital account in a partnership is adjusted when the U.S. shareholder partner computes its GILTI inclusion amount based on its pro rata shares of tested items of partnership CFCs. The comments noted that if the capital account of a U.S. shareholder partner is not adjusted for its pro rata shares of tested items of a partnership CFC, then the economic arrangement between the U.S. shareholder partner and other partners could be distorted.

Neither comment recommended a pure entity approach as its primary recommendation. One comment supported a pure entity approach over the proposed hybrid approach, although it recommended a pure entity approach only if a pure aggregate approach were not adopted. Another comment recommended that the pure entity approach not be adopted in any case. Both comments noted that the pure entity approach would avoid the complexities inherent in the proposed hybrid approach and conform the treatment of domestic partnerships for GILTI purposes with the treatment under subpart F before the enactment of section 951A. However, the comments noted that a pure entity approach is inconsistent with the purpose of section 951A, which is to compute a single GILTI inclusion amount for a taxpayer by reference to the items of all the taxpayer's CFCs. The comments agreed that the preamble to the proposed regulations articulated valid policy reasons for rejecting the pure entity approach, namely, that such approach presents both an inappropriate planning opportunity as well as a trap for the unwary.

Both comments primarily recommended a pure aggregate approach. Under a pure aggregate approach, a domestic partnership would not have a GILTI inclusion amount, and thus no partner of the partnership would have a distributive share of such amount. Rather, for purposes of determining the partner's GILTI inclusion amount, a partner would be treated as owning directly the stock of CFCs owned by a domestic partnership for purposes of determining its own GILTI inclusion amount. Thus, under a pure aggregate approach, unlike under the

proposed hybrid approach or a pure entity approach, a partner that is not a U.S. shareholder of a partnership CFC would not have a pro rata share of the partnership CFC's tested items or a distributive share of a GILTI inclusion amount of the partnership. According to comments, a pure aggregate approach would reduce complexities inherent in the proposed hybrid approach in terms of administration and compliance. A pure aggregate approach would also avoid the disparate and arbitrary effects of a pure entity approach, under which a U.S. shareholder's GILTI inclusion amount may vary significantly depending on whether it owns CFCs through a domestic partnership as opposed to directly or through a foreign partnership. The comments acknowledged that while domestic partnerships have historically been treated as entities for purposes of subpart F, the enactment of section 951A and its reliance on shareholder-level calculations justifies a re-consideration of this approach.

One comment recommended that the pure aggregate approach apply also to the determination of whether a foreign corporation owned by a domestic partnership is a CFC. Under this approach, a domestic partnership would also be treated as a foreign partnership for purposes of determining whether a domestic partnership is a U.S. shareholder of a foreign corporation and therefore whether the foreign corporation is owned in the aggregate more than 50 percent (by voting power or value) by U.S. shareholders. The same comment suggested that if this approach were not adopted, the final regulations should either adopt the proposed hybrid approach or an aggregate approach that would require even non-U.S. shareholder partners to take into account their pro rata shares of tested items of CFCs owned by a domestic partnership. This approach, in contrast to the pure entity approach and the proposed hybrid approach, would permit a partner that is not a U.S. shareholder with respect to a partnership CFC to nonetheless aggregate its pro rata shares of the tested items of such partnership CFC with its pro rata shares of the tested items of any non-partnership CFCs with respect to which the partner is a U.S. shareholder for purposes of determining a single GILTI inclusion amount for the partner.

The other comment recommended that if the pure aggregate approach or the pure entity approach were not adopted, the final regulations adopt an approach under which a domestic partnership would be treated as an entity for purposes of determining its GILTI inclusion amount and each partner's distributive share of such amount, but then each partner's overall GILTI inclusion amount would be adjusted by its separately-computed GILTI inclusion amount with respect to non-partnership CFCs of the partner. This adjustment would be positive to the extent of the partner's net CFC tested income with respect to CFCs owned outside a domestic partnership, but it could be negative if the partner had a "net CFC tested loss" (that is, aggregate pro rata shares of tested loss in excess of aggregate pro rata share of tested income) with respect to such CFCs.

C. Adoption of aggregate treatment for purposes of determining GILTI inclusion amounts

After consideration of the comments received, the Treasury Department and the IRS have decided not to adopt the proposed hybrid approach in the final regulations. Instead, the final regulations adopt an approach that treats a domestic partnership as an aggregate for purposes of determining the level (that is, partnership or partner) at which a GILTI inclusion amount is calculated and taken into gross income. Specifically, the final regulations provide that, in general, for purposes of section 951A and the section 951A regulations, and for purposes of any other provision that applies by reference to section 951A or the section 951A regulations (for instance, sections 959, 960, and 961), a domestic partnership is not treated as owning stock of a foreign corporation within the meaning of section 958(a). See §1.951A-1(e)(1). Rather, the partners of a domestic partnership are treated as owning proportionately the stock of CFCs owned by the partnership in the same manner as if the partnership were a foreign partnership under section 958(a)(2). See *id.* Because a domestic partnership is not treated as owning section 958(a) stock for purposes of section 951A, a domestic partnership

does not have a GILTI inclusion amount and thus no partner of the partnership has a distributive share of a GILTI inclusion amount. Furthermore, because only a U.S. shareholder can have a pro rata share of a tested item of a CFC under section 951A(e)(1) and §1.951A-1(d), a partner that is not a U.S. shareholder of a CFC owned by the partnership does not have a pro rata share of any tested item of the CFC. For the reasons discussed in this part VII.C of the Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that this approach best reconciles the relevant statutory provisions, the policies underlying GILTI, and the administrative and compliance concerns raised by the comments.

Since the enactment of subpart F, domestic partnerships have generally been treated as entities, rather than as aggregates of their partners, for purposes of determining whether a foreign corporation is a CFC. See §1.701-2(f) *Example 3* (concluding that a domestic partnership that wholly owns a foreign corporation is treated as an entity and the U.S. shareholder of the foreign corporation, and that the foreign corporation is a CFC for section 904 purposes). In addition, domestic partnerships have generally been treated as entities for purposes of determining the U.S. shareholder that has the subpart F inclusion with respect to such foreign corporation. But cf. §§1.951-1(h) and 1.965-1(e) (treating certain domestic partnerships owned by CFCs as foreign partnerships for purposes of determining the U.S. shareholder that has a subpart F inclusion with respect to CFCs owned by such domestic partnerships).

The GILTI rules employ the basic subpart F architecture in several regards, such as for purposes of determining a U.S. shareholder's pro rata share of tested items. See section 951A(e)(1). Nevertheless, there is no indication that Congress intended to incorporate the historical treatment of domestic partnerships under subpart F into the GILTI regime, particularly given that respecting a domestic partnership as the owner under section 958(a) of the stock of a CFC for purposes of GILTI would frustrate the statutory framework. In addition, no provision in the Code prescribes the treatment of do-

mestic partnerships for purposes of section 958(a) in determining GILTI.

Given the silence in the statute with respect to the treatment of domestic partnerships for purposes of GILTI, the Act's legislative history, and the overall significance of the GILTI regime with respect to the taxation of CFC earnings after the Act, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that it is an appropriate occasion to reexamine whether a domestic partnership should be treated as an entity or an aggregate in determining the owners of section 958(a) stock for purposes of sections 951 and 951A. The 1954 legislative history makes clear that this determination should be based on the policies of the provision at issue. See H.R. Rep. No. 83-2543, at 59 (1954) (Conf. Rep.). In this regard, the Act fundamentally changed the policies relating to the taxation of CFC earnings relative to those in 1962. Moreover, an aggregate approach applies if it is appropriate to carry out the purpose of a provision of the Code, unless an entity approach is specifically prescribed and clearly contemplated by the relevant statute. Cf. §1.701-2(e).

As discussed in the preamble to the proposed regulations, an aggregate approach to domestic partnerships furthers the purposes of the GILTI regime. It is consistent with the general intent of the GILTI regime to determine tax liability at the U.S. shareholder level on an aggregate basis rather than on a CFC-by-CFC basis. See Senate Explanation at 371 ("The committee believes that calculating GILTI on an aggregate basis, instead of on a CFC-by-CFC basis, reflects the interconnected nature of a U.S. corporation's global operations and is a more accurate way of determining a U.S. corporation's global intangible income."); see also House Ways and Means Committee, 115th Cong., Rep. on H.R. 1, H.R. Rep. No. 115-409, at 389 (Comm. Print 2017) ("[I]n making this measurement, the Committee recognizes the integrated nature of modern supply chains and believes it is more appropriate to look at a multinational enterprise's foreign operations on an aggregate basis, rather than by entity or by country."). A pure entity approach undermines this overall framework in two ways. First, under a pure entity approach, well-advised taxpayers might avail themselves of domestic partnerships

to segregate tested items in a manner that is inconsistent with the overall framework of section 951A. In this regard, taxpayers generally would lower their tax liability by separating through one or more domestic partnerships their CFCs with high-taxed tested income and tested interest expense from their CFCs with low-taxed tested income, QBAI, and tested losses. Second, a pure entity approach would represent a trap for an unwary taxpayer by, for example, preventing the use of the tested losses of CFCs directly held by a taxpayer to offset the tested income of CFCs held by the taxpayer through one or more domestic partnerships. This result would not occur if the domestic partnership were treated as an aggregate of its partners. In this regard, the proposal to "adjust" a partner's distributive shares of its domestic partnerships' GILTI inclusion amount by the partner's net CFC tested income and the net CFC tested loss calculated with respect to the partner's CFCs held outside the partnership would not fully address these concerns. That is, the partner would be permitted the full benefit of its aggregate pro rata share of tested losses with respect to CFCs outside the partnership, but the specified interest expense with respect to CFCs outside the partnership would be effectively segregated from the QBAI of CFCs inside the partnership (and therefore would not reduce the partner's net DTIR), and vice versa.

In addition, an aggregate approach with respect to section 958(a) furthers the policies of other provisions related to section 951A. The legislative history makes clear that Congress intended for a domestic corporate partner of a domestic partnership to obtain the benefit of a foreign tax credit under section 960(d) and a deduction under section 250 with respect to a GILTI inclusion amount. See Conference Report, at 623, fn. 1517. However, only domestic corporations (not domestic partnerships) are eligible for a foreign tax credit under section 960(d) or a deduction under section 250. Moreover, absent treating a domestic partnership as an aggregate for purposes of section 951A, a domestic corporate partner's inclusion percentage under section 960(d)(2) is determined without regard to any CFC owned by the partnership because such partner has no pro rata share of the tested income of

such CFC. See section 960(d)(2)(B) (the denominator of the inclusion percentage of a domestic corporation is the corporation's aggregate pro rata share of tested income amount under section 951A(c)(1)(A)). Therefore, a strict entity approach to section 960(d) might suggest that domestic corporate partners of a domestic partnership are ineligible for foreign tax credits with respect to a GILTI inclusion amount of the partnership. On the other hand, an aggregate approach to domestic partnerships furthers Congressional policy by treating domestic corporate partners as owning (within the meaning of section 958(a)) stock of CFCs owned by domestic partnerships and thus determining the domestic corporate partner's GILTI inclusion amount by reference to CFCs owned by the domestic partnership.

The final regulations treat a domestic partnership as an aggregate of its partners in determining section 958(a) stock ownership by providing that, for purposes of section 951A and the section 951A regulations, a domestic partnership is treated in the same manner as a foreign partnership. See §1.951A-1(e)(1). For purposes of subpart F, a foreign partnership is explicitly treated as an aggregate of its partners, and rules regarding aggregation of foreign partnerships are relatively well-developed and understood. See section 958(a)(2). Therefore, rather than developing a new standard for the treatment of domestic partnerships as an aggregate, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that it would be simpler and more administrable to incorporate the aggregate approach by reference to the rules related to foreign partnerships under section 958(a)(2).

The final regulations do not adopt the recommendation to extend the treatment of a domestic partnership as an aggregate of its partners to the determination of U.S. shareholder and CFC status. The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that an approach that treats a domestic partnership as an aggregate of its partners for purposes of determining CFC status would not be consistent with the relevant statutory provisions. A domestic partnership is a U.S. person under section 957(c) and section 7701(a)(30) and, therefore, can be a U.S. shareholder under section 951(b). Indeed, when subpart F was en-

acted in 1962, the legislative history indicated that domestic partnerships generally should be treated as U.S. shareholders. See S. Rep. No. 1881, 87th Cong., 2d Sess. 80 n.1 (1962) (“U.S. shareholders are defined in the bill as ‘U.S. persons’ with 10-percent stockholding. U.S. persons, in general, are U.S. citizens and residents and domestic corporations, partnerships and estates or trusts.”). Furthermore, sections 958(b) and 318(a)(3) treat a partnership (including a domestic partnership) as owning the stock of its partners for purposes of determining whether the foreign corporation is owned more than 50 percent by U.S. shareholders, which suggests that partnerships are treated as entities for purposes of determining ownership under section 958(b). See also sections 958(b) and 318(a)(2) (treating stock owned by a partnership, domestic or foreign, as owned proportionately by its partners).

The final regulations also do not extend aggregate treatment to the determination of the controlling domestic shareholders (as defined in §1.964-1(c)(5)) of a CFC for purposes of any election made under the section 951A regulations. See §1.951A-3(e)(3)(ii) (election to use a non-ADS depreciation method for pre-enactment property) and §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(3) (election to eliminate disqualified basis). As a result, a domestic partnership that satisfies the ownership requirements of §1.964-1(c)(5) with respect to a CFC, and not its partners, is treated as the controlling domestic shareholder of the CFC and the partnership files the relevant elections with respect to the CFC. The treatment of a domestic partnership as the controlling domestic shareholder reduces the number of persons that need to comply with the rules of §1.964-1(c)(3), and ensures that any election with respect to a CFC that could affect the tax consequences of a U.S. person that is a partner of a domestic partnership is made by such partnership. Accordingly, the final regulations provide that the aggregation rule for domestic partnerships does not apply for purposes of determining whether a U.S. person is a U.S. shareholder, whether a U.S. shareholder is a controlling domestic shareholder (as defined in §1.964-1(c)(5)), or whether a foreign corporation is a CFC. See §1.951A-1(e)(2).

The treatment of domestic partnerships as foreign partnerships in the final regulations is solely for purposes of section 951A and the section 951A regulations and for purposes of any other provision that applies by reference to a GILTI inclusion amount (such as sections 959 and 961). The rule does not affect the determination of ownership under section 958(a) for any other provision of the Code (such as section 1248(a)), nor does it change whether such partner has a distributive share of a domestic partnership’s subpart F inclusion under section 951(a). However, the Treasury Department and the IRS are proposing in a notice of proposed rulemaking published in the same issue of the **Federal Register** as these final regulations to apply a similar aggregate treatment to domestic partnerships for purposes of section 951.

Under section 1373(a), an S corporation is treated as a partnership and its shareholders as partners for purposes of subpart F, including section 951A. Therefore, for purposes of determining a GILTI inclusion amount of a shareholder of an S corporation, under §1.951A-1(e), the S corporation is not treated as owning stock of a foreign corporation within the meaning of section 958(a) but instead is treated in the same manner as a foreign partnership. The Treasury Department and the IRS are studying the application of section 1373(a) with respect to section 951A, as well as the broader implications of treating S corporations as partnerships for purposes of subpart F. Comments are requested in this regard.

Conforming changes are also made to other aspects of the final regulations to account for the aggregate treatment of domestic partnerships under §1.951A-1(e). For instance, the proposed regulations provide that, for purposes of determining whether a U.S. shareholder has a pro rata share of an accrual for purposes of sections 163(e)(3)(B)(i) and 267(a)(3)(B), a domestic partnership’s pro rata share of the accrual is taken into account only to the extent that U.S. persons include in gross income a distributive share of the domestic partnership’s GILTI inclusion amount. See proposed §1.951A-5(c)(2). This rule is no longer necessary under the final regulations because a domestic partnership does not have a GILTI inclusion amount,

and partners that are U.S. shareholders have their own pro rata shares of the accrual. Therefore, this rule is eliminated in the final regulations. See §1.951A-5(c). In addition, the partnership blocker rule is modified such that it no longer applies for purposes of section 951A. See §1.951-1(h)(1). It is no longer necessary to apply the rule for purposes of section 951A because, for such purposes, a domestic partnership is not treated as owning stock of a foreign corporation within the meaning of section 958(a).

VIII. *Comments and Revisions to Proposed §1.951A-6 – Treatment of GILTI Inclusion Amount and Adjustments to E&P and Basis Related to Tested Loss CFCs*

A. Increase of E&P by tested losses for purposes of section 952(c)(1)(A)

Section 951A(c)(2)(B)(ii) provides that section 952(c)(1)(A) is applied by increasing the E&P of a tested loss CFC by the amount of its tested loss. See also proposed §1.951A-6(d). Comments asserted that proposed §1.951A-6(d) has the effect of increasing E&P by a tested loss even if, and to the extent, the tested loss does not provide a benefit to a U.S. shareholder because its aggregate pro rata share of tested losses exceeds its aggregate pro rata share of tested income. These comments argued that this result is not appropriate because, based on the heading of section 951A(c)(2)(B)(ii) (“Coordination with subpart F to deny double benefit of losses”), the provision is limited to denying a double benefit from a tested loss (that is, a reduction in both net CFC tested income and subpart F income), and that there can be no such double benefit to the extent that the tested loss does not reduce a U.S. shareholder’s net CFC tested income. These comments recommended that proposed §1.951A-6(d) be modified such that it applies only to a tested loss to the extent the tested loss is “used” within the meaning of proposed §1.951A-6(e).

The final regulations do not adopt this recommendation. Section 951A(c)(2)(B)(ii), by its terms, increases E&P for purposes of section 952(c)(1)(A) by the amount of any tested loss. There is no indication in the provision or legisla-

tive history that limiting the application of section 951A(c)(2)(B)(ii) to a tested loss that reduces net CFC tested income would be appropriate, and the heading of the provision has no legal effect. See section 7806(b). Accordingly, the rule is adopted without modification in §1.951A-6(b).

B. Treating GILTI inclusion amounts as subpart F inclusions for purposes of the personal holding company rules

A comment requested clarification regarding the treatment of a GILTI inclusion amount for purposes of the personal holding company rules in sections 541 through 547. Section 541(a) imposes a 20-percent tax on the undistributed personal holding company income of a personal holding company. Section 542(a) defines a “personal holding company” as a corporation if at least 60 percent of its adjusted ordinary gross income for the taxable year is personal holding company income and certain ownership requirements are satisfied. Section 543(a) defines “personal holding company income” by reference to certain categories of passive income, including dividends. However, for this purpose, dividends received by a U.S. shareholder from a CFC are excluded from the definition of personal holding company income. See section 543(a)(1)(C). The comment noted that the existing regulations under section 951 provide that for purposes of determining whether a corporate U.S. shareholder is a personal holding company, the character of a subpart F inclusion of such domestic corporation is determined as if the amount that results in the subpart F inclusion were realized directly by the corporation from the source from which it is realized by the CFC. See §1.951-1(a)(3).

The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that it would be inappropriate to treat any portion of a GILTI inclusion amount as personal holding company income. A GILTI inclusion amount is determined by reference to income that would have been taxed, if at all, as dividends from CFCs before the enactment of section 951A, which are specifically excluded from the definition of personal holding company income under section

543(a)(1)(C). Further, there is no indication in the legislative history that Congress intended through the enactment of section 951A to substantially change the types of income that would be taken into account in determining personal holding company status. Accordingly, the final regulations clarify that in determining whether a corporate U.S. shareholder is a personal holding company, a GILTI inclusion amount is not treated as personal holding company income (as defined in section 543(a)). See §1.951A-5(d).

C. Adjustments to basis related to net used tested loss

To eliminate the potential for the duplicative use of a loss, the proposed regulations set forth rules providing for downward adjustments to the adjusted basis in stock of a tested loss CFC to the extent its tested loss was used to offset tested income of another CFC. See proposed §1.951A-6(e). These adjustments are generally made at the time of a direct or indirect disposition of stock of the tested loss CFC. See proposed §1.951A-6(e)(1). Comments raised many significant issues with respect to these rules.

The Treasury Department and the IRS remain concerned that, absent basis adjustments, a tested loss can result in the creation of uneconomic or duplicative loss, but have determined that the rules in the proposed regulations related to basis adjustments should not be adopted in these final regulations. Instead, the rules related to basis adjustments, including the comments received with respect to such rules, will be considered in a separate project. Accordingly, the final regulations reserve on the rules related to adjustments to stock of tested loss CFCs. See §1.951A-6(c). Any rules issued under §1.951A-6(c) will apply only with respect to tested losses incurred in taxable years of CFCs and their U.S. shareholders ending after the date of publication of any future guidance.

For a discussion of corresponding rules for basis adjustments within a consolidated group, as provided for in proposed §§1.1502-13, 1.1502-32, and 1.1502-51, see part IX.C of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section.

IX. Comments and Revisions to Proposed §§1.1502-13, 1.1502-32, and 1.1502-51 – Consolidated Section 951A

A. Calculation of GILTI inclusion amount

Section 1502 provides that consolidated return regulations will be promulgated to clearly reflect the income tax liability of a consolidated group and each member of the consolidated group (a “member”). However, in the context of section 951A, clear reflection of the GILTI inclusion amounts of both individual members and the consolidated group as a whole is not feasible. Section 951A requires a U.S. shareholder-level calculation, where, for example, the shareholder’s pro rata share of the tested income of one CFC may be offset by its pro rata share of the tested loss or QBAI of another CFC, to produce a smaller GILTI inclusion amount. Accordingly, calculating a member’s GILTI inclusion amount on a completely separate-entity basis, solely based on its pro rata share of the items of its CFCs, would clearly reflect the income tax liability of the member. However, such an approach would mean that the consolidated group’s GILTI inclusion amount would vary depending on which members own each CFC, particularly in cases in which the CFCs held by some members produce tested income, but the CFCs held by other members produce tested loss. This variability undermines the clear reflection of the income tax liability of the consolidated group as a whole. The Treasury Department and the IRS determined in the proposed regulations that members’ GILTI inclusion amounts should be determined in a manner that clearly reflects the income tax liability of the consolidated group and that creates consistent results regardless of which member of a consolidated group owns the stock of the CFCs (“single-entity treatment”). This approach removes incentives for inappropriate planning and also eliminates traps for the unwary.

The proposed regulations accomplish these goals by providing that the GILTI inclusion amount of a member is determined pursuant to a multi-step process. As in the case of a non-member, the GILTI inclusion amount of a member

equals the excess (if any) of the member's net CFC tested income over the member's net DTIR for the taxable year. See proposed §1.951A-1(c)(1) and proposed §1.1502-51(b). For purposes of determining a member's net CFC tested income, a member's aggregate pro rata share of tested income is determined on a separate-entity basis by aggregating its pro rata share of the tested income of each of its CFCs. See proposed §1.1502-51(e)(1) and (12). However, a member's aggregate pro rata share of tested loss and its net DTIR for the taxable year is calculated in three steps – first, each member's pro rata share of each tested item other than tested income is determined on a separate-entity basis by reference to its pro rata share of each CFC; second, each member's pro rata share of each tested item other than tested income is aggregated into a consolidated sum; and third, each member is then allocated a portion of the consolidated sum of each such tested item based on its relative amount of tested income (the “aggregation approach”). See proposed §1.1502-51(e)(2), (3), (4), (5), (7), and (10). The aggregation approach has the effect of determining the aggregate amount of GILTI inclusion amounts of members on a single-entity basis, but then determining each member's share of the consolidated group's aggregate GILTI inclusion amount based on its relative pro rata share of tested income as determined on a separate-entity basis.

The Treasury Department and the IRS received several comments addressing the calculation of a member's GILTI inclusion amount. These comments generally supported single-entity treatment, but they expressed concern about the lack of clear reflection of income at the member level. The concern arises from the movement of the economic benefit (in the GILTI computation) of one member's pro rata share of a tested loss with respect to stock held by the member to other members, including those not holding such stock. The comments considered whether alternative methods could be used that both provide for single-entity treatment and minimize uneconomic results to members. In particular, the comments raised the possibility that the tested loss of a CFC should first offset the tested income of a CFC owned

by the same member (the “priority allocation approach”).

One comment evaluated the merits of the priority allocation approach versus the aggregation approach. The comment identified the tension in the section 951A context between clearly reflecting income tax liability at the consolidated group level and doing so at the member level, and it considered possible ways to alleviate this conflict. The comment ultimately endorsed maintaining the approach in proposed §1.1502-51, due to the additional rules and complexities required to rationalize the priority allocation approach.

Two of the comments proposed similar methods for determining a member's GILTI inclusion amount. One of these comments suggested calculating the consolidated group's GILTI inclusion amount as if members holding CFC stock were divisions of a single corporation, then allocating the resulting consolidated group amount among members based on each member's net CFC tested income. For this purpose, net CFC tested income is calculated in a manner consistent with the priority allocation approach, by allowing the member's tested losses to be used first to offset the same member's tested income. The other comment suggested calculating and allocating the consolidated group's GILTI inclusion amount in the same manner, but would extend application of this method to foreign tax credits with respect to tested income. This second comment proposed using the aggregation approach to determine the amount of such credits available to the consolidated group (and the identity of the CFCs to whom the credits are attributable), but allocating certain basis adjustments in member stock related to such credits under the priority allocation approach. As an alternative, the second comment would base the allocations on the relative amounts of foreign tax credits paid by each member's CFCs.

The Treasury Department and the IRS decline to adopt these comments because they do not produce reasonable results that are consistent with single-entity treatment. In particular, the first of these comments does not provide for single-entity treatment when foreign tax credits are taken into account, instead allowing for wide variation in the availability of foreign tax

credits depending on which member of a consolidated group owns the stock of the CFCs. The variation arises because a corporate U.S. shareholder is deemed to pay a portion of the foreign income taxes paid or accrued by its CFCs based on the shareholder's GILTI inclusion amount. See section 960(d). A priority allocation approach, like the separate entity calculations discussed in a preceding paragraph, would change members' GILTI inclusion amounts based on which member owns the stock of the CFCs. By extension, a priority allocation approach would also change the amount of foreign tax credits that are available to the consolidated group based on which member owns the stock of the CFCs. This disparity would allow for tax planning to maximize the availability of foreign tax credits with respect to tested income.

The second of these comments contains proposals that contravene longstanding foreign tax credit principles, by divorcing a member's income inclusion from the member's deemed payments of foreign tax. Absent a GILTI inclusion amount and ownership of a CFC that has paid or accrued foreign taxes on tested income, a U.S. shareholder can claim no foreign tax credits with respect to tested income. And yet under the proposed method, a consolidated group's foreign tax credits may reflect foreign taxes paid or accrued by CFCs of members that have no GILTI inclusion amount. For these reasons, the Treasury Department and the IRS do not adopt this method.

Based on the foregoing, the Treasury Department and the IRS continue to believe that the aggregation approach balances, to the greatest extent possible, the clear reflection of the income tax liability under section 951A of a consolidated group with reasonable results to its individual members. Accordingly, the final regulations generally adopt the aggregation approach from the proposed regulations without substantial changes.

B. Applicability date for consolidated groups

For a discussion of the applicability date for §1.1502-51, see part XI.A of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section.

C. Basis adjustments to member stock

The proposed regulations contain special rules, applicable to consolidated groups, that reflect the downward basis adjustments set forth in proposed §1.951A-6(e) with respect to the stock of tested loss CFCs. See proposed §§1.1502-32(b)(3)(ii)(E) and (b)(3)(iii)(C), and 1.1502-51(c) and (d). As discussed above in part VIII.C of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that the rules related to basis adjustments for tested loss CFCs should not be adopted in these final regulations and will instead be considered in a separate project. Correspondingly, the special rules for consolidated groups that reflect such rules are likewise reserved. See §§1.1502-32(b)(3)(ii)(E) and (b)(3)(iii)(C), and 1.1502-51(c) and (d). These special rules, along with related comments, will be considered in the same project as the rules related to basis adjustments for tested loss CFCs and will apply only to taxable years of U.S. shareholders that are members of a consolidated group ending after the date of publication of the final rules.

D. Portion of proposed regulations not being finalized

The proposed regulations would treat a member as receiving tax-exempt income immediately before another member recognizes income, gain, deduction, or loss with respect to a share of the first member's stock (the "F adjustment"). See proposed §1.1502-32(b)(3)(ii)(F). The amount of the tax-exempt income would be determined based in part on the aggregate tested income and aggregate tested losses of the member's CFCs in prior taxable years.

The Treasury Department and the IRS have become aware of serious flaws with the F adjustment. Examples of the problems include unintended and duplicative tax benefits, distortive effects, and possible avoidance of Code provisions and regulations. Therefore, the Treasury Department and the IRS have decided not to finalize the F adjustment. As a result, taxpayers may not rely on the F adjustment. The Treasury Department and the

IRS continue to study a number of issues regarding consolidated stock basis in this area.

X. Comments and Revisions to Proposed §§1.78-1, 1.861-12(c)(2), and 1.965-7(e) of the Foreign Tax Credit Proposed Regulations

A. Special applicability date under section 78

The foreign tax credit proposed regulations revise §1.78-1 to reflect the amendments to section 78 made by the Act, as well as make conforming changes to reflect pre-Act statutory amendments. In addition, the foreign tax credit proposed regulations provide that amounts treated as dividends under section 78 ("section 78 dividends") that relate to taxable years of foreign corporations that begin before January 1, 2018 (as well as section 78 dividends that relate to later taxable years), are not treated as dividends for purposes of section 245A.

Comments questioned whether the Treasury Department and the IRS have authority to treat section 78 dividends relating to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning before January 1, 2018, as ineligible for the dividends-received deduction under section 245A, which generally applies to certain dividends paid after December 31, 2017. Although some comments acknowledged that allowing a dividends-received deduction for section 78 dividends would provide taxpayers with a double benefit that clearly was not intended by Congress, the comments claimed that the statutory language directly provides for the dividends-received deduction, and therefore the rule applying proposed §1.78-1(c) to taxable years beginning before January 1, 2018, should be eliminated.

The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that sections 7805(a), 7805(b)(2), and 245A(g) provide ample authority for the rule and therefore finalize the proposed applicability date without change. Section 7805(a) provides that the Treasury Department and the IRS shall prescribe all needful rules and regulations for the enforcement of title 26, including all rules and regulations as may be necessary by reason of any alteration

of law in relation to internal revenue. The enactment of the Act and the addition of section 245A necessitated regulations to ensure that section 78 continues to serve its intended purpose. The purpose of the section 78 dividend is to ensure that a U.S. shareholder cannot effectively both deduct and credit the foreign taxes paid by a foreign subsidiary that are deemed paid by the U.S. shareholder. See Elizabeth A. Owens & Gerald T. Ball, *The Indirect Credit* §2.2B1a n.54 (1975); Stanley Surrey, "Current Issues in the Taxation of Corporate Foreign Investment," 56 *Columbia Law Rev.* 815, 828 (June 1956) (describing the "mathematical quirk" that necessitated enactment of section 78). Allowing a dividends-received deduction for a section 78 dividend would undermine the purpose of the section 78 dividend because taxpayers would effectively be allowed both a credit and deduction for the same foreign tax. For this reason, section 78 (as revised by the Act) provides that a section 78 dividend is not eligible for a dividends-received deduction under section 245A.

As noted in the preamble to the foreign tax credit proposed regulations, the special applicability date rule under §1.78-1(c) is necessary to ensure that this principle is consistently applied with respect to a CFC that uses a fiscal year beginning in 2017 as its U.S. taxable year (a "fiscal year CFC") in order to prevent the arbitrary disparate treatment of similarly situated taxpayers. Otherwise, a U.S. shareholder of a fiscal year CFC would effectively be able to take both a credit and a deduction for foreign taxes by claiming a section 245A deduction with respect to its section 78 dividend. In contrast, section 78 (as revised by the Act) would apply correctly to a U.S. shareholder of a CFC using the calendar year as its U.S. taxable year that was also subject to section 245A.

The special applicability date is also consistent with the grant of authority under section 245A(g) to provide rules as may be necessary or appropriate to carry out the provisions of section 245A. Section 245A was intended to provide for tax-exempt treatment of certain E&P earned through foreign subsidiaries as part of a new participation exemption system. See Conference Report, at 470 (2017) (section 245A "allows an exemption for

certain foreign income”). Notably, the amount of a dividend eligible for a dividends-received deduction under section 245A is determined based on the amount of a foreign corporation’s “undistributed foreign earnings.” It would be incompatible with the purpose of section 245A to exempt income arising by reason of a section 78 dividend, which is not paid out of a foreign corporation’s undistributed foreign earnings but instead represents earnings that could not be distributed since they were used to pay foreign tax.

B. Application of basis adjustment for purposes of characterizing certain stock

Proposed §1.861-12(c)(2) clarifies certain rules for adjusting the stock basis in a 10 percent owned corporation, including that the adjustment to basis for E&P includes PTEP. Proposed §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(B)(2). Additionally, in order to account for the application of section 965(b)(4)(A) and (B), relating to the treatment of reduced E&P of a deferred foreign income corporation and increased E&P of an E&P deficit foreign corporation, proposed §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii) provides that, for purposes of §1.861-12(c)(2), a taxpayer determines the basis in the stock of a specified foreign corporation as if it had made the election under §1.965-2(f)(2), even if the taxpayer did not in fact make the election. However, the taxpayer does not include the amount by which basis with respect to a deferred foreign income corporation is increased under §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(A), because the amount of that increase would be reversed if the increase were by operation of section 961. After issuance of the foreign tax credit proposed regulations, final regulations issued under section 965 (TD 9864, 84 FR 1838 (February 5, 2019)) altered the election under §1.965-2(f)(2) to allow taxpayers to limit the reduction in basis with respect to an E&P deficit foreign corporation under the election to the amount of the taxpayer’s basis in the respective share of stock of the relevant foreign corporation.

One comment requested a special rule with respect to the adjustment to basis for E&P to account for the increase to E&P of an E&P deficit foreign corporation under section 965(b)(4)(B). Alternatively, the

comment requested that the adjustment for E&P not include PTEP. However, proposed §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii) already accounts for the increase in E&P of an E&P deficit foreign corporation under section 965(b)(4)(B) by providing for an equivalent reduction in the adjusted basis of the foreign corporation. Accordingly, the recommendation is not adopted.

Another comment requested that the rule in proposed §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii) be revised in light of the changes to §1.965-2(f)(2) to similarly provide that any reductions in basis be limited to the amount of the taxpayer’s basis in the 10 percent owned corporation. This comment noted that in the absence of such a rule, the application of proposed §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii) could reduce the adjusted basis of the stock below zero, which would be inappropriate for purposes of applying the expense allocation rules. The Treasury Department and the IRS agree that, for purposes of applying the expense allocation rules, a taxpayer should not have an adjusted basis below zero in the stock of a 10 percent owned corporation. However, rather than limit the reduction in stock basis to the amount of the taxpayer’s basis in the 10 percent owned corporation, the final regulations provide that §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii) may cause the taxpayer’s adjusted basis in the stock of the corporation to be negative, as long as the adjustment for E&P provided for in §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(A) increases the taxpayer’s adjusted basis to zero or an amount above zero. If the taxpayer’s adjusted basis in the 10 percent owned corporation is still below zero after application of §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(A)(I) and (2), then for purposes of §1.861-12, the taxpayer’s adjusted basis in the 10 percent owned corporation is zero for the taxable year. Section 1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(A)(3); see also §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(C)(3) (Example 3) and (4) (Example 4). The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that allowing the adjusted basis in stock to be negative before the application of the adjustment for E&P most accurately reflects the value of the stock in the 10 percent owned corporation.

Additionally, these final regulations modify proposed §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii) to make clear that the adjustment in §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii) may cause a taxpayer’s adjusted basis in stock in the

10 percent owned corporation to be negative, and to account for the changes made to §1.965-2(f)(2). Specifically, §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii) now provides that the taxpayer first adjusts its basis in the 10 percent owned corporation as if it *did not* make the election in §1.965-2(f)(2)(i) and then, if applicable, adjusts the basis in the 10 percent owned corporation by the amount described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(B)(I). These changes are not intended to alter the outcome of the application of the rule to the taxpayer’s adjusted basis in the stock of the 10 percent owned corporation as compared to the rule articulated in the foreign tax credit proposed regulations; rather, the changes are intended to make the rule more straightforward for taxpayers to apply and to clarify any ambiguities about the application of the rule where the adjustment exceeded the taxpayer’s adjusted basis in the stock. See §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(C)(I) (Example 1) and (2) (Example 2).

C. Effect of section 965(n) election

Under section 965(n), a taxpayer may elect to exclude the amount of section 965(a) inclusions (reduced by section 965(c) deductions) and associated section 78 dividends in determining the amount of the net operating loss carryover or carryback that is deductible in the taxable year of the inclusions. Section 1.965-7(e)(1), as added by TD 9846, 84 FR 1838 (February 5, 2019), provides that, if the taxpayer makes a section 965(n) election, the taxpayer does not take into account the amount of the section 965(a) inclusions (reduced by section 965(c) deductions) and associated section 78 dividends in determining the amount of the net operating loss for the taxable year.

Proposed §1.965-7(e)(1)(i), included in the foreign tax credit proposed regulations, provides that the amount by which the section 965(n) election creates or increases the net operating loss for the taxable year is the “deferred amount.” Proposed §1.965-7(e)(1)(iv)(B) provides ordering rules to coordinate the election’s effect on section 172 with the computation of the foreign tax credit limitations under section 904. The foreign tax credit proposed regulations provide that the deferred amount comprises a ratable portion of the

deductions (other than the section 965(c) deduction) allocated and apportioned to each statutory and residual grouping for section 904 purposes.

Before the issuance of the foreign tax credit proposed regulations, the Treasury Department and the IRS were aware that some taxpayers were taking the position that the source and separate category of the deferred amount consisted solely of deductions allocated and apportioned to the section 965(a) inclusion. Under this approach, the deferred amount would likely consist primarily of deductions allocated and apportioned to foreign source general category income because that is the likely source and separate category of the section 965(a) inclusion; as a result, the electing taxpayer would generally have a greater amount of foreign source general category income and thus be able to credit more foreign taxes paid or accrued with respect to general category income (relative to the result under the foreign tax credit proposed regulations).

After publication of the foreign tax credit proposed regulations, a comment recommended not finalizing the proposed ordering rules because taxpayers did not have a chance to consider those ordering rules before deciding to make an election under section 965(n). The comment also argued that the foreign tax credit proposed regulations are inconsistent with the statutory language in section 965(n), and with existing rules on the allocation and apportionment of expenses under section 904, to the extent they defer deductions that would be taken against income other than the section 965(a) inclusion. In addition, the comment stated that the foreign tax credit proposed regulations are inconsistent with the operation of section 965 and section 904 to the extent they treat the section 965(a) inclusion net of the section 965(c) deduction, rather than the section 965(a) inclusion without reduction for the section 965(c) deduction, as the gross income in the statutory grouping for section 904 purposes. The comment also suggested that the exclusion of the section 965(c) deductions from the deferred amount was inappropriate. The comment further stated that, if the regulations are finalized as proposed, taxpayers should be allowed to revoke the section 965(n) election. Finally, the comment recommended that proposed

§1.965-7(e)(1)(iv)(B) be revised to refer to allocation of all deductions (other than the net operating loss carryover or carryback to that year that is not allowed by reason of the section 965(n) election), rather than refer solely to allocation of deductions that would have been allowed for the year but for the section 965(n) election.

The final regulations include the ordering rules from the foreign tax credit proposed regulations, with some modifications to take into account the comments. In general, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that these rules are consistent with sections 965(n) and 904. Section 965(n) does not modify the generally applicable rules concerning the allocation and apportionment of expenses for section 904 purposes, nor does it provide an ordering rule for determining which deductions create or increase the amount of a current year net operating loss by reason of the section 965(n) election. Section 965(n) applies solely to determine the amount of the net operating loss for the election year and the amount of net operating loss carryover or carryback to that year. It does not require or permit the reallocation of deductions that are allocated and apportioned to the separate category containing the section 965(a) inclusion and associated section 78 dividends, regardless of whether any deductions are deferred by reason of the section 965(n) election. For example, if a taxpayer with only U.S. source and general category income has U.S. source taxable income exceeding the amount of deductions allocated and apportioned to foreign source general category income that includes a section 965(a) inclusion and associated section 78 dividends, a section 965(n) election would not result in a deferred amount and would not affect the calculation of the taxpayer's foreign tax credit limitation. Similarly, a taxpayer with U.S. source income in excess of its net operating loss carryover would have no basis to prevent general category income that includes a section 965(a) inclusion from being reduced by a general category section 172 deduction. A pro rata convention for determining the source and separate category of the deferred amount is more neutral and more consistent with the operation of the expense allocation rules in the absence of a deferred amount than a rule

stacking the deferred amount first out of deductions that would reduce the section 965(a) inclusion and associated section 78 dividends. Therefore, the final regulations include the proposed rules applying the existing rules on the allocation and apportionment of expenses for purposes of section 904, and determining the source and separate category of the deferred amount on a pro rata basis. However, in response to the comment regarding the exclusion of the section 965(c) deductions from the deferred amount, the Treasury Department and the IRS agree that section 965(n) does not provide that the deferred amount includes or excludes specific deductions for purposes of section 904. Therefore, the final regulations include the section 965(c) deduction in determining the source and separate category of the deferred amount. See §1.965-7(e)(1)(iv)(B)(2).

Separately, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that nothing in proposed §1.965-7(e)(1)(iv)(B)(2) suggests that the allocation and apportionment of expenses is based on the section 965(a) inclusion net of the section 965(c) deduction, as opposed to the section 965(a) inclusion not reduced by the section 965(c) deduction. All expenses are allocated and apportioned according to the regulations under §§1.861-8 through 1.861-17. See proposed §1.965-7(e)(1)(iv)(B)(1). The section 965(c) deduction is definitely related to the section 965(a) inclusion. See §1.861-8(b). Other deductions are allocated and apportioned according to the regulations under §§1.861-8 through 1.861-17. For example, a deduction that is not definitely related to any gross income must be ratably apportioned between the statutory grouping of gross income and the residual grouping. The gross income utilized for such ratably apportionment is not reduced by the section 965(c) deduction. See §1.861-8(c)(3).

The final regulations also adopt the comment's alternative suggestion to allow taxpayers a limited period to revoke a prior election under section 965(n) in order to account for the fact that the foreign tax credit proposed regulations were issued after some taxpayers were required to make the election under section 965(n). See §1.965-7(e)(2)(ii)(B). For administrability reasons, in order to minimize the number of amended returns that a tax-

payer may need to file in connection with section 965, the deadline for a revocation is based on the extended due dates for the taxpayer's returns. In addition, in response to the comment's request for clarification, proposed §1.965-7(e)(1)(iv)(B)(I) is revised in the final regulation to clarify that it refers to all deductions (other than the net operating loss carryover or carryback to that year that is not allowed by reason of the section 965(n) election).

Another comment requested guidance providing that a taxpayer that had made a timely election under section 965(n) be treated as having made a timely election under section 965(h). Under section 965(h), a taxpayer may elect to pay its section 965(h) net tax liability in eight installments. Section 965(h)(5) provides that the election must be made no later than the due date for the tax return for the inclusion year and in the manner prescribed by the Secretary. Section 1.965-7(b)(2)(ii) provides that relief is not available under §301.9100-2 or §301.9100-3 to file a late election. The comment explained that, as a result of the ordering rules in the foreign tax credit proposed regulations, some taxpayers will have a section 965(h) net tax liability in excess of amounts paid with respect to the tax year ending December 31, 2017. Those taxpayers did not make a timely election under section 965(h) because they may have determined that they did not have a section 965(h) net tax liability in excess of amounts paid because they calculated their section 904 foreign tax credit limitation in the inclusion year without allocating or apportioning any expenses to reduce the amount described in §1.965-7(e)(1)(ii), which is inconsistent with the rules in the foreign tax credit proposed regulations.

The final regulations do not adopt this recommendation. The statute requires that the election must be made not later than the due date for the tax return for the inclusion year. See section 965(h)(5); see also TD 9846, 84 FR 1838, 1868 (February 5, 2019) (denying a similar request to permit late elections under section 965). Moreover, regulations deeming an election to be made by default would not be appropriate, because the statute requires an affirmative election. Cf. 83 FR 39514, 39533-39534 (August 9, 2018) (denying a similar request to provide for default sec-

tion 965(h) elections). For these reasons, these regulations do not treat a taxpayer that has made a timely election under section 965(n) as having made a timely election under section 965(h).

Finally, the final regulations include two new examples to illustrate the application of §1.965-7(e)(1). See §1.965-7(e)(3).

Consistent with §1.965-9, the final regulations in §1.965-7(e) apply to the last taxable year of a foreign corporation that begins before January 1, 2018, and with respect to a U.S. person, beginning the taxable year in which or with which such taxable year of the foreign corporation ends.

XI. Comments and Revisions Regarding Applicability Dates

A. Proposed regulations

The proposed regulations provide that §1.951-1(e), other than paragraph (e)(1)(ii)(B) (regarding the determination of allocable E&P), applies to taxable years of U.S. shareholders ending on or after October 3, 2018. Comments requested certain changes and guidance related to the applicability date of proposed §1.951-1(e)(6), the substance of which is discussed more fully in part II.B of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section. Comments recommended that the pro rata share anti-abuse rule in proposed §1.951-1(e)(6) not be applied to transactions or arrangements entered into before the general applicability date of §1.951-1(e). Under this recommendation, transactions or arrangements entered into before the general applicability date of §1.951-1(e)(6), regardless of whether they would be subject to the pro rata share anti-abuse rule, would be given effect for purposes of determining a U.S. shareholder's pro rata share of subpart F income and tested items for taxable years ending after the general applicability date. The Treasury Department and the IRS do not adopt this recommendation because it would have the effect of grandfathering existing transactions or arrangements entered into with a principal purpose of avoiding Federal income taxation.

A comment also recommended that taxpayers be permitted, but not required,

to apply the facts and circumstances method under §1.951-1(e)(3), the substance of which is discussed more fully in part II.C of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section, to taxable years ending on or after December 31, 2017, and before October 3, 2018. The comment stated that, under section 965, a U.S. shareholder with a taxable year ending on December 31 may be required to determine its pro rata share of the increase to subpart F income of its foreign subsidiaries in both its 2017 taxable year with respect to foreign subsidiaries with a taxable year ending December 31, and its 2018 taxable year with respect to foreign subsidiaries with a taxable year ending November 30. Accordingly, given the applicability date in the proposed regulations, for purposes of determining such U.S. shareholder's inclusion under section 965, the U.S. shareholder could be required to apply, with respect to its calendar year foreign subsidiaries, the fair market value method under the existing regulations for classes of stock with discretionary distribution rights, but then apply, with respect to its fiscal year foreign subsidiaries, the facts and circumstances method for stock with the same characteristics. The comment suggested that allowing U.S. shareholders to rely on the facts and circumstances method for taxable years ending on or after December 31, 2017, and before October 3, 2018, would enable taxpayers to apply a uniform method for allocating the section 965(a) earnings amounts of all relevant foreign subsidiaries among or between U.S. shareholders, would provide more certainty, would be less administratively burdensome, and would not result in improper allocations of subpart F income because the method is consistent with each shareholder's economic rights and interests.

The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that it would be inappropriate to permit U.S. shareholders the ability to choose whether to rely on the new allocation rules under §1.951-1(e)(3) for taxable years of foreign corporations that end within the U.S. shareholder's taxable year ending before October 3, 2018, the general applicability date of §1.951-1(e). See §1.951-1(i). Rather than simplifying the process of determining their pro rata shares with respect to their calendar year

foreign subsidiaries, the proposal would incentivize taxpayers to invest additional time and resources to determine their U.S. tax liability under both sets of pro rata share rules in order to determine the rules that result in the least amount of U.S. tax liability. In addition, because most tax returns of U.S. shareholders that include income from a foreign subsidiary with a taxable year ending on December 31, 2017, by reason of section 965 have already been filed, the proposal would increase the number of amended returns filed for those taxable years, thus creating additional compliance burdens for taxpayers and administrative costs for the government. Accordingly, the final regulations do not adopt this proposal.

There were no comments related to the applicability dates of other provisions of the proposed regulations. The final regulations adopt the applicability dates of the proposed regulations without substantial changes. Therefore, consistent with the applicability date of section 951A, §§1.951A-1 through 1.951A-6, including §§1.951A-2(c)(5) and -3(h)(2), apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and to taxable years of U.S. shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end. The applicability dates with respect to the rules in §1.951-1 are as follows. Paragraphs (a), (b)(1)(ii), (b)(2), (e)(1)(ii)(B), and (g)(1) apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and to taxable years of U.S. shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end. Paragraph (e), except for paragraph (e)(1)(ii)(B), applies to taxable years of U.S. shareholders ending on or after October 3, 2018. Paragraph (h) applies to taxable years of domestic partnerships ending on or after May 14, 2010. Sections 1.6038-2(a) and §1.6038-5 apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning on or after October 3, 2018.

These final regulations modify applicability dates in the proposed regulations related to consolidated groups. Proposed §1.1502-51 applies to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and to taxable years of U.S. shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that for U.S. shareholders that are members of a consolidated group, the applicability date for §1.1502-51 should be postponed to taxable years of such members for which the due date (without extensions) of the consolidated return is after the date on which these final regulations are published in the **Federal Register**. However, the final regulations provide that a consolidated group may apply the rules of §1.1502-51 in their entirety to all of its members for all taxable years described in §1.951A-7. See §1.1502-51(g).

B. Foreign tax credit proposed regulations

No significant changes were made to the applicability dates of the portions of the final regulations that relate to rules that were in the foreign tax credit proposed regulations. Under §1.965-9(a), the provisions of §1.965-7 contained in this final regulation apply beginning the last taxable year of a foreign corporation that begins before January 1, 2018, and with respect to a United States person, beginning the taxable year in which or with which such taxable year of the foreign corporation ends. In general, §1.78-1 applies to taxable years of foreign corporations that begin after December 31, 2017, and to taxable years of U.S. shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end, and §1.861-12(c) applies to taxable years that both begin after December 31, 2017, and end on or after December 4, 2018.

A special applicability date was provided in proposed §1.861-12(k) in order to apply §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii) to the last taxable year of a foreign corporation beginning before January 1, 2018, since there may be an inclusion under section 965 for that taxable year. In the final regulations, this special applicability date is extended to §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(A) to accommodate the changes that were made to that rule to further implement the rule in §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii). A special applicability date is provided in §1.78-1(c) in order to apply the second sentence of §1.78-1(a) to section 78 dividends received after December 31, 2017, with respect to a taxable year of a

foreign corporation beginning before January 1, 2018. See part X.A of this Summary of Comments and Explanation of Revisions section regarding comments received about the special applicability date in §1.78-1(c).

XII. Comment Regarding Special Analyses

One comment asserted that in issuing the proposed regulations, the Treasury Department and the IRS did not comply with the Regulatory Flexibility Act (“RFA”) due to the number of small business entities impacted. The comment also stated that the Treasury Department and the IRS did not comply with the Paperwork Reduction Act (“PRA”) when they authorized the collection of information. Lastly, the comment claimed that the Treasury Department and the IRS did not comply with Executive Orders 12866 and 13563, as well as the Memorandum of Understanding, Review of Tax Regulations under Executive Order 12866, when they issued the proposed regulations.

The Treasury Department and the IRS complied with the applicable requirements under the RFA, the PRA, and Executive Orders 12866 and 13563 when issuing the proposed regulations. See 83 FR 51072, 51084 Special Analyses section. The comment’s assertion regarding the number of small business entities impacted by the proposed regulations is addressed in part III of the Special Analyses section.

Special Analyses

I. Regulatory Planning and Review – Economic Analysis

Executive Orders 13563 and 12866 direct agencies to assess costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits (including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity). Executive Order 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, of reducing costs, of harmonizing rules, and of promoting flexibility.

These final regulations have been designated as subject to review under Exec-

utive Order 12866 pursuant to the Memorandum of Agreement (April 11, 2018) between the Treasury Department and the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) regarding review of tax regulations. OMB has designated this final regulation as economically significant under section 1(c) of the Memorandum of Agreement. Accordingly, the final regulations have been reviewed by OMB's Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs. For purposes of E.O. 13771 this rule is regulatory. For more detail on the economic analysis, please refer to the following analysis.

A. *Need for the final regulations*

The final regulations are needed to address remaining open questions regarding the application of section 951A and comments received on the proposed regulations. In addition, certain rules in the foreign tax credit proposed regulations need to be finalized to ensure that the applicability dates of these rules coincide with the applicability dates of the statutory provisions to which they relate.

B. *Background*

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the Act) established a system under which certain earnings of a foreign corporation can be repatriated to a corporate U.S. shareholder without U.S. tax. See section 14101(a) of the Act and section 245A. However, Congress recognized that, without any base protection measures, this system, known as a participation exemption system, could incentivize taxpayers to allocate income – in particular, mobile income from intangible property – that would otherwise be subject to the full U.S. corporate tax rate to controlled foreign corporations (CFCs) operating in low- or zero-tax jurisdictions. See Senate Explanation at 365. Therefore, Congress enacted section 951A in order to subject intangible income earned by a CFC to U.S. tax on a current basis, similar to the treatment of a CFC's subpart F income under section 951(a)(1)(A). However, in order to not harm the competitive position of U.S. corporations relative to their foreign peers, the global intangible low-taxed income (GILTI) of a corporate U.S. shareholder is taxed at a reduced rate by reason of the deduction under section 250 (with

the resulting U.S. tax further reduced by a portion of foreign tax credits under section 960(d)). Id. Also, due to the administrative difficulty in identifying income attributable to intangible assets, intangible income (and thus GILTI) is determined for purposes of section 951A based on a formulaic approach. Intangible income for this purpose is generally all net income (other than certain excluded items) less a 10-percent return ("normal return") on certain tangible assets ("qualified business asset investment" or "QBAP"). Id. at 366.

The final regulations address open questions regarding the application of section 951A and comments received on the proposed regulations. In addition, certain rules in the foreign tax credit proposed regulations are being finalized in this Treasury decision to ensure that the applicability dates of these rules coincide with the applicability dates of the statutory provisions to which they relate. The final regulations retain the basic approach and structure of the proposed regulations and foreign tax credit proposed regulations, with certain revisions.

The final regulations relating to GILTI provide general rules and definitions, guidance on the computation of a GILTI inclusion amount, rules regarding the interaction of certain aspects of section 951A with other provisions, guidance for consolidated groups and their members and partnerships and their partners, information reporting requirements, and rules to prevent the avoidance of GILTI. The regulations under sections 78, 861, and 965 finalize certain discrete provisions included in the foreign tax credit proposed regulations that relate to section 965.

C. *Economic analysis*

1. *Baseline*

The Treasury Department and the IRS have assessed the economic effects of the final regulations relative to a no-action baseline reflecting anticipated Federal income tax-related behavior in the absence of these final regulations.

2. *Summary of Economic Effects*

To assess the economic effects of these final regulations, the Treasury Department

and the IRS considered economic effects arising from three sorts of provisions of these final regulations. These are (i) effects arising from provisions that provide enhanced certainty and clarity; (ii) effects arising from provisions to prevent tax-avoidance behavior; and (iii) effects arising from other provisions.

These final regulations provide certainty and clarity to taxpayers regarding terms and calculations they are required to apply under the statute. Because a tax had not been imposed on GILTI before the enactment of section 951A and because the statute is silent on certain aspects of definitions and calculations, taxpayers can particularly benefit from enhanced specificity regarding the relevant terms and necessary calculations they are required to apply under the statute. In the absence of this enhanced specificity, similarly situated taxpayers might interpret the statutory rules of section 951A differently, potentially resulting in inefficient patterns of economic activity or litigation in the event that a taxpayer's interpretation of the statute differs from that of the IRS. For example, different taxpayers might pursue income-generating activities based on different assumptions about whether that income will be counted as GILTI, and some taxpayers may forego specific investments that other taxpayers deem worthwhile based on different interpretations of the tax consequences alone. If the foregone activities would have been more profitable than those that were undertaken, U.S. economic performance would be negatively affected. The guidance provided in these regulations helps to ensure that taxpayers face more uniform incentives when making economic decisions, thereby improving U.S. economic performance. This guidance also helps to ensure that taxpayers make tax-related decisions under interpretations that are more consistent with the intent and purpose of the statute.

The Treasury Department and the IRS have not undertaken quantitative estimates of these effects. Any such quantitative estimates would be highly uncertain because the mix of interpretations that taxpayers might have pursued in the absence of this guidance and the mix of economic behaviors stemming from those interpretations are not readily known. More importantly, the relationship between a taxpayer's in-

terpretation absent this guidance and the taxpayer's GILTI inclusion under the final regulations, a difference that is key to understanding the economic effects of the final regulations, is also not readily known.

For example, the final regulations include provisions to address the treatment of domestic partnerships and partners for purposes of section 951A and the section 951A regulations. Part I.C.3.a.i of this Special Analyses section lays out some of the possible interpretations that taxpayers might have adopted in calculating their GILTI inclusion with respect to CFCs owned by a domestic partnership in the absence of specific guidance. Because GILTI and the GILTI partnership provisions are new and because taxpayers' ownership shares of CFCs both through and separate from domestic partnerships are not readily available, the Treasury Department and the IRS cannot readily predict the difference in taxpayers' marginal GILTI inclusion between any given interpretation under the baseline and the final regulation. Thus it is not feasible for the Treasury Department and the IRS to quantify with any reasonable precision the difference in economic activity that might be undertaken by those taxpayers based on those marginal GILTI inclusions.⁵ As data become available, the Treasury Department and the IRS will observe and monitor partner GILTI inclusions resulting from the statute and these supporting regulations.

With these considerations in mind, part I.C.3.a.i of this Special Analyses section explains the rationale behind the final regulations' approach to the treatment of partnerships and provides a qualitative assessment of the alternatives considered.

The final regulations also include provisions designed to curtail improper tax avoidance behavior. In the absence of these provisions, taxpayers could potentially reduce their GILTI by holding specified tangible property over an additional quarter close. See part I.C.3.d.i of this Special Analyses section. This activity is economically inefficient to the extent that the taxpayer acquires the property or holds property longer than the taxpayer would have held it in the absence of this

tax-avoidance opportunity. The cost of this inefficiency (relative to the final regulations, which reduce the incentives for such behavior) is roughly proportional to the amount of specified tangible property held longer than optimal, multiplied by the length of the extra holding period, multiplied by the difference between the use value of this property to the taxpayer and its alternative use. The benefit of the final regulations is the reduction in this inefficiency.

The Treasury Department and the IRS have not undertaken a quantitative estimate of this benefit but expect it to be small because the difference between the use value to the taxpayer of property held for tax avoidance purposes and its alternative use is not likely to be large.⁶ The Treasury Department and the IRS do not have readily available data on the amount of specified tangible property that might otherwise be used for tax avoidance purposes, the taxpayers who might hold this property, or the value differential of the property that would be held for tax avoidance purposes.

While it is not currently feasible for the Treasury Department and the IRS to quantify these effects, part I.C.3.c.i of these Special Analyses explains the rationale behind the final regulations' approach to the temporary holding of specified tangible property and provides a qualitative assessment of the alternatives considered.

This economic analysis further considered the economic effects of all other provisions in the final regulations. For example, the statute dictates that, for the purpose of calculating QBAI, taxpayers should depreciate assets placed in service before the enactment of section 951A using the alternative depreciation system (ADS) but grants authority to the Secretary under 951A(d)(4) to issue regulations to prevent the avoidance of the purposes of section 951A(d). By providing taxpayers an alternative to ADS, the final regulations reduce taxpayers' compliance burden and, by effecting changes in QBAI, change some taxpayers' marginal GILTI inclusion, an effect that may result in changes in economic activity and the location of such activity. Furthermore, the final regulations

determine partnership QBAI by reference to the depreciation deductions generated by partnership specified tangible property because a CFC partner's share of these depreciation deductions can be used as a reliable proxy for determining a CFC's distributive share of tested income produced with respect to such property. The use of the proxy simplifies, and reduces the uncertainty in the computation for taxpayers, thereby reducing taxpayer burden relative to the baseline.

The netting approach for specified interest expense adopted in these final regulations also reduces uncertainty and the complexity involved in characterizing income and matching expense to income which would be required under a tracing approach. Therefore, the netting approach simplifies the taxpayers' computations and reduces their compliance costs.

With respect to partially depreciable assets, such as platinum catalysts, the final regulations treat a portion of the adjusted basis of the asset as giving rise to QBAI, rather than the asset's entire adjusted basis. The Treasury Department and the IRS determined that applying the same standard for determining whether property qualifies as QBAI and whether the property is depreciable is simpler for tax administration and compliance purposes than having two standards. Moreover, since QBAI generally is determined for purposes of FDII under section 951A(d), it is expected that the final rule will incentivize the use of partially depreciable assets within the United States versus without relative to an alternative of treating the entire adjusted basis of the asset as QBAI.

Because GILTI is new and because tax filings do not report taxpayers' accounting methods for assets placed in service before the enactment of section 951A, the Treasury Department and the IRS do not have readily available data to project which taxpayers are affected by these regulations or to project their marginal GILTI inclusion for current income-generating activities. Thus it is not currently feasible for the Treasury Department and the IRS to estimate the economic effects of the final regulations relative to the baseline.

⁵Part I.C.3.a.ii of this Special Analyses section provides further discussion of data limitations in identifying the set of affected taxpayers.

⁶This claim refers solely to the economic benefit arising from this provision and does not refer to any estimate of the tax revenue effects of the provision.

With these considerations in mind, part I.C.3 of these Special Analyses explains the rationale behind the final regulations and provides a qualitative assessment of the alternatives considered.

3. Economic Effects of Provisions Substantially Revised from the Proposed Regulations

a. Treatment of domestic partnerships under section 951A

i. Background and Alternatives Considered

Section 951A does not contain any specific rules on the treatment of a domestic partnership and their partners that directly or indirectly own stock of CFCs. The proposed regulations contain a rule that requires a domestic partnership that is a U.S. shareholder of a CFC to determine its GILTI inclusion amount. The proposed regulations then provide that partners of the partnership that are not separately U.S. shareholders of the CFC take into account their distributive share of the partnership's GILTI inclusion amount. In contrast, partners that are U.S. shareholders of the CFC are required to take into account their proportionate share of the partnership's pro rata share of tested items of the CFC for purposes of determining the U.S. shareholder's own GILTI inclusion amount. The proposed regulations thus adopt a hybrid approach under which the domestic partnership is treated as an entity with respect to partners that are not themselves U.S. shareholders of a CFC but as an aggregate with respect to partners that are themselves U.S. shareholders of the CFC. While the hybrid approach is consistent with the framework of section 951A, a number of comments pointed to administrative and procedural complexities with the approach of the proposed regulations. Thus the Treasury Department and the IRS re-evaluated this approach for the final regulations.

The Treasury Department and the IRS considered a number of alternatives for addressing the treatment of domestic partnerships in the final regulations. These alternatives were: (i) the hybrid approach set forth in the proposed regulations; (ii) an approach under which the domestic

partnership would be treated as an entity for all purposes of section 951A; and (iii) an approach under which a domestic partnership would be treated as an entity for purposes of determining whether any U.S. person is a U.S. shareholder and any foreign corporation is a CFC, but as an aggregate for purposes of determining whether, and to what extent, any U.S. person has a GILTI inclusion. A fourth option, to apply a pure aggregate approach under which a domestic partnership would be treated as an aggregate of all of its partners for all purposes of section 951A, was rejected because the Treasury Department and the IRS determined that it is inconsistent with other sections of the Code.

The first option was to finalize the hybrid approach set forth in the proposed regulations. While the hybrid approach is consistent with the framework of section 951A, a number of comments pointed to administrative and procedural complexities with the approach of the proposed regulations, including coordination with partners' capital accounts and basis adjustments with respect to partnership interests and CFCs. In particular, comments noted the uncertainty under the hybrid approach whether, and to what extent, a U.S. shareholder partner's pro rata share of tested income or tested loss of a partnership CFC should increase or decrease the partner's capital account with respect to the partnership or its basis in the partnership interest. Comments also noted that the hybrid approach can result in varied GILTI computations for partners depending on whether the partner is a U.S. shareholder of a CFC owned by a domestic partnership. Finally, comments noted that the hybrid approach would result in disparate treatment between partners that own stock in a CFC through a domestic partnership and partners that own stock in a CFC through a foreign partnership. These latter outcomes have clearly detrimental economic effects because they do not treat similar taxpayers in a similar fashion.

The second option was to adopt a pure entity approach, meaning that the domestic partnership would determine its own GILTI inclusion amount and each partner would take into account its distributive share of the partnership's GILTI inclusion amount. This approach is consistent with the historical treatment of domestic part-

nerships for purposes of subpart F. However, this approach is inconsistent with the policies underlying the GILTI provisions and interrelated rules, such as the deduction under section 250 and certain foreign tax credits for GILTI that are determined at the partner level (rather than the partnership level). Further, under this approach, many taxpayers would be compelled to reorganize their ownership structure – for instance, by eliminating their ownership of CFCs through domestic partnerships – to obtain full aggregation of tested items of their CFCs as envisioned by Congress. Yet other taxpayers would be incentivized to reorganize in an attempt to avoid full aggregation so as to reduce their inclusion below an amount that accurately reflects their GILTI. For instance, taxpayers could separate tested items that generally decrease a U.S. shareholder's GILTI (for example, qualified business asset investment) from certain tested items that reduce the benefit of such tested items (for example, specified interest expense), thus minimizing the U.S. shareholder's aggregate GILTI inclusion amount. Potentially reorganizing to realize a specific GILTI treatment suggests that tax instead of market signals are determining business structures. This can lead to higher compliance costs and inappropriate investment.

The third option, which is adopted in the final regulations, is to apply an approach that treats a domestic partnership as an entity for purposes of determining whether any U.S. person is a U.S. shareholder and whether any foreign corporation is a CFC, but treats a domestic partnership as an aggregate for purposes of determining whether, and to what extent, a partner of a domestic partnership has a GILTI inclusion. Such an approach is consistent with the framework of section 951A and gives effect to the relevant statutory language that treats a domestic partnership as a U.S. shareholder and as owning stock for purposes of determining U.S. shareholder and CFC status. Moreover, this approach eliminates the administrative complexity identified by comments with respect to the hybrid approach in the proposed regulations by calculating a U.S. shareholder partner's GILTI inclusion amount solely at the partner level.

The final regulations treat a domestic partnership as an aggregate by providing

that, in general, for purposes of section 951A and the section 951A regulations, a domestic partnership is treated in the same manner as a foreign partnership. The final regulations employ the existing framework for foreign partnerships (which are generally treated as an aggregate of their partners for purposes of subpart F), rather than creating new aggregation rules specifically for the treatment of domestic partnerships, because such framework is relatively well-developed and understood. Using the same treatment for domestic and foreign partnerships is more likely to result in market forces determining organization form instead of tax law. In addition, by eliminating the complexity and traps for the unwary associated with the hybrid and entity approaches, respectively, the chosen approach reduces compliance costs relative to the alternatives.

ii. Affected Taxpayers

The Treasury Department and the IRS estimate that there were approximately 7,000 U.S. partnerships with CFCs that e-filed at least one Form 5471 as Category 4 or 5 filers in 2015 and 2016.⁷ The identified partnerships had approximately 2 million partners, as indicated by the number of Schedules K-1 filed by the partnerships. This number includes both domestic and foreign partners, so it substantially overstates the number of partners that would actually be affected by the final regulations by including foreign partners.⁸ The final regulations affect domestic partners that are U.S. shareholders of a CFC owned by the domestic partnership because such partners will determine their GILTI inclusion amount by reference to their pro rata shares of tested items of CFCs owned by the partnership. Domestic partners that are not U.S. shareholders of a CFC owned by the domestic partnership will neither determine their own GILTI inclusion amount by reference to their pro rata shares of tested items of CFCs owned by the partnership nor include in their in-

come a distributive share of the partnership's GILTI inclusion amount. This latter group is likely to be a substantial portion of domestic partners given the high number of partners per partnership and have lower compliance costs as a result of the final regulations. Because it is not possible to readily identify these types of partners based on available data, this number is an upper bound of partners who would have been affected by this rule had this rule been in effect in 2015 or 2016.

b. Rule for transfers during the disqualified period

i. Background and Alternatives Considered

The proposed regulations include a rule in §1.951A-2(c)(5) to address transactions intended to reduce a GILTI inclusion amount as a result of a stepped-up basis in CFC assets attributable to related party transfers that occur during the disqualified period. The disqualified period of a CFC is the period between December 31, 2017, which is the last earnings and profits (E&P) measurement date under section 965, and the beginning of the CFC's first taxable year that begins after December 31, 2017, which is the first taxable year with respect to which section 951A is effective. A taxpayer that caused a CFC to sell its assets to a related party during the disqualified period would not be subject to taxation on the income or earnings from such sales under either section 965 (because it was after the final E&P measurement date) or section 951A (because it was before its effective date). However, absent a special rule, in subsequent years, the transaction would reduce a U.S. shareholder's GILTI, by either reducing the transferee CFC's tested income (or increase its tested loss) through the depreciation or amortization attributable to the "cost-free" basis (disqualified basis) in assets created by reason of such related party transfer. Accordingly, the rule in the proposed regulations prevents the benefits

of the disqualified basis by disallowing any deduction or loss attributable to the disqualified basis for purposes of determining tested income or tested loss.

Because the rule in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(5) only disallows the stepped-up basis created by reason of a disqualified transfer for purposes of determining a CFC's tested income and tested loss, under the proposed regulations, a taxpayer would have to keep track of both a CFC's disqualified basis in an asset for purposes of section 951A and the CFC's adjusted basis in the asset for all other purposes of the Code. In addition, if the disqualified basis was not allowed for purposes of determining tested income and tested loss, a comment noted that it would be unfair for the basis to still be taken into account for purposes of section 901(m), which disallows foreign tax credits for foreign income not subject to U.S. tax by reason of certain basis differences that arise by reason of covered asset acquisitions. A transfer subject to the rule (a disqualified transfer) can also be a covered asset acquisition, and therefore section 901(m) and proposed §1.951A-2(c)(5) could apply concurrently by reason of the same transaction.

The Treasury Department and the IRS considered three options to address the treatment of disqualified basis. These options were: (i) adopt the proposed regulations without change; (ii) revise the regulations to provide that disqualified basis is also not taken into account for purposes of certain other provisions (in addition to section 951A) to ensure that the rule only prevents the GILTI benefits that taxpayers were trying to achieve; or (iii) allow taxpayers to make an election that would disregard the disqualified basis for all purposes of the Code.

The first option was to finalize without change the rule contained in the proposed regulations. On the one hand, this approach could be viewed as simple and targeted, because this rule would only disregard disqualified basis for purposes of determining GILTI, and the transactions

⁷Data are from IRS's Research, Applied Analytics, and Statistics division based on data available in the Compliance Data Warehouse. Category 4 filer includes a U.S. person who had control of a foreign corporation during the annual accounting period of the foreign corporation. Category 5 includes a U.S. shareholder who owns stock in a foreign corporation that is a CFC and who owned that stock on the last day in the tax year of the foreign corporation in that year in which it was a CFC. For full definitions, see <https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/i5471.pdf>.

⁸This analysis is based on the tax data readily available to the Treasury Department at this time. Some variables may be available on tax forms that are not available for statistical purposes. Moreover, with new tax provisions, such as section 951A, relevant data may not be available for a number of years for statistical purposes.

subject to the rule were primarily intended to reduce GILTI. On the other hand, this rule could be considered unfair in certain cases because the concurrent application of both the rule and section 901(m), without a means for avoiding such concurrent application, could be viewed as unduly punitive to taxpayers that engaged in such transactions. In addition, this option would require taxpayers to track and maintain separate bases in the property for purposes of GILTI and all other purposes of the Code.

The second option was to not take into account disqualified basis for certain other provisions (in addition to section 951A) to ensure that the rule only prevented the GILTI benefits that taxpayers were trying to achieve. Such an approach would result in additional and considerable complexity because numerous other provisions would have to be considered. In addition, simply not taking into account the basis for purposes of these other provisions may not alone provide appropriate results, without taking into account the policies underlying the specific provisions. Such particular policy considerations could require additional special and detailed rules or modifications to the general disallowance rules. In addition, it would be difficult to assess the effect that the disqualified basis would have on other provisions of the Code, or how it could affect different taxpayers with different tax postures.

The third option, which is adopted in the final regulations, is to allow taxpayers to make an election that eliminates disqualified basis in property by reducing a commensurate amount of adjusted basis in the property for all purposes of the Code. Although this option was not as targeted as the second option, it was the simplest of the three options because it results in the property only having a single tax basis for all purposes of the Code such that different bases need not be tracked for different purposes. In addition, it does not result in additional complex rules, as would be required in the second option, because it simply applies for all purposes; once the basis is reduced, the Code simply applies

to the property as if the basis were never stepped up. Finally, this approach permits taxpayers to decide whether the benefit of the additional adjusted basis associated with the disqualified basis outweighs the cost of complexity in applying the rule or, alternatively, whether the value of simplicity outweighs the benefit of the additional adjusted basis. By allowing this flexibility and adopting a single adjusted basis for all purposes of the Code, the adopted approach reduces complexity and compliance costs, relative to both alternatives considered.

ii. Affected Taxpayers

The final regulations apply to any deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis. Disqualified basis is created by reason of a disqualified transfer, which is defined as a transfer of property by a fiscal year CFC during the disqualified period to a related person in which gain was recognized, in whole or in part. A fiscal year CFC's disqualified period is the period that begins on January 1, 2018, and ends as of the close of the CFC's last taxable year that is not a CFC inclusion year. The taxpayer affected is a U.S. shareholder of any CFC that holds property with disqualified basis. In general these final regulations affect U.S. shareholders with at least one fiscal year CFC that has at least one other CFC where the fiscal-year CFC has property with unrealized gains that can be transferred during the disqualified period.

The Treasury Department and the IRS do not have data identifying CFCs that engaged in transactions with related CFCs during the period after December 31, 2017 but before the effective date of section 951A. As an upper-bound estimate, there are approximately 3,000 U.S. shareholders of fiscal year CFCs with at least one related CFC that could potentially engage in a transaction.⁹ This is an overestimate since only those fiscal year CFCs with unrealized gains could take advantage of this disqualified period. The Treasury Department does not have data readily available to estimate these unrealized gains.

c. Transition rule to determine normal return using the alternative depreciation system

i. Background and Alternatives Considered

A U.S. shareholder's GILTI inclusion amount is based on a formulaic approach under which a 10-percent return attributed to certain tangible assets (QBAI) is computed and then each dollar of certain income above such "normal return" is effectively treated as intangible income. Under the statute, QBAI is measured by determining the adjusted basis in certain tangible property using the alternative depreciation system (ADS). Section 951A(d)(4) directs the Secretary to issue regulations or other guidance that is appropriate to prevent the avoidance of the purposes of section 951A(d), including with respect to the treatment of temporarily held or transferred property.

The proposed regulations require the adjusted basis of all specified tangible property to be determined using ADS under section 168(g) for purposes of determining the QBAI of a CFC. In general, the Code requires that tangible property used by a CFC outside the United States must be depreciated using ADS. Accordingly, in most instances, the depreciation method required under the proposed regulations will correspond to the CFC's depreciation method used for computing income. However, under existing regulations under section 952, a CFC may compute its income and E&P using the depreciation method used in keeping its accounting books and records or a method conforming to United States generally accepted accounting principles ("non-ADS depreciation method") if the differences between ADS and the non-ADS depreciation method are immaterial. In the case of a CFC that is permitted to use a non-ADS depreciation method, the proposed regulations would nonetheless require the CFC to determine its adjusted basis in its assets for purposes of calculating QBAI based on ADS. In particular, with respect to assets placed in service before the enactment of section

⁹Based on IRS Statistics of Income 2014 study file of C corporations with Form 5471 category 4 filers. Includes full and part year returns.

951A, the proposed regulations would require the CFC to determine the date the assets were placed in service, the ADS class life, and other information about the asset to correctly apply ADS as if the asset had been depreciated using ADS since the date the asset was placed in service. Several comments noted that this requirement could be onerous for specified tangible property acquired before the enactment of section 951A and requested relief from this requirement for such property.

Although section 951A(d)(3) specifically requires use of ADS to determine the adjusted basis in specified tangible property, section 951A(d)(4) authorizes the Secretary to issue regulations that are appropriate for purposes of determining QBAI. Thus, the Treasury Department and the IRS considered three options to address the use of ADS for specified tangible property placed in service prior to the enactment of section 951A. These options were: (i) require the use of ADS for all property placed in service before the enactment of section 951A, consistent with the proposed regulations; (ii) require ADS for determining the adjusted basis of specified tangible property, but on a “cut-off basis”; or (iii) allow the CFC to continue using its non-ADS depreciation method for property placed in service prior to the enactment of section 951A, and to include a special rule that requires depreciation of the “salvage value.” These options apply only where the CFC is not required to use ADS to compute its income under §1.952-2 or E&P under §1.964-1 with respect to such property.

The first option considered was to require the use of ADS for all property placed in service before the enactment of section 951A, consistent with the proposed regulations. However, Treasury and the IRS recognize that re-determining the adjusted basis in assets using a new depreciation method could be a difficult, uncertain, and time-consuming process for CFCs that have numerous items of specified tangible property acquired before the enactment of section 951A, in part, because the CFCs may not have kept the records necessary to make the determina-

tions. Notably as described above, CFCs are permitted to compute their income and E&P using their non-ADS depreciation method for specified tangible property used outside the United States when the differences between the non-ADS depreciation method and ADS are immaterial. Therefore, the Treasury Department and the IRS determined that some relief from the administrative burden of re-determining the adjusted basis of each property placed in service before December 22, 2017, should be available to CFCs that are not required to use ADS for computing income and E&P. Such relief will alleviate this administrative burden, but will not impact taxpayer incentives or cost of capital, because it pertains only to property already placed in service.

The second option considered seeks to relieve burden by requiring ADS for determining the adjusted basis in specified tangible property, but on a “cut-off basis.” Under this option, the CFC would apply ADS to the adjusted basis determined using its non-ADS depreciation method as of the beginning of the first taxable year subject to section 951A. This option eliminates the need to re-determine the adjusted basis in the property as if ADS had been used since the property was placed in service. This approach could be implemented by applying ADS for the remaining ADS class life of the property or by treating the property as newly placed in service and applying the full ADS class life to the property. Each of those options would still require the CFC to determine when the property was placed in service and its ADS class life. In addition, applying ADS for the remaining ADS class life of the property would also require special rules for situations in which the property would have been fully depreciated under ADS before the first taxable year subject to section 951A, and applying ADS to the property based on the full ADS class life of the property would extend the period that the property is taken into account in the computation of QBAI. The Treasury Department and the IRS concluded that applying ADS on a cut-off basis under either approach did not significantly reduce

the administrative burden of computing QBAI with respect to property placed in service prior to the enactment of section 951A.

The third option considered was to allow the CFC to elect to use its non-ADS depreciation method for property acquired prior to the enactment of section 951A, and to include a special rule that requires depreciation of the “salvage value” (in other words, the portion of the basis of property that would not be fully depreciated under the non-ADS depreciation method). The special rule is required because otherwise the salvage value would be included in the CFC’s QBAI until the CFC disposed of the asset. This option was the least administratively burdensome, and the least likely to result in controversy between taxpayers and the IRS. It reduces compliance costs relative to the two alternatives by eliminating the need to redetermine the adjusted basis, class life and date placed in service of property for which good records may not exist. As noted above, it does not impact taxpayers’ incentives or cost of capital, because it applies to property already placed in service. Further, because relief is provided in instances in which the difference between ADS and a non-ADS depreciation method is immaterial, it is likely to result in only minimal differences in depreciation deductions and QBAI.¹⁰ Small changes in the QBAI have an even more muted impact on the determination of GILTI, because net DTIR, a component of the GILTI calculation, is only 10 percent of QBAI. Therefore, the impact of using a non-ADS depreciation method versus ADS for property placed in service before the enactment of section 951A is minimal. Accordingly, this is the option adopted in the final regulations.

ii. Affected Taxpayers

The population of taxpayers potentially affected by this aspect of these final regulations are the U.S. shareholders of CFCs that are not required to use ADS when computing E&P, subpart F income, and tested income or tested loss, because the differences in the tax liability of such U.S.

¹⁰ Treasury Depreciation Model tabulations of depreciation rates by 2 digit industry indicate that, on average, book depreciation and ADS depreciation for property in the manufacturing, mining, construction, utilities, and wholesale trade industries, are within 10 percent of one another.

shareholders resulting from the use of the CFCs' non-ADS depreciation method are immaterial relative to the use of ADS. Only those taxpayers whose CFCs use a non-ADS depreciation method for property placed in service before December 22, 2017 instead of ADS when computing E&P would be affected by these final regulations.

The Treasury Department and the IRS have previously projected that between 25,000 and 35,000 direct shareholders of CFCs would be potentially subject to GILTI and thus could be affected by this rule. This is an upper-bound estimate of taxpayers affected because it is not limited to those with CFCs that are permitted to use a non-ADS depreciation method with respect to property placed in service before the enactment of section 951A. Precise identification of these taxpayers is not possible from readily available data because taxpayers do not report on Form 5471 what depreciation method they used in computing E&P.

d. Anti-abuse rule for specified tangible property held temporarily

i. Background and Alternatives Considered

The proposed regulations include an anti-abuse rule to address property that is held temporarily over the quarter close of a CFC with a principal purpose of reducing the GILTI inclusion amount of a U.S. shareholder of the CFC. In the absence of an anti-abuse rule, taxpayers could reduce their GILTI inclusion by having a CFC temporarily hold property over an additional quarter close in order to artificially increase the U.S. shareholder's "normal return" on tangible assets. The anti-abuse rule for temporarily held property in the proposed regulations included a "per se" rule, which deemed property to be held temporarily and acquired with a principal purpose of reducing a GILTI inclusion amount if held by the CFC for less than a 12-month period. Comments asserted that the anti-abuse rule was overbroad. In particular, comments expressed concerns that the 12-month per se rule could affect transactions not motivated by tax avoidance, such as ordinary course transactions, and create burdens resulting from having

to track how long the specified tangible property is held.

The Treasury Department and the IRS considered four options to address these concerns. These options were: (i) adopt the proposed regulations without change; (ii) shorten the per se rule; (iii) eliminate the per se rule and rely on a principal purpose rule; or (iv) convert the per se rule into a rebuttable presumption, add a safe harbor, and clarify the scope of the rule.

The first option was to finalize without change the rule contained in the proposed regulations. This approach is a simple and administrable rule for the IRS and taxpayers because it would not consider the taxpayer's motivation for holding property for less than 12 months; however, it would not address the concern raised by comments that the rule can potentially apply to transactions that were not tax motivated and could therefore lead to a reduction in otherwise economically valuable transactions.

The second option was to shorten the 12-month per se rule to, for example, six months. While this option could significantly reduce the number of transactions subject to the rule relative to the first option, and would be administrable for the IRS and taxpayers (because a taxpayer's motivation for holding the property would not be relevant), it could still apply to transactions that were not tax-motivated. In addition, it could increase the burden on IRS to enforce compliance because it would require additional resources to assert the rule for property held longer than six months, even though the property may still be held temporarily for tax-motivated reasons.

The third option was to eliminate the per se rule and rely on a principal purpose rule. The rule would disregard the adjusted basis in property for purposes of computing QBAI if the property is held temporarily and is acquired with a principal purpose of reducing a GILTI inclusion amount. While this option would have the benefit of being flexible and, therefore, in theory could apply only to temporary holdings that were intended to reduce a U.S. shareholder's GILTI inclusion amount, it could create uncertainty for both taxpayers and the IRS. This uncertainty would result, in part, from the need to determine the taxpayer's principal pur-

poses for each relevant acquisition and not having general guidelines for when property is considered to be held temporarily. It would also increase administrative and compliance costs for the IRS and taxpayers because there could be more disputes over the taxpayer's principal purpose and when a property is held temporarily.

The fourth option that was considered involved several components. First, this option would convert the per se rule to a rebuttable presumption. Under this rule, property would be presumed to be temporarily held and acquired with a principal purpose of reducing a GILTI inclusion amount if the property is held for less than twelve months. However, the presumption could be rebutted if, in general, the facts and circumstances clearly establish that the subsequent transfer of the property by the CFC was not contemplated when the property was acquired and that a principal purpose of the acquisition of the property was not to increase the normal return of a U.S. shareholder. This option also would add a second presumption that generally provides that property is presumed to not be subject to the rule if held for more than 36 months. In addition, this option would include a "safe harbor" that generally applies to transfers between CFCs that are owned in the same proportion by U.S. shareholders, have the same taxable years, and are all tested income CFCs. Finally, this option would include examples to indicate types of transactions that are, and are not, subject to the rule.

This fourth option more accurately identifies cases of potential abuse in comparison to the proposed regulations and the other options discussed in this part I.C.3.d.i of the Special Analyses section. Because it more accurately identifies cases of potential abuse, it yields more efficient outcomes because it does not penalize taxpayers with a legitimate business purpose for temporarily holding tangible property. This option provides flexibility to taxpayers holding property less than 12 months to either accept the presumption (and thus disregard the basis of the property under the anti-abuse rule) or, if appropriate, to choose to rebut the presumption by filing the appropriate statement. Taxpayers will have the flexibility to make the choice that appropriately balances the compliance costs related to rebutting the presumption

with the tax cost of not rebutting the presumption depending on their particular circumstances. This option also relieves taxpayers of the burden of monitoring assets that are held more than 36 months, relative to the other options. In addition, the safe harbor would provide additional certainty to both taxpayers and the IRS, and eliminate any resulting compliance and administrative costs, because these transactions, which generally do not give rise to avoidance concerns, would be entirely excluded from the application of the rule. Although the compliance costs associated with a rebuttal based on facts and circumstances will likely be higher than under the first and second alternatives, those alternatives do not provide taxpayers with an opportunity to demonstrate the economic substance of the transaction, and the electivity of the rebuttal leaves taxpayers no worse off than under the first and second options. It is not clear whether the adopted approach has higher or lower compliance costs than the third approach, but Treasury and IRS determined the adopted approach to be superior for the reasons discussed above.

The Treasury and the IRS determined that these changes strike an appropriate balance between (i) mitigating compliance burdens relative to the proposed regulations and providing certainty and flexibility to taxpayers and (ii) identifying transactions that have the potential for abuse. Thus, this is the approach adopted in the final regulations.

ii. Affected Taxpayers

In principle, this aspect of the final regulations could apply to any tested income CFC that purchases tangible property and holds it temporarily. Therefore, this aspect of the regulations could affect any of the 25,000 - 35,000 persons with a potential GILTI inclusion and should be treated as an upper-bound estimate. In practice, however, it would only apply to U.S. shareholders of CFC that temporarily hold tangible property for tax minimization purposes, which would only be a small subset of sophisticated tax planners. The Treasury Department and the IRS do not have readily available data to enable estimating how many taxpayers could minimize tax in this way, nor which taxpayers

would likely undertake such behavior in the absence of these regulations.

e. Application of basis adjustment for purposes of characterizing certain stock

i. Background and Alternatives Considered

Under the Code, certain expenses, including interest, must be allocated based on the adjusted basis of the assets held by the taxpayer. For purposes of allocating expenses to stock of certain foreign corporations held directly by a taxpayer, section 864(e)(4) generally requires that a taxpayer adjust the adjusted basis of the stock by the aggregate amount of E&P of the foreign corporation and its subsidiaries. The combination of the adjusted basis of the stock of the foreign corporation and the increase or decrease (if the foreign corporation and its subsidiaries have a deficit in E&P) in that amount by the E&P of the foreign corporation approximate the value of the stock of the foreign corporation for purposes of the expense allocation rules. See Joint Committee on Tax'n, General Explanation of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 (P.L. 99-514) (May 4, 1987), JCS-10-87, at p.946 (noting that "the failure to consider earnings and profits caused significant distortion" for purposes of expense allocation rules because the value of the earnings and profits is reflected in the fair market value of the stock).

Under section 965(b)(4)(B), if a taxpayer used a deficit in E&P to offset its inclusion under section 965(a), the deficit is eliminated by increasing the E&P of the foreign corporation with the deficit. However, because there is no offsetting reduction to the basis of the stock of the foreign corporation, the adjusted basis of that foreign corporation for purposes of section 864(e)(4) is increased as a result of the application of section 965(b)(4)(B), even though there has been no economic change to the value of the foreign corporation. Under final regulations under section 965, in general, a taxpayer may elect to reduce the basis in the stock of the foreign corporation, on a share by share basis, by the amount of the increase to the E&P of the foreign corporation under section 965(b)(4)(B). See §1.965-2(f)(2)(i). However, the election does not cause the

taxpayer's basis to be reduced below zero, even if the amount of the increase to the E&P of the foreign corporation under section 965(b)(4)(B) exceeds the taxpayer's basis in the stock.

The foreign tax credit proposed regulations provide that, for purposes of determining the adjusted basis of the stock of the foreign corporation under section 864(e)(4), a taxpayer should determine its adjusted basis in the stock of the foreign corporation as if the taxpayer had made in the election in §1.965-2(f)(2)(i). See proposed §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii). After this adjustment, the taxpayer then follows the existing rule under section 864(e)(4) to increase or decrease the adjusted basis in the stock by the E&P of the foreign corporation and its subsidiaries.

A comment requested that the foreign tax credit proposed regulations be amended to make clear that, for purposes of section 864(e)(4), that the reduction in basis under proposed §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii) does not cause the taxpayer's basis in the stock in the foreign corporation to be less than zero. This could happen, for example, where the increase in the foreign corporation's E&P under section 965(b)(4)(B) exceeded the taxpayer's adjusted basis in the stock of that foreign corporation.

The Treasury Department and the IRS agreed that, for purposes of applying the expense allocation rules, a taxpayer should not have an adjusted basis below zero in the stock of a foreign corporation. When the adjusted basis of an asset is zero, no expenses are allocated to that asset and thus allowing a negative adjusted basis would serve no purpose for the expense allocation rules. However, because the adjustment to the stock of the foreign corporation in this case is two steps — the adjusted basis is reduced to account for the application of section 965(b)(4)(B) and then increased or decreased by the amount of E&P of the foreign corporation and its subsidiaries — the adjusted basis could be less than zero after the initial adjustment but still be positive after the second adjustment is taken into account. Accordingly, the Treasury Department and the IRS considered two options to address the concern expressed by the comment. These options were: (i) adopt the foreign tax credit proposed regulations described

above with a statement that the reduction in basis is limited to the taxpayer's adjusted basis in the stock of the foreign corporation; or (ii) allow a taxpayer's adjusted basis in the stock of the foreign corporation to be reduced below zero as a result of the adjustment for section 965(b)(4)(B) as long as the adjustment for E&P provided in section 864(e)(4) increased the adjusted basis of the foreign corporation to or above zero.

The first option was to adopt the proposed regulations with a statement that the reduction in basis is limited to the taxpayer's adjusted basis in the stock of the foreign corporation. On one hand, this would address the concerns that the adjustment could cause a taxpayer's adjusted basis in the stock of the foreign corporation to be less than zero for purposes of the expense allocation rules. On the other hand, this would perpetuate some of the distortion created by the application of section 965(b)(4)(B). That is, because the increase in the E&P of the foreign corporation would exceed the downward adjustment in the basis of the foreign corporation, the adjusted basis in the stock of the foreign corporation would still be higher for purposes of section 864(e)(4) than if section 965(b)(4)(B) had not applied.

The second option was to provide that the taxpayer's adjusted basis in the stock of the foreign corporation may be reduced below zero as a result of the adjustment for section 965(b)(4)(B) as long as the adjustment for E&P provided in section 864(e)(4) increased the adjusted basis of the foreign corporation to or above zero. This option fully addresses the non-economic increase to the E&P of the foreign corporation under section 965(b)(4)(B) because the adjusted basis of the foreign corporation is reduced by the full amount of the increase. However, it also still ensures that, for expense allocation purposes, the adjusted basis of the stock of the foreign corporation will not be below zero, after accounting for the E&P adjustment in section 864(e)(4). The Treasury Department and the IRS selected this option for the final regulations because it addressed the concerns regarding negative adjusted basis while most accurately reflecting the value of the stock in the foreign corporation for purposes of the expense allocation

rules, and did not increase compliance costs relative to the alternatives

ii. Affected Taxpayers

The taxpayers potentially affected by this aspect of the final regulations are those taxpayers that own at least 10 percent of a foreign corporation that had its E&P increased under section 965(b)(4)(B). The Treasury Department and the IRS have not estimated how many taxpayers are likely to be affected by these regulations because this level of detail regarding taxpayer filings under section 965 is not readily available. However, 100,000 taxpayers were estimated to pay the section 965 one-time tax. This is an upper-bound estimate of affected taxpayers since only those with an E&P adjustment under section 965(b)(4)(B) would be affected. Information on those taxpayers is not readily available to the Treasury Department and the IRS.

II. Paperwork Reduction Act

In response to comments addressing the notices of proposed rulemaking preceding the final regulations, the Treasury Department and the IRS have added new collections of information with respect to section 951A and revised a collection of information with respect to section 965(n).

The new collections of information in these regulations with respect to section 951A are in §1.951A-3(e)(3)(ii), (h)(1)(iv)(A), and (h)(2)(ii)(B)(3). The revised collection of information with respect to the election under section 965(n) is in §1.965-7(e)(2)(ii)(B).

The collection of information in §1.951A-3(e)(3)(ii) is an election that the controlling domestic shareholders of a CFC may make in order for the CFC to continue to use its book depreciation method (rather than converting to ADS) for purposes of determining the adjusted basis in specified tangible property placed in service before its first taxable year beginning after December 22, 2017 if certain conditions are met. This election is made by controlling domestic shareholders by attaching a statement meeting the requirements of §1.964-1(c)(3)(ii) with their income tax returns following the notice requirements of §1.964-1(c)(3)(iii). This election, if made by a CFC,

simplifies the calculation of the QBAI for the CFC attributable to property placed in service before December 22, 2017, which, and in turn, simplifies the calculation of the DTIR of the CFC's U.S. shareholders attributable to such property. For purposes of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507(d)) ("PRA"), the reporting burden associated with §1.951A-3(e)(3)(ii) will be reflected in the PRA submission associated with the Form 990 series, Form 1120 series, Form 1040 series, Form 1041 series, and Form 1065 series (see chart at the end of this part II of this Special Analyses section for the status of the PRA submissions for these forms).

The collection of information in §1.951A-3(h)(1)(iv)(A) is a statement that a U.S. shareholder must attach to a Form 5471 with respect to a CFC in order to rebut the presumption that a transfer of specified tangible property held by the CFC for less than 12 months was held temporarily with a principal purpose of increasing the DTIR of the U.S. shareholder. The information included in the statement is required in order for the IRS to be aware if the taxpayer takes the position that the temporary ownership rule of §1.951A-3(h)(1) does not apply. Without this statement, there is a presumption that such property is held temporarily with a principal purpose of increasing DTIR of a U.S. shareholder and a portion of the basis in the property may be disregarded for purposes of calculating QBAI of the CFC that holds the property temporarily. The statement indicates that the U.S. shareholder should be allowed the benefit of basis that would otherwise be disregarded for purposes of calculating QBAI. For purposes of the PRA, the reporting burden associated with §1.951A-3(h)(1)(iv)(A) will be reflected in the PRA submission associated with Form 5471, "Information Return of U.S. Persons With Respect to Certain Foreign Corporations" (OMB control number 1545-0123).

The collection of information in §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(3) is an election to disregard disqualified basis, which is certain basis that was created by reason of a disqualified transfer during the disqualified period of a transferor CFC, as those terms are defined in §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(C). This election would simplify recordkeeping with respect to the property

because a separate record of the disqualified basis and total adjusted basis in the property would not have to be tracked. For purposes of determining disqualified basis, a disqualified transfer includes both a direct transfer during the disqualified period by one CFC to a related person, and also an indirect transfer of property owned by a partnership through, for example, a transfer by a CFC to a related person of an interest in the partnership, for which a section 754 election is in effect. Therefore, disqualified basis may exist in both property held by a CFC and property held by a partnership. Accordingly, there are two methods for making this election based upon whether the property with disqualified basis is held directly by a CFC or indirectly through a partnership in which the CFC is a partner. With respect to property held directly by the CFC, this election is made by controlling domestic shareholders of the CFC by attaching a statement meeting the requirements of §1.964-1(c)(3)(ii) with their income tax returns following the notice requirements

of §1.964-1(c)(3)(iii). See §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(3)(ii). With respect to property held in a partnership in which the CFC is a partner, this election is made by the partnership by filing a statement as described in §1.754-1(b)(1) attached to the partnership return. See §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(3)(iii). For purposes of the PRA, the reporting burden associated with §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(3)(ii) will be reflected in the PRA submission associated with the Form 990 series, Form 1120 series, Form 1040 series, Form 1041 series, and Form 1065 series (see chart at the end of this part II of the Special Analysis section for the status of the PRA submissions for these forms). For purposes of the PRA, the reporting burden associated with §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(3)(iii) will be reflected in the PRA submission associated with Form 1065 (see chart at the end of this part II of the Special Analysis section for the status of the PRA submissions for this form).

The collection of information in §1.965-7(e)(2)(ii)(B) requires a taxpayer revoking a section 965(n) election to attach a statement

to that effect to an amended income tax return. The information is required in order for the IRS to be aware if a taxpayer revokes an election. The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that the reporting burden associated with §1.965-7(e)(2)(ii)(B) to revoke a section 965(n) election is reflected in the reporting burden associated with making the election. For purposes of the PRA, the reporting burden associated with §1.965-7(e)(2)(ii)(B) will be reflected in the PRA submission associated with TD 9846, 84 FR 1838 (February 5, 2019) (OMB control number 1545-2280).

The estimates for the number of impacted filers with respect to the collections of information described in this part II of the Special Analysis section are based on filers of income tax returns with a Form 5471 attached because only filers that are U.S. shareholders of CFCs or that have at least a 10 percent ownership in a foreign corporation would be subject to the information collection requirements. The IRS estimates the number of affected filers to be the following:

Collection of information	Tax Forms Impacted	
	Number of respondents (estimated)	Forms to which the information may be attached
§1.951A-3(e)(3)(ii) Election to continue to use income and E&P depreciation method for property placed in service before the first taxable year beginning after December 22, 2017	25,000 – 35,000	Form 990 series, Form 1120 series, Form 1040 series, Form 1041 series, and Form 1065 series
§1.951A-3(h)(1)(iv)(A) Statement for less than 12 month property	25,000 – 35,000	Form 5471
§1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(3) Election to disregard disqualified basis	25,000 – 35,000	Form 990 series, Form 1120 series, Form 1040 series, Form 1041 series, and Form 1065 series
§1.965-7(e)(2)(ii)(B) Statement to revoke section 965(n) election	25,000 – 35,000	Form 990 series, Form 1120 series, Form 1040 series, Form 1041 series, and Form 1065 series

Source: MeF, DCS, and CDW

The current status of the PRA submissions related to the tax forms that will be revised as a result of the information collections in the section 951A regulations is provided in the accompanying table. As described above, the reporting burdens associated with the information collections in the regulations are included in the aggregated burden estimates for OMB con-

trol numbers 1545-0123 (which represents a total estimated burden time for all forms and schedules for corporations of 3.157 billion hours and total estimated monetized costs of \$58.148 billion (\$2017)), 1545-0074 (which represents a total estimated burden time, including all other related forms and schedules for individuals, of 1.784 billion hours and total esti-

mated monetized costs of \$31.764 billion (\$2017)), 1545-0092 (which represents a total estimated burden time, including all other related forms and schedules for trusts and estates, of 307,844,800 hours and total estimated monetized costs of \$9.950 billion (\$2016)), and 1545-0047 (which represents a total estimated burden time, including all other related forms and

schedules for tax-exempt organizations, of 50.450 million hours and total estimated monetized costs of \$1,297,300,000 (\$2017). The overall burden estimates provided for the OMB control numbers below are aggregate amounts that relate to the entire package of forms associated with the applicable OMB control number and will in the future include, but not isolate, the estimated burden of the tax forms that will be revised as a result of the information collections in the regulations. These numbers are therefore unrelated to the future calculations needed to assess the burden imposed by the regulations. These burdens have been reported for other regulations related to the taxation of cross-border income and the Treasury De-

partment and the IRS urge readers to recognize that these numbers are duplicates and to guard against overcounting the burden that international tax provisions imposed prior to the Act. No burden estimates specific to the forms affected by the regulations are currently available. The Treasury Department and the IRS have not estimated the burden, including that of any new information collections, related to the requirements under the regulations. The Treasury Department and the IRS estimate PRA burdens on a taxpayer-type basis rather than a provision-specific basis. Those estimates would capture both changes made by the Act and those that arise out of discretionary authority exercised in the final regulations.

The Treasury Department and the IRS request comments on all aspects of information collection burdens related to the final regulations, including estimates for how much time it would take to comply with the paperwork burdens described above for each relevant form and ways for the IRS to minimize the paperwork burden. Proposed revisions (if any) to these forms that reflect the information collections contained in these final regulations will be made available for public comment at <https://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/draftTaxForms.html> and will not be finalized until after these forms have been approved by OMB under the PRA.

Form	Type of Filer	OMB Number(s)	Status
Forms 990	Tax exempt entities (NEW Model)	1545-0047	Approved by OIRA 12/21/2018 until 12/31/2019. The Form will be updated with OMB number 1545-0047 and the corresponding PRA Notice on the next revision Link: https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=201811-1545-003
Form 1040	Individual (NEW Model)	1545-0074	Limited Scope submission (1040 only) approved on 12/7/2018 until 12/31/2019. Full ICR submission for all forms in 6/2019. 60 Day FRN not published yet for full collection. Link: https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=201808-1545-031
Form 1041	Trusts and estates	1545-0092	Submitted to OIRA for review on 9/27/2018. Link: https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=201806-1545-014
Form 1065 and 1120	Business (NEW Model)	1545-0123	Approved by OIRA 12/21/2018 until 12/31/2019. Link: https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=201805-1545-019
Form 5471	Business (NEW Model)	1545-0123	Published in the FRN on 10/8/18. Public Comment period closes on 12/10/18. Link: https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=201805-1545-019
	Individual (NEW Model)	1545-0074	Limited Scope submission (1040 only) on 10/11/18 at OIRA for review. Full ICR submission for all forms in 3-2019. 60 Day FRN not published yet for full collection. Link: https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=201808-1545-031

In 2018, the IRS released and invited comments on drafts of the above forms in order to give members of the public advance notice and an opportunity to submit comments. The IRS received no comments on the portions of the forms that relate to

section 951A during the comment period. Consequently, the IRS made the forms available in late 2018 and early 2019 for use by the public. The IRS is contemplating making additional changes to forms in order to implement these final regulations.

III. Regulatory Flexibility Act

It is hereby certified that this final regulation will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of section

601(6) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. chapter 6).

Sections 951 and 951A generally affect U.S. shareholders of CFCs. Section 965 generally affects U.S. taxpayers who are at least 10-percent shareholders of a foreign corporation. The reporting burdens in §1.951A-3(e)(3)(ii), (h)(1)(iv)(A), and (h)(2)(ii)(B)(3), and §1.965-7(e)(2)(ii)(B) generally affect U.S. taxpayers that elect to make or revoke certain elections or rebut a presumption. In general, foreign corporations are not considered small entities. Nor are U.S. taxpayers considered small entities to the extent the taxpayers are natural persons or entities other than small entities. For purposes of

the PRA, the Treasury Department and the IRS estimate that there are 25,000 – 35,000 respondents of all sizes that are likely to file Form 5471. Only a small proportion of these filers are likely to be small business entities. This estimate was used in the proposed regulations (REG-104390-18), and comments were requested on the number of small entities that are likely to be impacted by the section 951A regulations.

Examining the gross receipts of the e-filed Forms 5471 that is the basis of the 25,000 – 35,000 respondent estimates, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that the tax revenue from section 951A estimated by the Joint Commit-

tee on Taxation for businesses of all sizes is less than 0.3 percent of gross receipts as shown in the table below. Based on data for 2015 and 2016, total gross receipts for all businesses with gross receipts under \$25 million is \$60 billion while those over \$25 million is \$49.1 trillion. Given that tax on GILTI inclusion amounts is correlated with gross receipts, this results in businesses with less than \$25 million in gross receipts accounting for approximately 0.01 percent of the tax revenue. Data are not readily available to determine the sectoral breakdown of these entities. Based on this analysis, smaller businesses are not significantly impacted by these final regulations.

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
JCT tax revenue	7.7	12.5	9.6	9.5	9.3	9.0	9.2	9.3	15.1	21.2
	billion									
Total gross receipts	30727	53870	566676	59644	62684	65865	69201	72710	76348	80094
	billion									
Percent	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03

Source: RAAS, CDW (E-filed Form 5471, category 4 or 5, C and S corporations and partnerships); Conference Report, at 689.

Although the Treasury Department and the IRS received one comment asserting that a substantial number of small entities would be affected by the proposed regulations, that comment was principally concerned with U.S. citizens living abroad that owned foreign corporations directly or indirectly through other foreign entities. U.S. citizens living abroad are not small business entities; thus, no small entity is affected in this scenario.

Specifically, the small business entities that are subject to the requirements of §1.951A-3(e)(3)(ii), (h)(1)(iv)(A), and (h)(2)(ii)(B)(3) of the final regulations are domestic small entities that are U.S. shareholders of one or more CFCs. The data to assess the number of small entities potentially affected by §1.951A-3(e)(3)(ii), (h)(1)(iv)(A), and (h)(2)(ii)(B)(3) are not readily available. However, businesses that are U.S. shareholders of CFCs are generally not small businesses because the ownership of sufficient stock of a CFC in order to be a U.S. shareholder generally entails significant resources and investment. Therefore, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that a substantial number of domestic

small business entities will not be subject to §1.951A-3(e)(3)(ii), (h)(1)(iv)(A), and (h)(2)(ii)(B)(3). Moreover, as discussed above, smaller businesses are not significantly impacted by the final regulations. Consequently, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that §1.951A-3(e)(3)(ii), (h)(1)(iv)(A), and (h)(2)(ii)(B)(3) will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, it is hereby certified that the collection of information requirements of §1.951A-3(e)(3)(ii), (h)(1)(iv)(A), and (h)(2)(ii)(B)(3) will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

With respect to §1.965-7(e)(2)(ii)(B) regarding the revocation of the election under section 965(n), the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that §1.965-7(e)(2)(ii)(B) will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities for the reasons described in part III of the Special Analyses section in TD 9864, 84 FR 1838 (February 5, 2019). Accordingly, it is hereby certified that the collection of information requirements of §1.965-7(e)

(2)(ii)(B) will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Pursuant to section 7805(f), the proposed regulations preceding these final regulations (REG-104390-18 and REG-105600-18) were submitted to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration for comment on their impact on small business.

IV. *Unfunded Mandates Reform Act*

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 requires that agencies assess anticipated costs and benefits and take certain other actions before issuing a final rule that includes any Federal mandate that may result in expenditures in any one year by a state, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million in 1995 dollars, updated annually for inflation. In 2019, that threshold is approximately \$154 million. These regulations do not include any Federal mandate that may result in expenditures by state, local, or tribal governments, or by the private sector in excess of that threshold.

V. Executive Order 13132: Federalism

Executive Order 13132 (entitled “Federalism”) prohibits an agency from publishing any rule that has federalism implications if the rule either imposes substantial, direct compliance costs on state and local governments, and is not required by statute, or preempts state law, unless the agency meets the consultation and funding requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order. These regulations do not have federalism implications and do not impose substantial direct compliance costs on state and local governments or preempt state law within the meaning of the Executive Order.

VI. Congressional Review Act

The Administrator of the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs of the OMB has determined that this Treasury decision is a major rule for purposes of the Congressional Review Act (5 U.S.C. 801 et seq.) (“CRA”). Under section 801(3) of the CRA, a major rule takes effect 60 days after the rule is published in the **Federal Register**. Notwithstanding this requirement, section 808(2) of the CRA allows agencies to dispense with the requirements of section 801 of the CRA when the agency for good cause finds that such procedure would be impracticable, unnecessary, or contrary to the public interest and that the rule shall take effect at such time as the agency promulgating the rule determines.

Pursuant to section 808(2) of the CRA, the Treasury Department and the IRS find, for good cause, that a 60-day delay in the effective date is unnecessary and contrary to the public interest. The statutory provisions to which these rules relate were enacted on December 22, 2017 and apply to taxable years of foreign corporations and to the taxable years of United States persons in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end. In certain cases, these taxable years have already ended. This means that the statutory provisions are currently effective, and taxpayers may be subject to Federal income tax liability for their 2017 or 2018 taxable years reflecting these provisions. In certain cases, taxpayers may be required to file returns reflecting this Federal income liability during the 60-day period that begins after this rule is published in the **Federal Register**.

These final regulations provide crucial guidance for taxpayers on how to apply the relevant statutory rules, compute their tax liability and accurately file their Federal income tax returns. These final regulations resolve statutory ambiguity, prevent abuse and grant taxpayer relief that would not be available based solely on the statute. Because taxpayers must already comply with the statute, a 60-day delay in the effective date of the final regulations is unnecessary and contrary to the public interest. A delay would place certain taxpayers in the unusual position of having to determine whether to file tax returns during the pre-effective date period based on final regulations that are not yet effective. If taxpayers chose not to follow the final regulations and did not amend their returns after the regulations became effective, it would place significant strain on the IRS to ensure that taxpayers correctly calculated their tax liabilities. For example, in cases where taxpayers and their CFCs have engaged in disqualified transfers or other abusive transactions, a delayed effective date may hamper the IRS’ ability to detect such transactions. Moreover, a delayed effective date could create uncertainty and possible restatements with respect to financial statement audits. Therefore, the rules in this Treasury decision are effective on the date of publication in the **Federal Register** and apply in certain cases to taxable years of foreign corporations and United States persons beginning before such date.

The foregoing good cause statement only applies to the 60-day delayed effective date provision of section 801(3) of the CRA and is permitted under section 808(2) of the CRA. The Treasury Department and the IRS hereby comply with all aspects of the CRA and the Administrative Procedure Act (5 U.S.C. 551 et seq.).

Drafting Information

The principal authors of the regulations are Jorge M. Oben, Michael A. Kaercher, and Karen Cate of the Office of Associate Chief Counsel (International), Jennifer N. Keeney of the Office of the Associate Chief Counsel (Passthroughs and Special Industries), and Katherine H. Zhang and Kevin M. Jacobs of the Office of Associate Chief Counsel (Corporate). However, other personnel from the Treasury Depart-

ment and the IRS participated in the development of the regulations.

Effect on Other Documents

The following publications are obsolete as of June 21, 2019:

Notice 2009-7 (2009-3 I.R.B. 312).

Notice 2010-41 (2010-22 I.R.B. 715).

Statement of Availability of IRS Documents

IRS Revenue Procedures, Revenue Rulings, notices, and other guidance cited in this document are published in the Internal Revenue Bulletin (or Cumulative Bulletin) and are available from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Publishing Office, Washington, DC 20402, or by visiting the IRS website at <http://www.irs.gov>.

Adoption of Amendments to the Regulations

Accordingly, 26 CFR part 1 is amended as follows:

PART 1—INCOME TAXES

Paragraph 1. The authority citation for part 1 is amended by adding entries for §§ 1.78-1, 1.861-12, 1.951-1, 1.951A-2, 1.951A-3, 1.951A-5, 1.1502-51, 1.6038-5 in numerical order to read in part as follows:

Authority: 26 U.S.C. 7805 * * *

Section 1.78-1 also issued under 26 U.S.C. 245A(g).

* * * * *

Section 1.861-12 also issued under 26 U.S.C. 864(e)(7).

* * * * *

Section 1.951-1 also issued under 26 U.S.C. 7701(a).

Section 1.951A-2 also issued under 26 U.S.C. 882(c)(1)(A) and 954(b)(5).

Section 1.951A-3 also issued under 26 U.S.C. 951A(d)(4).

Section 1.951A-5 also issued under 26 U.S.C. 951A(f)(1)(B).

* * * * *

Section 1.1502-51 also issued under 26 U.S.C. 1502.

* * * * *

Section 1.6038-5 also issued under 26 U.S.C. 6038.

Par. 2. Section 1.78-1 is revised to read as follows:

§1.78-1 Gross up for deemed paid foreign tax credit.

(a) *Taxes deemed paid by certain domestic corporations treated as a dividend.* If a domestic corporation chooses to have the benefits of the foreign tax credit under section 901 for any taxable year, an amount that is equal to the U.S. dollar amount of foreign income taxes deemed to be paid by the corporation for the year under section 960 (in the case of section 960(d), determined without regard to the phrase “80 percent of” in section 960(d)(1)) is, to the extent provided by this section, treated as a dividend (*a section 78 dividend*) received by the domestic corporation from the foreign corporation. A section 78 dividend is treated as a dividend for all purposes of the Code, except that it is not treated as a dividend for purposes of section 245 or 245A, and does not increase the earnings and profits of the domestic corporation or decrease the earnings and profits of the foreign corporation. Any reduction under section 907(a) of the foreign income taxes deemed paid with respect to combined foreign oil and gas income does not affect the amount treated as a section 78 dividend. See §1.907(a)-1(e)(3). Similarly, any reduction under section 901(e) of the foreign income taxes deemed paid with respect to foreign mineral income does not affect the amount treated as a section 78 dividend. See §1.901-3(a)(2)(i), (b)(2)(i)(b), and (d) *Example 8*. Any reduction under section 6038(c)(1)(B) in the foreign taxes paid or accrued by a foreign corporation is taken into account in determining foreign taxes deemed paid and the amount treated as a section 78 dividend. See, for example, §1.6038-2(k)(5) *Example 1*. To the extent provided in the Code, section 78 does not apply to any tax not allowed as a credit. See, for example, sections 901(j)(3), 901(k)(7), 901(l)(4), 901(m)(6), and 908(b). For rules on determining the source of a section 78 dividend in computing the limitation on the foreign tax credit under section 904, see §§1.861-3(a)(3), 1.862-1(a)(1)(ii), and 1.904-5(m)(6). For rules on assigning a section 78 dividend to a separate category, see §1.904-4.

(b) *Date on which section 78 dividend is received.* A section 78 dividend is con-

sidered received by a domestic corporation on the date on which—

(1) The corporation includes in gross income under section 951(a)(1)(A) the amounts by reason of which there are deemed paid under section 960(a) the foreign income taxes that give rise to that section 78 dividend, notwithstanding that the foreign income taxes may be carried back or carried over to another taxable year and deemed to be paid or accrued in such other taxable year under section 904(c); or

(2) The corporation includes in gross income under section 951A(a) the amounts by reason of which there are deemed paid under section 960(d) the foreign income taxes that give rise to that section 78 dividend.

(c) *Applicability date.* This section applies to taxable years of foreign corporations that begin after December 31, 2017, and to taxable years of United States shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end. The second sentence of paragraph (a) of this section also applies to section 78 dividends that are received after December 31, 2017, by reason of taxes deemed paid under section 960(a) with respect to a taxable year of a foreign corporation beginning before January 1, 2018.

Par. 3. Section 1.861-12 is amended by revising paragraph (c)(2) and adding paragraph (k) to read as follows.

§1.861-12 Characterization rules and adjustments for certain assets.

(c)***

(2) *Basis adjustment for stock in 10 percent owned corporations—(i) Taxpayers using the tax book value method—(A) General rule.* For purposes of apportioning expenses on the basis of the tax book value of assets, the adjusted basis of any stock in a 10 percent owned corporation owned by the taxpayer either directly or indirectly through a partnership or other pass-through entity (after taking into account the adjustments described in paragraph (c)(2)(i)(B)(I) of this section) shall be—

(I) Increased by the amount of the earnings and profits of such corporation (and of lower-tier 10 percent owned corporations) attributable to such stock and accumulated during the period the taxpayer or other members of its affiliated group held 10 percent or more of such stock; or

(2) Reduced by any deficit in earnings and profits of such corporation (and of lower-tier 10 percent owned corporations) attributable to such stock for such period; or

(3) Zero, if after application of paragraphs (c)(2)(i)(A)(I) and (2) of this section, the adjusted basis of the stock is less than zero.

(B) *Computational rules—(1) Adjustments to basis—(i) Application of section 961 or 1293(d).* For purposes of this section, a taxpayer’s adjusted basis in the stock of a foreign corporation does not include any amount included in basis under section 961 or 1293(d) of the Code.

(ii) *Application of section 965(b).* For purposes of this section, if a taxpayer owned the stock of a specified foreign corporation (as defined in §1.965-1(f)(45)) as of the close of the last taxable year of the specified foreign corporation that began before January 1, 2018, the taxpayer’s adjusted basis in the stock of the specified foreign corporation for that taxable year and any subsequent taxable year is determined as if the taxpayer did not make the election described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(i) (regardless of whether the election was actually made) and is further adjusted as described in this paragraph (c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii). If §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(B) applied (or would have applied if the election had been made) with respect to the stock of a specified foreign corporation, the taxpayer’s adjusted basis in the stock of the specified foreign corporation is reduced by the amount described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(B)(I) (without regard to the rule for limited basis adjustments in §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(B)(2) and the limitation in §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(C), and without regard to the rules regarding the netting of basis adjustments in §1.965-2(h)(2)). The reduction in the taxpayer’s adjusted basis in the stock may reduce the taxpayer’s adjusted basis in the stock below zero prior to the application of paragraphs (c)(2)(i)(A)(I) and (2) of this section. No adjustment is made in the taxpayer’s adjusted basis in the stock of a specified foreign corporation for an amount described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(A). To the extent that, in an exchange described in section 351, 354, or 356, a taxpayer receives stock of a foreign corporation in exchange for stock of a specified foreign corporation described in this paragraph (c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii), this para-

graph (c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii) applies to such stock received.

(2) *Amount of earnings and profits.* For purposes of this paragraph (c)(2), earnings and profits (or deficits) are computed under the rules of section 312 and, in the case of a foreign corporation, sections 964(a) and 986 for taxable years of the 10 percent owned corporation ending on or before the close of the taxable year of the taxpayer. Accordingly, the earnings and profits of a controlled foreign corporation include all earnings and profits described in section 959(c). The amount of the earnings and profits with respect to stock of a foreign corporation held by the taxpayer is determined according to the attribution principles of section 1248 and the regulations under section 1248. The attribution principles of section 1248 apply without regard to the requirements of section 1248 that are not relevant to the determination of a shareholder's pro rata portion of earnings and profits, such as whether earnings and profits (or deficits) were derived (or incurred) during taxable years beginning before or after December 31, 1962.

(3) *Annual noncumulative adjustment.* The adjustment required by paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section is made annually and is noncumulative. Thus, the adjusted basis of the stock (determined without regard to prior years' adjustments under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A) of this section) is adjusted annually by the amount of accumulated earnings and profits (or deficits) attributable to the stock as of the end of each year.

(4) *Translation of non-dollar functional currency earnings and profits.* Earnings and profits (or deficits) of a qualified business unit that has a functional currency other than the dollar must be computed under this paragraph (c)(2) in functional currency and translated into dollars using the exchange rate at the end of the taxpayer's current taxable year (and not the exchange rates for the years in which the earnings and profits or deficits were derived or incurred).

(C) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate the application of paragraph (c)(2)(i) of this section.

(1) *Example 1: No election described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(i)—(i) Facts.* USP, a domestic corporation, owns all of the stock of CFC1 and CFC2, both controlled foreign corporations. USP, CFC1, and CFC2 all use the calendar year as their U.S. taxable year. USP owned CFC1 and CFC2 as of December 31, 2017,

and CFC1 and CFC2 were specified foreign corporations with respect to USP. USP's basis in each share of stock of each of CFC1 and CFC2 is identical. USP did not make the election described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(i), but if USP had made the election, §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(B) would have applied to the stock of CFC2 and the amount described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(B)(I) (without regard to the rule for limited basis adjustments in §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(B)(2) and without regard to the rules regarding the netting of basis adjustments in §1.965-2(h)(2)) with respect to the stock of CFC2, in aggregate, is \$75x. For purposes of determining the value of the stock of CFC1 and CFC2 at the beginning of the 2019 taxable year, without regard to amounts included in basis under section 961 or 1293(d), USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC1 is \$100x and its adjusted basis in the stock of CFC2 is \$350x (before the application of paragraph (c)(2)(i)(B) of this section).

(ii) *Analysis.* Under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii) of this section, USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC1 is determined as if USP did not make the election described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(i). USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC2 is then reduced by \$75x, the amount described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(B)(I), without regard to the rule for limited basis adjustments in §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(B)(2) and without regard to the rules regarding the netting of basis adjustments in §1.965-2(h)(2). No adjustment is made to USP's adjusted basis in the stock in CFC1. Accordingly, for purposes of determining the value of stock of CFC1 and CFC2 at the beginning of the 2019 taxable year, USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC1 is \$100x and USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC2 is \$275x (\$350x - \$75x).

(2) *Example 2: Election described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(i)—(i) Facts.* USP, a domestic corporation, owns all of the stock of CFC1, which owns all of the stock of CFC2, both controlled foreign corporations. USP, CFC1, and CFC2 all use the calendar year as their U.S. taxable year. USP owned CFC1, and CFC1 owned CFC2 as of December 31, 2017, and CFC1 and CFC2 were specified foreign corporations with respect to USP. USP's basis in each share of stock of CFC1 is identical. USP made the election described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(i). As a result of the election, USP was required to increase its basis in the stock of CFC1 by \$90x under §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(A)(I), and to decrease its basis in the stock of CFC1 by \$90x under §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(B)(I). Pursuant to §1.965-2(h)(2), USP netted the increase of \$90x against the decrease of \$90x and made no net adjustment to the basis in the stock of CFC1. For purposes of determining the value of the stock of CFC1 at the beginning of the 2019 taxable year, without regard to amounts included in basis under section 961 or 1293(d), USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC1 is \$600x (before the application of paragraph (c)(2)(i)(B) of this section).

(ii) *Analysis.* Under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii) of this section, USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC1 is determined as if USP did not make the election described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(i). While USP made the election, no adjustment was made to the stock of CFC1 as a result of the election. However, USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC1 is then reduced by \$90x, the amount described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(B)(I), without regard to the rules regarding the netting of basis described in §1.965-2(h)(2). No adjustment is made to USP's basis in the stock of CFC1 for the amount described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(ii)(A)(I). Ac-

cordingly, for purposes of determining the value of stock of CFC1 at the beginning of the 2019 taxable year, USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC1 is \$510x (\$600x - \$90x).

(3) *Example 3: Adjusted basis below zero—(i) Facts.* The facts are the same as in paragraph (c)(2)(i)(C)(I)(i) of this section (the facts in *Example 1*), except that for purposes of determining the value of the stock of CFC2 at the beginning of the 2019 taxable year, without regard to amounts included in basis under section 961 or 1293(d), USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC2 is \$0 (before the application of paragraph (c)(2)(i)(B) of this section). Additionally, the adjusted basis of USP in the stock of CFC1 and CFC2 at the end of the 2019 taxable year is the same as at the beginning of that year, and as of the end of the 2019 taxable year, CFC1 has earnings and profits of \$25x and CFC2 has earnings and profits of \$50x that are attributable to the stock owned by USP and accumulated during the period that USP held the stock of CFC1 and CFC2.

(ii) *Analysis.* The analysis is the same as in paragraph (c)(2)(i)(C)(I)(ii) of this section (the analysis in *Example 1*) except that for purposes of determining the value of stock of CFC1 and CFC2 at the beginning of the 2019 taxable year, USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC2 is -\$75x (\$0 - \$75x). Because USP's basis in the stock of CFC1 and CFC2 is the same at the end of the 2019 taxable year, prior to the application of the adjustments in paragraphs (c)(2)(i)(A)(I) and (2) of this section, USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC1 is \$100x and USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC2 is -\$75x. Under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A)(I) of this section, for purposes of apportioning expenses on the basis of the tax book value of assets, USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC1 is \$125x (\$100x + \$25x). Under paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A)(3) of this section, for purposes of apportioning expenses on the basis of the tax book value of assets, USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC2 is \$0 because after applying paragraph (c)(2)(i)(A)(I) of this section, USP's adjusted basis in the stock of CFC2 is less than zero (-\$75x + \$50x).

(4) *Example 4: Election described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(i) and adjusted basis below zero—(i) Facts.* The facts are the same as in paragraph (c)(2)(i)(C)(3)(i) of this section (the facts in *Example 3*), except that USP made the election described in §1.965-2(f)(2)(i) and, as result, recognized \$75x of gain under §1.965-2(h)(3).

(ii) *Analysis.* The analysis is the same as in paragraph (c)(2)(i)(C)(3)(ii) of this section (the analysis in *Example 3*).

(c)(2)(ii) through (c)(2)(vi) [Reserved]. For further guidance, see §1.861-12T(c)(2)(ii) through (c)(2)(vi).

* * * * *

(k) *Applicability date.* This section applies to taxable years that both begin after December 31, 2017, and end on or after December 4, 2018. Paragraphs (c)(2)(i)(A) and (c)(2)(i)(B)(I)(ii) of this section also apply to the last taxable year of a foreign corporation that begins before January 1, 2018, and with respect to a United States person, the taxable year in which or

with which such taxable year of the foreign corporation ends.

Par. 4. Section 1.861-12T is amended by revising paragraph (c)(2)(i) to read as follows:

§1.861-12T Characterization rules and adjustments for certain assets (temporary).

(c)***

(c)(2)(i)(A) through (C) [Reserved].
For further guidance, see §1.861-12(c)(2)(i)(A) through (c)(2)(i)(C).

Par. 5. Section 1.951-1 is amended by:

1. Revising paragraph (a) introductory text.
2. Revising paragraphs (b)(1)(ii), (b)(2), (c), (e), and (g)(1).
3. Adding paragraphs (h) and (i).

The revisions and addition read as follows:

§1.951-1 Amounts included in gross income of United States shareholders.

(a) *In general.* If a foreign corporation is a controlled foreign corporation (within the meaning of section 957) at any time during any taxable year of such corporation, every person—

(b)***

(1)***

(ii) The lesser of—

(A) The amount of distributions received by any other person during such

taxable year as a dividend with respect to such stock multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is the subpart F income of such corporation for the taxable year and the denominator of which is the sum of the subpart F income and the tested income (as defined in section 951A(c)(2)(A) and §1.951A-2(b)(1)) of such corporation for the taxable year, and

(B) The dividend which would have been received by such other person if the distributions by such corporation to all its shareholders had been the amount which bears the same ratio to the subpart F income of such corporation for the taxable year as the part of such year during which such shareholder did not own (within the meaning of section 958(a)) such stock bears to the entire taxable year.

(2) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate the application of this paragraph (b).

(i) *Facts.* The following facts are assumed for purposes of the examples.

(A) A is a United States shareholder.

(B) M is a foreign corporation that has only one class of stock outstanding.

(C) B is a nonresident alien individual, and stock owned by B is not considered owned by a domestic entity under section 958(b).

(D) P and R are foreign corporations.

(E) All persons use the calendar year as their taxable year.

(F) Year 1 ends on or after October 3, 2018, and has 365 days.

(ii) *Example 1—(A) Facts.* A owns 100% of the stock of M throughout Year 1. For Year 1, M derives \$100x of subpart F income, has \$100x of earnings and profits, and makes no distributions.

(B) *Analysis.* Under section 951(a)(2) and paragraph (b)(1) of this section, A's pro rata share of the subpart F income of M for Year 1 is \$100x.

(iii) *Example 2—(A) Facts.* The facts are the same as in paragraph (b)(2)(ii)(A) of this section (the facts in *Example 1*), except that instead of holding 100% of the stock of M for the entire year, A sells 60% of such stock to B on May 26, Year 1. Thus, M is a controlled foreign corporation for the period January 1, Year 1, through May 26, Year 1.

(B) *Analysis.* Under section 951(a)(2)(A) and paragraph (b)(1)(i) of this section, A's pro rata share of the subpart F income of M is limited to the subpart F income of M which bears the same ratio to its subpart F income for such taxable year (\$100x) as the part of such year during which M is a controlled foreign corporation bears to the entire taxable year (146/365). Accordingly, under section 951(a)(2) and paragraph (b)(1) of this section, A's pro rata share of the subpart F income of M for Year 1 is \$40x (\$100x x 146/365).

(iv) *Example 3—(A) Facts.* The facts are the same as in paragraph (b)(2)(ii)(A) of this section (the facts in *Example 1*), except that instead of holding 100% of the stock of M for the entire year, A holds 60% of such stock on December 31, Year 1, having acquired such stock on May 26, Year 1, from B, who owned such stock from January 1, Year 1. Before A's acquisition of the stock, M had distributed a dividend of \$15x to B in Year 1 with respect to the stock so acquired by A. M has no tested income for Year 1.

(B) *Analysis.* Under section 951(a)(2) and paragraph (b)(1) of this section, A's pro rata share of the subpart F income of M for Year 1 is \$21x, such amount being determined as follows:

Table 1 to paragraph (b)(2)(iv)(B):

M's subpart F income for Year 1	\$100x
Less: Reduction under section 951(a)(2)(A) for period (1–1 through 5–26) during which M is not a controlled foreign corporation (\$100x x 146/365)	40x
Subpart F income for Year 1 as limited by section 951(a)(2)(A)	60x
A's pro rata share of subpart F income as determined under section 951(a)(2)(A) (0.6 x \$60x)	36x
Less: Reduction under section 951(a)(2)(B) for dividends received by B during Year 1 with respect to the stock of M acquired by A:	
(i) Dividend received by B (\$15x), multiplied by a fraction (\$100x/\$100x), the numerator of which is the subpart F income of such corporation for the taxable year (\$100x) and the denominator of which is the sum of the subpart F income and the tested income of such corporation for the taxable year (\$100x) (\$15x x (\$100x/\$100x))	15x
(ii) B's pro rata share (60%) of the amount which bears the same ratio to the subpart F income of such corporation for the taxable year (\$100x) as the part of such year during which A did not own (within the meaning of section 958(a)) such stock bears to the entire taxable year (146/365) (0.6 x \$100x x (146/365))	24x
(iii) Amount of reduction under section 951(a)(2)(B) (lesser of (i) or (ii))	15x
A's pro rata share of subpart F income as determined under section 951(a)(2)	21x

(v) *Example 4—(A) Facts.* A owns 100% of the only class of stock of P throughout Year 1, and P owns 100% of the only class of stock of R throughout Year 1. For Year 1, R derives \$100x of subpart F income, has \$100x of earnings and profits, and distributes a dividend of \$20x to P. R has no gross tested income. P has no income for Year 1 other than the dividend received from R.

(B) *Analysis.* Under section 951(a)(2) and paragraph (b)(1) of this section, A's pro rata share of the subpart F income of R for Year 1 is \$100x. A's pro rata share of the subpart F income of R is not reduced under section 951(a)(2)(B) and paragraph (b)

(1)(ii) of this section for the dividend of \$20x paid to P because there was no part of Year 1 during which A did not own (within the meaning of section 958(a)) the stock of R. Under section 959(b), the \$20x distribution from R to P is not again includible in the gross income of A under section 951(a). The \$20x distribution from R to P is not includible in the gross tested income of P.

(vi) *Example 5—(A) Facts.* The facts are the same as in paragraph (b)(2)(v)(A) of this section (the facts in *Example 4*), except that instead of holding 100% of the stock of R for the entire year, P holds 60% of such stock on December 31, Year 1, having

acquired such stock on March 14, Year 1, from B. Before P's acquisition of the stock, R had distributed a dividend of \$100x to B in Year 1 with respect to the stock so acquired by P. The stock interest so acquired by P was owned by B from January 1, Year 1, until acquired by P. R also has \$300x of tested income for Year 1.

(B) *Analysis—(1) Limitation of pro rata share of subpart F income.* Under section 951(a)(2) and paragraph (b)(1) of this section, A's pro rata share of the subpart F income of M for Year 1 is \$28x, such amount being determined as follows:

Table 1 to paragraph (b)(2)(vi)(B)(1):

R's subpart F income for Year 1	\$100x
Less: Reduction under section 951(a)(2)(A) for period (1–1 through 3–14) during which R is not a controlled foreign corporation (\$100x x 73/365)	20x
Subpart F income for Year 1 as limited by section 951(a)(2)(A)	80x
A's pro rata share of subpart F income as determined under section 951(a)(2)(A) (0.6 x \$80x)	48x
Less: Reduction under section 951(a)(2)(B) for dividends received by B during Year 1 with respect to the stock of R indirectly acquired by A:	
(i) Dividend received by B (\$100x) multiplied by a fraction (\$100x/\$400x), the numerator of which is the subpart F income of such corporation for the taxable year (\$100x) and the denominator of which is the sum of the subpart F income and the tested income of such corporation for the taxable year (\$400x) (\$100x x (\$100x/\$400x))	25x
(ii) B's pro rata share (60%) of the amount which bears the same ratio to the subpart F income of such corporation for the taxable year (\$100x) as the part of such year during which A did not own (within the meaning of section 958(a)) such stock bears to the entire taxable year (73/365) (0.6 x \$100x x (73/365))	12x
(iii) Amount of reduction under section 951(a)(2)(B) (lesser of (i) or (ii))	12x
A's pro rata share of subpart F income as determined under section 951(a)(2)	36x

(2) *Limitation of pro rata share of tested income.* Under section 951A(e)(1) and §1.951A-1(d)(2), A's pro rata share of the tested income of M for Year 1 is \$108x, such amount being determined as follows:

Table 1 to paragraph (b)(2)(vi)(B)(2):

R's tested income for Year 1	\$300x
Less: Reduction under section 951(a)(2)(A) for period (1–1 through 3–14) during which R is not a controlled foreign corporation (\$300x x 73/365)	60x
Tested income for Year 1 as limited by under section 951(a)(2)(A)	240x
A's pro rata share of tested income as determined under §1.951A-1(d)(2) (0.6 x \$240x)	144x
Less: Reduction under section 951(a)(2)(B) for dividends received by B during Year 1 with respect to the stock of R indirectly acquired by A:	
(i) Dividend received by B (\$100x) multiplied by a fraction (\$300x/\$400x), the numerator of which is the tested income of such corporation for the taxable year (\$300x) and the denominator of which is the sum of the subpart F income and the tested income of such corporation for the taxable year (\$400x) (\$100x x (\$300x/\$400x))	75x
(ii) B's pro rata share (60%) of the amount which bears the same ratio to the tested income of such corporation for the taxable year (\$300x) as the part of such year during which A did not own (within the meaning of section 958(a)) such stock bears to the entire taxable year (73/365) (0.6 x \$300x x (73/365))	36x
(iii) Amount of reduction under section 951(a)(2)(B) (lesser of (i) or (ii))	36x
A's pro rata share of tested income under section 951A(e)(1)	108x

(c) [Reserved]
* * * * *

(e) *Pro rata share of subpart F income distribution.* For purposes of paragraph (b) of this section, a United States share-

holder's pro rata share of a controlled foreign corporation's subpart F income for a taxable year is the amount that bears the same ratio to the corporation's subpart F income for the taxable year as the amount of the corporation's allocable earnings and profits that would be distributed with respect to the stock of the corporation which the United States shareholder owns (within the meaning of section 958(a)) for the taxable year bears to the total amount of the corporation's allocable earnings and profits that would be distributed with respect to the stock owned by all the shareholders of the corporation if all the allocable earnings and profits of the corporation for the taxable year (not reduced by actual distributions during the year) were distributed (*hypothetical distribution*) on the last day of the corporation's taxable year on which such corporation is a controlled foreign corporation (*hypothetical distribution date*).

(ii) *Definition of allocable earnings and profits.* For purposes of this paragraph (e), the term *allocable earnings and profits* means, with respect to a controlled foreign corporation for a taxable year, the amount that is the greater of—

(A) The earnings and profits of the corporation for the taxable year determined under section 964; and

(B) The sum of the subpart F income (as determined under section 952 after the application of section 951A(c)(2)(B)(ii) and §1.951A-6(b)) of the corporation for the taxable year and the tested income (as defined in section 951A(c)(2)(A) and §1.951A-2(b)(1)) of the corporation for the taxable year.

(2) *One class of stock.* If a controlled foreign corporation for a taxable year has only one class of stock outstanding on the hypothetical distribution date, the amount of the corporation's allocable earnings and profits distributed in the hypothetical distribution with respect to each share in the class of stock is determined as if the hypothetical distribution were made pro rata with respect to each share in the class of stock.

(3) *More than one class of stock.* If a controlled foreign corporation for a taxable year has more than one class of stock outstanding on the hypothetical distribution date, the amount of the corporation's allocable earnings and profits distributed

in the hypothetical distribution with respect to each class of stock is determined based on the distribution rights of each class of stock on the hypothetical distribution date, which amount is then further distributed pro rata with respect to each share in the class of stock. Subject to paragraphs (e)(4) through (6) of this section, the distribution rights of a class of stock are determined taking into account all facts and circumstances related to the economic rights and interest in the allocable earnings and profits of the corporation of each class, including the terms of the class of stock, any agreement among the shareholders and, if and to the extent appropriate, the relative fair market value of shares of stock. For purposes of this paragraph (e)(3), facts and circumstances do not include actual distributions (including distributions by redemption) or any amount treated as a dividend under any other provision of subtitle A of the Internal Revenue Code (for example, under section 78, 356(a)(2), 367(b), or 1248) made during the taxable year that includes the hypothetical distribution date.

(4) *Special rules—(i) Redemptions, liquidations, and returns of capital.* No amount of allocable earnings and profits is distributed in the hypothetical distribution with respect to a particular class of stock based on the terms of the class of stock of the controlled foreign corporation or any agreement or arrangement with respect thereto that would result in a redemption (even if such redemption would be treated as a distribution of property to which section 301 applies pursuant to section 302(d)), a distribution in liquidation, or a return of capital.

(ii) *Certain cumulative preferred stock.* If a controlled foreign corporation has outstanding a class of redeemable preferred stock with cumulative dividend rights and dividend arrearages on such stock do not compound at least annually at a rate that equals or exceeds the applicable Federal rate (as defined in section 1274(d)(1)) that applies on the date the stock is issued for the term from such issue date to the mandatory redemption date based on a comparable compounding assumption (the *relevant AFR*), the amount of the corporation's allocable earnings and profits distributed in the hypothetical distribution with respect to the class of stock may not

exceed the amount of dividends actually paid during the taxable year with respect to the class of stock plus the present value at the end of the controlled foreign corporation's taxable year of the unpaid current dividends with respect to the class determined using the relevant AFR and assuming the dividends will be paid at the mandatory redemption date. For purposes of this paragraph (e)(4)(ii), if the class of preferred stock does not have a mandatory redemption date, the mandatory redemption date is the date that the class of preferred stock is expected to be redeemed based on all facts and circumstances.

(iii) *Dividend arrearages.* If there is an arrearage in dividends for prior taxable years with respect to a class of preferred stock of a controlled foreign corporation, an amount of the corporation's allocable earnings and profits is distributed in the hypothetical distribution to the class of preferred stock by reason of the arrearage only to the extent the arrearage exceeds the accumulated earnings and profits of the controlled foreign corporation remaining from prior taxable years beginning after December 31, 1962, as of the beginning of the taxable year, or the date on which such stock was issued, whichever is later (the *applicable date*). If there is an arrearage in dividends for prior taxable years with respect to more than one class of preferred stock, the previous sentence is applied to each class in order of priority, except that the accumulated earnings and profits remaining after the applicable date are reduced by the allocable earnings and profits necessary to satisfy arrearages with respect to classes of stock with a higher priority. For purposes of this paragraph (e)(4)(iii), the amount of any arrearage with respect to stock described in paragraph (e)(4)(ii) of this section is determined in the same manner as the present value of unpaid current dividends on such stock under paragraph (e)(4)(ii) of this section.

(5) *Restrictions or other limitations on distributions—(i) In general.* A restriction or other limitation on distributions of an amount of earnings and profits by a controlled foreign corporation is not taken into account in determining the amount of the corporation's allocable earnings and profits distributed in a hypothetical distribution to a class of stock of the controlled foreign corporation.

(ii) *Definition.* For purposes of paragraph (e)(5)(i) of this section, a restriction or other limitation on distributions includes any limitation that has the effect of limiting the distribution of an amount of earnings and profits by a controlled foreign corporation with respect to a class of stock of the corporation, other than currency or other restrictions or limitations imposed under the laws of any foreign country as provided in section 964(b).

(iii) *Exception for certain preferred distributions.* For purposes of paragraph (e)(5)(i) of this section, the right to receive periodically a fixed amount (whether determined by a percentage of par value, a reference to a floating coupon rate, a stated return expressed in terms of a certain amount of U.S. dollars or foreign currency, or otherwise) with respect to a class of stock the distribution of which is a condition precedent to a further distribution of earnings and profits that year with respect to any class of stock (not including a distribution in partial or complete liquidation) is not a restriction or other limitation on the distribution of earnings and profits by a controlled foreign corporation.

(iv) *Illustrative list of restrictions and limitations.* Except as provided in paragraph (e)(5)(iii) of this section, restrictions or other limitations on distributions include, but are not limited to—

(A) An arrangement that restricts the ability of a controlled foreign corporation to pay dividends on a class of stock of the corporation until a condition or conditions are satisfied (for example, until another class of stock is redeemed);

(B) A loan agreement entered into by a controlled foreign corporation that restricts or otherwise affects the ability to make distributions on its stock until certain requirements are satisfied; or

(C) An arrangement that conditions the ability of a controlled foreign corporation to pay dividends to its shareholders on the financial condition of the corporation.

(6) *Transactions and arrangements with a principal purpose of changing pro rata shares.* Appropriate adjustments must be made to the allocation of allocable earnings and profits that would be distributed (without regard to this paragraph (e)(6)) in a hypothetical distribution with respect to any share of stock outstanding as of the hypothetical distribution date

to disregard the effect on the hypothetical distribution of any transaction or arrangement that is undertaken as part of a plan a principal purpose of which is the avoidance of Federal income taxation by changing the amount of allocable earnings and profits distributed in any hypothetical distribution with respect to such share. This paragraph (e)(6) also applies for purposes of the pro rata share rules described in §1.951A-1(d) that reference this paragraph (e), including the rules in §1.951A-1(d)(3) that determine the pro rata share of qualified business asset investment based on the pro rata share of tested income.

(7) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate the application of this paragraph (e).

(i) *Facts.* Except as otherwise stated, the following facts are assumed for purposes of the examples:

(A) FC1 is a controlled foreign corporation.

(B) USP1 and USP2 are domestic corporations.

(C) Individual A is a foreign individual, and FC2 is a foreign corporation that is not a controlled foreign corporation.

(D) All persons use the calendar year as their taxable year.

(E) Any ownership of FC1 by any shareholder is for all of Year 1.

(F) The common shareholders of FC1 are entitled to dividends when declared by FC1's board of directors.

(G) There are no accrued but unpaid dividends with respect to preferred shares, the preferred stock is not described in paragraph (e)(4)(ii) of this section, and common shares have positive liquidation value.

(H) There are no other facts and circumstances related to the economic rights and interest of any class of stock in the allocable earnings and profits of a foreign corporation, and no transaction or arrangement was entered into as part of a plan a principal purpose of which is the avoidance of Federal income taxation.

(I) FC1 has neither tested income within the meaning of section 951A(c)(2)(A) and §1.951A-2(b)(1) nor tested loss within the meaning of section 951A(c)(2)(B)(i) and §1.951A-2(b)(2).

(ii) *Example 1: Single class of stock—(A) Facts.* FC1 has outstanding 100 shares of one class of stock. USP1 owns 60 shares of FC1. USP2 owns 40 shares

of FC1. For Year 1, FC1 has \$1,000x of earnings and profits and \$100x of subpart F income within the meaning of section 952.

(B) *Analysis.* FC1 has one class of stock. Therefore, under paragraph (e)(2) of this section, FC1's allocable earnings and profits of \$1,000x are distributed in the hypothetical distribution pro rata to each share of stock. Accordingly, under paragraph (e)(1) of this section, for Year 1, USP1's pro rata share of FC1's subpart F income is \$60x ($\$100x \times \$600x/\$1,000x$) and USP2's pro rata share of FC1's subpart F income is \$40x ($\$100x \times \$400x/\$1,000x$).

(iii) *Example 2: Common and preferred stock—(A) Facts.* FC1 has outstanding 70 shares of common stock and 30 shares of 4% nonparticipating, voting preferred stock with a par value of \$10x per share. USP1 owns all of the common shares. Individual A owns all of the preferred shares. For Year 1, FC1 has \$100x of earnings and profits and \$50x of subpart F income within the meaning of section 952.

(B) *Analysis.* The distribution rights of the preferred shares are not a restriction or other limitation within the meaning of paragraph (e)(5) of this section. Under paragraph (e)(3) of this section, the amount of FC1's allocable earnings and profits distributed in the hypothetical distribution with respect to Individual A's preferred shares is \$12x ($0.04 \times \$10x \times 30$) and with respect to USP1's common shares is \$88x ($\$100x - \$12x$). Accordingly, under paragraph (e)(1) of this section, USP1's pro rata share of FC1's subpart F income is \$44x ($\$50x \times \$88x/\$100x$) for Year 1.

(iv) *Example 3: Restriction based on cumulative income—(A) Facts.* FC1 has outstanding 10 shares of common stock and 400 shares of 2% nonparticipating, voting preferred stock with a par value of \$1x per share. USP1 owns all of the common shares. FC2 owns all of the preferred shares. USP1 and FC2 cause the governing documents of FC1 to provide that no dividends may be paid to the common shareholders until FC1 cumulatively earns \$100,000x of income. For Year 1, FC1 has \$50x of earnings and profits and \$50x of subpart F income within the meaning of section 952.

(B) *Analysis.* The agreement restricting FC1's ability to pay dividends to common shareholders until FC1 cumulatively earns \$100,000x of income is a restriction or other limitation within the meaning of paragraph (e)(5) of this section. Therefore, the restriction is disregarded for purposes of determining the amount of FC1's allocable earnings and profits distributed in the hypothetical distribution to a class of stock. The distribution rights of the preferred shares are not a restriction or other limitation within the meaning of paragraph (e)(5) of this section. Under paragraph (e)(3) of this section, the amount of FC1's allocable earnings and profits distributed in the hypothetical distribution with respect to FC2's preferred shares is \$8x ($0.02 \times \$1x \times 400$) and with respect to USP1's common shares is \$42x ($\$50x - \$8x$). Accordingly, under paragraph (e)(1) of this section, USP1's pro rata share of FC1's subpart F income is \$42x for Year 1.

(v) *Example 4: Redemption rights—(A) Facts.* FC1 has outstanding 40 shares of common stock and 10 shares of 4% nonparticipating, preferred stock with a par value of \$50x per share. Pursuant to the terms of the preferred stock, FC1 has the right to redeem at any time, in whole or in part, the preferred

stock. FC2 owns all of the preferred shares. USPI, wholly owned by FC2, owns all of the common shares. Pursuant to the governing documents of FC1, no dividends may be paid to the common shareholders while the preferred stock is outstanding. For Year 1, FC1 has \$100x of earnings and profits and \$100x of subpart F income within the meaning of section 952.

(B) *Analysis.* The agreement restricting FC1's ability to pay dividends to common shareholders while the preferred stock is outstanding is a restriction or other limitation within the meaning of paragraph (e)(5) of this section. Therefore, the restriction is disregarded for purposes of determining the amount of FC1's allocable earnings and profits distributed in the hypothetical distribution to a class of stock. Under paragraph (e)(4)(i) of this section, no amount of allocable earnings and profits is distributed in the hypothetical distribution to the preferred shareholders on the hypothetical distribution date as a result of FC1's right to redeem the preferred shares. This is the case regardless of the restriction on paying dividends to the common shareholders while the preferred stock is outstanding, and regardless of the fact that a redemption of FC2's preferred shares would be treated as a distribution to which section 301 applies under section 302(d) (due to FC2's constructive ownership of the common shares). Thus, neither the restriction on paying dividends to the common shareholders while the preferred stock is outstanding nor FC1's redemption rights with respect to the preferred shares affects the distribution of allocable earnings and profits in the hypothetical distribution to FC1's shareholders. However, the distribution rights of the preferred shares are not a restriction or other limitation within the meaning of paragraph (e)(5) of this section. As a result, the amount of FC1's allocable earnings and profits distributed in the hypothetical distribution with respect to FC2's preferred shares is \$20x ($0.04 \times \$50x \times 10$) and with respect to USPI's common shares is \$80x ($\$100x - \$20x$). Accordingly, under paragraph (e)(1) of this section, USPI's pro rata share of FC1's subpart F income is \$80x for Year 1.

(vi) *Example 5: Shareholder owns common and preferred stock—(A) Facts.* FC1 has outstanding 40 shares of common stock and 60 shares of 6% nonparticipating, nonvoting preferred stock with a par value of \$100x per share. USPI owns 30 shares of the common stock and 15 shares of the preferred stock during Year 1. The remaining 10 shares of common stock and 45 shares of preferred stock of FC1 are owned by Individual A. For Year 1, FC1 has \$1,000x of earnings and profits and \$500x of subpart F income within the meaning of section 952.

(B) *Analysis.* The right of the holder of the preferred stock to receive 6% of par value is not a restriction or other limitation within the meaning of paragraph (e)(5) of this section. The amount of FC1's allocable earnings and profits distributed in the hypothetical distribution with respect to FC1's preferred shares is \$360x ($0.06 \times \$100x \times 60$) and with respect to its common shares is \$640x ($\$1,000x - \$360x$). As a result, the amount of FC1's allocable earnings and profits distributed in the hypothetical distribution to USPI is \$570x, the sum of \$90x ($\$360x \times 15/60$) with respect to its preferred shares and \$480x ($\$640x \times 30/40$) with respect to its common shares. Accordingly, under paragraph (e)(1) of this section,

USPI's pro rata share of the subpart F income of FC1 is \$285x ($\$500x \times \$570x/\$1,000x$).

(vii) *Example 6: Subpart F income and tested income—(A) Facts.* FC1 has outstanding 700 shares of common stock and 300 shares of 4% nonparticipating, voting preferred stock with a par value of \$100x per share. USPI owns all of the common shares. USP2 owns all of the preferred shares. For Year 1, FC1 has \$10,000x of earnings and profits, \$2,000x of subpart F income within the meaning of section 952, and \$9,000x of tested income within the meaning of section 951A(c)(2)(A) and §1.951A-2(b)(1).

(B) *Analysis—(1) Hypothetical distribution.* The allocable earnings and profits of FC1 determined under paragraph (e)(1)(ii) of this section are \$11,000x, the greater of FC1's earnings and profits as determined under section 964 (\$10,000x) or the sum of FC1's subpart F income and tested income ($\$2,000x + \$9,000x$). The amount of FC1's allocable earnings and profits distributed in the hypothetical distribution with respect to USP2's preferred shares is \$1,200x ($0.04 \times \$100x \times 300$) and with respect to USPI's common shares is \$9,800x ($\$11,000x - \$1,200x$).

(2) *Pro rata share of subpart F income.* Accordingly, under paragraph (e)(1) of this section, USPI's pro rata share of FC1's subpart F income is \$1,782x ($\$2,000x \times \$9,800x/\$11,000x$), and USP2's pro rata share of FC1's subpart F income is \$218x ($\$2,000x \times \$1,200x/\$11,000x$).

(3) *Pro rata share of tested income.* Accordingly, under §1.951A-1(d)(2), USPI's pro rata share of FC1's tested income is \$8,018x ($\$9,000x \times \$9,800x/\$11,000x$), and USP2's pro rata share of FC1's tested income is \$982x ($\$9,000x \times \$1,200x/\$11,000x$) for Year 1.

(viii) *Example 7: Subpart F income and tested loss—(A) Facts.* The facts are the same as in paragraph (e)(7)(vii)(A) of this section (the facts in *Example 6*), except that for Year 1, FC1 has \$8,000x of earnings and profits, \$10,000x of subpart F income within the meaning of section 952 (but without regard to the limitation in section 952(c)(1)(A)), and \$2,000x of tested loss within the meaning of section 951A(c)(2)(B)(i) and §1.951A-2(b)(2). Under section 951A(c)(2)(B)(ii) and §1.951A-6(b), the earnings and profits of FC1 are increased for purposes of section 952(c)(1)(A) by the amount of FC1's tested loss. Accordingly, after the application of section 951A(c)(2)(B)(ii) and §1.951A-6(b), the subpart F income of FC1 is \$10,000x.

(B) *Analysis—(1) Pro rata share of subpart F income.* The allocable earnings and profits determined under paragraph (e)(1)(ii) of this section are \$10,000x, the greater of the earnings and profits of FC1 determined under section 964 (\$8,000x) or the sum of FC1's subpart F income and tested income ($\$10,000x + \0). The amount of FC1's allocable earnings and profits distributed in the hypothetical distribution with respect to USP2's preferred shares is \$1,200x ($0.04 \times \$100x \times 300$) and with respect to USPI's common shares is \$8,800x ($\$10,000x - \$1,200x$). Accordingly, under paragraph (e)(1) of this section, for Year 1, USPI's pro rata share of FC1's subpart F income is \$8,800x and USP2's pro rata share of FC1's subpart F income is \$1,200x.

(2) *Pro rata share of tested loss.* The allocable earnings and profits determined under §1.951A-1(d)(4)(i)(B) are \$2,000x, the amount of FC1's test-

ed loss. Under §1.951A-1(d)(4)(i)(C), the entire \$2,000x of tested loss is allocated in the hypothetical distribution to USPI's common shares. Accordingly, USPI's pro rata share of the tested loss is \$2,000x.

* * * * *

(g) * * *

(1) *In general.* For purposes of sections 951 through 964, the term *United States shareholder* means, with respect to a foreign corporation, a United States person (as defined in section 957(c)) who owns within the meaning of section 958(a), or is considered as owning by applying the rules of ownership of section 958(b), 10 percent or more of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock entitled to vote of such foreign corporation, or 10 percent or more of the total value of shares of all classes of stock of such foreign corporation.

* * * * *

(h) *Special rule for partnership blocker structures—(1) In general.* For purposes of sections 951 through 964, other than for purposes of 951A, a controlled domestic partnership is treated as a foreign partnership in determining the stock of a controlled foreign corporation owned (within the meaning of section 958(a)) by a United States person if the following conditions are satisfied—

(i) Without regard to paragraph (h) of this section, the controlled domestic partnership owns (within the meaning of section 958(a)) stock of a controlled foreign corporation; and

(ii) If the controlled domestic partnership (and all other controlled domestic partnerships in the chain of ownership of the controlled foreign corporation) were treated as foreign—

(A) The controlled foreign corporation would continue to be a controlled foreign corporation; and

(B) At least one United States shareholder of the controlled foreign corporation would be treated as owning (within the meaning of section 958(a)) stock of the controlled foreign corporation through another foreign corporation that is a direct or indirect partner in the controlled domestic partnership.

(2) *Definition of a controlled domestic partnership.* For purposes of paragraph (h)(1) of this section, the term *controlled domestic partnership* means a domestic partnership that is controlled by a United States shareholder described in paragraph

(h)(1)(ii)(B) of this section and persons related to the United States shareholder. For purposes of this paragraph (h)(2), control is determined based on all the facts and circumstances, except that a partnership will be deemed to be controlled by a United States shareholder and related persons in any case in which those persons, in the aggregate, own (directly or indirectly through one or more partnerships) more than 50 percent of the interests in the partnership capital or profits. For purposes of this paragraph (h)(2), a related person is, with respect to a United States shareholder, a person that is related to the United States shareholder within the meaning of section 267(b) or 707(b)(1).

(3) *Example*—(i) *Facts*. USP, a domestic corporation, owns all of the stock of CFC1 and CFC2. CFC1 and CFC2 own 60% and 40%, respectively, of the interests in the capital and profits of DPS, a domestic partnership. DPS owns all of the stock of CFC3. Each of CFC1, CFC2, and CFC3 is a controlled foreign corporation. USP, DPS, CFC1, CFC2, and CFC3 all use the calendar year as their taxable year. For Year 1, CFC3 has \$100x of subpart F income and \$100x of earnings and profits.

(ii) *Analysis*. DPS is a controlled domestic partnership within the meaning of paragraph (h)(2) of this section because more than 50% of the interests in its capital or profits are owned by persons related to USP within the meaning of section 267(b) (that is, CFC1 and CFC2), and thus DPS is controlled by USP and related persons. The conditions of paragraph (h)(1) of this section are satisfied because, without regard to paragraph (h) of this section, DPS is a United States shareholder that owns (within the meaning of section 958(a)) stock of CFC3, a controlled foreign corporation, and if DPS were treated as foreign, CFC3 would continue to be a controlled foreign corporation, and USP would be treated as owning (within the meaning of section 958(a)) stock of CFC3 through CFC1 and CFC2, which are both partners in DPS. Thus, under paragraph (h)(1) of this section, DPS is treated as a foreign partnership for purposes of determining the stock of CFC3 owned (within the meaning of section 958(a)) by USP. Accordingly, USP's pro rata share of CFC3's subpart F income for Year 1 is \$100x, and USP includes in its gross income \$100x under section 951(a)(1)(A). DPS is not a United States shareholder of CFC3 for purposes of sections 951 through 964.

(i) *Applicability dates*. Paragraphs (a), (b)(1)(ii), (b)(2), (e)(1)(ii)(B), and (g)(1) of this section apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and to taxable years of United States shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end. Except for paragraph (e)(1)(ii)(B) of this section, paragraph (e) of this section applies to taxable years of United States shareholders ending on or after October 3,

2018. Paragraph (h) of this section applies to taxable years of domestic partnerships ending on or after May 14, 2010.

Par. 6. Sections 1.951A-0 through 1.951A-7 are added to read as follows:

§1.951A-0 Outline of section 951A regulations.

This section lists the headings for §§1.951A-1 through 1.951A-7.

§1.951A-1 General provisions.

(a) Overview.

(1) In general.

(2) Scope.

(b) Inclusion of global intangible low-taxed income.

(c) Determination of GILTI inclusion amount.

(1) In general.

(2) Definition of net CFC tested income.

(3) Definition of net deemed tangible income return.

(i) In general.

(ii) Definition of deemed tangible income return.

(iii) Definition of specified interest expense.

(4) Determination of GILTI inclusion amount for consolidated groups.

(d) Determination of pro rata share.

(1) In general.

(2) Tested income.

(i) In general.

(ii) Special rule for prior allocation of tested loss.

(3) Qualified business asset investment.

(i) In general.

(ii) Special rule for excess hypothetical tangible return.

(A) In general.

(B) Determination of pro rata share of hypothetical tangible return.

(C) Definition of hypothetical tangible return.

(iii) Examples.

(A) Example 1.

(1) Facts.

(2) Analysis.

(i) Determination of pro rata share of tested income.

(ii) Determination of pro rata share of qualified business asset investment.

(B) Example 2.

(1) Facts.

(2) Analysis.

(i) Determination of pro rata share of tested income.

(ii) Determination of pro rata share of qualified business asset investment.

(C) Example 3.

(1) Facts.

(2) Analysis.

(i) Determination of pro rata share of tested income.

(ii) Determination of pro rata share of qualified business asset investment.

(4) Tested loss.

(i) In general.

(ii) Special rule in case of accrued but unpaid dividends.

(iii) Special rule for stock with no liquidation value.

(iv) Examples.

(A) Example 1.

(1) Facts.

(2) Analysis.

(B) Example 2.

(1) Facts.

(2) Analysis.

(i) Year 1.

(ii) Year 2.

(5) Tested interest expense.

(6) Tested interest income.

(e) Treatment of domestic partnerships.

(1) In general.

(2) Non-application for determination of status as United States shareholder and controlled foreign corporation.

(3) Examples.

(i) Example 1.

(A) Facts.

(B) Analysis.

(1) CFC and United States shareholder determinations.

(2) Application of section 951A.

(ii) Example 2.

(A) Facts.

(B) Analysis.

(1) CFC and United States shareholder determination.

(2) Application of section 951A.

(f) Definitions.

(1) CFC inclusion year.

(2) Controlled foreign corporation.

(3) Hypothetical distribution date.

(4) Section 958(a) stock.

(5) Tested item.

(6) United States shareholder.

(7) U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

§1.951A-2 Tested income and tested loss.

(a) Scope.

(b) Definitions related to tested income and tested loss.

(1) Tested income and tested income CFC.

(2) Tested loss and tested loss CFC.

(c) Rules relating to the determination of tested income and tested loss.

(1) Definition of gross tested income.

(2) Determination of gross income and allowable deductions.

(i) In general.

(ii) Deemed payment under section 367(d).

(3) Allocation of deductions to gross tested income.

(4) Gross income taken into account in determining subpart F income.

(i) In general.

(ii) Items of gross income included in subpart F income.

(A) Insurance income.

(B) Foreign base company income.

(C) International boycott Income.

(D) Illegal bribes, kickbacks, or other payments.

(E) Income earned in certain foreign countries.

(iii) Coordination rules.

(A) Coordination with E&P limitation.

(B) Coordination with E&P recapture.

(C) Coordination with full inclusion rule and high tax exception.

(iv) Examples.

(A) Example 1.

(1) Facts.

(2) Analysis.

(i) Year 1.

(ii) Year 2.

(B) Example 2.

(1) Facts.

(2) Analysis.

(i) FC1.

(ii) FC2.

(C) Example 3.

(1) Facts.

(2) Analysis.

(i) Foreign base company income.

(ii) Recapture of subpart F income.

(iii) Gross tested income.

(5) Allocation of deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis.

(i) In general.

(ii) Determination of deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis.

(iii) Definitions.

(A) Disqualified basis.

(B) Residual CFC gross income.

(iv) Examples.

(A) Example 1: Sale of intangible property during the disqualified period.

(1) Facts.

(2) Analysis.

(B) Example 2: Related party transfer after the disqualified period; gain recognition.

(1) Facts.

(2) Analysis.

(C) Example 3: Related party transfer after the disqualified period; loss recognition.

(1) Facts.

(2) Analysis.

§1.951A-3 Qualified business asset investment.

(a) Scope.

(b) Qualified business asset investment.

(c) Specified tangible property.

(1) In general.

(2) Tangible property.

(d) Dual use property.

(1) In general.

(2) Definition of dual use property.

(3) Dual use ratio.

(4) Example.

(i) Facts.

(ii) Analysis.

(A) Dual use property.

(B) Depreciation not capitalized to inventory.

(C) Depreciation capitalized to inventory.

(e) Determination of adjusted basis in specified tangible property.

(1) In general.

(2) Effect of change in law.

(3) Specified tangible property placed in service before enactment of section 951A.

(i) In general.

(ii) Election to use income and earnings and profits depreciation method for property placed in service before the first taxable year beginning after December 22, 2017.

(A) In general.

(B) Manner of making the election.

(f) Special rules for short taxable years.

(1) In general.

(2) Determination of quarter closes.

(3) Reduction of qualified business asset investment.

(4) Example.

(i) Facts.

(ii) Analysis.

(A) Determination of short taxable years and quarters.

(B) Calculation of qualified business asset investment for the first short taxable year.

(C) Calculation of qualified business asset investment for the second short taxable year.

(g) Partnership property.

(1) In general.

(2) Determination of partnership QBAI.

(3) Determination of partner adjusted basis.

(i) In general.

(ii) Sole use partnership property.

(A) In general.

(B) Definition of sole use partnership property.

(iii) Dual use partnership property.

(A) In general.

(B) Definition of dual use partnership property.

(4) Determination of proportionate share of the partnership's adjusted basis in partnership specified tangible property.

(i) In general.

(ii) Proportionate share ratio.

(5) Definition of partnership specified tangible property.

(6) Determination of partnership adjusted basis.

(7) Determination of partner-specific QBAI basis.

(8) Examples.

(i) Facts.

(ii) Example 1: Sole use partnership property.

(A) Facts.

(B) Analysis.

(1) Sole use partnership property.

(2) Proportionate share.

(3) Partner adjusted basis.

(4) Partnership QBAI.

(iii) Example 2: Dual use partnership property.

(A) Facts.

(1) Asset C.

(2) Asset D.

(3) Asset E.

(B) Analysis.

(1) Asset C.

(i) Proportionate share.

(ii) Dual use ratio.

(iii) Partner adjusted basis.

(3) Asset D.

- (i) Proportionate share.
- (ii) Dual use ratio.
- (iii) Partner adjusted basis.
- (4) Asset E.
- (i) Proportionate share.
- (ii) Dual use ratio.
- (iii) Partner adjusted basis.
- (5) Partnership QBAI.
- (iv) Example 3: Sole use partnership specified tangible property; section 743(b) adjustments.
 - (A) Facts.
 - (B) Analysis.
- (v) Example 4: Tested income CFC with distributive share of loss from a partnership.
 - (A) Facts.
 - (B) Analysis.
- (vi) Example 5: Tested income CFC sale of partnership interest before CFC inclusion date.
 - (A) Facts.
 - (B) Analysis.
 - (1) FC1.
 - (2) FC2.
- (vii) Example 6: Partnership adjusted basis; distribution of property in liquidation of partnership interest.
 - (A) Facts.
 - (B) Analysis.
- (h) Anti-avoidance rules related to certain transfers of property.
 - (1) Disregard of adjusted basis in specified tangible property held temporarily.
 - (i) In general.
 - (ii) Disregard of first quarter close.
 - (iii) Safe harbor for certain transfers involving CFCs.
 - (iv) Determination of principal purpose and transitory holding.
 - (A) Presumption for ownership less than 12 months.
 - (B) Presumption for ownership greater than 36 months.
 - (v) Determination of holding period.
 - (vi) Treatment as single applicable U.S. shareholder.
 - (vii) Examples.
 - (A) Facts.
 - (B) Example 1: Qualification for safe harbor.
 - (1) Facts.
 - (2) Analysis.
 - (C) Example 2: Transfers between CFCs with different taxable year ends.
 - (1) Facts.
 - (2) Analysis.

- (D) Example 3: Acquisition from unrelated person.
 - (1) Facts.
 - (2) Analysis.
- (E) Example 4: Acquisitions from tested loss CFCs.
 - (1) Facts.
 - (2) Analysis.
- (2) Disregard of adjusted basis in property transferred during the disqualified period.
 - (i) Operative rules.
 - (A) In general.
 - (B) Application to dual use property.
 - (C) Application to partnership specified tangible property.
 - (ii) Determination of disqualified basis.
 - (A) In general.
 - (B) Adjustments to disqualified basis.
 - (1) Reduction or elimination of disqualified basis.
 - (i) In general.
 - (ii) Exception for related party transfers.
 - (2) Increase to disqualified basis for nonrecognition transactions.
 - (i) Increase corresponding to adjustments in other property.
 - (ii) Exchanged basis property.
 - (iii) Increase by reason of section 732(d).
 - (3) Election to eliminate disqualified basis.
 - (i) In general.
 - (ii) Manner of making the election with respect to a controlled foreign corporation.
 - (iii) Manner of making the election with respect to a partnership.
 - (iv) Conditions of making an election.
 - (C) Definitions related to disqualified basis.
 - (1) Disqualified period.
 - (2) Disqualified transfer.
 - (3) Qualified gain amount.
 - (4) Related person.
 - (5) Transfer.
 - (6) Transferor CFC.
 - (iii) Examples.
 - (A) Example 1: Sale of asset; disqualified period.
 - (1) Facts.
 - (2) Analysis.
 - (B) Example 2: Sale of asset; no disqualified period.
 - (1) Facts.
 - (2) Analysis.
 - (C) Example 3: Sale of partnership interest.

- (1) Facts.
- (2) Analysis.
- (D) Example 4: Distribution of property in liquidation of partnership interest.
 - (1) Facts.
 - (2) Analysis.
- (E) Example 5: Distribution of property to a partner in basis reduction transaction.
 - (1) Facts.
 - (2) Analysis.
- (F) Example 6: Dual use property with disqualified basis.
 - (1) Facts.
 - (2) Analysis.
- §1.951A-4 Tested interest expense and tested interest income.
 - (a) Scope.
 - (b) Definitions related to specified interest expense.
 - (1) Tested interest expense.
 - (i) In general.
 - (ii) Interest expense.
 - (iii) Qualified interest expense.
 - (A) In general.
 - (B) Qualified asset.
 - (2) Exclusion for related party receivables.
 - (3) Look-through rule for subsidiary stock.
 - (4) Look-through rule for certain partnership interests.
 - (iv) Tested loss QBAI amount.
 - (2) Tested interest income.
 - (i) In general.
 - (ii) Interest income.
 - (iii) Qualified interest income.
 - (A) In general.
 - (B) Exclusion for related party interest.
 - (c) Examples.
 - (1) Example 1: Wholly-owned CFCs.
 - (i) Facts.
 - (ii) Analysis.
 - (A) CFC-level determination; tested interest expense and tested interest income.
 - (1) Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS1.
 - (2) Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS2.
 - (B) United States shareholder-level determination; pro rata share and specified interest expense.
 - (2) Example 2: Less than wholly-owned CFCs.
 - (i) Facts.
 - (ii) Analysis.
 - (A) CFC-level determination; tested interest expense and tested interest income.

(B) United States shareholder-level determination; pro rata share and specified interest expense.

(3) Example 3: Operating company; qualified interest expense.

(i) Facts.

(ii) Analysis.

(A) CFC-level determination; tested interest expense and tested interest income.

(1) Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS1.

(2) Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS2.

(B) United States shareholder-level determination; pro rata share and specified interest expense.

(4) Example 4: Holding company; qualified interest expense.

(i) Facts.

(ii) Analysis.

(A) CFC-level determination; tested interest expense and tested interest income.

(1) Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS1.

(2) Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS2.

(3) Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS3.

(B) United States shareholder-level determination; pro rata share and specified interest expense.

(5) Example 5: Specified interest expense and tested loss QBAI amount.

(i) Facts.

(ii) Analysis.

(A) CFC-level determination; tested interest expense and tested interest income.

(1) Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS1.

(2) Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS2.

(B) United States shareholder-level determination; pro rata share and specified interest expense.

§1.951A-5 Treatment of GILTI inclusion amounts.

(a) Scope.

(b) Treatment as subpart F income for certain purposes.

(1) In general.

(2) Allocation of GILTI inclusion amount to tested income CFCs.

(i) In general.

(ii) Example.

(A) Facts.

(B) Analysis.

(3) Translation of portion of GILTI inclusion amount allocated to tested income CFC.

(c) Treatment as an amount includible in the gross income of a United States person.

(d) Treatment for purposes of personal holding company rules.

§1.951A-6 Adjustments related to tested losses.

(a) Scope.

(b) Increase of earnings and profits of tested loss CFC for purposes of section 952(c)(1)(A).

(c) [Reserved]

§1.951A-7 Applicability dates.

§1.951A-1 General provisions.

(a) *Overview*—(1) *In general.* This section and §§1.951A-2 through 1.951A-7 (collectively, the *section 951A regulations*) provide rules to determine a United States shareholder's income inclusion under section 951A, describe certain consequences of an income inclusion under section 951A with respect to controlled foreign corporations and their United States shareholders, and define certain terms for purposes of section 951A and the section 951A regulations. This section provides general rules for determining a United States shareholder's inclusion of global intangible low-taxed income, including a rule relating to the application of section 951A and the section 951A regulations to domestic partnerships and their partners. Section 1.951A-2 provides rules for determining a controlled foreign corporation's tested income or tested loss. Section 1.951A-3 provides rules for determining a controlled foreign corporation's qualified business asset investment. Section 1.951A-4 provides rules for determining a controlled foreign corporation's tested interest expense and tested interest income. Section 1.951A-5 provides rules relating to the treatment of the inclusion of global intangible low-taxed income for certain purposes. Section 1.951A-6 provides certain adjustments to earnings and profits and basis of a controlled foreign corporation related to a tested loss. Section 1.951A-7 provides dates of applicability.

(2) *Scope.* Paragraph (b) of this section provides the general rule requiring a United States shareholder to include in gross income its global intangible low-taxed

income for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year. Paragraph (c) of this section provides rules for determining the amount of a United States shareholder's global intangible low-taxed income for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year, including a rule for the application of section 951A and the section 951A regulations to consolidated groups. Paragraph (d) of this section provides rules for determining a United States shareholder's pro rata share of certain items for purposes of determining the United States shareholder's global intangible low-taxed income. Paragraph (e) of this section provides rules for the treatment of a domestic partnership and its partners for purposes of section 951A and the section 951A regulations. Paragraph (f) of this section provides additional definitions for purposes of this section and the section 951A regulations.

(b) *Inclusion of global intangible low-taxed income.* Each person who is a United States shareholder of any controlled foreign corporation and owns section 958(a) stock of any such controlled foreign corporation includes in gross income in the U.S. shareholder inclusion year the shareholder's GILTI inclusion amount, if any, for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

(c) *Determination of GILTI inclusion amount*—(1) *In general.* Except as provided in paragraph (c)(4) of this section, the term *GILTI inclusion amount* means, with respect to a United States shareholder and a U.S. shareholder inclusion year, the excess (if any) of—

(i) The shareholder's net CFC tested income (as defined in paragraph (c)(2) of this section) for the year, over

(ii) The shareholder's net deemed tangible income return (as defined in paragraph (c)(3) of this section) for the year.

(2) *Definition of net CFC tested income.* The term *net CFC tested income* means, with respect to a United States shareholder and a U.S. shareholder inclusion year, the excess (if any) of—

(i) The aggregate of the shareholder's pro rata share of the tested income of each tested income CFC (as defined in §1.951A-2(b)(1)) for a CFC inclusion year that ends with or within the U.S. shareholder inclusion year, over

(ii) The aggregate of the shareholder's pro rata share of the tested loss of each test-

ed loss CFC (as defined in §1.951A-2(b)(2)) for a CFC inclusion year that ends with or within the U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

(3) *Definition of net deemed tangible income return*—(i) *In general.* The term *net deemed tangible income return* means, with respect to a United States shareholder and a U.S. shareholder inclusion year, the excess (if any) of—

(A) The shareholder's deemed tangible income return (as defined in paragraph (c)(3)(ii) of this section) for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year, over

(B) The shareholder's specified interest expense (as defined in paragraph (c)(3)(iii) of this section) for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

(ii) *Definition of deemed tangible income return.* The term *deemed tangible income return* means, with respect to a United States shareholder and a U.S. shareholder inclusion year, 10 percent of the aggregate of the shareholder's pro rata share of the qualified business asset investment (as defined in §1.951A-3(b)) of each tested income CFC for a CFC inclusion year that ends with or within the U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

(iii) *Definition of specified interest expense.* The term *specified interest expense* means, with respect to a United States shareholder and a U.S. shareholder inclusion year, the excess (if any) of—

(A) The aggregate of the shareholder's pro rata share of the tested interest expense (as defined in §1.951A-4(b)(1)) of each controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year that ends with or within the U.S. shareholder inclusion year, over

(B) The aggregate of the shareholder's pro rata share of the tested interest income (as defined in §1.951A-4(b)(2)) of each controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year that ends with or within the U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

(4) *Determination of GILTI inclusion amount for consolidated groups.* For purposes of section 951A and the section 951A regulations, a member of a consolidated group (as defined in §1.1502-1(h)) determines its GILTI inclusion amount taking into account the rules provided in §1.1502-51.

(d) *Determination of pro rata share*—(1) *In general.* For purposes of paragraph (c) of this section, each United States

shareholder that owns section 958(a) stock of a controlled foreign corporation as of a hypothetical distribution date determines its pro rata share (if any) of each tested item of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year that includes the hypothetical distribution date and ends with or within the U.S. shareholder inclusion year. Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph (d), a United States shareholder's pro rata share of each tested item is determined independently of its pro rata share of each other tested item. In no case may the sum of the pro rata share of any tested item of a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year allocated to stock under this paragraph (d) exceed the amount of such tested item of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year. Except as modified in this paragraph (d), a United States shareholder's pro rata share of any tested item is determined under the rules of section 951(a)(2) and §1.951-1(b) and (e) in the same manner as those provisions apply to subpart F income. Under section 951(a)(2) and §1.951-1(b) and (e), as modified by this paragraph (d), a United States shareholder's pro rata share of any tested item for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year is determined with respect to the section 958(a) stock of the controlled foreign corporation owned by the United States shareholder on a hypothetical distribution date with respect to a CFC inclusion year that ends with or within the U.S. shareholder inclusion year. A United States shareholder's pro rata share of any tested item is translated into United States dollars using the average exchange rate for the CFC inclusion year of the controlled foreign corporation. Paragraphs (d)(2) through (5) of this section provide rules for determining a United States shareholder's pro rata share of each tested item of a controlled foreign corporation.

(2) *Tested income*—(i) *In general.* Except as provided in paragraph (d)(2)(ii) of this section, a United States shareholder's pro rata share of the tested income of each tested income CFC for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year is determined under section 951(a)(2) and §1.951-1(b) and (e), substituting "tested income" for "subpart F income" each place it appears, other than in

§1.951-1(e)(1)(ii)(B) and the denominator of the fraction described in §1.951-1(b)(1)(ii)(A).

(ii) *Special rule for prior allocation of tested loss.* In any case in which tested loss has been allocated to any class of stock in a prior CFC inclusion year under paragraph (d)(4)(iii) of this section, tested income is first allocated to each such class of stock in the order of its liquidation priority to the extent of the excess (if any) of the sum of the tested loss allocated to each such class of stock for each prior CFC inclusion year under paragraph (d)(4)(iii) of this section, over the sum of the tested income allocated to each such class of stock for each prior CFC inclusion year under this paragraph (d)(2)(ii). Paragraph (d)(2)(i) of this section applies for purposes of determining a United States shareholder's pro rata share of the remainder of the tested income, except that, for purposes of the hypothetical distribution of section 951(a)(2)(A) and §1.951-1(b)(1)(i) and (e)(1)(i), the amount of allocable earnings and profits of the tested income CFC is reduced by the amount of tested income allocated under the first sentence of this paragraph (d)(2)(ii). For an example of the application of this paragraph (d)(2), see paragraph (d)(4)(iv)(B) of this section (*Example 2*).

(3) *Qualified business asset investment*—(i) *In general.* Except as provided in paragraphs (d)(3)(ii) of this section, a United States shareholder's pro rata share of the qualified business asset investment of a tested income CFC for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year bears the same ratio to the total qualified business asset investment of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year as the United States shareholder's pro rata share of the tested income of the tested income CFC for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year bears to the total tested income of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year.

(ii) *Special rule for excess hypothetical tangible return*—(A) *In general.* If the tested income of a tested income CFC for a CFC inclusion year is less than the hypothetical tangible return of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year, a United States shareholder's pro rata share of the qualified business asset investment of the tested income CFC for a United States shareholder inclusion year bears the same ratio to the qualified business asset

investment of the tested income CFC as the United States shareholder's pro rata share of the hypothetical tangible return of the CFC for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year bears to the total hypothetical tangible return of the CFC for the CFC inclusion year.

(B) *Determination of pro rata share of hypothetical tangible return.* For purposes of paragraph (d)(3)(ii)(A) of this section, a United States shareholder's pro rata share of the hypothetical tangible return of a CFC for a CFC inclusion year is determined in the same manner as the United States shareholder's pro rata share of the tested income of the CFC for the CFC inclusion year under paragraph (d)(2) of this section by treating the amount of the hypothetical tangible return as the amount of tested income.

(C) *Definition of hypothetical tangible return.* For purposes of this paragraph (d)(3)(ii), the term *hypothetical tangible return* means, with respect to a tested income CFC for a CFC inclusion year, 10 percent of the qualified business asset investment of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year.

(iii) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate the application of paragraphs (d)(2) and (3) of this section. See also §1.951-1(e)(7)(vii) (*Example 6*) (illustrating a United States shareholder's pro rata share of tested income).

(A) *Example 1—(1) Facts.* FS, a controlled foreign corporation, has outstanding 70 shares of common stock and 30 shares of 4% nonparticipating, cumulative preferred stock with a par value of \$10x per share. P Corp, a domestic corporation and a United States shareholder of FS, owns all of the common shares. Individual A, a United States citizen and a United States shareholder, owns all of the preferred shares. Individual A, FS, and P Corp use the calendar year as their taxable year. Individual A and P Corp are shareholders of FS for all of Year 4. At the beginning of Year 4, FS had no dividend arrearages with respect to its preferred stock. For Year 4, FS has \$100x of earnings and profits, \$120x of tested income, and no subpart F income within the meaning of section 952. FS also has \$750x of qualified business asset investment for Year 4.

(2) *Analysis—(i) Determination of pro rata share of tested income.* For purposes of determining P Corp's pro rata share of FS's tested income under paragraph (d)(2) of this section, the amount of FS's allocable earnings and profits for purposes of the hypothetical distribution described in §1.951-1(e)(1)(i) is \$120x, the greater of its earnings and profits as determined under section 964 (\$100x) and the sum of its subpart F income and tested income (\$0 + \$120x). Under paragraph (d)(2) of this section and §1.951-1(e)(3), the amount of FS's allocable earnings and

profits distributed in the hypothetical distribution with respect to Individual A's preferred shares is \$12x (0.04 x \$10x x 30) and the amount distributed with respect to P Corp's common shares is \$108x (\$120x - \$12x). Accordingly, under paragraph (d)(2) of this section and §1.951-1(e)(1), Individual A's pro rata share of FS's tested income is \$12x, and P Corp's pro rata share of FS's tested income is \$108x for Year 4.

(ii) *Determination of pro rata share of qualified business asset investment.* The special rule of paragraph (d)(3)(ii)(A) of this section does not apply because FS's tested income of \$120x is not less than FS's hypothetical tangible return of \$75x, which is 10% of FS's qualified business asset investment of \$750x. Accordingly, under the general rule of paragraph (d)(3)(i) of this section, Individual A's and P Corp's respective pro rata shares of FS's qualified business asset investment bears the same ratio to FS's total qualified business asset investment as their respective pro rata shares of FS's tested income bears to FS's total tested income. Thus, Individual A's pro rata share of FS's qualified business asset investment is \$75x ($\$750x \times \$12x/\$120x$), and P Corp's pro rata share of FS's qualified business asset investment is \$675x ($\$750x \times \$108x/\$120x$).

(B) *Example 2—(1) Facts.* The facts are the same as in paragraph (d)(3)(iv)(A)(1) of this section (the facts in *Example 1* of this section), except that FS has \$1,500x of qualified business asset investment for Year 4.

(2) *Analysis—(i) Determination of pro rata share of tested income.* The analysis and the result are the same as in paragraph (d)(3)(iv)(A)(2)(i) of this section (paragraph (i) of the analysis in *Example 1* of this section).

(ii) *Determination of pro rata share of qualified business asset investment.* The special rule of paragraph (d)(3)(ii)(A) of this section applies because FS's tested income of \$120x is less than FS's hypothetical tangible return of \$150x, which is 10% of FS's qualified business asset investment of \$1,500x. Under paragraph (d)(3)(ii)(A) of this section, Individual A's and P Corp's respective pro rata shares of FS's qualified business asset investment bears the same ratio to FS's qualified business asset investment as their respective pro rata shares of the hypothetical tangible return of FS bears to the total hypothetical tangible return of FS. Under paragraph (d)(3)(ii)(B) of this section, P Corp's and Individual A's respective pro rata share of FS's hypothetical tangible return is determined under paragraph (d)(2) of this section in the same manner as their respective pro rata shares of the tested income of FS by treating the hypothetical tangible return as the amount of tested income. The amount of FS's allocable earnings and profits for purposes of the hypothetical distribution described in §1.951-1(e)(1)(i) is \$150x, the greater of its earnings and profits as determined under section 964 (\$100x) and the sum of its subpart F income and hypothetical tangible return (\$0 + \$150x). The amount of FS's allocable earnings and profits distributed in the hypothetical distribution is \$12x (0.04 x \$10x x 30) with respect to Individual A's preferred shares and \$138x (\$150x - \$12x) with respect to P Corp's common shares. Accordingly, Individual A's pro rata share of FS's qualified business asset investment is \$120x ($\$1,500x \times \$12x/\$150x$), and P Corp's pro rata

share of FS's qualified business asset investment is \$1,380x ($\$1,500x \times \$138x/\$150x$).

(C) *Example 3—(1) Facts.* P Corp, a domestic corporation and a United States shareholder, owns 100% of the only class of stock of FS, a controlled foreign corporation, from January 1 of Year 1, until May 26 of Year 1. On May 26 of Year 1, P Corp sells all of its FS stock to R Corp, a domestic corporation that is not related to P Corp, and recognizes no gain or loss on the sale. R Corp, a United States shareholder of FS, owns 100% of the stock of FS from May 26 through December 31 of Year 1. For Year 1, FS has \$50x of earnings and profits, \$50x of tested income, and no subpart F income within the meaning of section 952. FS also has \$1,500x of qualified business asset investment for Year 1. On May 1 of Year 1, FS distributes a \$20x dividend to P Corp. P Corp, R Corp, and FS all use the calendar year as their taxable year.

(2) *Analysis—(i) Determination of pro rata share of tested income.* For purposes of determining R Corp's pro rata share of FS's tested income under paragraph (d)(2) of this section, the amount of FS's allocable earnings and profits for purposes of the hypothetical distribution described in §1.951-1(e)(1)(i) is \$50x, the greater of its earnings and profits as determined under section 964 (\$50x) or the sum of its subpart F income and tested income (\$0 + \$50x). Under paragraph (d)(2) of this section and §1.951-1(e)(1), FS's allocable earnings and profits of \$50x are distributed in the hypothetical distribution pro rata to each share of stock. R Corp's pro rata share of FS's tested income for Year 1 is its pro rata share under section 951(a)(2)(A) and §1.951-1(b)(1)(i) (\$50x), reduced under section 951(a)(2)(B) and §1.951-1(b)(1)(ii) by \$20x, which is the lesser of \$20x, the dividend received by P Corp during Year 1 with respect to the FS stock acquired by R Corp (\$20x), multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is the tested income (\$50x) of FS for Year 1 and the denominator of which is the sum of the subpart F income (\$0) and the tested income (\$50x) of FS for Year 1 ($\$20x \times \$50x/\$50x$), and \$20x, which is P Corp's pro rata share (100%) of the amount which bears the same ratio to FS's tested income for Year 1 (\$50x) as the period during which R Corp did not own (within the meaning of section 958(a)) the FS stock (146 days) bears to the entire taxable year (1 x \$50x x 146/365). Accordingly, R Corp's pro rata share of tested income of FS for Year 1 is \$30x ($\$50x - \$20x$).

(ii) *Determination of pro rata share of qualified business asset investment.* The special rule of paragraph (d)(3)(ii) of this section applies because FS's tested income of \$50x is less than FS's hypothetical tangible return of \$150x, which is 10% of FS's qualified business asset investment of \$1,500x. Under paragraph (d)(3)(ii) of this section, R Corp's pro rata share of FS's qualified business asset investment is the amount that bears the same ratio to FS's qualified business asset investment as R Corp's pro rata share of the hypothetical tangible return of FS bears to the total hypothetical tangible return of FS. R Corp's pro rata share of FS's hypothetical tangible return is its pro rata share under section 951(a)(2)(A) and §1.951-1(b)(1)(i) (\$150x), reduced under section 951(a)(2)(B) and §1.951-1(b)(1)(ii) by \$20x, which is the lesser of \$20x, the dividend received by P Corp during Year 1 with respect to the FS stock

acquired by R Corp (\$20x) multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is the hypothetical tangible return (\$150x) of FS for Year 1 and the denominator of which is the sum of the subpart F income (\$0) and the hypothetical tangible return (\$150x) of FS for Year 1 (\$20x x \$150x/\$150x), and \$60x, which is P Corp's pro rata share (100%) of the amount which bears the same ratio to FS's hypothetical tangible return for Year 1 (\$150x) as the period during which R Corp did not own (within the meaning of section 958(a)) the FS stock (146 days) bears to the entire taxable year (1 x \$150x x 146/365). Accordingly, R Corp's pro rata share of the hypothetical tangible return of FS for Year 1 is \$130x (\$150x - \$20x), and R Corp's pro rata share of FS's qualified business asset investment is \$1,300x (\$1,500x x \$130x/\$150x).

(4) *Tested loss*—(i) *In general*. A United States shareholder's pro rata share of the tested loss of each tested loss CFC for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year is determined under section 951(a)(2) and §1.951-1(b) and (e) with the following modifications—

(A) "Tested loss" is substituted for "subpart F income" each place it appears;

(B) For purposes of the hypothetical distribution described in section 951(a)(2)(A) and §1.951-1(b)(1)(i) and (e)(1)(i), the amount of allocable earnings and profits of a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year is treated as being equal to the tested loss of the tested loss CFC for the CFC inclusion year;

(C) Except as provided in paragraphs (d)(4)(ii) and (iii) of this section, the hypothetical distribution described in section 951(a)(2)(A) and §1.951-1(b)(1)(i) and (e)(1)(i) is treated as made solely with respect to the common stock of the tested loss CFC; and

(D) In lieu of applying section 951(a)(2)(B) and §1.951-1(b)(1)(ii), the United States shareholder's pro rata share of the tested loss allocated to section 958(a) stock of the tested loss CFC is reduced by an amount that bears the same ratio to the amount of the tested loss as the part of such year during which such shareholder did not own (within the meaning of section 958(a)) such stock bears to the entire taxable year.

(ii) *Special rule in case of accrued but unpaid dividends*. If a tested loss CFC's earnings and profits that have accumulated since the issuance of preferred shares are reduced below the amount necessary to satisfy any accrued but unpaid dividends with respect to such preferred shares, then the amount by which the tested loss reduces the earnings and profits

below the amount necessary to satisfy the accrued but unpaid dividends is allocated in the hypothetical distribution described in section 951(a)(2)(A) and §1.951-1(b)(1)(i) and (e)(1)(i) to the preferred stock of the tested loss CFC and the remainder of the tested loss is allocated in the hypothetical distribution to the common stock of the tested loss CFC.

(iii) *Special rule for stock with no liquidation value*. If a tested loss CFC's common stock has a liquidation value of zero and there is at least one other class of equity with a liquidation preference relative to the common stock, then the tested loss is allocated in the hypothetical distribution described in section 951(a)(2)(A) and §1.951-1(b)(1)(i) and (e)(1)(i) to the most junior class of equity with a positive liquidation value to the extent of such liquidation value. Thereafter, tested loss is allocated to the next most junior class of equity to the extent of its liquidation value and so on. All determinations of liquidation value are to be made as of the beginning of the CFC inclusion year of the tested loss CFC.

(iv) *Examples*. The following examples illustrate the application of this paragraph (d)(4). See also §1.951-1(e)(7)(viii) (*Example 7*) (illustrating a United States shareholder's pro rata share of subpart F income and tested loss).

(A) *Example 1—(1) Facts*. FS, a controlled foreign corporation, has outstanding 70 shares of common stock and 30 shares of 4% nonparticipating, cumulative preferred stock with a par value of \$10x per share. P Corp, a domestic corporation and a United States shareholder of FS, owns all of the common shares. Individual A, a United States citizen and a United States shareholder, owns all of the preferred shares. FS, Individual A, and P Corp all use the calendar year as their taxable year. Individual A and P Corp are shareholders of FS for all of Year 5. At the beginning of Year 5, FS had earnings and profits of \$120x, which accumulated after the issuance of the preferred stock. At the end of Year 5, the accrued but unpaid dividends with respect to the preferred stock are \$36x. For Year 5, FS has a \$100x tested loss, and no other items of income, gain, deduction or loss. At the end of Year 5, FS has earnings and profits of \$20x.

(2) *Analysis*. FS is a tested loss CFC for Year 5. Before taking into account the tested loss in Year 5, FS had sufficient earnings and profits to satisfy the accrued but unpaid dividends of \$36x. The amount of the reduction in earnings below the amount necessary to satisfy the accrued but unpaid dividends attributable to the tested loss is \$16x (\$36x - (\$120x - \$100x)). Accordingly, under paragraph (d)(4)(ii) of this section, \$16x of the tested loss is allocated to Individual A's preferred stock in the hypothetical

distribution described in section 951(a)(2)(A) and §1.951-1(b)(1)(i) and (e)(1)(i), and \$84x (\$100x - \$16x) of the tested loss is allocated to P Corp's common shares in the hypothetical distribution.

(B) *Example 2—(1) Facts*. FS, a controlled foreign corporation, has outstanding 100 shares of common stock and 50 shares of 4% nonparticipating, cumulative preferred stock with a par value of \$100x per share. P Corp, a domestic corporation and a United States shareholder of FS, owns all of the common shares. Individual A, a United States citizen and a United States shareholder, owns all of the preferred shares. FS, Individual A, and P Corp all use the calendar year as their taxable year. Individual A and P Corp are shareholders of FS for all of Year 1 and Year 2. At the beginning of Year 1, the common stock has no liquidation value and the preferred stock has a liquidation value of \$5,000x and no accrued but unpaid dividends. In Year 1, FS has a tested loss of \$1,000x and no other items of income, gain, deduction, or loss. In Year 2, FS has tested income of \$3,000x and no other items of income, gain, deduction, or loss. FS has earnings and profits of \$3,000x for Year 2. At the end of Year 2, FS has accrued but unpaid dividends of \$400x with respect to the preferred stock, the sum of \$200x for Year 1 (0.04 x \$100x x 50) and \$200x for Year 2 (0.04 x \$100x x 50).

(2) *Analysis—(i) Year 1*. FS is a tested loss CFC in Year 1. The common stock of FS has liquidation value of zero, and the preferred stock has a liquidation preference relative to the common stock. The tested loss (\$1,000x) does not exceed the liquidation value of the preferred stock (\$5,000x). Accordingly, under paragraph (d)(4)(iii) of this section, the tested loss is allocated to the preferred stock in the hypothetical distribution described in section 951(a)(2)(A) and §1.951-1(b)(1)(i) and (e)(1)(i). Individual A's pro rata share of the tested loss is \$1,000x, and P Corp's pro rata share of the tested loss is \$0.

(ii) *Year 2*. FS is a tested income CFC in Year 2. Because \$1,000x of tested loss was allocated to the preferred stock in Year 1 under paragraph (d)(4)(iii) of this section, the first \$1,000x of tested income in Year 2 is allocated to the preferred stock under paragraph (d)(2)(ii) of this section. P Corp's and Individual A's pro rata shares of the remaining \$2,000x of tested income are determined under the general rule of paragraph (d)(2)(i) of this section, except that for purposes of the hypothetical distribution the amount of FS's allocable earnings and profits is reduced by the tested income allocated under paragraph (d)(2)(ii) of this section to \$2,000x (\$3,000x - \$1,000x). Accordingly, under paragraph (d)(2)(i) of this section and §1.951-1(e), the amount of FS's allocable earnings and profits distributed in the hypothetical distribution with respect to Individual A's preferred stock is \$400x (\$400x of accrued but unpaid dividends) and with respect to P Corp's common stock is \$1,600x (\$2,000x - \$400x). Individual A's pro rata share of the tested income is \$1,400x (\$1,000x + \$400x), and P Corp's pro rata share of the tested income is \$1,600x.

(5) *Tested interest expense*. A United States shareholder's pro rata share of tested interest expense of a controlled foreign corporation for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year is equal to the amount by

which the tested interest expense reduces the shareholder's pro rata share of tested income of the controlled foreign corporation for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year, increases the shareholder's pro rata share of tested loss of the controlled foreign corporation for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year, or both.

(6) *Tested interest income.* A United States shareholder's pro rata share of tested interest income of a controlled foreign corporation for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year is equal to the amount by which the tested interest income increases the shareholder's pro rata share of tested income of the controlled foreign corporation for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year, reduces the shareholder's pro rata share of tested loss of the controlled foreign corporation for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year, or both.

(e) *Treatment of domestic partnerships*—(1) *In general.* For purposes of section 951A and the section 951A regulations, and for purposes of any other provision that applies by reference to section 951A or the section 951A regulations, a domestic partnership is not treated as owning stock of a foreign corporation within the meaning of section 958(a). When the preceding sentence applies, a domestic partnership is treated in the same manner as a foreign partnership under section 958(a)(2) for purposes of determining the persons that own stock of the foreign corporation within the meaning of section 958(a).

(2) *Non-application for determination of status as United States shareholder and controlled foreign corporation.* Paragraph (e)(1) of this section does not apply for purposes of determining whether any United States person is a United States shareholder (as defined in section 951(b)), whether any United States shareholder is a controlling domestic shareholder (as defined in §1.964-1(c)(5)), or whether any foreign corporation is a controlled foreign corporation (as defined in section 957(a)).

(3) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate the application of this paragraph (e).

(i) *Example 1*—(A) *Facts.* USP, a domestic corporation, and Individual A, a United States citizen unrelated to USP, own 95% and 5%, respectively, of PRS, a domestic partnership. PRS owns 100% of the single class of stock of FC, a foreign corporation.

(B) *Analysis*—(1) *CFC and United States shareholder determinations.* Under paragraph (e)(2) of this section, the determination of whether PRS, USP, and Individual A (each a United States person) are United States shareholders of FC and whether FC is a controlled foreign corporation is made without regard to paragraph (e)(1) of this section. PRS, a United States person, owns 100% of the total combined voting power or value of the FC stock within the meaning of section 958(a). Accordingly, PRS is a United States shareholder under section 951(b), and FC is a controlled foreign corporation under section 957(a). USP is a United States shareholder of FC because it owns 95% of the total combined voting power or value of the FC stock under sections 958(b) and 318(a)(2)(A). Individual A, however, is not a United States shareholder of FC because Individual A owns only 5% of the total combined voting power or value of the FC stock under sections 958(b) and 318(a)(2)(A).

(2) *Application of section 951A.* Under paragraph (e)(1) of this section, for purposes of determining a GILTI inclusion amount under section 951A and paragraph (b) of this section, PRS is not treated as owning (within the meaning of section 958(a)) the FC stock; instead, PRS is treated in the same manner as a foreign partnership for purposes of determining the FC stock owned by USP and Individual A under section 958(a)(2). Therefore, for purposes of determining the GILTI inclusion amount of USP and Individual A, USP is treated as owning 95% of the FC stock under section 958(a), and Individual A is treated as owning 5% of the FC stock under section 958(a). USP is a United States shareholder of FC, and therefore USP determines its pro rata share of any tested item of FC based on its ownership of section 958(a) stock of FC. However, because Individual A is not a United States shareholder of FC, Individual A does not have a pro rata share of any tested item of FC.

(ii) *Example 2*—(A) *Facts.* USP, a domestic corporation, and Individual A, a United States citizen, own 90% and 10%, respectively, of PRS1, a domestic partnership. PRS1 and Individual B, a nonresident alien individual, own 90% and 10%, respectively, of PRS2, a domestic partnership. PRS2 owns 100% of the single class of stock of FC, a foreign corporation. USP, Individual A, and Individual B are unrelated to each other.

(B) *Analysis*—(1) *CFC and United States shareholder determination.* Under paragraph (e)(2) of this section, the determination of whether PRS1, PRS2, USP, and Individual A (each a United States person) are United States shareholders of FC and whether FC is a controlled foreign corporation is made without regard to paragraph (e)(1) of this section. PRS2 owns 100% of the total combined voting power or value of the FC stock within the meaning of section 958(a). Accordingly, PRS2 is a United States shareholder under section 951(b), and FC is a controlled foreign corporation under section 957(a). Under sections 958(b) and 318(a)(2)(A), PRS1 is treated as owning 90% of the FC stock owned by PRS2. Accordingly, PRS1 is a United States shareholder under section 951(b). Further, under section 958(b)(2), PRS1 is treated as owning 100% of the FC stock for purposes of determining the FC stock treated as owned by USP and Individual A under section 318(a)(2)(A). Therefore, USP is treated as owning 90% of the FC

stock under section 958(b) (100% x 100% x 90%), and Individual A is treated as owning 10% of the FC stock under section 958(b) (100% x 100% x 10%). Accordingly, both USP and Individual A are United States shareholders of FC under section 951(b).

(2) *Application of section 951A.* Under paragraph (e)(1) of this section, for purposes of determining a GILTI inclusion amount under section 951A and paragraph (b) of this section, PRS1 and PRS2 are not treated as owning (within the meaning of section 958(a)) the FC stock; instead, PRS1 and PRS2 are treated in the same manner as foreign partnerships for purposes of determining the FC stock owned by USP and Individual A under section 958(a)(2). Therefore, for purposes of determining the GILTI inclusion of USP and Individual A, USP is treated as owning 81% (100% x 90% x 90%) of the FC stock under section 958(a), and Individual A is treated as owning 9% (100% x 90% x 10%) of the FC stock under section 958(a). Because USP and Individual A are both United States shareholders of FC, USP and Individual A determine their respective pro rata shares of any tested item of FC based on their ownership of section 958(a) stock of FC.

(f) *Definitions.* This paragraph (f) provides additional definitions that apply for purposes of this section and the section 951A regulations. Other definitions relevant to the section 951A regulations are included in §§1.951A-2 through 1.951A-4.

(1) *CFC inclusion year.* The term *CFC inclusion year* means any taxable year of a foreign corporation beginning after December 31, 2017, at any time during which the corporation is a controlled foreign corporation.

(2) *Controlled foreign corporation.* The term *controlled foreign corporation* has the meaning set forth in section 957(a).

(3) *Hypothetical distribution date.* The term *hypothetical distribution date* has the meaning set forth in §1.951-1(e)(1)(i).

(4) *Section 958(a) stock.* The term *section 958(a) stock* means stock of a controlled foreign corporation owned (directly or indirectly) by a United States shareholder within the meaning of section 958(a), as modified by paragraph (e)(1) of this section.

(5) *Tested item.* The term *tested item* means tested income, tested loss, qualified business asset investment, tested interest expense, or tested interest income.

(6) *United States shareholder.* The term *United States shareholder* has the meaning set forth in section 951(b).

(7) *U.S. shareholder inclusion year.* The term *U.S. shareholder inclusion year* means any taxable year of a United States shareholder in which or with which a CFC

inclusion year of a controlled foreign corporation ends.

§1.951A-2 Tested income and tested loss.

(a) *Scope.* This section provides rules for determining the tested income or tested loss of a controlled foreign corporation for purposes of determining a United States shareholder's net CFC tested income under §1.951A-1(c)(2). Paragraph (b) of this section provides definitions related to tested income and tested loss. Paragraph (c) of this section provides rules for determining the gross tested income of a controlled foreign corporation and the deductions that are properly allocable to gross tested income.

(b) Definitions related to tested income and tested loss—(1) *Tested income and tested income CFC.* The term *tested income* means the excess (if any) of a controlled foreign corporation's gross tested income for a CFC inclusion year, over the allowable deductions (including taxes) properly allocable to the gross tested income for the CFC inclusion year (a controlled foreign corporation with tested income for a CFC inclusion year, a *tested income CFC*).

(2) *Tested loss and tested loss CFC.* The term *tested loss* means the excess (if any) of a controlled foreign corporation's allowable deductions (including taxes) properly allocable to gross tested income (or that would be allocable to gross tested income if there were gross tested income) for a CFC inclusion year, over the gross tested income of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year (a controlled foreign corporation without tested income for a CFC inclusion year, a *tested loss CFC*).

(c) *Rules relating to the determination of tested income and tested loss*—(1) *Definition of gross tested income.* The term *gross tested income* means the gross income of a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year determined without regard to—

(i) Items of income described in section 952(b),

(ii) Gross income taken into account in determining the subpart F income of the corporation,

(iii) Gross income excluded from the foreign base company income (as defined in section 954) or the insurance income (as

defined in section 953) of the corporation solely by reason of an election made under section 954(b)(4) and §1.954-1(d)(5),

(iv) Dividends received by the corporation from related persons (as defined in section 954(d)(3)), and

(v) Foreign oil and gas extraction income (as defined in section 907(c)(1)) of the corporation.

(2) *Determination of gross income and allowable deductions*—(i) *In general.* For purposes of determining tested income and tested loss, the gross income and allowable deductions of a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year are determined under the rules of §1.952-2 for determining the subpart F income of the controlled foreign corporation, except, for a controlled foreign corporation which is engaged in the business of reinsuring or issuing insurance or annuity contracts and which, if it were a domestic corporation engaged only in such business, would be taxable as an insurance company to which subchapter L of chapter 1 of the Code applies, substituting “the rules of sections 953 and 954(i)” for “the principles of §§ 1.953-4 and 1.953-5” in §1.952-2(b)(2).

(ii) *Deemed payment under section 367(d).* The allowable deductions of a controlled foreign corporation include a deemed payment of the controlled foreign corporation under section 367(d)(2)(A).

(3) *Allocation of deductions to gross tested income.* Except as provided in paragraph (c)(5) of this section, any deductions of a controlled foreign corporation allowable under paragraph (c)(2) of this section are allocated and apportioned to gross tested income under the principles of section 954(b)(5) and §1.954-1(c), by treating gross tested income that falls within a single separate category (as defined in §1.904-5(a)) as a single item of gross income, separate and in addition to the items set forth in §1.954-1(c)(1) (iii). Losses in other separate categories of income resulting from the application of §1.954-1(c)(1)(i) cannot reduce any separate category of gross tested income, and losses in a separate category of gross tested income cannot reduce income in a category of subpart F income. In addition, deductions of a controlled foreign corporation that are allocated and apportioned to gross tested income under this paragraph (c)(3) are not taken into account for

purposes of determining a qualified deficit as defined in section 952(c)(1)(B)(ii).

(4) *Gross income taken into account in determining subpart F income*—(i) *In general.* Except as provided in paragraph (c)(4)(iii) of this section, gross income of a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year described in section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section is gross income described in paragraphs (c)(4)(ii)(A) through (E) of this section.

(ii) *Items of gross income included in subpart F income*—(A) *Insurance income.* Gross income described in this paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(A) is any item of gross income included in the insurance income (adjusted net insurance income as defined in §1.954-1(a)(6)) of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year.

(B) *Foreign base company income.* Gross income described in this paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(B) is any item of gross income included in the foreign base company income (adjusted net foreign base company income as defined in §1.954-1(a)(5)) of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year.

(C) *International boycott income.* Gross income described in this paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(C) is the product of the gross income of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year that gives rise to the income described in section 952(a)(3)(A) multiplied by the international boycott factor described in section 952(a)(3)(B).

(D) *Illegal bribes, kickbacks, or other payments.* Gross income described in this paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(D) is the sum of the amounts of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year described in section 952(a)(4).

(E) *Income earned in certain foreign countries.* Gross income described in this paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(E) is income of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year described in section 952(a)(5).

(iii) *Coordination rules*—(A) *Coordination with E&P limitation.* Gross income of a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year described in section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section includes any item of gross income that is excluded from subpart F income of the controlled foreign

corporation for the CFC inclusion year, or that is otherwise excluded from the amount included under section 951(a)(1)(A) in the gross income of a United States shareholder of the controlled foreign corporation for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year in which or with which the CFC inclusion year ends, under section 952(c)(1) and §1.952-1(c), (d), or (e).

(B) *Coordination with E&P recapture.* Gross income of a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year described in section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section does not include any item of gross income that results in the recharacterization of earnings and profits as subpart F income of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year under section 952(c)(2) and §1.952-1(f)(2).

(C) *Coordination with full inclusion rule and high tax exception.* Gross income of a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year described in section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section does not include full inclusion foreign base company income that is excluded from subpart F income under §1.954-1(d)(6). Full inclusion foreign base company income that is excluded from subpart F income under §1.954-1(d)(6) is also not included in gross income of a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year described in section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(III) and paragraph (c)(1)(iii) of this section.

(iv) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate the application of this paragraph (c)(4).

(A) *Example 1—(I) Facts.* A Corp, a domestic corporation, owns 100% of the single class of stock of FS, a controlled foreign corporation. Both A Corp and FS use the calendar year as their taxable year. In Year 1, FS has passive category foreign personal holding company income of \$100x, a general category loss in foreign oil and gas extraction income of \$100x, and earnings and profits of \$0. FS has no other income. In Year 2, FS has general category gross income of \$100x and earnings and profits of \$100x. Without regard to section 952(c)(2), in Year 2 FS has no income described in any of the categories of income excluded from gross tested income in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (v) of this section. FS has no allowable deductions properly allocable to gross tested income for Year 2.

(2) *Analysis—(i) Year 1.* As a result of the earnings and profits limitation of section 952(c)(1)(A), FS has no subpart F income in Year 1, and A Corp has no inclusion with respect to FS under section 951(a)(1)(A). Under paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(A) of this section, gross income described in section 951A(c)

(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section includes any item of gross income excluded from the subpart F income of FS for Year 1 under section 952(c)(1)(A) and §1.952-1(c). Therefore, the \$100x foreign personal holding company income of FS in Year 1 is excluded from gross tested income by reason of section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section, and FS has no gross tested income in Year 1.

(ii) *Year 2.* In Year 2, under section 952(c)(2) and §1.952-1(f)(2), FS's general category earnings and profits (\$100x) in excess of its subpart F income (\$0) give rise to the recharacterization of its passive category recapture account as subpart F income. Therefore, FS has passive category subpart F income of \$100x in Year 2, and A Corp has an inclusion of \$100x with respect to FS under section 951(a)(1)(A). Under paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(B) of this section, gross income described in section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section does not include any item of gross income that results in the recharacterization of earnings and profits as subpart F income in FS's taxable year under section 952(c)(2) and §1.952-1(f)(2). Accordingly, the \$100x of general category gross income of FS in Year 2 is not excluded from gross tested income by reason of section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section, and FS has \$100x of general category gross tested income in Year 2.

(B) *Example 2—(I) Facts.* A Corp, a domestic corporation, owns 100% of the single class of stock of FC1 and FC2, controlled foreign corporations. A Corp, FC1, and FC2 use the calendar year as their taxable year. In Year 1, FC1 has gross income of \$290x from product sales to unrelated persons within its country of incorporation, gross interest income of \$10x (an amount that is less than \$1,000,000) that does not qualify for an exception to foreign personal holding company income, and earnings and profits of \$300x. In Year 1, FC2 has gross income of \$45x for performing consulting services within its country of incorporation for unrelated persons, gross interest income of \$150x (an amount that is not less than \$1,000,000) that does not qualify for an exception to foreign personal holding company income, and earnings and profits of \$195x.

(2) *Analysis—(i) FCI.* In Year 1, by application of the de minimis rule of section 954(b)(3)(A) and §1.954-1(b)(1)(i), the \$10x of gross interest income earned by FC1 is not treated as foreign base company income (\$10x of gross foreign base company income is less than \$15x, the lesser of 5% of \$300x, FC's total gross income for Year 1, or \$1,000,000). Accordingly, FC1 has no subpart F income in Year 1, and A Corp has no inclusion with respect to FC1 under section 951(a)(1)(A). Under paragraph (c)(4)(i) of this section, gross income described in section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section is any item of gross income included in foreign base company income, and thus gross income described in section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section does not include any item of gross income excluded from foreign base company income under the de minimis rule in section 954(b)(3)(A) and §1.954-1(b)(1)(i). Accordingly, FS's \$10x of gross interest income in Year 1 is not excluded from gross tested income by reason of section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section, and FC1 has \$300x (\$290x of gross

sales income and \$10x of gross interest income) of gross tested income in Year 1.

(ii) *FC2.* In Year 1, by application of the full inclusion rule in section 954(b)(3)(B) and §1.954-1(b)(1)(ii), the \$45x of gross income earned by FC2 for performing consulting services within its country of incorporation for unrelated persons is treated as foreign base company income (\$150x of gross foreign base company income exceeds \$136.5x, which is 70% of \$195x, FC2's total gross income for Year 1). Therefore, FC2 has \$195x of foreign base company income in Year 1, including \$45x of full inclusion foreign base company income as defined in §1.954-1(b)(2), and A Corp has an inclusion of \$195x with respect to FC2 under section 951(a)(1)(A). Under paragraph (c)(4)(i) of this section, gross income described in section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section is any item of gross income included in foreign base company income, and thus gross income described in section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section includes any item of gross income included as foreign base company income under the full inclusion rule in section 954(b)(3)(B) and §1.954-1(b)(1)(ii). Accordingly, FC2's \$45x of gross services income and its \$150x of gross interest income in Year 1 are excluded from gross tested income by reason of section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section, and FC2 has no gross tested income in Year 1.

(C) *Example 3—(I) Facts.* A Corp, a domestic corporation, owns 100% of the single class of stock of FS, a controlled foreign corporation. A Corp and FS use the calendar year as their taxable year. In Year 1, FS has gross income of \$1,000x, of which \$720x is general category foreign base company sales income and \$280x is general category income from sales within its country of incorporation; FS has expenses of \$650x (including creditable foreign income taxes), of which \$500x are allocated and apportioned to foreign base company sales income and \$150x are allocated and apportioned to sales income from sales within FS's country of incorporation; and FS has earnings and profits of \$350x for Year 1. Foreign income tax of \$55x is considered imposed on the \$220x (\$720x - \$500x) of net foreign base company sales income, and \$26x is considered imposed on the \$130x (\$280x - \$150x) of net income from sales within FS's country of operation. The maximum rate of tax in section 11 for the taxable year is 21%, and FS elects the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) under §1.954-1(d)(1) for Year 1 for its foreign base company sales income. In a prior taxable year, FS had losses with respect to income other than foreign base company or insurance income that, by reason of the limitation in section 952(c)(1)(A), reduced the subpart F income of FS (consisting entirely of foreign source general category income) by \$600x; as of the beginning of Year 1, such amount has not been recharacterized as subpart F income in a subsequent taxable year under section 952(c)(2).

(2) *Analysis—(i) Foreign base company income.* In Year 1, by application of the full inclusion rule in section 954(b)(3)(B) and §1.954-1(b)(1)(ii), the \$280x of gross income earned by FS for sales within its country of incorporation is

treated as foreign base company income (\$720x of gross foreign base company income exceeds \$700x, which is 70% of \$1,000x, FS's total gross income for the taxable year). However, the \$220x of foreign base company sales income qualifies for the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) and §1.954-1(d)(1), because the effective rate of tax with respect to the net foreign base company sales income (\$220x) is 20% ($\$55x/(\$220x + \$55x)$) which is greater than 18.9% (90% of 21%, the maximum rate of tax in section 11 for the taxable year). Because the \$220x of net foreign base company sales income qualifies for the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) and §1.954-1(d)(1), the \$130x of full inclusion foreign base company income is also excluded from subpart F income under §1.954-1(d)(6).

(ii) *Recapture of subpart F income.* Under section 952(c)(2) and §1.952-1(f)(2), FS's general category earnings and profits (\$350x) in excess of its subpart F income (\$0) give rise to the recharacterization of its general category recapture account (\$600x) as subpart F income to the extent of current year earnings and profits. Therefore, FS has general category subpart F income of \$350x in Year 1, and A Corp has an inclusion of \$350x with respect to FS under section 951(a)(1)(A).

(iii) *Gross tested income.* The \$720x of gross foreign base company income is excluded from gross tested income under section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(III) and paragraph (c)(1)(iii) of this section. However, the \$280x of gross sales income earned from sales within FS's country of incorporation is not excluded from gross tested income under either section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section or section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(III) and paragraph (c)(1)(iii) of this section. Under paragraph (c)(4)(ii)(B) of this section, the \$280x of gross sales income earned from sales within FS's country of incorporation is not excluded from gross tested income under either section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section, because gross income described in paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section does not include any item of gross income that results in the recharacterization of earnings and profits as subpart F income under section 952(c)(2) and §1.952-1(f)(2).

Further, under paragraph (c)(4)(iii) of this section, the \$280x of gross sales income earned from sales within FS's country of incorporation is not excluded from gross tested income under either section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section or section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(III) and paragraph (c)(1)(iii) of this section, because gross income described in section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II) and paragraph (c)(1)(ii) of this section or section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(III) and paragraph (c)(1)(iii) of this section does not include full inclusion foreign base company income that is excluded from subpart F income under §1.954-1(d)(6). Accordingly, FS has \$280x of gross tested income for Year 1.

(5) *Allocation of deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis—(i) In general.* A deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis is allocated and apportioned solely to residual CFC gross income, and any depreciation, amortization, or cost recovery allowances attributable to disqualified basis is not properly allocable to property produced or acquired for resale under section 263, 263A, or 471.

(ii) *Determination of deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis.* Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph (c)(5)(ii), in the case of a depreciation or amortization deduction with respect to property with disqualified basis and adjusted basis other than disqualified basis, the deduction or loss is treated as attributable to the disqualified basis in the same proportion that the disqualified basis bears to the total adjusted basis in the property. In the case of a loss from a taxable sale or exchange of property with disqualified basis and adjusted basis other than disqualified basis, the loss is treated as attributable to disqualified basis to the extent thereof.

(iii) *Definitions.* The following definitions apply for purposes of this paragraph (c)(5).

(A) *Disqualified basis.* The term *disqualified basis* has the meaning set forth in §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii).

(B) *Residual CFC gross income.* The term *residual CFC gross income* means gross income other than gross tested income, gross income taken into account in determining subpart F income, or gross income that is effectively connected, or treated as effectively connected, with the

conduct of a trade or business in the United States (as described in §1.882-4(a)(1)).

(iv) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate the application of this paragraph (c)(5).

(A) *Example 1: Sale of intangible property during the disqualified period—(1) Facts.* USP, a domestic corporation, owns all of the stock in CFC1 and CFC2, each a controlled foreign corporation. Both USP and CFC2 use the calendar year as their taxable year. CFC1 uses a taxable year ending November 30. On November 1, 2018, before the start of its first CFC inclusion year, CFC1 sells Asset A to CFC2 in exchange for \$100x of cash. Asset A is intangible property that is amortizable under section 197. Immediately before the sale, the adjusted basis in Asset A is \$20x, and CFC1 recognizes \$80x of gain as a result of the sale ($\$100x - \$20x$). CFC1's gain is not subject to U.S. tax or taken into account in determining an inclusion to USP under section 951(a)(1)(A).

(2) *Analysis.* The sale by CFC1 is a disqualified transfer (within the meaning of §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(C)(2)) because it is a transfer of property in which gain was recognized by CFC1, CFC1 and CFC2 are related persons, and the transfer occurs during the disqualified period (within the meaning of §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(C)(1)). The disqualified basis in Asset A is \$80x, the excess of CFC2's adjusted basis in Asset A immediately after the disqualified transfer (\$100x), over the sum of CFC1's basis in Asset A immediately before the transfer (\$20x) and the qualified gain amount (as defined in §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(C)(3)) (\$0). Accordingly, under paragraph (c)(5)(i) of this section, any deduction or loss of CFC2 attributable to the disqualified basis is allocated and apportioned solely to residual CFC gross income of CFC2 and, therefore, is not taken into account in determining the tested income, tested loss, subpart F income, or effectively connected income of CFC2 for any CFC inclusion year.

(B) *Example 2: Related party transfer after the disqualified period; gain recognition—(1) Facts.* The facts are the same as in paragraph (c)(5)(iv)(A)(1) of this section (the facts in *Example 1*), except that, on November 30, 2020, CFC2 sells Asset A to CFC3, a controlled foreign corporation wholly-owned by CFC2, in exchange for \$120x of cash. Immediately before the sale, the adjusted basis in Asset A is \$90x, \$72x of which is disqualified basis. The gain recognized by CFC2 on the sale of Asset A is not described in paragraphs (c)(1)(i) through (v) of this section.

(2) *Analysis.* Paragraph (c)(5)(i) of this section does not apply to the sale of Asset A from CFC2 to CFC3 because the sale does not give rise to a deduction or loss attributable to disqualified basis, but instead gives rise to gain. Therefore, CFC2 recognizes \$30x ($\$120x - \$90x$) of gain that is included in gross tested income for its CFC inclusion year ending November 30, 2019. Under §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(1)(ii), because CFC2 sold Asset A to CFC3, a related person, and CFC2 did

not recognize a deduction or loss on the sale, the disqualified basis in Asset A is not reduced or eliminated by reason of the sale. Accordingly, under paragraph (c)(5)(i) of this section, any deduction or loss of CFC3 attributable to the \$72x of disqualified basis in Asset A is allocated and apportioned solely to residual CFC gross income of CFC3.

(C) *Example 3: Related party transfer after the disqualified period; loss recognition*—(1) *Facts*. The facts are the same as in paragraph (c)(5)(iv)(B)(I) of this section (the facts in *Example 2*), except that CFC2 sells Asset A to CFC3 in exchange for \$70x of cash.

(2) *Analysis*. Under paragraph (c)(5)(ii) of this section, the \$20x loss recognized by CFC2 on the sale is attributable to disqualified basis, to the extent thereof, notwithstanding that the loss may be deferred under section 267(f). Thus, under paragraph (c)(5)(i) of this section, the loss is allocated and apportioned solely to residual CFC gross income of CFC2 in the CFC inclusion year in which the loss is taken into account pursuant to section 267(f). Under §1.951A-3(h)(2)(ii)(B)(I)(ii), the disqualified basis in Asset A is reduced by \$20x, the loss of CFC2 that is attributable to disqualified basis under paragraph (c)(5)(ii) of this section. Accordingly, under paragraph (c)(5)(i) of this section, any deduction or loss of CFC3 attributable to the remaining \$52x of disqualified basis in Asset A is allocated and apportioned solely to residual CFC gross income of CFC3.

§1.951A-3 *Qualified business asset investment*.

(a) *Scope*. This section provides rules for determining the qualified business asset investment of a controlled foreign corporation for purposes of determining a United States shareholder's deemed tangible income return under §1.951A-1(c)(3)(ii). Paragraph (b) of this section defines qualified business asset investment. Paragraph (c) of this section defines tangible property and specified tangible property. Paragraph (d) of this section provides rules for determining the portion of tangible property that is specified tangible property when the property is used in the production of both gross tested income and gross income that is not gross tested income. Paragraph (e) of this section provides rules for determining the adjusted basis in specified tangible property. Paragraph (f) of this section provides rules for determining qualified business asset investment of a tested income CFC with a short taxable year. Paragraph (g) of this section provides rules for increasing the qualified business asset investment of a tested income CFC by reason of property

owned by a partnership. Paragraph (h) of this section provides anti-avoidance rules that disregard the basis in property transferred in certain transactions when determining the qualified business asset investment of a tested income CFC.

(b) *Qualified business asset investment*. The term *qualified business asset investment* means the average of a tested income CFC's aggregate adjusted bases as of the close of each quarter of a CFC inclusion year in specified tangible property that is used in a trade or business of the tested income CFC and is of a type with respect to which a deduction is allowable under section 167. In the case of partially depreciable property, only the depreciable portion of the property is of a type with respect to which a deduction is allowable under section 167. A tested loss CFC has no qualified business asset investment.

(c) *Specified tangible property*—(1) *In general*. The term *specified tangible property* means, with respect to a tested income CFC and a CFC inclusion year, tangible property of the tested income CFC used in the production of gross tested income for the CFC inclusion year. For purposes of the preceding sentence, tangible property of a tested income CFC is used in the production of gross tested income for a CFC inclusion year if some or all of the depreciation or cost recovery allowance with respect to the tangible property is either allocated and apportioned to the gross tested income of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year under §1.951A-2(c)(3) or capitalized to inventory or other property held for sale, some or all of the gross income or loss from the sale of which is taken into account in determining tested income of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year. None of the tangible property of a tested loss CFC is specified tangible property.

(2) *Tangible property*. The term *tangible property* means property for which the depreciation deduction provided by section 167(a) is eligible to be determined under section 168 without regard to section 168(f)(1), (2), or (5), section 168(k)(2)(A)(i)(II), (IV), or (V), and the date placed in service.

(d) *Dual use property*—(1) *In general*. The amount of the adjusted basis in dual use property of a tested income CFC for a CFC inclusion year that is treated as ad-

justed basis in specified tangible property for the CFC inclusion year is the average of the tested income CFC's adjusted basis in the property multiplied by the dual use ratio with respect to the property for the CFC inclusion year.

(2) *Definition of dual use property*. The term *dual use property* means, with respect to a tested income CFC and a CFC inclusion year, specified tangible property of the tested income CFC that is used in both the production of gross tested income and the production of gross income that is not gross tested income for the CFC inclusion year. For purposes of the preceding sentence, specified tangible property of a tested income CFC is used in the production of gross tested income and the production of gross income that is not gross tested income for a CFC inclusion year if less than all of the depreciation or cost recovery allowance with respect to the property is either allocated and apportioned to the gross tested income of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year under §1.951A-2(c)(3) or capitalized to inventory or other property held for sale, the gross income or loss from the sale of which is taken into account in determining the tested income of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year.

(3) *Dual use ratio*. The term *dual use ratio* means, with respect to dual use property, a tested income CFC, and a CFC inclusion year, a ratio (expressed as a percentage) calculated as—

(i) The sum of—

(A) The depreciation deduction or cost recovery allowance with respect to the property that is allocated and apportioned to the gross tested income of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year under §1.951A-2(c)(3), and

(B) The depreciation or cost recovery allowance with respect to the property that is capitalized to inventory or other property held for sale, the gross income or loss from the sale of which is taken into account in determining the tested income of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year, divided by

(ii) The sum of—

(A) The total amount of the tested income CFC's depreciation deduction or cost recovery allowance with respect to the property for the CFC inclusion year, and

(B) The total amount of the tested income CFC's depreciation or cost recovery allowance with respect to the property capitalized to inventory or other property held for sale, the gross income or loss from the sale of which is taken into account in determining the income or loss of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year.

(4) *Example.* The following example illustrates the application of this paragraph (d).

(i) *Facts.* FS is a tested income CFC and a wholesale distributor of Product A. FS owns a warehouse and trucks that store and deliver Product A, respectively. The warehouse has an average adjusted basis for Year 1 of \$20,000x. The depreciation with respect to the warehouse for Year 1 is \$2,000x, which is capitalized to inventory of Product A. Of the \$2,000x depreciation capitalized to inventory of Product A, \$500x is capitalized to FS's ending inventory of Product A, \$1,200x is capitalized to inventory of Product A, the gross income or loss from the sale of which is taken into account in determining FS's tested income for Year 1, and \$300x is capitalized to inventory of Product A, the gross income or loss from the sale of which is taken into account in determining FS's foreign base company sales income for Year 1. The trucks have an average adjusted basis for Year 1 of \$4,000x. FS does not capitalize depreciation with respect to the trucks to inventory or other property held for sale. FS's depreciation deduction with respect to the trucks is \$20x for Year 1, \$15x of which is allocated and apportioned to FS's gross tested income under § 1.951A-2(c)(3).

(ii) *Analysis—(A) Dual use property.* The warehouse and trucks are property for which the depreciation deduction provided by section 167(a) is eligible to be determined under section 168 (without regard to section 168(f)(1), (2), or (5), section 168(k)(2)(A) (i)(II), (IV), or (V), and the date placed in service). Therefore, under paragraph (c)(2) of this section, the warehouse and trucks are tangible property. Furthermore, because the warehouse and trucks are used in the production of gross tested income in Year 1 within the meaning of paragraph (c)(1) of this section, the warehouse and trucks are specified tangible property. Finally, because the warehouse and trucks are used in both the production of gross tested income and the production of gross income that is not gross tested income in Year 1 within the meaning of paragraph (d)(2) of this section, the warehouse and trucks are dual use property. Therefore, under paragraph (d)(1) of this section, the amount of FS's adjusted basis in the warehouse and trucks that is treated as adjusted basis in specified tangible property for Year 1 is determined by multiplying FS's adjusted basis in the warehouse and trucks by FS's dual use ratio with respect to the warehouse and trucks determined under paragraph (d)(3) of this section.

(B) *Depreciation not capitalized to inventory.* Because none of the depreciation with respect to the trucks is capitalized to inventory or other property held for sale, FS's dual use ratio with respect to the trucks is determined entirely by reference the depreciation deduction with respect to the trucks. There-

fore, under paragraph (d)(3) of this section, FS's dual use ratio with respect to the trucks for Year 1 is 75%, which is FS's depreciation deduction with respect to the trucks that is allocated and apportioned to gross tested income under § 1.951A-2(c)(3) for Year 1 (\$15x), divided by the total amount of FS's depreciation deduction with respect to the trucks for Year 1 (\$20x). Accordingly, under paragraph (d)(1) of this section, \$3,000x (\$4,000x x 0.75) of FS's average adjusted bases in the trucks is taken into account under paragraph (b) of this section in determining FS's qualified business asset investment for Year 1.

(C) *Depreciation capitalized to inventory.* Because all of the depreciation with respect to the warehouse is capitalized to inventory, FS's dual use ratio with respect to the warehouse is determined entirely by reference to the depreciation with respect to the warehouse that is capitalized to inventory and included in cost of goods sold. Therefore, under paragraph (d)(3) of this section, FS's dual use ratio with respect to the warehouse for Year 1 is 80%, which is FS's depreciation with respect to the warehouse that is capitalized to inventory of Product A, the gross income or loss from the sale of which is taken into account in determining in FS's tested income for Year 1 (\$1,200x), divided by FS's depreciation with respect to the warehouse that is capitalized to inventory of Product A, the gross income or loss from the sale of which is taken into account in determining FS's income for Year 1 (\$1,500x). Accordingly, under paragraph (d)(1) of this section, \$16,000x (\$20,000x x 0.8) of FS's average adjusted basis in the warehouse is taken into account under paragraph (b) of this section in determining FS's qualified business asset investment for Year 1.

(e) *Determination of adjusted basis in specified tangible property—(1) In general.* Except as provided in paragraph (e) (3)(ii) of this section, the adjusted basis in specified tangible property for purposes of this section is determined by using the cost capitalization methods of accounting used by the controlled foreign corporation for purposes of determining the gross income and allowable deductions of the controlled foreign corporation under § 1.951A-2(c) (2) and the alternative depreciation system under section 168(g), and by allocating the depreciation deduction with respect to such property for a CFC inclusion year ratably to each day during the period in the CFC inclusion year to which such depreciation relates. For purposes of the preceding sentence, the period in the CFC inclusion year to which such depreciation relates is determined without regard to the applicable convention under section 168(d).

(2) *Effect of change in law.* The adjusted basis in specified tangible property is determined without regard to any provision of law enacted after December 22, 2017, unless such later enacted law specif-

ically and directly amends the definition of qualified business asset investment under section 951A.

(3) *Specified tangible property placed in service before enactment of section 951A—(i) In general.* Except as provided in paragraph (e)(3)(ii) of this section, the adjusted basis in specified tangible property placed in service before December 22, 2017, is determined using the alternative depreciation system under section 168(g), as if this system had applied from the date that the property was placed in service.

(ii) *Election to use income and earnings and profits depreciation method for property placed in service before the first taxable year beginning after December 22, 2017—(A) In general.* If a controlled foreign corporation is not required to use, and does not in fact use, the alternative depreciation system under section 168(g) for purposes of determining income under § 1.952-2 and earnings and profits under § 1.964-1 with respect to property placed in service before the first taxable year beginning after December 22, 2017, and the controlling domestic shareholders (as defined in § 1.964-1(c)(5)) of the controlled foreign corporation make an election described in this paragraph (e) (3)(ii), the adjusted basis in specified tangible property of the controlled foreign corporation that was placed in service before the first taxable year of the controlled foreign corporation beginning after December 22, 2017, and the partner adjusted basis in partnership specified tangible property of any partnership of which the controlled foreign corporation is a partner that was placed in service before the first taxable year of the partnership beginning after December 22, 2017, is determined for purposes of this section based on the method of accounting for depreciation used by the controlled foreign corporation for purposes of determining income under § 1.952-2, subject to the modification described in this paragraph (e)(3)(ii)(A). If the controlled foreign corporation's method of accounting for depreciation takes into account salvage value of the property, the salvage value is reduced to zero by allocating the salvage value ratably to each day of the taxable year immediately after the last taxable year in which the method of accounting determined an amount of depreciation deduction for the property.

(B) *Manner of making the election.* The controlling domestic shareholders making the election described in this paragraph (e)(3) must file a statement that meets the requirements of §1.964-1(c)(3)(ii) with their income tax returns for the taxable year that includes the last day of the controlled foreign corporation's applicable taxable year and follow the notice requirements of §1.964-1(c)(3) (iii). The controlled foreign corporation's applicable taxable year is the first CFC inclusion year that begins after December 31, 2017, and ends within the controlling domestic shareholder's taxable year. For purposes of §301.9100-3 of this chapter (addressing requests for extensions of time for filing certain regulatory elections), a controlling domestic shareholder is qualified to make the election described in this paragraph (e)(3) only if the shareholder determined the adjusted basis in specified tangible property placed in service before the first taxable year beginning after December 22, 2017, by applying the method described in paragraph (e)(3)(ii)(A) of this section with respect to the first taxable year of the controlled foreign corporation beginning after December 22, 2017, and each subsequent taxable year. The election statement must be filed in accordance with the rules provided in forms or instructions.

(f) *Special rules for short taxable years*—(1) *In general.* In the case of a tested income CFC that has a CFC inclusion year that is less than twelve months (a *short taxable year*), the rules for determining the qualified business asset investment of the tested income CFC under this section are modified as provided in paragraphs (f)(2) and (3) of this section with respect to the CFC inclusion year.

(2) *Determination of quarter closes.* For purposes of determining quarter closes, in determining the qualified business asset investment of a tested income CFC for a short taxable year, the quarters of the tested income CFC for purposes of this section are the full quarters beginning and ending within the short taxable year (if any), determining quarter length as if the tested income CFC did not have a short taxable year, plus one or more short quarters (if any).

(3) *Reduction of qualified business asset investment.* The qualified business as-

set investment of a tested income CFC for a short taxable year is the sum of—

(i) The sum of the tested income CFC's aggregate adjusted bases in specified tangible property as of the close of each full quarter (if any) in the CFC inclusion year divided by four, plus

(ii) The tested income CFC's aggregate adjusted bases in specified tangible property as of the close of each short quarter (if any) in the CFC inclusion year multiplied by the sum of the number of days in each short quarter divided by 365.

(4) *Example.* The following example illustrates the application of this paragraph (f).

(i) *Facts.* USP1, a domestic corporation, owns all of the stock of FS, a controlled foreign corporation. USP1 owns FS from the beginning of Year 1. On July 15, Year 1, USP1 sells FS to USP2, an unrelated person. USP2 makes a section 338(g) election with respect to the purchase of FS, as a result of which FS's taxable year is treated as ending on July 15. USP1, USP2, and FS all use the calendar year as their taxable year. FS's aggregate adjusted bases in specified tangible property is \$250x as of March 31, \$300x as of June 30, \$275x as of July 15, \$500x as of September 30, and \$450x as of December 31.

(ii) *Analysis*—(A) *Determination of short taxable years and quarters.* FS has two short taxable years in Year 1. The first short taxable year is from January 1 to July 15, with two full quarters (January 1 through March 31 and April 1 through June 30) and one short quarter (July 1 through July 15). The second taxable year is from July 16 to December 31, with one short quarter (July 16 through September 30) and one full quarter (October 1 through December 31).

(B) *Calculation of qualified business asset investment for the first short taxable year.* Under paragraph (f)(2) of this section, for the first short taxable year in Year 1, FS has three quarter closes (March 31, June 30, and July 15). Under paragraph (f)(3) of this section, the qualified business asset investment of FS for the first short taxable year is \$148.80x, the sum of \$137.50x (($\$250x + \$300x$)/4) attributable to the two full quarters and \$11.30x ($\$275x \times 15/365$) attributable to the short quarter.

(C) *Calculation of qualified business asset investment for the second short taxable year.* Under paragraph (f)(2) of this section, for the second short taxable year in Year 1, FS has two quarter closes (September 30 and December 31). Under paragraph (f)(3) of this section, the qualified business asset investment of FS for the second short taxable year is \$217.98x, the sum of \$112.50x ($\$450x/4$) attributable to

the one full quarter and \$105.48x ($\$500x \times 77/365$) attributable to the short quarter.

(g) *Partnership property*—(1) *In general.* If a tested income CFC holds an interest in one or more partnerships during a CFC inclusion year (including indirectly through one or more partnerships that are partners in a lower-tier partnership), the qualified business asset investment of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year (determined without regard to this paragraph (g)(1)) is increased by the sum of the tested income CFC's partnership QBAI with respect to each partnership for the CFC inclusion year. A tested loss CFC has no partnership QBAI for a CFC inclusion year.

(2) *Determination of partnership QBAI.* For purposes of paragraph (g) (1) of this section, the term *partnership QBAI* means, with respect to a partnership, a tested income CFC, and a CFC inclusion year, the sum of the tested income CFC's partner adjusted basis in each partnership specified tangible property of the partnership for each partnership taxable year that ends with or within the CFC inclusion year. If a partnership taxable year is less than twelve months, the principles of paragraph (f) of this section apply in determining a tested income CFC's partnership QBAI with respect to the partnership.

(3) *Determination of partner adjusted basis*—(i) *In general.* For purposes of paragraph (g)(2) of this section, the term *partner adjusted basis* means the amount described in paragraph (g)(3)(ii) of this section with respect to sole use partnership property or paragraph (g)(3)(iii) of this section with respect to dual use partnership property. The principles of section 706(d) apply to this determination.

(ii) *Sole use partnership property*—(A) *In general.* The amount described in this paragraph (g)(3)(ii), with respect to sole use partnership property, a partnership taxable year, and a tested income CFC, is the sum of the tested income CFC's proportionate share of the partnership adjusted basis in the sole use partnership property for the partnership taxable year and the tested income CFC's partner-specific QBAI basis in the sole use partnership property for the partnership taxable year.

(B) *Definition of sole use partnership property.* The term *sole use partnership*

property means, with respect to a partnership, a partnership taxable year, and a tested income CFC, partnership specified tangible property of the partnership that is used in the production of only gross tested income of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year in which or with which the partnership taxable year ends. For purposes of the preceding sentence, partnership specified tangible property of a partnership is used in the production of only gross tested income for a CFC inclusion year if all the tested income CFC's distributive share of the partnership's depreciation deduction or cost recovery allowance with respect to the property (if any) for the partnership taxable year that ends with or within the CFC inclusion year is allocated and apportioned to the tested income CFC's gross tested income for the CFC inclusion year under §1.951A-2(c)(3) and, if any of the partnership's depreciation or cost recovery allowance with respect to the property is capitalized to inventory or other property held for sale, all the tested income CFC's distributive share of the partnership's gross income or loss from the sale of such inventory or other property for the partnership taxable year that ends with or within the CFC inclusion year is taken into account in determining the tested income of the tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year.

(iii) *Dual use partnership property*—
(A) *In general.* The amount described in this paragraph (g)(3)(iii), with respect to dual use partnership property, a partnership taxable year, and a tested income CFC, is the sum of the tested income CFC's proportionate share of the partnership adjusted basis in the property for the partnership taxable year and the tested income CFC's partner-specific QBAI basis in the property for the partnership taxable year, multiplied by the tested income CFC's dual use ratio with respect to the property for the partnership taxable year determined under the principles of paragraph (d)(3) of this section, except that the ratio described in paragraph (d)(3) of this section is determined by reference to the tested income CFC's distributive share of the amounts described in paragraph (d)(3) of this section.

(B) *Definition of dual use partnership property.* The term *dual use partnership*

property means partnership specified tangible property other than sole use partnership property.

(4) *Determination of proportionate share of the partnership's adjusted basis in partnership specified tangible property*—(i) *In general.* For purposes of paragraph (g)(3) of this section, the tested income CFC's proportionate share of the partnership adjusted basis in partnership specified tangible property for a partnership taxable year is the partnership adjusted basis in the property multiplied by the tested income CFC's proportionate share ratio with respect to the property for the partnership taxable year. Solely for purposes of determining the proportionate share ratio under paragraph (g)(4)(ii) of this section, the partnership's calculation of, and a partner's distributive share of, any income, loss, depreciation, or cost recovery allowance is determined under section 704(b).

(ii) *Proportionate share ratio.* The term *proportionate share ratio* means, with respect to a partnership, a partnership taxable year, and a tested income CFC, the ratio (expressed as a percentage) calculated as —

(A) The sum of—

(1) The tested income CFC's distributive share of the partnership's depreciation deduction or cost recovery allowance with respect to the property for the partnership taxable year, and

(2) The amount of the partnership's depreciation or cost recovery allowance with respect to the property that is capitalized to inventory or other property held for sale, the gross income or loss from the sale of which is taken into account in determining the tested income CFC's distributive share of the partnership's income or loss for the partnership taxable year, divided by

(B) The sum of—

(1) The total amount of the partnership's depreciation deduction or cost recovery allowance with respect to the property for the partnership taxable year, and

(2) The total amount of the partnership's depreciation or cost recovery allowance with respect to the property capitalized to inventory or other property held for sale, the gross income or loss from the sale of which is taken into account in determining the partnership's income or loss for the partnership taxable year.

(5) *Definition of partnership specified tangible property.* The term *partnership specified tangible property* means, with respect to a tested income CFC, tangible property (as defined in paragraph (c)(2) of this section) of a partnership that is—

(i) Used in the trade or business of the partnership,

(ii) Of a type with respect to which a deduction is allowable under section 167, and

(iii) Used in the production of gross income included in the tested income CFC's gross tested income.

(6) *Determination of partnership adjusted basis.* For purposes of this paragraph (g), the term *partnership adjusted basis* means, with respect to a partnership, partnership specified tangible property, and a partnership taxable year, the amount equal to the average of the partnership's adjusted basis in the partnership specified tangible property as of the close of each quarter in the partnership taxable year determined without regard to any adjustments under section 734(b) except for adjustments under section 734(b)(1)(B) or section 734(b)(2)(B) that are attributable to distributions of tangible property (as defined in paragraph (c)(2) of this section) and for adjustments under section 734(b)(1)(A) or 734(b)(2)(A). The principles of paragraphs (e) and (h) of this section apply for purposes of determining a partnership's adjusted basis in partnership specified tangible property and the proportionate share of the partnership's adjusted basis in partnership specified tangible property.

(7) *Determination of partner-specific QBAI basis.* For purposes of this paragraph (g), the term *partner-specific QBAI basis* means, with respect to a tested income CFC, a partnership, and partnership specified tangible property, the amount that is equal to the average of the basis adjustment under section 743(b) that is allocated to the partnership specified tangible property of the partnership with respect to the tested income CFC as of the close of each quarter in the partnership taxable year. For this purpose, a negative basis adjustment under section 743(b) is expressed as a negative number. The principles of paragraphs (e) and (h) of this section apply for purposes of determining the partner-specific QBAI ba-

sis with respect to partnership specified tangible property.

(8) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate the rules of this paragraph (g).

(i) *Facts.* Except as otherwise stated, the following facts are assumed for purposes of the examples:

(A) FC, FC1, FC2, and FC3 are tested income CFCs.

(B) PRS is a partnership and its allocations satisfy the requirements of section 704.

(C) All properties are partnership specified tangible property.

(D) All persons use the calendar year as their taxable year.

(E) There is neither disqualified basis nor partner-specific QBAI basis with respect to any property.

(ii) *Example 1: Sole use partnership property—(A) Facts.* FC is a partner in PRS. PRS owns two properties, Asset A and Asset B. The average of PRS's adjusted basis as of the close of each quarter of PRS's taxable year in Asset A is \$100x and in Asset B is \$500x. In Year 1, PRS's section 704(b) depreciation deduction is \$10x with respect to Asset A and \$5x with respect to Asset B, and FC's section 704(b) distributive share of the depreciation deduction is \$8x with respect to Asset A and \$1x with respect to Asset B. None of the depreciation with respect to Asset A or Asset B is capitalized to inventory or other property held for sale. FC's entire distributive share of the depreciation deduction with respect to Asset A and Asset B is allocated and apportioned to FC's gross tested income for Year 1 under §1.951A-2(c)(3).

(B) *Analysis—(1) Sole use partnership property.* Because all of FC's distributive share of the depreciation deduction with respect to Asset A and B is allocated and apportioned to gross tested income for Year 1, Asset A and Asset B are sole use partnership property within the meaning of paragraph (g)(3)(ii)(B) of this section. Therefore, under paragraph (g)(3)(ii)(A) of this section, FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset A and Asset B is equal to the sum of FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset A and Asset B for Year 1 and FC's partner-specific QBAI basis in Asset A and Asset B for Year 1, respectively.

(2) *Proportionate share.* Under paragraph (g)(4)(i) of this section, FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset A and Asset B is PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset A and Asset B for Year 1, multiplied by FC's proportionate share ratio with respect to Asset A and Asset B for Year 1, respectively. Because none of the depreciation with respect to Asset A or Asset B is capitalized to inventory or other property held for sale, FC's proportionate share ratio with respect to Asset A and Asset B is determined entirely by reference to the depreciation deduction with respect to Asset A and Asset B. Therefore, FC's proportionate share ratio with respect to Asset A for Year 1 is 80%, which is the ratio of FC's section 704(b) distributive share of PRS's section 704(b) depreciation deduction with respect to

Asset A for Year 1 (\$8x), divided by the total amount of PRS's section 704(b) depreciation deduction with respect to Asset A for Year 1 (\$10x). FC's proportionate share ratio with respect to Asset B for Year 1 is 20%, which is the ratio of FC's section 704(b) distributive share of PRS's section 704(b) depreciation deduction with respect to Asset B for Year 1 (\$1x), divided by the total amount of PRS's section 704(b) depreciation deduction with respect to Asset B for Year 1 (\$5x). Accordingly, under paragraph (g)(4)(i) of this section, FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset A is \$80x ($\$100x \times 0.8$), and FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset B is \$100x ($\$500x \times 0.2$).

(3) *Partner adjusted basis.* Because FC has no partner-specific QBAI basis with respect to Asset A and Asset B, FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset A and Asset B is determined entirely by reference to its proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset A and Asset B. Therefore, under paragraph (g)(3)(ii)(A) of this section, FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset A is \$80x, FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset A, and FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset B is \$100x, FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset A.

(4) *Partnership QBAI.* Under paragraph (g)(2) of this section, FC's partnership QBAI with respect to PRS is \$180x, the sum of FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset A (\$80x) and FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset B (\$100x). Accordingly, under paragraph (g)(1) of this section, FC increases its qualified business asset investment for Year 1 by \$180x.

(iii) *Example 2: Dual use partnership property—(A) Facts.* FC owns a 50% interest in PRS. All section 704(b) and tax items are identical and are allocated equally between FC and its other partner. PRS owns three properties, Asset C, Asset D, and Asset E. PRS sells two products, Product A and Product B. All of FC's distributive share of the gross income or loss from the sale of Product A is taken into account in determining FC's tested income, and none of FC's distributive share of the gross income or loss from the sale of Product B is taken into account in determining FC's tested income.

(1) *Asset C.* The average of PRS's adjusted basis as of the close of each quarter of PRS's taxable year in Asset C is \$100x. In Year 1, PRS's depreciation is \$10x with respect to Asset C, none of which is capitalized to inventory or other property held for sale. FC's distributive share of the depreciation deduction with respect to Asset C is \$5x ($\$10x \times 0.5$), \$3x of which is allocated and apportioned to FC's gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3).

(2) *Asset D.* The average of PRS's adjusted basis as of the close of each quarter of PRS's taxable year in Asset D is \$500x. In Year 1, PRS's depreciation is \$50x with respect to Asset D, \$10x of which is capitalized to inventory of Product A and \$40x is capitalized to inventory of Product B. None of the \$10x depreciation with respect to Asset D capitalized to inventory of Product A is capitalized to ending inventory. However, of the \$40x capitalized to inventory of Product B, \$10x is capitalized to ending inventory. Therefore, the amount of depreciation with respect to Asset D capitalized to inventory of Product A that is taken into account in determining FC's distributive share of the income or loss of PRS for Year

1 is \$5x ($\$10x \times 0.5$), and the amount of depreciation with respect to Asset D capitalized to inventory of Product B that is taken into account in determining FC's distributive share of the income or loss of PRS for Year 1 is \$15x ($\$30x \times 0.5$).

(3) *Asset E.* The average of PRS's adjusted basis as of the close of each quarter of PRS's taxable year in Asset E is \$600x. In Year 1, PRS's depreciation is \$60x with respect to Asset E. Of the \$60x depreciation with respect to Asset E, \$20x is allowed as a deduction, \$24x is capitalized to inventory of Product A, and \$16x is capitalized to inventory of Product B. FC's distributive share of the depreciation deduction with respect to Asset E is \$10x ($\$20x \times 0.5$), \$8x of which is allocated and apportioned to FC's gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). None of the \$24x depreciation with respect to Asset E capitalized to inventory of Product A is capitalized to ending inventory. However, of the \$16x depreciation with respect to Asset E capitalized to inventory of Product B, \$10x is capitalized to ending inventory. Therefore, the amount of depreciation with respect to Asset E capitalized to inventory of Product A that is taken into account in determining FC's distributive share of the income or loss of PRS for Year 1 is \$12x ($\$24x \times 0.5$), and the amount of depreciation with respect to Asset E capitalized to inventory of Product B that is taken into account in determining FC's distributive share of the income or loss of PRS for Year 1 is \$3x ($\$6x \times 0.5$).

(B) *Analysis.* Because Asset C, Asset D, and Asset E are not used in the production of only gross tested income in Year 1 within the meaning of paragraph (g)(3)(ii)(B) of this section, Asset C, Asset D, and Asset E are partnership dual use property within the meaning of paragraph (g)(3)(iii)(B) of this section. Therefore, under paragraph (g)(3)(iii)(A) of this section, FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset C, Asset D, and Asset E is the sum of FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset C, Asset D, and Asset E, respectively, for Year 1, and FC's partner-specific QBAI basis in Asset C, Asset D, and Asset E, respectively, for Year 1, multiplied by FC's dual use ratio with respect to Asset C, Asset D, and Asset E, respectively, for Year 1, determined under the principles of paragraph (d)(3) of this section, except that the ratio described in paragraph (d)(3) of this section is determined by reference to FC's distributive share of the amounts described in paragraph (d)(3) of this section.

(1) *Asset C—(i) Proportionate share.* Under paragraph (g)(4)(i) of this section, FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset C is PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset C for Year 1, multiplied by FC's proportionate share ratio with respect to Asset C for Year 1. Because none of the depreciation with respect to Asset C is capitalized to inventory or other property held for sale, FC's proportionate share ratio with respect to Asset C is determined entirely by reference to the depreciation deduction with respect to Asset C. Therefore, FC's proportionate share ratio with respect to Asset C is 50%, which is the ratio calculated as the amount of FC's section 704(b) distributive share of PRS's section 704(b) depreciation deduction with respect to Asset C for Year 1 (\$5x), divided by the total amount of PRS's section 704(b) depreciation deduction with respect to Asset C for Year 1 (\$10x). Accordingly, under paragraph (g)(4)(i) of this section, FC's pro-

portionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset C is \$50x ($\$100x \times 0.5$).

(ii) *Dual use ratio.* Because none of the depreciation with respect to Asset C is capitalized to inventory or other property held for sale, FC's dual use ratio with respect to Asset C is determined entirely by reference to the depreciation deduction with respect to Asset C. Therefore, FC's dual use ratio with respect to Asset C is 60%, which is the ratio calculated as the amount of FC's distributive share of PRS's depreciation deduction with respect to Asset C that is allocated and apportioned to FC's gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3) for Year 1 (\$3x), divided by the total amount of FC's distributive share of PRS's depreciation deduction with respect to Asset C for Year 1 (\$5x).

(iii) *Partner adjusted basis.* Because FC has no partner-specific QBAI basis with respect to Asset C, FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset C is determined entirely by reference to FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset C, multiplied by FC's dual use ratio with respect to Asset C. Under paragraph (g)(3)(iii)(A) of this section, FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset C is \$30x, FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset C for Year 1 (\$50x), multiplied by FC's dual use ratio with respect to Asset C for Year 1 (60%).

(3) *Asset D—(i) Proportionate share.* Under paragraph (g)(4)(i) of this section, FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset D is PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset D for Year 1, multiplied by FC's proportionate share ratio with respect to Asset D for Year 1. Because all of the depreciation with respect to Asset D is capitalized to inventory, FC's proportionate share ratio with respect to Asset D is determined entirely by reference to the depreciation with respect to Asset D that is capitalized to inventory and included in cost of goods sold. Therefore, FC's proportionate share ratio with respect to Asset D is 50%, which is the ratio calculated as the amount of PRS's section 704(b) depreciation with respect to Asset D capitalized to Product A and Product B that is taken into account in determining FC's section 704(b) distributive share of PRS's income or loss for Year 1 (\$20x), divided by the total amount of PRS's section 704(b) depreciation with respect to Asset D capitalized to Product A and Product B that is taken into account in determining PRS's section 704(b) income or loss for Year 1 (\$40x). Accordingly, under paragraph (g)(4)(i) of this section, FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset D is \$250x ($\$500x \times 0.5$).

(ii) *Dual use ratio.* Because all of the depreciation with respect to Asset D is capitalized to inventory, FC's dual use ratio with respect to Asset D is determined entirely by reference to the depreciation with respect to Asset D that is capitalized to inventory and included in cost of goods sold. Therefore, FC's dual use ratio with respect to Asset D is 25%, which is the ratio calculated as the amount of depreciation with respect to Asset D capitalized to inventory of Product A and Product B that is taken into account in determining FC's tested income for Year 1 (\$5x), divided by the total amount of depreciation with respect to Asset D capitalized to inventory of Product A and Product B that is taken into account in determining FC's income or loss for Year 1 (\$20x).

(iii) *Partner adjusted basis.* Because FC has no partner-specific QBAI basis with respect to Asset D,

FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset D is determined entirely by reference to FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset D, multiplied by FC's dual use ratio with respect to Asset D. Under paragraph (g)(3)(iii)(A) of this section, FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset D is \$62.50x, FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset D for Year 1 (\$250x), multiplied by FC's dual use ratio with respect to Asset D for Year 1 (25%).

(4) *Asset E—(i) Proportionate share.* Under paragraph (g)(4)(i) of this section, FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset E is PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset E for Year 1, multiplied by FC's proportionate share ratio with respect to Asset E for Year 1. Because the depreciation with respect to Asset E is partly deducted and partly capitalized to inventory, FC's proportionate share ratio with respect to Asset E is determined by reference to both the depreciation that is deducted and the depreciation that is capitalized to inventory and included in cost of goods sold. Therefore, FC's proportionate share ratio with respect to Asset E is 50%, which is the ratio calculated as the sum (\$25x) of the amount of FC's section 704(b) distributive share of PRS's section 704(b) depreciation deduction with respect to Asset E for Year 1 (\$10x) and the amount of PRS's section 704(b) depreciation with respect to Asset E capitalized to inventory of Product A and Product B that is taken into account in determining FC's section 704(b) distributive share of PRS's income or loss for Year 1 (\$15x), divided by the sum (\$50x) of the total amount of PRS's section 704(b) depreciation deduction with respect to Asset E for Year 1 (\$20x) and the total amount of PRS's section 704(b) depreciation with respect to Asset E capitalized to inventory of Product A and Product B that is taken into account in determining PRS's section 704(b) income or loss for Year 1 (\$30x). Accordingly, under paragraph (g)(4)(i) of this section, FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset E is \$300x ($\$600x \times 0.5$).

(ii) *Dual use ratio.* Because the depreciation with respect to Asset E is partly deducted and partly capitalized to inventory, FC's dual use ratio with respect to Asset E is determined by reference to the depreciation that is deducted and the depreciation that is capitalized to inventory and included in cost of goods sold. Therefore, FC's dual use ratio with respect to Asset E is 80%, which is the ratio calculated as the sum (\$20x) of the amount of FC's distributive share of PRS's depreciation deduction with respect to Asset E that is allocated and apportioned to FC's gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3) for Year 1 (\$8x) and the amount of depreciation with respect to Asset E capitalized to inventory of Product A and Product B that is taken into account in determining FC's tested income for Year 1 (\$12x), divided by the sum (\$25x) of the total amount of FC's distributive share of PRS's depreciation deduction with respect to Asset E for Year 1 (\$10x) and the total amount of depreciation with respect to Asset E capitalized to inventory of Product A and Product B that is taken into account in determining FC's income or loss for Year 1 (\$15x).

(iii) *Partner adjusted basis.* Because FC has no partner-specific QBAI basis with respect to Asset E, FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset E is determined

entirely by reference to FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset E, multiplied by FC's dual use ratio with respect to Asset E. Under paragraph (g)(3)(iii)(A) of this section, FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset E is \$240x, FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset E for Year 1 (\$300x), multiplied by FC's dual use ratio with respect to Asset E for Year 1 (80%).

(5) *Partnership QBAI.* Under paragraph (g)(2) of this section, FC's partnership QBAI with respect to PRS is \$332.50x, the sum of FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset C (\$30x), FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset D (\$62.50x), and FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset E (\$240x). Accordingly, under paragraph (g)(1) of this section, FC increases its qualified business asset investment for Year 1 by \$332.50x.

(iv) *Example 3: Sole use partnership specified tangible property; section 743(b) adjustments—(A) Facts.* The facts are the same as in paragraph (g)(8)(ii)(A) of this section (the facts in *Example 1*), except that there is an average of \$40x positive adjustment to the adjusted basis in Asset A as of the close of each quarter of PRS's taxable year with respect to FC under section 743(b) and an average of \$20x negative adjustment to the adjusted basis in Asset B as of the close of each quarter of PRS's taxable year with respect to FC under section 743(b).

(B) *Analysis.* Under paragraph (g)(3)(ii)(A) of this section, FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset A is \$120x, which is the sum of \$80x (FC's proportionate share of PRS's partnership adjusted basis in Asset A as illustrated in paragraph (g)(8)(ii)(B)(2) of this section (paragraph (B)(2) of the analysis in *Example 1*)) and \$40x (FC's partner-specific QBAI basis in Asset A). Under paragraph (g)(3)(ii)(A) of this section, FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset B is \$80x, the sum of \$100x (FC's proportionate share of the partnership adjusted basis in the property as illustrated in paragraph (g)(8)(ii)(B)(2) of this section (paragraph (B)(2) of the analysis in *Example 1*)) and (-\$20x) (FC's partner-specific QBAI basis in Asset B). Therefore, under paragraph (g)(2) of this section, FC's partnership QBAI with respect to PRS is \$200x ($\$120x + \$80x$). Accordingly, under paragraph (g)(1) of this section, FC increases its qualified business asset investment for Year 1 by \$200x.

(v) *Example 4: Tested income CFC with distributive share of loss from a partnership—(A) Facts.* FC owns a 50% interest in PRS. All section 704(b) and tax items are identical and are allocated equally between FC and its other partner. PRS owns Asset F. None of the depreciation with respect to Asset F is capitalized to inventory or other property held for sale. The average of PRS's adjusted basis as of the close of each quarter of PRS's taxable year in Asset F is \$220x. PRS has \$20x of gross income, a \$22x depreciation deduction with respect to Asset F, and no other income or expense in Year 1. FC's distributive share of the gross income is \$10x, all of which is includible in FC's gross tested income in Year 1, and FC's distributive share of PRS's depreciation deduction with respect to Asset F is \$11x in Year 1, all of which is allocated and apportioned to FC's gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). FC's distributive share of loss from PRS is \$1x. FC also has \$8x of gross tested income from other sources in Year 1 and no other deductions. Therefore, FC has tested income of \$7x for Year 1.

(B) *Analysis.* FC's partner adjusted basis in Asset F is \$110x, which is the sum of FC's proportionate share of the partnership adjusted basis in the property (\$220x x 0.5) and FC's partnership-specific QBAI basis in Asset F (\$0). Therefore, FC's partnership QBAI with respect to PRS is \$110x. Accordingly, under paragraph (g)(1) of this section, FC increases its qualified business asset investment by \$110x, notwithstanding that FC would not be a tested income CFC but for its \$8x of gross tested income from other sources.

(vi) *Example 5: Tested income CFC sale of partnership interest before CFC inclusion date—(A) Facts.* FC1 owns a 50% interest in PRS on January 1 of Year 1. On July 1 of Year 1, FC1 sells its entire interest in PRS to FC2. PRS owns Asset G. The average of PRS's adjusted basis as of the close of each quarter of PRS's taxable year in Asset G is \$100x. FC1's section 704(b) distributive share of the depreciation deduction with respect to Asset G is 25% with respect to PRS's entire year. FC2's section 704(b) distributive share of the depreciation deduction with respect to Asset G is also 25% with respect to PRS's entire year. Both FC1's and FC2's entire distributive shares of the depreciation deduction with respect to Asset G are allocated and apportioned under §1.951A-2(c)(3) to FC1's and FC2's gross tested income, respectively, for Year 1. PRS's allocations satisfy section 706(d).

(B) *Analysis—(1) FC1.* Because FC1 owns an interest in PRS during FC1's CFC inclusion year and receives a distributive share of partnership items of the partnership under section 706(d), FC1 has partnership QBAI with respect to PRS in the amount determined under paragraph (g)(2) of this section. Under paragraph (g)(3)(i) of this section, FC1's partner adjusted basis in Asset G is \$25x, the product of \$100x (the partnership's adjusted basis in the property) and 25% (FC1's section 704(b) distributive share of depreciation deduction with respect to Asset G). Therefore, FC1's partnership QBAI with respect to PRS is \$25x. Accordingly, under paragraph (g)(1) of this section, FC1 increases its qualified business asset investment by \$25x for Year 1.

(2) *FC2.* FC2's partner adjusted basis in Asset G is also \$25x, the product of \$100x (the partnership's adjusted basis in the property) and 25% (FC2's section 704(b) distributive share of depreciation deduction with respect to Asset G). Therefore, FC2's partnership QBAI with respect to PRS is \$25x. Accordingly, under paragraph (g)(1) of this section, FC2 increases its qualified business asset investment by \$25x for Year 1.

(vii) *Example 6: Partnership adjusted basis; distribution of property in liquidation of partnership interest—(A) Facts.* FC1, FC2, and FC3 are equal partners in PRS, a partnership. FC1 and FC2 each has an adjusted basis of \$100x in its partnership interest. FC3 has an adjusted basis of \$50x in its partnership interest. PRS has a section 754 election in effect. PRS owns Asset H with a fair market value of \$50x and an adjusted basis of \$0, Asset I with a fair market value of \$100x and an adjusted basis of \$100x, and Asset J with a fair market value of \$150x and an adjusted basis of \$150x. Asset H and Asset J are tangible property, but Asset I is not tangible property. PRS distributes Asset I to FC3 in liquidation of FC3's interest in PRS. None of FC1, FC2, FC3, or PRS recognizes gain on the distribution. Un-

der section 732(b), FC3's adjusted basis in Asset I is \$50x. PRS's adjusted basis in Asset H is increased by \$50x to \$50x under section 734(b)(1)(B), which is the amount by which PRS's adjusted basis in Asset I immediately before the distribution exceeds FC3's adjusted basis in Asset I.

(B) *Analysis.* Under paragraph (g)(6) of this section, PRS's adjusted basis in Asset H is determined without regard to any adjustments under section 734(b) except for adjustments under section 734(b)(1)(B) or section 734(b)(2)(B) that are attributable to distributions of tangible property and for adjustments under section 734(b)(1)(A) or 734(b)(2)(A). The adjustment to the adjusted basis in Asset H is under section 734(b)(1)(B) and is attributable to the distribution of Asset I, which is not tangible property. Accordingly, for purposes of applying paragraph (g)(1) of this section, PRS's adjusted basis in Asset H is \$0.

(h) *Anti-avoidance rules related to certain transfers of property—(1) Disregard of adjusted basis in specified tangible property held temporarily—(i) In general.* For purposes of determining a controlled foreign corporation's aggregate adjusted bases in specified tangible property as of the close of a quarter (*tested quarter close*), the adjusted basis in specified tangible property is disregarded as of the tested quarter close if the controlled foreign corporation (*acquiring CFC*) acquires the property temporarily before the tested quarter close with a principal purpose of increasing the deemed tangible income return of a U.S. shareholder (*applicable U.S. shareholder*) for a U.S. shareholder year, and the holding of the property by the acquiring CFC as of the tested quarter close would, without regard to this paragraph (h)(1)(i), increase the deemed tangible income return of the applicable U.S. shareholder for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

(ii) *Disregard of first quarter close.* The adjusted basis in specified tangible property may be disregarded under paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this section for purposes of multiple tested quarter closes that follow an acquisition and on which the acquiring CFC holds the property. However, if the holding of specified tangible property would, without regard to paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this section, increase the deemed tangible income return of an applicable U.S. shareholder because the adjusted basis in such property is taken into account for only one additional quarter close of a tested income CFC of the applicable U.S. shareholder in determining the deemed tangible income return of the applicable U.S. shareholder of the U.S. shareholder

inclusion year, the adjusted basis in the property is disregarded for purposes of determining the acquiring CFC's aggregate adjusted bases in specified tangible property only as of the first tested quarter close that follows the acquisition.

(iii) *Safe harbor for certain transfers involving CFCs.* The holding of specified tangible property as of a tested quarter close does not increase the deemed tangible income return of an applicable U.S. shareholder within the meaning of paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this section if each of the following conditions is satisfied with respect to the acquisition and subsequent transfer of property by the acquiring CFC—

(A) A controlled foreign corporation (*predecessor CFC*) holds the property on a quarter close of the predecessor CFC (*preceding quarter close*) that occurs on the same date as the last quarter close of the acquiring CFC preceding the acquisition.

(B) A controlled foreign corporation (*successor CFC*) holds the property on a quarter close of the successor CFC (*succeeding quarter close*) that occurs on the same date as the first quarter close of the acquiring CFC following the subsequent transfer.

(C) The proportion of the stock that the applicable U.S. shareholder owns (within the meaning of section 958(a)) of the acquiring CFC on the tested quarter close does not exceed the proportion of the stock that the applicable U.S. shareholder owns of either the predecessor CFC on the preceding quarter close or the successor CFC on the succeeding quarter close; and

(D) Each of the predecessor CFC and the successor CFC is a tested income CFC for its CFC inclusion year that includes the date of the tested quarter close.

(iv) *Determination of principal purpose and transitory holding—(A) Presumption for ownership less than 12 months.* For purposes of paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this section, specified tangible property is presumed to be acquired temporarily with a principal purpose of increasing the deemed tangible income return of an applicable U.S. shareholder for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year if the property is held by the acquiring CFC for less than 12 months and the holding of the property by the acquiring CFC as of the

tested quarter close would have the effect of increasing the deemed tangible income return of the applicable U.S. shareholder for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year. The presumption described in the preceding sentence may be rebutted only if the facts and circumstances clearly establish that the subsequent transfer of the property by the acquiring CFC was not contemplated when the property was acquired by the acquiring CFC and that a principal purpose of the acquisition of the property was not to increase the deemed tangible income return of the applicable U.S. shareholder for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year. In order to rebut the presumption, a statement must be attached to the Form 5471 filed by the taxpayer for the taxable year of the CFC in which the subsequent transfer occurs and include any information required by applicable administrative announcements, forms or instructions. The statement must explain the facts and circumstances supporting the rebuttal and be in accordance with any rules provided in forms and instructions.

(B) *Presumption for ownership greater than 36 months.* For purposes of paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this section, specified tangible property is presumed not to be acquired temporarily with a principal purpose of increasing the deemed tangible income return of an applicable U.S. shareholder for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year if the property is held by the acquiring CFC for more than 36 months. The presumption described in the preceding sentence may be rebutted only if the facts and circumstances clearly establish that the subsequent transfer of the property by the acquiring CFC was contemplated when the property was acquired by the acquiring CFC and that a principal purpose of the acquisition of the property was to increase the deemed tangible income return of the applicable U.S. shareholder for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

(v) *Determination of holding period.* For purposes of this paragraph (h)(1), the period during which an acquiring CFC holds specified tangible property is determined without regard to section 1223.

(vi) *Treatment as single applicable U.S. shareholder.* For purposes of this paragraph (h)(1), all U.S. persons that are related persons are treated as a single applicable U.S. shareholder. For purposes

of the preceding sentence, U.S. persons are related if they bear a relationship described in section 267(b) or 707(b) immediately before or immediately after a transaction.

(vii) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate the application of this paragraph (h)(1).

(A) *Facts.* Except as otherwise stated, the following facts are assumed for purposes of the examples:

(1) USP is a domestic corporation.

(2) CFC1, CFC2 and CFC3 are tested income CFCs.

(3) R is unrelated to USP.

(4) All persons use the calendar year as their taxable year.

(5) Asset A is specified tangible property.

(6) Both Year 1 and Year 2 begin on or after January 1, 2018, and have 365 days.

(7) USP has no specified interest expense (as defined in §1.951A-1(c)(3)(iii)).

(B) *Example 1: Qualification for safe harbor—(1) Facts.* USP owns all of the stock of CFC1, which owns all of the stock of CFC2, which owns all of the stock of CFC3. As of January 1, Year 1, CFC1 owns Asset A, which is specified tangible property. On December 30, Year 1, CFC1 transfers Asset A to CFC2. On April 10, Year 2, CFC2 transfers Asset A to CFC3. CFC3 holds Asset A for the rest of Year 2.

(2) *Analysis.* Under the safe harbor of paragraph (h)(1)(iii) of this section, CFC2's holding of Asset A as of each of the December 31, Year 1 tested quarter close and the March 31, Year 2 tested quarter close does not increase the deemed tangible income return of USP, the applicable United States shareholder, for Year 1 or Year 2 because each of the requirements in paragraphs (h)(1)(iii)(A) through (D) of this section is satisfied. The requirement in paragraph (h)(1)(iii)(A) of this section is satisfied because CFC1, a predecessor CFC, held Asset A on September 30, Year 1, a quarter close of CFC1 that occurs on the same date as the last quarter close of CFC2, the acquiring CFC, preceding the December 30, Year 1 acquisition of Asset A. The requirement in paragraph (h)(1)(iii)(B) of this section is satisfied because CFC3, a successor CFC, holds Asset A on June 30, Year 2, a quarter close of CFC3 that occurs on the same date as the first quarter close of CFC2 following April 10, Year 2, the date of the subsequent transfer of Asset A. The requirement in paragraph (h)(1)(iii)(C) of this section is satisfied because the proportion of stock that USP, the applicable U.S. shareholder, owns (within the meaning of section 958(a)) of CFC2, the acquiring CFC, on each of the December 31, Year 1 tested quarter close and the March 31, Year 2 tested quarter close (100%), does not exceed the proportion of the stock that USP owns of either CFC1 (100%) on the preceding quarter close (September 30, Year 1) or of CFC3 (100%) on the succeeding quarter close (June 30, Year 2). Finally, the requirement in paragraph (h)(1)(iii)(D) of this section is satisfied because each of CFC1 and CFC3 is a tested income CFC for Year

1 and Year 2, the CFC inclusion years that include the December 31, Year 1 tested quarter close and the March 31, Year 2 tested quarter close. Accordingly, paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this section does not apply to disregard the adjusted basis in Asset A in determining CFC2's aggregate adjusted basis in specified tangible property as of December 31, Year 1, or March 30, Year 2.

(C) *Example 2: Transfers between CFCs with different taxable year ends—(1) Facts.* The facts are the same as in paragraph (h)(1)(vii)(B)(1) of this section (the facts in *Example 1*), except that CFC1 has a taxable year ending November 30, and the facts and circumstances do not clearly establish that the April 10, Year 2 transfer of Asset A by CFC2 was not contemplated when Asset A was acquired by CFC2 and that a principal purpose of the acquisition of the property was not to increase the deemed tangible income return of USP, the applicable U.S. shareholder.

(2) *Analysis.* CFC2's holding of Asset A as of each of the December 31, Year 1 tested quarter close and the March 31, Year 2 tested quarter close does not satisfy the safe harbor under paragraph (h)(1)(iii) of this section because CFC1, the predecessor CFC, does not hold Asset A on a quarter close of CFC1 that occurs on the same date as the September 30, Year 1, quarter close of CFC2, the acquiring CFC, which is the last quarter close of CFC2 preceding the December 30, Year 1 acquisition of Asset A. In addition, because CFC2 held Asset A for less than 12 months (from December 31, Year 1, until April 10, Year 2), the presumption in paragraph (h)(1)(iv)(A) of this section applies such that CFC2 is presumed to have acquired Asset A temporarily with a principal purpose of increasing the deemed tangible income return of USP for the shareholder inclusion year, and the facts and circumstances do not clearly establish that CFC2 did not acquire Asset A with such a principal purpose. Because CFC2 holds Asset A as of December 31, Year 1, the tested quarter close, the adjusted basis in Asset A would be, without regard to paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this section, taken into account for purposes of determining USP's deemed tangible income return for its Year 1 taxable year as of five quarter closes (CFC1's quarter closes on February 28, May 31, August 31, and November 30, and CFC2's quarter close on December 31). If instead CFC1 had retained Asset A during the period CFC2 temporarily held the asset and had transferred Asset A directly to CFC3 on January 10, Year 2, the adjusted basis in Asset A would have been taken into account for purposes of determining USP's deemed tangible income return for its Year 1 taxable year as of only four quarter closes (CFC1's quarter closes on February 28, May 30, August 30, and November 30). Under paragraph (h)(1)(ii) of this section, because the adjusted basis in Asset A would (without regard to paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this section) be taken into account for only one additional quarter close of a tested income CFC of USP in determining USP's deemed tangible income return for Year 1 and Year 2, the adjusted basis in Asset A is disregarded for purposes of determining CFC's aggregate adjusted bases in specified tangible property only as of December 31, Year 1, the first tested quarter close that follows the acquisition. Accordingly, under paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this section, the adjusted basis in Asset A is disregarded in determining CFC2's aggregate adjusted

basis in specified tangible property as of December 31, Year 1.

(D) *Example 3: Acquisition from unrelated person—(1) Facts.* USP owns all of the stock of CFC1 and CFC2. CFC1 has a taxable year ending November 30. On October 30, Year 1, CFC1 acquires Asset B from R. On December 30, Year 1, CFC1 transfers Asset B to CFC2. The facts and circumstances do not clearly establish that the December 31, Year 1, transfer of Asset B by CFC1 was not contemplated when Asset B was acquired by CFC1 and that a principal purpose of the acquisition of the property was not to increase the deemed tangible income return of USP, the applicable U.S. shareholder.

(2) *Analysis.* CFC1's holding of Asset B as of the November 30, Year 1 tested quarter close does not satisfy the safe harbor under paragraph (h)(1)(iii) of this section because the requirements in paragraphs (h)(1)(iii)(A) through (D) of this section are not satisfied. Because CFC1 held Asset B for less than 12 months (from October 30, Year 1, until December 30, Year 1), the presumption in paragraph (h)(1)(iv)(A) of this section applies such that CFC1 is presumed to have held Asset B temporarily with a principal purpose of increasing the deemed tangible income return of USP for the taxable year, and the facts and circumstances do not clearly establish that CFC1 did not acquire Asset B with a principal purpose of increasing the deemed tangible income return of USP. Because CFC1 holds Asset B as of November 30, Year 1, the adjusted basis in Asset B would be, without regard to paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this section, taken into account for purposes of determining USP's deemed tangible income return for its Year 1 taxable year as of two quarter closes (CFC1's quarter close on November 30, Year 1, and CFC2's quarter close on December 31, Year 1). If instead CFC2 had acquired Asset B directly from R, the adjusted basis in Asset B would have been taken into account for purposes of determining USP's deemed tangible income return for its Year 1 taxable year as of only one quarter close (CFC2's quarter close on December 31, Year 1). Accordingly, under paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this section, the adjusted basis in Asset B is disregarded in determining CFC1's aggregate adjusted basis in specified tangible property as of November 30, Year 1.

(E) *Example 4: Acquisitions from tested loss CFCs—(1) Facts.* USP owns all of the stock of CFC1 and CFC2. As of January 1, Year 1, CFC1 owns Asset C. On March 30, Year 1, CFC1 transfers Asset C to CFC2. For Year 1, CFC1 is a tested loss CFC and CFC2 is a tested income CFC. On March 30, Year 2, CFC2 transfers Asset C back to CFC1. For Year 2, both CFC1 and CFC2 are tested income CFCs. A principal purpose of CFC2 holding Asset C as of March 31, Year 1, June 30, Year 1, September 30, Year 1, and December 31, Year 1, was to increase USP's deemed tangible income return.

(2) *Analysis.* CFC2's holding of Asset C as of March 31, Year 1, June 30, Year 1, September 30, Year 1, and December 31, Year 1 does not satisfy the safe harbor under paragraph (h)(1)(iii) of this section because CFC1 is not a tested income CFC for Year 1 and thus the requirement in paragraph (h)(1)(iii)(D) of this section is not satisfied. Because CFC2 acquired Asset C before, and temporarily held as of, March 31, Year 1, June 30, Year 1, September

30, Year 1, December 31, Year 1 and the holding of the property by CFC2 as of each such tested quarter close would increase the deemed tangible income return of USP, under paragraph (h)(1)(i) of this section, the adjusted basis in Asset C is disregarded in determining CFC2's aggregate adjusted basis in specified tangible property as of each of March 31, Year 1, June 30, Year 1, September 30, Year 1, and December 31, Year 1.

(2) *Disregard of adjusted basis in property transferred during the disqualified period—(i) Operative rules—(A) In general.* For purposes of determining the qualified business asset investment of a tested income CFC for any CFC inclusion year, disqualified basis in property is disregarded.

(B) *Application to dual use property.* In the case of dual use property (as defined in paragraph (d)(2) of this section), paragraph (h)(2)(i)(A) of this section applies by reducing the amount of the adjusted basis in the property treated as adjusted basis in specified tangible property for the CFC inclusion year under paragraph (d)(1) of this section by the amount of the disqualified basis in the property. For purposes of determining the amount described in paragraph (d)(1) of this section, including for purposes of determining whether tangible property is dual use property within the meaning of paragraph (d)(2) of this section and for purposes of determining the dual use ratio with respect to dual use property under paragraph (d)(3) of this section, the rules of §1.951A-2(c)(5) are not taken into account.

(C) *Application to partnership specified tangible property.* In the case of partnership specified tangible property (as defined in paragraph (g)(5) of this section), paragraph (h)(2)(i)(A) of this section applies by reducing a tested income CFC's partner adjusted basis with respect to partnership specified tangible property under paragraph (g)(3)(i) of this section by the tested income CFC's share of the disqualified basis in the partnership specified tangible property. A tested income CFC's share of disqualified basis in partnership specified tangible property is the sum of the tested income CFC's proportionate share of the disqualified basis in the partnership specified tangible property determined under the principles of paragraph (g)(4) of this section and the tested income CFC's partner-specific QBAI basis in the property determined under the

principles of paragraph (g)(7) of this section that is disqualified basis. For purposes of determining the amount described in paragraph (g)(3)(i) of this section, including for purposes of determining whether partnership specified tangible property is sole use partnership property within the meaning of paragraph (g)(3)(ii)(B) of this section or dual use partnership property within the meaning of paragraph (g)(3)(iii)(B) of this section and for purposes of determining the dual use ratio with respect to dual use partnership property under the principles of paragraph (d)(3) of this section, the rules of §1.951A-2(c)(5) are not taken into account.

(ii) *Determination of disqualified basis—(A) In general.* Subject to the adjustments described in paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(B) of this section, the term *disqualified basis* means, with respect to property (other than property described in section 1221(a)(1)), the excess (if any) of the property's adjusted basis immediately after a disqualified transfer, over the sum of the property's adjusted basis immediately before the disqualified transfer and the qualified gain amount with respect to the disqualified transfer. For this purpose, the adjusted basis in property immediately after a disqualified transfer includes a positive adjustment to the adjusted basis in partnership property with respect to a partner under section 734(b)(1)(A) or 743(b).

(B) *Adjustments to disqualified basis—(1) Reduction or elimination of disqualified basis—(i) In general.* Except to the extent provided in this paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(B)(1), disqualified basis in property is reduced or eliminated to the extent that such basis reduces taxable income through, for example, depreciation, amortization, and taxable sales or exchanges, or is otherwise reduced or eliminated, for example, through the application of section 362(e) or 732(a) or (b). In such circumstances, in the case of property with disqualified basis and adjusted basis other than disqualified basis, disqualified basis in the property is reduced or eliminated in the same proportion that the disqualified basis bears to the total adjusted basis in the property. However, in the case of a loss from a taxable sale or exchange, disqualified basis in the property is reduced or eliminated to the extent the loss is treated as attributable

to disqualified basis under §1.951A-2(c)(5)(ii).

(ii) *Exception for related party transfers.* Disqualified basis in property is not reduced or eliminated by reason of any transfer of the property to a related person, except to the extent any loss recognized on the transfer of such property is treated as attributable to the disqualified basis under §1.951A-2(c)(5)(ii), or the basis is reduced or eliminated in a nonrecognition transaction within the meaning of section 7701(a)(45), for example, through the application of section 362(e) or 732(a) or (b).

(2) *Increase to disqualified basis for nonrecognition transactions—(i) Increase corresponding to adjustments in other property.* If the adjusted basis in property is increased by reason of a nonrecognition transaction (as defined in section 7701(a)(45)), for example, through the application of section 732(b) or section 734(b)(1)(B), the disqualified basis in the property is increased by a proportionate share of the aggregate reduction to the disqualified basis (if any) in one or more other properties by reason of such nonrecognition transaction under paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(B)(1) of this section.

(ii) *Exchanged basis property.* Disqualified basis in exchanged basis property (as defined in section 7701(a)(44)) includes the amount of the disqualified basis in any property by reference to which the adjusted basis in the exchanged basis property was determined, in whole or in part, provided that the nonrecognition transaction giving rise to such exchanged basis did not also increase the disqualified basis in the exchanged basis property under paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(B)(2)(i) of this section.

(iii) *Increase by reason of section 732(d).* Disqualified basis in property is increased by the amount of a positive adjustment to the adjusted basis in property under section 732(d) to the extent that, if an election provided in section 754 were in effect at the time of the acquisition described in section 732(d), the adjusted basis in the property immediately after the acquisition would have been disqualified basis under paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(A) of this section.

(3) *Election to eliminate disqualified basis—(i) In general.* If an election made under this paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(B)(3) with

respect to a controlled foreign corporation or a partnership is effective, the adjusted basis in each property with disqualified basis held by the controlled foreign corporation or the partnership is reduced by the amount of the disqualified basis and the disqualified basis in each property is eliminated. The reduction of the adjusted basis and the elimination of the disqualified basis described in the preceding sentence is treated as occurring immediately after the disqualified transfer of each property.

(ii) *Manner of making the election with respect to a controlled foreign corporation.* The election described in this paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(B)(3) with respect to a controlled foreign corporation is made by each controlling domestic shareholder (as defined in §1.964-1(c)(5)) of the controlled foreign corporation by filing a statement as described in §1.964-1(c)(3)(ii) with its income tax return for its taxable year that includes the last day of the taxable year of the controlled foreign corporation that includes the disqualified transfer and follow the notice requirements of §1.964-1(c)(3)(iii). If the return for the taxable year has been filed before July 22, 2019, the statement must be included with an amended return filed within 180 days of June 21, 2019. The election statement must be filed in accordance with the rules provided in forms or instructions.

(iii) *Manner of making the election with respect to a partnership.* The election described in this paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(B)(3) with respect to a partnership is made by the partnership by filing a statement as described in §1.754-1(b)(1) for the taxable year that includes the date of the disqualified transfer. If a return for the taxable year has been filed before July 22, 2019, the statement must be included with an amended return filed within 180 days of June 21, 2019. The election statement must be filed in accordance with the rules provided in forms or instructions.

(iv) *Conditions of making an election.* An election under this paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(B)(3) with respect to a controlled foreign corporation or a partnership is not effective unless the election is made with respect to each controlled foreign corporation or partnership that holds property with disqualified basis and that is related (within the meaning of section 267(b) and 707(b)) to the controlled foreign cor-

poration or partnership and unless any return that has been filed that is inconsistent with the elimination of the adjusted basis and disqualified basis immediately after the disqualified transfer by reason of this paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(B)(3) is amended to take into account the elimination of the adjusted basis and disqualified basis immediately after the disqualified transfer by reason of this paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(B)(3).

(C) *Definitions related to disqualified basis.* The following definitions apply for purposes of this paragraph (h)(2).

(1) *Disqualified period.* The term *disqualified period* means, with respect to a transferor CFC, the period beginning on January 1, 2018, and ending as of the close of the transferor CFC's last taxable year that is not a CFC inclusion year. A transferor CFC that has a CFC inclusion year beginning January 1, 2018, has no disqualified period.

(2) *Disqualified transfer.* The term *disqualified transfer* means a transfer of property during a transferor CFC's disqualified period by the transferor CFC to a related person in which gain was recognized, in whole or in part, by the transferor CFC.

(3) *Qualified gain amount.* The term *qualified gain amount* means, with respect to a disqualified transfer by a transferor CFC, the sum of the following amounts:

(i) The amount of gain recognized by the transferor CFC on the disqualified transfer of property that is subject to Federal income tax under section 882 (except to the extent the gain is exempt from tax pursuant to an applicable treaty obligation of the United States); and

(ii) Any United States shareholder's pro rata share of the gain recognized by the transferor CFC on the disqualified transfer of property (determined without regard to properly allocable deductions) taken into account in determining the United States shareholder's inclusion under section 951(a)(1)(A), excluding any amount that is described in paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(C)(3)(i) of this section.

(4) *Related person.* The term *related person* means, with respect to a person that transfers property, any person that bears a relationship to such person described in section 267(b) or 707(b) immediately before or immediately after the transfer.

(5) *Transfer*. The term *transfer* includes any disposition of property, including any sale, exchange, contribution, or distribution of property, and includes an indirect transfer. For example, a transfer of an interest in a partnership is treated as an indirect transfer of the property of the partnership and a transfer by or to a partnership is treated as an indirect transfer by or to its partners. In addition, a distribution of property to a partner with respect to which gain is recognized to the distributee partner under section 731(a)(1) is treated as an indirect transfer of the property of the partnership.

(6) *Transferor CFC*. The term *transferor CFC* means any controlled foreign corporation that transfers property during the disqualified period of the controlled foreign corporation.

(iii) *Examples*. The following examples illustrate the application of this paragraph (h)(2).

(A) *Example 1: Sale of asset; disqualified period—(1) Facts*. USP, a domestic corporation, owns all of the stock of CFC1 and CFC2, each a controlled foreign corporation. Both USP and CFC2 use the calendar year as their taxable year. CFC1 uses a taxable year ending November 30. On November 1, 2018, before the start of its first CFC inclusion year, CFC1 sells Asset A, which has an adjusted basis of \$10x in the hands of CFC1, to CFC2 in exchange for \$100x of cash. CFC1 recognizes \$90x of gain as a result of the sale (\$100x - \$10x), \$30x of which is foreign base company income. USP includes in gross income under section 951(a)(1)(A) its pro rata share of the subpart F income of \$30x. CFC1's gain is not otherwise subject to U.S. tax or taken into account in determining USP's inclusion under section 951(a)(1)(A).

(2) *Analysis*. The transfer of Asset A is a disqualified transfer of Asset A because it is a transfer of property (other than property described in section 1221(a)(1)) by CFC1; CFC1 and CFC2 are related persons; and the transfer occurs during the disqualified period, the period that begins on January 1, 2018, and ends the last day before the first CFC inclusion year of CFC1 (November 30, 2018). Accordingly, under paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(A) of this section, the disqualified basis in Asset A immediately after the disqualified transfer is \$60x, the excess of CFC2's adjusted basis in Asset A immediately after the disqualified transfer (\$100x), over the sum of CFC1's adjusted basis in Asset A immediately before the transfer (\$10x) and USP's pro rata share of the gain recognized by CFC1 on the transfer of the property taken into account by USP under section 951(a)(1)(A) (\$30x).

(B) *Example 2: Sale of asset; no disqualified period—(1) Facts*. The facts are the same as in paragraph (h)(2)(iii)(A)(1) of this section (the facts in *Example 1*), except that CFC1 uses the calendar year as its taxable year.

(2) *Analysis*. Because CFC1 has a taxable year beginning January 1, 2018, CFC1 has no disqualified

period. Accordingly, the property was not transferred during a disqualified period of CFC1, and there is no disqualified basis with respect to the property.

(C) *Example 3: Sale of partnership interest—(1) Facts*. USP, a domestic corporation, owns all of the stock of CFC1, CFC2, and CFC3, each a controlled foreign corporation. CFC1 and CFC2 are equal partners in PRS, a partnership. PRS owns Asset B with an adjusted basis of \$20x and a fair market value of \$100x. PRS has a section 754 election in effect. USP, CFC2, and CFC3 all use the calendar year as their taxable year. CFC1 uses a taxable year ending November 30. On November 1, 2018, before the start of its first CFC inclusion year, CFC1 sells its interest in the partnership to CFC3 for \$50x of cash. CFC1 has an adjusted basis of \$10x in its partnership interest, and thus CFC1 recognizes \$40x of gain as a result of the sale (\$50x - \$10x), none of which is foreign base company income or otherwise subject to U.S. tax. As a result of the sale, there is a \$40x adjustment to the adjusted basis in Asset B with respect to CFC3 under section 743(b).

(2) *Analysis*. The transfer of the PRS partnership interest is a disqualified transfer of Asset B because it is an indirect transfer of property (other than property described in section 1221(a)(1)) by CFC1; CFC1 and CFC3 are related persons; and the transfer occurs during the disqualified period, the period that begins on January 1, 2018, and ends the last day before the first CFC inclusion year of CFC1 (November 30, 2018). Accordingly, under paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(A) of this section, the disqualified basis in Asset B immediately after the disqualified transfer is \$40x, the excess of CFC3's share of adjusted basis in Asset B immediately after the disqualified transfer (\$50x), taking into account the basis adjustment with respect to CFC3 under section 743(b), over CFC1's share of adjusted basis in the property immediately before the transfer (\$10x).

(D) *Example 4: Distribution of property in liquidation of partnership interest—(1) Facts*. FC1, FC2, and FC3 are controlled foreign corporations that are equal partners in PRS, a partnership. FC1's adjusted basis in its partnership interest in PRS is \$0, FC2's basis is \$50x, and FC3's basis is \$50x. PRS has a section 754 election in effect. PRS owns Asset C with a fair market value of \$50x and an adjusted basis of \$0, Asset D with a fair market value of \$50x and an adjusted basis of \$50x, and Asset E with a fair market value of \$50x and an adjusted basis of \$50x, and all the adjusted basis in Asset D and Asset E is disqualified basis. PRS distributes Asset C to FC3 in liquidation of FC3's interest in PRS. None of FC1, FC2, FC3, or PRS recognizes gain on the distribution. Under section 732(b), FC3's adjusted basis in Asset C is \$50x. PRS's adjusted bases in Asset D and Asset E are decreased, in the aggregate, by \$50x under section 734(b)(2)(B), which is the amount by which FC3's adjusted basis in Asset C exceeds PRS's adjusted basis in Asset C immediately before the distribution.

(2) *Analysis*. The distribution of Asset C is a non-recognition transaction under section 7701(a)(45). Under paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(B)(1)(i) of this section, the disqualified bases in Asset D and Asset E are reduced, in the aggregate, by \$50x. Further, under paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(B)(2)(i) of this section, the disqualified basis in Asset C is increased by \$50x, the

aggregate reduction to the disqualified basis in Asset D and Asset E.

(E) *Example 5: Distribution of property to a partner in basis reduction transaction—(1) Facts*. The facts are the same as in paragraph (h)(2)(iii)(D)(1) of this section (the facts in *Example 4*), except PRS distributes Asset D to FC1. Under section 732(a), FC1's adjusted basis in Asset D is \$0. PRS's adjusted basis in Asset C is increased by \$50x under section 734(b)(1)(B), which is the amount by which PRS's adjusted basis in Asset D immediately before the distribution exceeds FC1's adjusted basis in Asset D under section 732(a).

(2) *Analysis*. The distribution of Asset D is a non-recognition transaction under section 7701(a)(45). Under paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(B)(1)(i) of this section, the disqualified basis in Asset D is reduced by \$50x. Further, under paragraph (h)(2)(ii)(B)(2)(i) of this section, the disqualified basis in Asset C is increased by \$50x, the reduction to the disqualified basis in Asset D.

(F) *Example 6: Dual use property with disqualified basis—(1) Facts*. FS is a tested income CFC and a wholesale distributor of Product A. FS owns trucks that deliver Product A. The trucks are specified tangible property. In Year 1, FS earns \$250x in total gross income from inventory sales of Product A, \$200x of which is included in gross tested income. The trucks have an average adjusted basis for Year 1 of \$4,000x, of which \$2,500x is disqualified basis. FS does not capitalize depreciation with respect to the trucks to inventory or other property held for sale. The depreciation deduction with respect to the trucks is \$20x, \$15x of which would be allocated and apportioned to gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3) without regard to §1.951A-2(c)(5).

(2) *Analysis*. Because the trucks are used in both the production of gross tested income and the production of gross income that is not gross tested income in Year 1, the trucks are dual use property within the meaning of paragraph (d)(2) of this section. Under paragraph (h)(2)(i)(A) of this section, the disqualified basis in the trucks is disregarded for purposes of determining FS's qualified business asset investment for Year 1. Under paragraph (h)(2)(i)(B) of this section, paragraph (h)(2)(i)(A) of this section applies by reducing the amount of FS's adjusted basis in the trucks treated as adjusted basis in specified tangible property for Year 1 under paragraph (d)(1) of this section (determined without regard to §1.951A-2(c)(5)) by the amount of the disqualified basis in the trucks. Without regard to §1.951A-2(c)(5), FS's adjusted basis in the trucks treated as adjusted basis in specified tangible property for Year 1 under paragraph (d)(1) of this section is FS's adjusted basis in the trucks multiplied by FS's dual use ratio with respect to the trucks for Year 1. Because none of the depreciation with respect to the trucks is capitalized into inventory or other property held for sale, FS's dual use ratio with respect to the trucks is determined entirely by reference to the depreciation deduction with respect to the trucks. Therefore, under paragraph (d)(3) of this section, without regard to §1.951A-2(c)(5), FS's dual use ratio with respect to the trucks for Year 1 is 75%, which is FS's depreciation deduction with respect to the trucks that is allocated and apportioned to gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3) for Year 1 (\$15x), divided

by FS's depreciation deduction with respect to the trucks for Year 1 (\$20x). Accordingly, paragraph (d) (1) of this section, without regard to paragraph (h) (2)(i)(A) of this section, FS's adjusted basis in the trucks treated as adjusted basis in specified tangible property is \$3,000x (\$4,000x x 0.75). Under paragraph (h)(2)(i)(A) and (B) of this section, the amount of the adjusted basis in the trucks treated as adjusted basis in specified tangible property is reduced by the \$2,500x of disqualified basis in the trucks. Accordingly, \$500x (\$3,000x - \$2,500x) of FS's average adjusted basis in the trucks is taken into account under paragraph (b) of this section in determining FS's qualified business asset investment for Year 1.

§1.951A-4 Tested interest expense and tested interest income.

(a) *Scope.* This section provides rules for determining the tested interest expense and tested interest income of a controlled foreign corporation for purposes of determining a United States shareholder's specified interest expense under §1.951A-1(c) (3)(iii). Paragraph (b) of this section provides definitions related to tested interest expense and tested interest income. Paragraph (c) of this section provides examples illustrating these definitions and the application of §1.951A-1(c)(3)(iii). The amount of specified interest expense determined under §1.951A-1(c)(3)(iii) and this section is the amount of interest expense described in section 951A(b)(2)(B).

(b) *Definitions related to specified interest expense—(1) Tested interest expense—(i) In general.* The term *tested interest expense* means, with respect to a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year, interest expense paid or accrued by the controlled foreign corporation that is allocated and apportioned to gross tested income of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year under §1.951A-2(c)(3), reduced (but not below zero) by the sum of the qualified interest expense of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year and the tested loss QBAI amount of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year.

(ii) *Interest expense.* The term *interest expense* means any expense or loss that is treated as interest expense under section 163(j).

(iii) *Qualified interest expense—(A) In general.* The term *qualified interest expense* means, with respect to a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year, to the extent established by the controlled foreign corporation, the interest

expense paid or accrued by the controlled foreign corporation that is allocated and apportioned to gross tested income of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year under §1.951A-2(c) (3), multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is the average of the aggregate adjusted bases as of the close of each quarter of the CFC inclusion year of qualified assets held by the controlled foreign corporation, and the denominator of which is the average of the aggregate adjusted bases as of the close of each quarter of the CFC inclusion year of all assets held by the controlled foreign corporation.

(B) *Qualified asset—(1) In general.* Except as provided in paragraph (b)(1)(iii) (B)(2) of this section, the term *qualified asset* means, with respect to a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year, any obligation or financial instrument held by the controlled foreign corporation that gives rise to income included in the gross tested income of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year that is excluded from foreign personal holding company income (as defined in section 954(c)(1)) by reason of section 954(c)(2)(C)(ii) or section 954(h) or (i).

(2) *Exclusion for related party receivables.* A qualified asset does not include an asset that gives rise to interest income that is also excludible from foreign personal holding company income by reason of section 954(c)(3) or (6).

(3) *Look-through rule for subsidiary stock.* For purposes of paragraph (b)(1) (iii)(A) of this section, the adjusted basis in the stock of another controlled foreign corporation held by a controlled foreign corporation is treated as adjusted basis in a qualified asset in an amount equal to the adjusted basis in the stock multiplied by the fraction described in paragraph (b)(1) (iii)(A) of this section determined with respect to the assets of such other controlled foreign corporation.

(4) *Look-through rule for certain partnership interests.* For purposes of paragraph (b)(1)(iii)(A) of this section, if a controlled foreign corporation owns 25 percent or more of the capital or profits interest in a partnership the controlled foreign corporation is treated as holding its attributable share of any property held by the partnership, as determined under the principles of §1.956-4(b), and the con-

trolled foreign corporation's basis in the partnership interest is not taken into account.

(iv) *Tested loss QBAI amount.* The term *tested loss QBAI amount* means, with respect to a tested loss CFC for a CFC inclusion year, 10 percent of the amount that would be the qualified business asset investment of the tested loss CFC for the CFC inclusion year under section 951A(d) and §1.951A-3 if the tested loss CFC were a tested income CFC for the CFC inclusion year.

(2) *Tested interest income—(i) In general.* The term *tested interest income* means, with respect to a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year, interest income included in gross tested income of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year, reduced by qualified interest income of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year.

(ii) *Interest income.* The term *interest income* means any income or gain that is treated as interest income under section 163(j).

(iii) *Qualified interest income—(A) In general.* Except as provided in paragraph (b)(2)(iii)(B) of this section, the term *qualified interest income* means, with respect to a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year, interest income of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year included in the gross tested income of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year that is excluded from foreign personal holding company income (as defined in section 954(c)(1)) by reason of section 954(c)(2)(C)(ii) or section 954(h) or (i).

(B) *Exclusion for related party interest.* Qualified interest income does not include interest income that is also excludible from foreign personal holding company income by reason of section 954(c)(3) or (6).

(c) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate the application of this section.

(1) *Example 1: Wholly-owned CFCs—(i) Facts.* A Corp, a domestic corporation, owns 100% of the single class of stock of each of FS1 and FS2, each a controlled foreign corporation. A Corp, FS1, and FS2 all use the calendar year as their taxable year. For Year 1, FS1 and FS2 are both tested income CFCs. In Year 1, FS1 pays \$100x of interest to FS2. The interest expense of FS1 is allocated and apportioned to its gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). The interest income of FS2 is excluded from its foreign

personal holding company income under section 954(c)(6). Also, in Year 1, FS2 pays \$100x of interest to a bank that is not related to FS2, which interest expense is allocated and apportioned to FS2's gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). Neither FS1 nor FS2 holds qualified assets or owns stock of another controlled foreign corporation.

(ii) *Analysis—(A) CFC-level determination; tested interest expense and tested interest income—(1) Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS1.* FS1 has \$100x of interest expense that is allocated and apportioned to its gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). FS1 has no interest income. Accordingly, FS1 has \$100x of tested interest expense and no tested interest income for Year 1.

(2) *Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS2.* FS2 has \$100x of interest expense that is allocated and apportioned to its gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3) and \$100x of interest income that is included in its gross tested income. Accordingly, FS2 has \$100x of tested interest expense and \$100x of tested interest income for Year 1.

(B) *United States shareholder-level determination; pro rata share and specified interest expense.* Under §1.951A-1(d)(5) and (6), A Corp's pro rata share of FS1's tested interest expense is \$100x, its pro rata share of FS2's tested interest expense is \$100x, and its pro rata share of FS2's tested interest income is \$100x. For Year 1, A Corp's aggregate pro rata share of tested interest expense is \$200x and its aggregate pro rata share of tested interest income is \$100x. Accordingly, under §1.951A-1(c)(3)(iii), A Corp's specified interest expense is \$100x (\$200x - \$100x) for Year 1.

(2) *Example 2: Less than wholly-owned CFCs—(i) Facts.* The facts are the same as in paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section (the facts in *Example 1*), except that A Corp owns 50% of the single class of stock of FS1 and 80% of the single class of stock of FS2.

(ii) *Analysis—(A) CFC-level determination; tested interest expense and tested interest income.* The analysis is the same as in paragraph (c)(1)(ii) (A) of this section (paragraph (A) of the analysis in *Example 1*).

(B) *United States shareholder-level determination; pro rata share and specified interest expense.* Under §1.951A-1(d)(5) and (6), A Corp's pro rata share of FS1's tested interest expense is \$50x ($\$100x \times 0.50$), its pro rata share of FS2's tested interest expense is \$80x ($\$100x \times 0.80$), and its pro rata share of FS2's tested interest income is \$80x ($\$100x \times 0.80$). For Year 1, A Corp's aggregate pro rata share of the tested interest expense is \$130x ($\$50x + \$80x$) and its aggregate pro rata share of the tested interest income is \$80x ($\$0 + \$80x$). Accordingly, under §1.951A-1(c)(3)(iii), A Corp's specified interest expense is \$50x ($\$130x - \$80x$) for Year 1.

(3) *Example 3: Operating company; qualified interest expense—(i) Facts.* B Corp, a domestic corporation, owns 100% of the single class of stock of each of FS1 and FS2, each a controlled foreign corporation. For Year 1, FS1 and FS2 are both tested income CFCs. B Corp, FS1, and FS2 all use the calendar year as their taxable year. FS2 is an eligible controlled foreign corporation within the meaning of section 954(h)(2). In Year 1, FS1 pays \$100x of interest to FS2. The interest expense of FS1 is allocated and apportioned to its gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). The interest income of FS2 is

excluded from its foreign personal holding company income by reason of section 954(c)(6). In addition, in Year 1, FS2 receives \$300x of interest from customers that are not related to FS2, which interest income is excluded from FS2's foreign personal holding company income by reason of section 954(h), and FS2 pays \$300x of interest to a bank, which interest expense is allocated and apportioned to FS2's gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). Neither FS1 nor FS2 owns stock of another controlled foreign corporation. FS1 does not hold qualified assets. FS2's average adjusted bases in qualified assets is \$8,000x, and FS2's average adjusted bases in all its assets is \$12,000x.

(ii) *Analysis—(A) CFC-level determination; tested interest expense and tested interest income—(1) Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS1.* FS1 has \$100x of interest expense that is allocated and apportioned to its gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). FS1 has no interest income. Accordingly, FS1 has \$100x of tested interest expense and no tested interest income for Year 1.

(2) *Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS2.* FS2 has \$300x of interest expense that is allocated and apportioned to its gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3) and \$400x of interest income that is included in gross tested income. However, a portion of FS2's interest income is excluded from foreign personal holding company income by reason of section 954(h), and a portion of FS2's assets are qualified assets. As a result, in determining the tested interest income and tested interest expense of FS2, the qualified interest income and qualified interest expense of FS2 are excluded. FS2 has qualified interest income of \$300x, the amount of FS2's interest income that is excluded from foreign personal holding company income by reason of section 954(h). In addition, FS2 has qualified interest expense of \$200x, the amount of FS2's interest expense that is allocated and apportioned to its gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3) (\$300x), multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is FS2's average adjusted bases in qualified assets (\$8,000x), and the denominator of which is FS2's average adjusted bases in all its assets (\$12,000x). Accordingly, FS2 has tested interest income of \$100x ($\$400x - \$300x$) and tested interest expense of \$100x ($\$300x - \$200x$) for Year 1.

(B) *United States shareholder-level determination; pro rata share and specified interest expense.* Under §1.951A-1(d)(5) and (6), B Corp's pro rata share of FS1's tested interest expense is \$100x, its pro rata share of FS2's tested interest expense is \$100x, and its pro rata share of FS2's tested interest income is \$100x. For Year 1, B Corp's aggregate pro rata share of tested interest expense is \$200x ($\$100x + \$100x$) and its aggregate pro rata share of tested interest income is \$100x ($\$0 + \$100x$). Accordingly, under §1.951A-1(c)(3)(iii), B Corp's specified interest expense is \$100x ($\$200x - \$100x$) for Year 1.

(4) *Example 4: Holding company; qualified interest expense—(i) Facts.* C Corp, a domestic corporation, owns 100% of the single class of stock of each of FS1 and FS2, each a controlled foreign corporation. FS2 owns 100% of the single class of stock of FS3, a qualifying insurance company within the meaning of section 953(e)(3). For Year 1, FS1, FS2, and FS3 are all tested income CFCs. C Corp, FS1, FS2, and FS3 all use the calendar year as their

taxable year. In Year 1, FS1 pays \$100x of interest to FS3. The interest expense of FS1 is allocated and apportioned to its gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). The interest income of FS3 is excluded from its foreign personal holding company income by reason of section 954(c)(6). In addition, FS3 receives \$300x of interest from persons that are not related to FS3, which interest income is excluded from FS's foreign personal holding company income by reason of section 954(i). Also in Year 1, FS2 pays \$300x of interest to a bank, which interest expense is allocated and apportioned to FS2's gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). None of FS1, FS2, or FS3 owns stock of another controlled foreign corporation, except for the stock of FS3 owned by FS2. FS2 has no assets other than the stock of FS3. Neither FS1 nor FS2 hold qualified assets directly. FS2's average adjusted bases in the FS3 stock is \$6,000x. FS3's average adjusted bases in qualified assets is \$8,000x, and FS3's average adjusted bases in all its assets is \$12,000x.

(ii) *Analysis—(A) CFC-level determination; tested interest expense and tested interest income—(1) Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS1.* In Year 1, FS1 has \$100x of interest expense allocated and apportioned to its gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). FS1 has no interest income. Accordingly, FS1 has \$100x of tested interest expense and no tested interest income for Year 1.

(2) *Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS2.* FS2 has \$300x of interest expense that is allocated and apportioned to its gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). FS2 has no interest income. While FS2 holds no qualified assets directly, \$4,000x of FS3's average adjusted basis in FS3 stock is treated as adjusted basis in a qualified asset, which is equal to FS3's average adjusted basis in FS3 stock (\$6,000x) multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is FS3's average adjusted bases in qualified assets (\$8,000x), and the denominator of which is FS3's average adjusted bases in all its assets (\$12,000x). Accordingly, FS2 has qualified interest expense of \$200x, the amount of FS2's interest expense allocated and apportioned to FS2's gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3) (\$300x), multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is FS2's average adjusted bases in qualified assets (\$4,000x), and the denominator of which is FS2's average adjusted bases in all its assets (\$6,000x). Therefore, FS2 has tested interest expense of \$100x ($\$300x - \$200x$) and no tested interest income for Year 1.

(3) *Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS3.* In Year 1, FS3 has no interest expense, but FS3 has \$400x of interest income that is included in gross tested income. However, a portion of FS3's interest income is excluded from foreign personal holding company income by reason of section 954(i). As a result, in determining the tested interest income of FS3, the qualified interest income of FS3 is excluded. FS3 has qualified interest income of \$300x, the amount of FS3's interest income that is excluded from foreign personal holding company income by reason of section 954(i). Therefore, FS2 has tested interest income of \$100x ($\$400x - \$300x$) and no tested interest expense for Year 1.

(B) *United States shareholder-level determination; pro rata share and specified interest expense.* Under §1.951A-1(d)(5) and (6), C Corp's pro rata share of FS1's tested interest expense is \$100x, its

pro rata share of FS2's tested interest expense is \$100x, and its pro rata share of FS3's tested interest income is \$100x. For Year 1, C Corp's aggregate pro rata share of tested interest expense is \$200x (\$100x + \$100x + \$0) and its aggregate pro rata share of tested interest income is \$100x (\$0 + \$0 + \$100x). Accordingly, under §1.951A-1(c)(3)(iii), C Corp's specified interest expense is \$100x (\$200x - \$100x) for Year 1.

(5) *Example 5: Specified interest expense and tested loss QBAI amount*—(i) *Facts*. D Corp, a domestic corporation, owns 100% of a single class of stock of each of FS1 and FS2, each a controlled foreign corporation. For Year 1, FS1 is a tested income CFC and FS2 is a tested loss CFC. D Corp, FS1, and FS2 all use the calendar year as their taxable year. In Year 1, FS1 pays \$100x of interest to FS2. The interest expense of FS1 is allocated and apportioned to its gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). The interest income of FS2 is excluded from its foreign personal holding company income by reason of section 954(c)(6). Also, in Year 1, FS2 pays \$100x of interest to a bank that is not related to FS2, which interest expense is allocated and apportioned to FS2's gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). Neither FS1 nor FS2 holds qualified assets or owns stock of another controlled foreign corporation. Because FS2 is a tested loss CFC, FS2 has no QBAI. See §1.951A-3(b). However, if FS2 were a tested income CFC, FS2 would have QBAI of \$1,000x.

(ii) *Analysis*—(A) *CFC-level determination; tested interest expense and tested interest income*—(1) *Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS1*. In Year 1, FS1 has \$100x of interest expense that is allocated and apportioned to its gross tested income under §1.951A-2(c)(3). FS1 has no interest income. Accordingly, FS1 has \$100x of tested interest expense and no tested interest income for Year 1.

(2) *Tested interest expense and tested interest income of FS2*. FS2 has \$100x of interest income that is included in gross tested income. Accordingly, FS2 has \$100x of tested interest income. FS2 also has 100x of interest expense that is allocated and apportioned to its gross tested income. However, because FS2 is a tested loss CFC, FS2's tested interest expense is reduced by its tested loss QBAI amount. FS2's tested loss QBAI amount is \$100x (10% of \$1,000x, the amount that would be QBAI if FS2 were a tested income CFC). Accordingly, FS2's tested interest expense is \$0 (\$100x interest expense - \$100x tested loss QBAI amount) for Year 1.

(B) *United States shareholder-level determination; pro rata share and specified interest expense*. Under §1.951A-1(d)(5) and (6), D Corp's pro rata share of FS1's tested interest expense is \$100x, its pro rata share of FS2's tested interest expense is \$0, and its pro rata share of FS2's tested interest income is \$100x. For Year 1, D Corp's aggregate pro rata share of tested interest expense is \$100x, and its aggregate pro rata share of tested interest income is \$100x. Accordingly, under §1.951A-1(c)(3)(iii), D Corp's specified interest expense is \$0 (\$100x - \$100x) for Year 1.

§1.951A-5 Treatment of GILTI inclusion amounts.

(a) *Scope*. This section provides rules relating to the treatment of GILTI inclusion amounts and adjustments to earnings

and profits to account for tested losses. Paragraph (b) of this section provides that a GILTI inclusion amount is treated in the same manner as an amount included under section 951(a)(1)(A) for purposes of applying certain Code sections. Paragraph (c) of this section provides rules for the treatment of amounts taken into account in determining the net CFC tested income of a United States shareholder when applying sections 163(e)(3)(B)(i) and 267(a)(3)(B). Paragraph (d) of this section provides a rule for the treatment of a GILTI inclusion amount for purposes of determining the personal holding company income of a United States shareholder that is a domestic corporation under section 543.

(b) *Treatment as subpart F income for certain purposes*—(1) *In general*. A GILTI inclusion amount is treated in the same manner as an amount included under section 951(a)(1)(A) for purposes of applying sections 168(h)(2)(B), 535(b)(10), 851(b), 904(h)(1), 959, 961, 962, 993(a)(1)(E), 996(f)(1), 1248(b)(1), 1248(d)(1), 1411, 6501(e)(1)(C), 6654(d)(2)(D), and 6655(e)(4).

(2) *Allocation of GILTI inclusion amount to tested income CFCs*—(i) *In general*. For purposes of the sections referred to in paragraph (b)(1) of this section, the portion of the GILTI inclusion amount of a United States shareholder for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year treated as being with respect to each controlled foreign corporation of the United States shareholder for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year is—

(A) In the case of a tested loss CFC, zero, and

(B) In the case of a tested income CFC, the portion of the GILTI inclusion amount of the United States shareholder which bears the same ratio to such amount as the United States shareholder's pro rata share of the tested income of the tested income CFC for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year bears to the aggregate amount of the United States shareholder's pro rata share of the tested income of each tested income CFC for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

(ii) *Example*. The following example illustrates the application of paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section.

(A) *Facts*. USP, a domestic corporation, owns all of the stock of three controlled foreign corporations, CFC1, CFC2, and CFC3. USP, CFC1, CFC2,

and CFC3 all use the calendar year as their taxable year. In Year 1, CFC1 has tested income of \$100x, CFC2 has tested income of \$300x, and CFC3 has tested loss of \$50x. USP has no net deemed tangible income return for Year 1.

(B) *Analysis*. In Year 1, USP has net CFC tested income (as defined in §1.951A-1(c)(2)) of \$350x (\$100x + \$300x - \$50x) and, because USP has no net deemed tangible income return, a GILTI inclusion amount (as defined in §1.951A-1(c)(1)) of \$350x (\$350x - \$0). The aggregate amount of USP's pro rata share of tested income is \$400x (\$100x from CFC1 + \$300x from CFC2). Therefore, under paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section, the portion of USP's GILTI inclusion amount treated as being with respect to CFC1 is \$87.50x (\$350x x \$100x/\$400x). The portion of USP's GILTI inclusion amount treated as being with respect to CFC2 is \$262.50x (\$350x x \$300x/\$400x). The portion of USP's GILTI inclusion amount treated as being with respect to CFC3 is \$0 because CFC3 is a tested loss CFC.

(3) *Translation of portion of GILTI inclusion amount allocated to tested income CFC*. The portion of the GILTI inclusion amount of a United States shareholder allocated to a tested income CFC under section 951A(f)(2) and paragraph (b)(2)(i) of this section is translated into the functional currency of the tested income CFC using the average exchange rate for the CFC inclusion year of the tested income CFC.

(c) *Treatment as an amount includible in the gross income of a United States person*. For purposes of sections 163(e)(3)(B)(i) and 267(a)(3)(B), an item (including original issue discount) is treated as includible in the gross income of a United States person to the extent that the item increases a United States shareholder's pro rata share of tested income of a controlled foreign corporation for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year, reduces the shareholder's pro rata share of tested loss of a controlled foreign corporation for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year, or both.

(d) *Treatment for purposes of personal holding company rules*. For purposes of determining whether a United States shareholder that is a domestic corporation is a personal holding company under section 542, no portion of the adjusted ordinary gross income of such domestic corporation that consists of its GILTI inclusion amount for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year is personal holding company income (as defined in section 543(a)).

§1.951A-6 Adjustments related to tested losses.

(a) *Scope*. This section provides rules relating to adjustments related to tested losses. Paragraph (b) of this section pro-

vides rules that increase the earnings and profits of a tested loss CFC for purposes of section 952(c)(1)(A). Paragraph (c) of this section is reserved for a rule for tested loss adjustments.

(b) *Increase of earnings and profits of tested loss CFC for purposes of section 952(c)(1)(A).* For purposes of section 952(c)(1)(A) with respect to a CFC inclusion year, the earnings and profits of a tested loss CFC are increased by an amount equal to the tested loss of the tested loss CFC for the CFC inclusion year.

(c) [Reserved]

§1.951A-7 Applicability dates.

Sections 1.951A-1 through 1.951A-6 apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and to taxable years of United States shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

Par. 7. Section 1.965-7 is amended by:

1. Revising the last sentence of paragraph (e)(1)(i).
2. Adding three sentences at the end of paragraph (e)(1)(i).
3. Adding paragraph (e)(1)(iv).
4. Revising paragraph (e)(2)(ii).
5. Adding paragraph (e)(3).

The revisions and additions read as follows:

§1.965-7 Elections, payment, and other special rules.

* * * * *

(e) * * *

(1) * * * (i) * * * Except as provided in paragraph (e)(2)(ii)(B) of this section, the election for each taxable year is irrevocable. If the section 965(n) election creates or increases a net operating loss under section 172 for the taxable year, then the taxable income of the person for the taxable year cannot be less than the amount described in paragraph (e)(1)(ii) of this section. The amount of deductions equal to the amount by which a net operating loss is created or increased for the taxable year by reason of the section 965(n) election (the *deferred amount*) is not taken into account in computing taxable income or the separate foreign tax credit limitations under section 904 for that year. The source and separate category (as defined in §1.904-5(a)) components of the deferred amount are determined in accordance with paragraph (e)(1)(iv) of this section.

* * * * *

(iv) *Effect of section 965(n) election—(A) In general.* The section 965(n) election for a taxable year applies solely for purposes of determining the amount of net operating loss under section 172 for the taxable year and determining the amount of taxable income for the taxable year (computed without regard to the deduction allowable under section 172) that may be reduced by net operating loss carryovers or carrybacks to such taxable year under section 172. Paragraph (e)(1)(iv)(B) of this section provides a rule for coordinating the section 965(n) election's effect on section 172 with the computation of the separate foreign tax credit limitations under section 904.

(B) *Ordering rule for allocation and apportionment of deductions for purposes of the section 904 limitation.* The effect of a section 965(n) election with respect to a taxable year on the computation of the separate foreign tax credit limitations under section 904 is computed as follows and in the following order.

(I) Deductions, including those that create or increase a net operating loss for the taxable year by reason of the section 965(n) election, are allocated and apportioned under §§1.861-8 through 1.861-17 to the relevant statutory and residual groupings, taking into account the amount described in paragraph (e)(1)(ii) of this section. The source and separate category of the net operating loss carryover or carryback to the taxable year, if any, is determined under the rules of §1.904(g)-3(b), taking into account the amount described in paragraph (e)(1)(ii) of this section. Therefore, if the amount of the net operating loss carryover or carryback to the taxable year (as reduced by reason of the section 965(n) election) exceeds the U.S. source loss component of the net operating loss that is carried over under §1.904(g)-3(b)(3)(i), but such excess is less than the potential carryovers (or carrybacks) of the separate limitation losses that are part of the net operating loss, the potential carryovers (or carrybacks) are proportionately reduced as provided in §1.904(g)-3(b)(3)(ii) or (iii), as applicable.

(2) If a net operating loss is created or increased for the taxable year by reason of the section 965(n) election, the deferred amount (as defined in paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section) is not allowed as a deduc-

tion for the taxable year. See paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section. The deferred amount (which is the corresponding addition to the net operating loss for the taxable year) comprises a ratable portion of the deductions (including the deduction allowed under section 965(c)) allocated and apportioned to each statutory and residual grouping under paragraph (e)(1)(iv)(B)(I) of this section. Such ratable portion equals the deferred amount multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is the deductions allocated and apportioned to the statutory or residual grouping under paragraph (e)(1)(iv)(B)(I) of this section and the denominator of which is the total deductions described in paragraph (e)(1)(iv)(B)(I) of this section. Accordingly, the fraction described in the previous sentence takes into account the deferred amount.

(3) Taxable income and the separate foreign tax credit limitations under section 904 for the taxable year are computed without taking into account any deferred amount. Deductions allocated and apportioned to the statutory and residual groupings under paragraph (e)(1)(iv)(B)(I) of this section, to the extent deducted in the taxable year rather than deferred to create or increase a net operating loss, are combined with income in the statutory and residual groupings to which those deductions are assigned in order to compute the amount of separate limitation income or loss in each separate category and U.S. source income or loss for the taxable year. Section 904(b), (f), and (g) are then applied to determine the applicable foreign tax credit limitations for the taxable year.

(2) * * *

(ii) *Timing—(A) In general.* A section 965(n) election must be made no later than the due date (taking into account extensions, if any) for the person's return for the taxable year to which the election applies. Relief is not available under §301.9100-2 or §301.9100-3 of this chapter to make a late election.

(B) *Transition rule.* In the case of a section 965(n) election made before June 21, 2019, the election may be revoked by attaching a statement, signed under penalties of perjury, to an amended return for the taxable year to which the election applies (the *election year*). The statement must include the person's name, taxpayer identification number, and a statement

that the person revokes the section 965(n) election. The amended return to which the statement is attached must be filed by—

(1) In the case of a revocation with respect to an election due before February 5, 2019, the due date (taking into account extensions, if any, or any additional time that would have been granted if the person had made an extension request) for the return for the taxable year following the election year; or

(2) In the case of a revocation with respect to an election due on or after February 5, 2019, the due date (taking into account extensions, if any, or any additional time that would have been granted if the person had made an extension request) for the return for the election year.

* * * * *

(3) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate the application of paragraph (e)(1)(iv) of this section.

(i) *Example 1: Net operating loss in inclusion year—(A) Facts.* USP, a domestic corporation, has a section 965(a) inclusion of \$100x and has a section 965(c) deduction of \$70x for its taxable year ending December 31, 2017. USP also includes in gross income the amount treated as dividends under section 78 of \$50x (the foreign taxes deemed paid under section 960(a) for the taxable year with respect to USP's section 965(a) inclusion). The section 965(a) inclusion and the section 78 dividends are foreign source general category income. During the 2017 taxable year, USP also has U.S. source gross income of \$150x and other deductions of \$210x, comprising \$60x of interest expense and \$150x of other deductible expenses that are not definitely related to any gross income. USP's total tax book value of its assets, as determined under §§1.861-9(g)(2) and 1.861-9T(g)(3), is divided equally between assets that generate foreign source general category income and assets that generate U.S. source income. USP elects under paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section to not take into account the amount described in paragraph (e)(1)(ii) of this section in de-

termining its net operating loss under section 172 for the taxable year. Before taking into account the section 965(n) election, USP's total deductions are \$280x (\$210x + \$70x) and USP's taxable income is \$20x (\$100x + \$50x + \$150x – \$70x – \$210x).

(B) *Analysis—(1)* The amount described in paragraph (e)(1)(ii) of this section is \$80x (\$100x section 965(a) inclusion – \$70x section 965(c) deduction + \$50x section 78 dividends). Not taking into account the \$80x creates a net operating loss under section 172 of \$60x (\$20x taxable income without regard to the section 965(n) election – \$80x) for the taxable year (the “deferred amount”). Under paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section, the deferred amount of \$60x constitutes a net operating loss and is not allowed as a deduction for the taxable year. USP's taxable income for the year is \$80x (\$100x + \$50x + \$150x – (\$280x – \$60x)).

(2) Under paragraph (e)(1)(iv)(B)(1) of this section, deductions are allocated and apportioned under §§1.861-8 through 1.861-17 to the relevant statutory and residual groupings, taking into account the amount described in paragraph (e)(1)(ii) of this section. Under §1.861-8(b), USP's section 965(c) deduction is definitely related to the section 965(a) inclusion, and, therefore, is allocated solely to foreign source general category income. Under §1.861-9T, based on USP's asset values, the interest expense of \$60x is ratably apportioned \$30x to foreign source general category income and \$30x to U.S. source income. Under §1.861-8(c)(3), based on \$150x of gross U.S. source income and \$150x of gross foreign source general category income, the other expenses of \$150x are ratably apportioned \$75x to foreign source general category income and \$75x to U.S. source income. Therefore, USP's deductions allocated and apportioned to foreign source general category income are \$175x (\$70x + \$30x + \$75x) and its deductions allocated and apportioned to U.S. source income are \$105x (\$30x + \$75x).

(3) Under paragraph (e)(1)(iv)(B)(2) of this section, the deferred amount of \$60x comprises a ratable portion of the allocated and apportioned deductions. Therefore, \$37.5x (\$60x x \$175x/\$280x) of the deferred amount comprises deductions allocated and apportioned to foreign source general category income, and \$22.5x (\$60x x \$105x/\$280x) comprises deductions allocated and apportioned to U.S. source income.

(4) Under paragraph (e)(1)(iv)(B)(3) of this section, for purposes of the separate foreign tax credit limitation under section 904, foreign source general category income for the taxable year is computed without taking into account the \$37.5x of the deferred amount that is attributable to the deductions allocated and apportioned to the foreign source general category. Therefore, for the 2017 taxable year, foreign source general category income is \$12.5x (\$100x section 965(a) inclusion + \$50x section 78 dividends – (\$175x deductions – \$37.5x deferred amount). The remaining taxable income of \$67.5x is U.S. source income.

(ii) *Example 2: Net operating loss carryover to the inclusion year—(A) Facts.* USP, a domestic corporation, has a section 965(a) inclusion of \$100x and has a section 965(c) deduction of \$60x for its taxable year ending December 31, 2017. USP also includes in gross income the amount treated as dividends under section 78 of \$40x (the foreign taxes deemed paid under section 960(a) for the taxable year with respect to USP's section 965(a) inclusion). The section 965(a) inclusion and the section 78 dividends are foreign source general category income. USP also has U.S. source gross income of \$200x, foreign source passive category gross income of \$100x, and other deductions of \$140x. Under §1.861-8(b), USP's \$60x section 965(c) deduction is definitely related to the section 965(a) inclusion, and, therefore, is allocated solely to foreign source general category income. Under §§1.861-8 through 1.861-17, USP allocates and apportions the other \$140x of deductions as follows: \$40x to foreign source general category income, \$40x to foreign source passive category income, and \$60x to U.S. source income. USP has a net operating loss of \$260x for the 2016 taxable year consisting of a \$120x U.S. source loss, a \$75x general category separate limitation loss, and a \$65x passive category separate limitation loss. Under paragraph (e)(1)(i) of this section, USP elects to not take into account the amount described in paragraph (e)(1)(ii) of this section in determining the amount of taxable income that may be reduced by net operating loss carryovers and carrybacks to the taxable year under section 172. USP's taxable income before taking into account the section 965(n) election and any net operating loss carryover deduction is \$240x:

Table 1 to paragraph (e)(3)(ii)(A):

	General	Passive	US	Total
Section 965(a) inclusion	\$100x			\$100x
Section 78 dividend	\$40x			\$40x
Other gross income		\$100x	\$200x	\$300x
Section 965(c) deduction	(\$60x)			(\$60x)
Other deductions	(\$40x)	(\$40x)	(\$60x)	(\$140x)
Net Income	\$40x	\$60x	\$140x	\$240x

(B) *Analysis—(1)* The amount described in paragraph (e)(1)(ii) of this section is \$80x (\$100x section 965(a) inclusion – \$60x section 965(c) deduction + \$40x section 78 dividends). As a result of the section 965(n) election, the net operating loss deduction allowed in the 2017 taxable year is reduced from \$240x to \$160x (the amount of USP's taxable in-

come reduced by the amount described in paragraph (e)(1)(ii) of this section).

(2) Under paragraph (e)(1)(iv)(B)(1) of this section, the source and separate category of the net operating loss deduction allowed in the 2017 taxable year is determined under the rules of §1.904(g)-3(b), taking into account the amount described in paragraph

(e)(1)(ii) of this section. Under §1.904(g)-3(b)(3)(i), first the \$120x U.S. source component of the net operating loss is allocated to U.S. source income for the 2017 taxable year. Because the total tentative carryover under §1.904(g)-3(b)(3)(ii) of \$100x (\$40x in the general category and \$60x in the passive category) exceeds the remaining net operating loss deduction of

\$40x (\$160x – \$120x), the tentative carryover amount from each separate category is reduced proportionately, to \$16x ($\$40x \times \$40x/\$100x$) for the general category and \$24x ($\$40x \times \$60x/\$100x$) for the passive

category. Accordingly, \$16x of the general category component of the net operating loss is carried forward, and \$24x of the passive category component of the net operating loss is carried forward and combined with

income in the same respective categories for the 2017 taxable year. After allocation of the net operating loss carryover from 2016, USP's taxable income for the 2017 taxable year is as follows:

Table 1 to paragraph (e)(3)(ii)(B)(2):

	General	Passive	US	Total
Net income before NOL deduction	\$40x	\$60x	\$140x	\$240x
NOL deduction	(\$16x)	(\$24x)	(\$120x)	(\$160x)
Net income after NOL deduction	\$24x	\$36x	\$20x	\$80x

* * * * *

Par. 8. Section 1.1502-12 is amended by adding paragraph (s) to read as follows:
§1.1502-12 Separate taxable income.

* * * * *

(s) See §1.1502-51 for rules relating to the computation of a member's GILTI inclusion amount under section 951A and related basis adjustments.

Par. 9. Section 1.1502-32 is amended by adding and reserving paragraphs (b)(3)(ii)(E) and (b)(3)(iii)(C).

§1.1502-32 Investment adjustments.

* * * * *

(b) * * *

(3) * * *

(ii) * * *

(E) [Reserved]

(iii) * * *

(C) [Reserved]

* * * * *

Par. 10. Section 1.1502-51 is added to read as follows:

§1.1502-51 Consolidated section 951A.

(a) *In general.* This section provides rules for applying section 951A to each member of a consolidated group (each, a *member*) that is a United States shareholder of any controlled foreign corporation. Paragraph (b) of this section describes the inclusion of the GILTI inclusion amount by a member of a consolidated group. Paragraphs (c) and (d) of this section are reserved. Paragraph (e) of this section provides definitions for purposes of this section. Paragraph (f) of this section provides examples illustrating the rules of this section. Paragraph (g) of this section provides an applicability date.

(b) *Calculation of the GILTI inclusion amount for a member of a consolidated group.* Each member who is a United States shareholder of any controlled foreign corporation includes in gross income

in the U.S. shareholder inclusion year the member's GILTI inclusion amount, if any, for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year. See section 951A(a) and §1.951A-1(b). The GILTI inclusion amount of a member for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year is the excess (if any) of the member's net CFC tested income for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year, over the member's net deemed tangible income return for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year, determined using the definitions provided in paragraph (e) of this section. In addition, see §1.951A-1(e).

(c) [Reserved]

(d) [Reserved]

(e) *Definitions.* Any term used but not defined in this section has the meaning set forth in §§1.951A-1 through 1.951A-6. In addition, the following definitions apply for purposes of this section.

(1) *Aggregate tested income.* With respect to a member, the term *aggregate tested income* means the aggregate of the member's pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(2)) of the tested income of each tested income CFC for a CFC inclusion year that ends with or within the U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

(2) *Aggregate tested loss.* With respect to a member, the term *aggregate tested loss* means the aggregate of the member's pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(4)) of the tested loss of each tested loss CFC for a CFC inclusion year that ends with or within the U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

(3) *Allocable share.* The term *allocable share* means, with respect to a member that is a United States shareholder and a U.S. shareholder inclusion year—

(i) With respect to consolidated QBAL, the product of the consolidated QBAL of the member's consolidated group and the member's GILTI allocation ratio.

(ii) With respect to consolidated specified interest expense, the product of the consolidated specified interest expense of the member's consolidated group and the member's GILTI allocation ratio.

(iii) With respect to consolidated tested loss, the product of the consolidated tested loss of the member's consolidated group and the member's GILTI allocation ratio.

(4) *Consolidated QBAL.* With respect to a consolidated group, the term *consolidated QBAL* means the sum of each member's pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(3)) of the qualified business asset investment of each tested income CFC for a CFC inclusion year that ends with or within the U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

(5) *Consolidated specified interest expense.* With respect to a consolidated group, the term *consolidated specified interest expense* means the excess (if any) of—

(i) The sum of each member's pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(5)) of the tested interest expense of each controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year that ends with or within the U.S. shareholder inclusion year, over

(ii) The sum of each member's pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(6)) of the tested interest income of each controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year that ends with or within the U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

(6) *Consolidated tested income.* With respect to a consolidated group, the term *consolidated tested income* means the sum of each member's aggregate tested income for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

(7) *Consolidated tested loss.* With respect to a consolidated group, the term *consolidated tested loss* means the sum of each member's aggregate tested loss for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

(8) *Controlled foreign corporation.* The term *controlled foreign corporation* has the meaning provided in §1.951A-1(f)(2).

(9) *Deemed tangible income return.* With respect to a member, the term *deemed tangible income return* means 10 percent of the member's allocable share of the consolidated QBAI.

(10) *GILTI allocation ratio.* With respect to a member, the term *GILTI allocation ratio* means the ratio of—

(i) The aggregate tested income of the member for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year, to

(ii) The consolidated tested income of the consolidated group of which the member is a member for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year.

(11) *GILTI inclusion amount.* With respect to a member, the term *GILTI inclusion amount* has the meaning provided in paragraph (b) of this section.

(12) *Net CFC tested income.* With respect to a member, the term *net CFC tested income* means the excess (if any) of—

(i) The member's aggregate tested income, over

(ii) The member's allocable share of the consolidated tested loss.

(13) *Net deemed tangible income return.* With respect to a member, the term *net deemed tangible income return* means the excess (if any) of the member's deemed tangible income return over the member's allocable share of the consolidated specified interest expense.

(14) through (16) [Reserved]

(17) *Qualified business asset investment.* The term *qualified business asset investment* has the meaning provided in §1.951A-3(b).

(18) *Tested income.* The term *tested income* has the meaning provided in §1.951A-2(b)(1).

(19) *Tested income CFC.* The term *tested income CFC* has the meaning provided in §1.951A-2(b)(1).

(20) *Tested interest expense.* The term *tested interest expense* has the meaning provided in §1.951A-4(b)(1).

(21) *Tested interest income.* The term *tested interest income* has the meaning provided in §1.951A-4(b)(2).

(22) *Tested loss.* The term *tested loss* has the meaning provided in §1.951A-2(b)(2).

(23) *Tested loss CFC.* The term *tested loss CFC* has the meaning provided in §1.951A-2(b)(2).

(24) *United States shareholder.* The term *United States shareholder* has the meaning provided in §1.951A-1(f)(6).

(25) *U.S. shareholder inclusion year.* The term *U.S. shareholder inclusion year* has the meaning provided in §1.951A-1(f)(7).

(f) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate the rules of this section. For purposes of the examples in this section, unless otherwise stated: P is the common parent of the P consolidated group; P owns all of the single class of stock of subsidiaries USS1, USS2, and USS3, all of whom are members of the P consolidated group; CFC1, CFC2, CFC3, and CFC4 are all controlled foreign corporations (within the meaning of paragraph (e)(8) of this section); and the taxable year of all persons is the calendar year.

(1) *Example 1: Calculation of net CFC tested income within a consolidated group when all CFCs are wholly owned by a member—(i) Facts.* USS1 owns all of the single class of stock of CFC1. USS2 owns all of the single class of stock of each of CFC2 and CFC3. USS3 owns all of the single class of stock of CFC4. In Year 1, CFC1 has tested loss of \$100x, CFC2 has tested income of \$200x, CFC3 has tested loss of \$200x, and CFC4 has tested income of \$600x. None of CFC1, CFC2, CFC3, or CFC4 has qualified business asset investment in Year 1.

(ii) *Analysis—(A) Consolidated tested income and GILTI allocation ratio.* USS1 has no aggregate tested income; USS2's aggregate tested income is \$200x, its pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(2)) of CFC2's tested income; and USS3's aggregate tested income is \$600x, its pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(2)) of CFC4's tested income. Therefore, under paragraph (e)(6) of this section, the P consolidated group's consolidated tested income is \$800x (\$200x + \$600x). As a result, the GILTI allocation ratios of USS1, USS2, and USS3 are 0 (\$0/\$800x), 0.25 (\$200x/\$800x), and 0.75 (\$600x/\$800x), respectively.

(B) *Consolidated tested loss.* Under paragraph (e)(7) of this section, the P consolidated group's consolidated tested loss is \$300x (\$100x + \$200x), the sum of USS1's aggregate tested loss, which is equal to its pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(4)) of CFC1's tested loss (\$100x), and USS2's aggregate tested loss, which is equal to its pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(4)) of CFC3's tested loss (\$200x). Under paragraph (e)(3)(iii) of this section, a member's allocable share of the consolidated tested loss is the product of the consolidated tested loss of the member's consolidated group and the member's GILTI allocation ratio. Therefore, the allocable shares of the consolidated tested loss of USS1, USS2, and USS3 are \$0 (0 x \$300x), \$75x (0.25 x \$300x), and \$225x (0.75 x \$300x), respectively.

(C) *Calculation of net CFC tested income.* Under paragraph (e)(12) of this section, a member's net CFC tested income is the excess (if any) of the member's aggregate tested income over the member's allocable share of the consolidated tested loss. As a result, the net CFC tested income of USS1, USS2, and USS3 are \$0 (\$0 - \$0), \$125x (\$200x - \$75x), and \$375x (\$600x - \$225x), respectively.

(2) *Example 2: Calculation of net CFC tested income within a consolidated group when ownership of a tested loss CFC is split between members—(i) Facts.* The facts are the same as in paragraph (f)(1)(i) of this section (the facts in *Example 1*), except that USS2 and USS3 each own 50% of the single class of stock of CFC3.

(ii) *Analysis.* As in paragraph (f)(1)(ii)(A) of this section (paragraph (A) of the analysis in *Example 1*), USS1 has no aggregate tested income and a GILTI allocation ratio of 0, USS2 has \$200x of aggregate tested income and a GILTI allocation ratio of 0.25, and USS3 has \$600x of aggregate tested income and a GILTI allocation ratio of 0.75. Additionally, the P consolidated group's consolidated tested loss is \$300x (the aggregate of USS1's aggregate tested loss, which is equal to its pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(4)) of CFC1's tested loss (\$100x); USS2's aggregate tested loss, which is equal to its pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(4)) of CFC3's tested loss (\$100x); and USS3's aggregate tested loss, which is equal to its pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(4)) of CFC3's tested loss (\$100x)). As a result, under paragraph (e)(12) of this section, as in paragraph (f)(1)(ii)(C) of this section (paragraph (C) of the analysis in *Example 1*), the net CFC tested income of USS1, USS2, and USS3 are \$0 (\$0 - \$0), \$125x (\$200x - \$75x), and \$375x (\$600x - \$225x), respectively.

(3) *Example 3: Calculation of GILTI inclusion amount—(i) Facts.* The facts are the same as in paragraph (f)(1)(i) of this section (the facts in *Example 1*), except that CFC2 and CFC4 have qualified business asset investment of \$500x and \$2,000x, respectively, for Year 1. In Year 1, CFC1 and CFC4 each have tested interest expense (within the meaning of §1.951A-4(b)(1)) of \$25x, and none of CFC1, CFC2, CFC3, and CFC4 have tested interest income (within the meaning of §1.951A-4(b)(2)). CFC1's tested loss of \$100x and CFC4's tested income of \$600x take into account the tested interest expense.

(ii) *Analysis—(A) GILTI allocation ratio.* As in paragraph (f)(1)(ii)(A) of this section (paragraph (A) of the analysis in *Example 1*), the GILTI allocation ratios of USS1, USS2, and USS3 are 0 (\$0/\$800x), 0.25 (\$200x/\$800x), and 0.75 (\$600x/\$800x), respectively.

(B) *Consolidated QBAI.* Under paragraph (e)(4) of this section, the P consolidated group's consolidated QBAI is \$2,500x (\$500x + \$2,000x), the aggregate of USS2's pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(3)) of the qualified business asset investment of CFC2 and USS3's pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(3)) of the qualified business asset investment of CFC4. Under paragraph (e)(3)(i) of this section, a member's allocable share of consolidated QBAI is the product of the consolidated QBAI of the member's consolidated group and the member's GILTI allocation ratio. Therefore, the allocable shares of the consolidated QBAI of each of

USS1, USS2, and USS3 are \$0 (0 x \$2,500x), \$625x (0.25 x \$2,500x), and \$1,875x (0.75 x \$2,500x), respectively.

(C) *Consolidated specified interest expense*—(1) *Pro rata share of tested interest expense.* USS1's pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(5)) of the tested interest expense of CFC1 is \$25x, the amount by which the tested interest expense increases USS1's pro rata share of CFC1's tested loss (from \$75x to \$100x) for Year 1. USS3's pro rata share (determined under §1.951A-1(d)(5)) of the tested interest expense of CFC4 is also \$25x, the amount by which the tested interest expense decreases USS3's pro rata share of CFC4's tested income (from \$625x to \$600x).

(2) *Consolidated specified interest expense.* Under paragraph (e)(5) of this section, the P consolidated group's consolidated specified interest expense is \$50x, the excess of the sum of each member's pro rata share of the tested interest expense of each controlled foreign corporation (\$50x, \$25x from USS1 + \$25x from USS3), over the sum of each member's pro rata share of tested interest income (\$0). Under paragraph (e)(3)(ii) of this section, a member's allocable share of consolidated specified interest expense is the product of the consolidated specified interest expense of the member's consolidated group and the member's GILTI allocation ratio. Therefore, the allocable shares of consolidated specified interest expense of USS1, USS2, and USS3 are \$0 (0 x \$50x), \$12.50x (0.25 x \$50x), and \$37.50x (0.75 x \$50x), respectively.

(D) *Calculation of deemed tangible income return.* Under paragraph (e)(9) of this section, a member's deemed tangible income return means 10 percent of the member's allocable share of the consolidated QBAI. As a result, the deemed tangible income returns of USS1, USS2, and USS3 are \$0 (0.1 x \$0), \$62.50x (0.1 x \$625x), and \$187.50x (0.1 x \$1,875x), respectively.

(E) *Calculation of net deemed tangible income return.* Under paragraph (e)(13) of this section, a member's net deemed tangible income return means the excess (if any) of a member's deemed tangible income return over the member's allocable share of the consolidated specified interest expense. As a result, the net deemed tangible income returns of USS1, USS2, and USS3 are \$0 (\$0 - \$0), \$50x (\$62.50x - \$12.50x), and \$150x (\$187.50x - \$37.50x), respectively.

(F) *Calculation of GILTI inclusion amount.* Under paragraph (b) of this section, a member's GILTI inclusion amount for a U.S. shareholder inclusion year is the excess (if any) of the member's net CFC tested income for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year, over the shareholder's net deemed tangible income return for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year. As described in paragraph (f)(1)(ii)(C) of this section (paragraph (C) of the analysis in *Example 1*), the net CFC tested income of USS1, USS2, and USS3 are \$0, \$125x, and \$375x, respectively. As described in paragraph (f)(3)(ii)(E) of this section (paragraph (E) of the analysis in this example), the net deemed tangible income returns of USS1, USS2, and USS3 are \$0, \$50x, and \$150x, respectively. As a result, under paragraph (b) of this section, the GILTI inclusion amounts of USS1, USS2, and USS3 are \$0 (\$0 - \$0), \$75x (\$125x - \$50x), and \$225x (\$375x - \$150x), respectively.

(g) *Applicability date*—(1) *In general.* Except as otherwise provided in this paragraph (g), this section applies to taxable years of United States shareholders for which the due date (without extensions) of the consolidated return is after June 21, 2019. However, a consolidated group may apply the rules of this section in their entirety to all taxable years of its members that are described in §1.951A-7. In such a case, the consolidated group must apply the rules of this section to all taxable years described in §1.951A-7 and with respect to all members.

(2) [Reserved]

Par. 11. Section 1.6038-2 is amended by revising the section heading, the introductory text of paragraph (a), and paragraph (m) to read as follows:

§1.6038-2 Information returns required of United States persons with respect to annual accounting periods of certain foreign corporations.

(a) *Requirement of return.* Every U.S. person shall make a separate annual information return with respect to each annual accounting period (described in paragraph (e) of this section) of each foreign corporation which that person controls (as defined in paragraph (b) of this section) at any time during such annual accounting period.

* * * * *

(m) *Applicability dates*—(1) *In general.* This section applies to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning on or after October 3, 2018. See 26 CFR 1.6038-2 (revised as of April 1, 2018) for rules applicable to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning before such date.

(2) [Reserved]

Par. 12. Section 1.6038-5 is added to read as follows:

§1.6038-5 Information returns required of certain United States persons to report amounts determined with respect to certain foreign corporations for global intangible low-taxed income (GILTI) purposes.

(a) *Requirement of return.* Except as provided in paragraph (d) of this section, each United States person who is a United States shareholder (as defined in section 951(b)) of any controlled foreign corporation (as defined in section 957) must make an annual return on Form 8992, "U.S. Shareholder Calculation of Global Intangible Low-Taxed Income (GILTI)," (or

successor form) for each U.S. shareholder inclusion year (as defined in §1.951A-1(f)(7)) setting forth the information with respect to each such controlled foreign corporation, in such form and manner, as Form 8992 (or successor form) prescribes.

(b) *Time and manner for filing.* Returns on Form 8992 (or successor form) required under paragraph (a) of this section for a taxable year must be filed with the United States person's income tax return on or before the due date (taking into account extensions) for filing that person's income tax return.

(c) *Failure to furnish information*—(1) *Penalties.* If any person required to file Form 8992 (or successor form) under section 6038 and this section fails to furnish the information prescribed on Form 8992 within the time prescribed by paragraph (b) of this section, the penalties imposed by section 6038(b) and (c) apply.

(2) *Increase in penalty.* If a failure described in paragraph (c)(1) of this section continues for more than 90 days after the date on which the Director of Field Operations, Area Director, or Director of Compliance Campus Operations mails notice of such failure to the person required to file Form 8992, such person shall pay a penalty of \$10,000, in addition to the penalty imposed by section 6038(b)(1), for each 30-day period (or a fraction of) during which such failure continues after such 90-day period has expired. The additional penalty imposed by section 6038(b)(2) and this paragraph (c)(2) shall be limited to a maximum of \$50,000 for each failure.

(3) *Reasonable cause*—(i) For purposes of section 6038(b) and (c) and this section, the time prescribed for furnishing information under paragraph (b) of this section, and the beginning of the 90-day period after mailing of notice by the director under paragraph (c)(2) of this section, shall be treated as being not earlier than the last day on which reasonable cause existed for failure to furnish the information.

(ii) To show that reasonable cause existed for failure to furnish information as required by section 6038 and this section, the person required to report such information must make an affirmative showing of all facts alleged as reasonable cause for such failure in a written statement containing a declaration that it is made under the penal-

ties of perjury. The statement must be filed with the director where the return is required to be filed. The director shall determine whether the failure to furnish information was due to reasonable cause, and if so, the period of time for which such reasonable cause existed. In the case of a return that has been filed as required by this section except for an omission of, or error with respect to, some of the information required, if the person who filed the return establishes to the satisfaction of the director that the person has substantially complied with this section, then the omission or error shall not constitute a failure under this section.

(d) *Exception from filing requirement.* Any United States person that does not own, within the meaning of section 958(a), stock of a controlled foreign corporation in which the United States person is a United States shareholder for a taxable year is not required to file Form 8992. For this purpose, whether a U.S. person owns, within the meaning of section 958(a), stock of a controlled foreign corporation is determined under §1.951A-1(e).

(e) *Applicability date.* This section applies to taxable years of controlled foreign corporations beginning on or after October 3, 2018.

Kirsten Wielobob
Deputy Commissioner for Services
and Enforcement.

Approved: June 6, 2019

David J Kautter
Assistant Secretary of the Treasury
(Tax Policy).

(Filed by the Office of the Federal Register on June 14, 2019, 4:15 p.m., and published in the issue of the Federal Register for June 21, 2019, 84 F.R. 29288)

Part III.

Amplification of Notice 2018-48 to Include Additional Puerto Rico Designated Qualified Opportunity Zones

Notice 2019-42

PURPOSE

This notice amplifies Notice 2018-48, 2018-28 I.R.B. 9, which lists the population census tracts that the Secretary of the Treasury (Secretary) designated as qualified opportunity zones (QOZs). Specifically, this notice adds two additional census tracts in Puerto Rico that have been designated as QOZs under § 1400Z-1(b)(3) of the Internal Revenue Code (Code).

BACKGROUND

Section 13823 of the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, P.L. 115-97 (TCJA), which was enacted December 22, 2017, amended the Code by adding §§ 1400Z-1 and 1400Z-2. Section 1400Z-1(b)(1)(A) of the Code allows the Chief Executive Officer (CEO) of each State (including the CEOs of the District of Columbia and of U.S. territories) to nominate a limited number of population census tracts to be designated as QOZs for purposes of §§ 1400Z-1 and 1400Z-2.

Revenue Procedure 2018-16, 2018-9 I.R.B. 383, provided guidance to these CEOs on the procedure for making the nominations. Section 1400Z-1(b)(1)(B) of the Code provides that after the Secretary receives notice of the nominations, the Secretary may certify the nominations and designate the nominated tracts as QOZs. Notice 2018-48 listed all population census tracts that the Secretary designated as QOZs for purposes of §§ 1400Z-1 and 1400Z-2, and in administering § 1400Z-2 the Internal Revenue Service is governed by this list.

Section 41115 of the Bipartisan Budget Act of 2018, P.L. 115-123 (BBA), enacted on February 9, 2018, added § 1400Z-1(b)(3) to the Code. Section 1400Z-1(b)(3) provides that each population census tract that is a low-income community (LIC) in Puerto Rico shall be deemed certified and designated as a QOZ effective on December 22, 2017, the date on which the TCJA was enacted. Thus, 100 percent of Puerto Rico's LICs are deemed certified. The initial analysis of the Department of the Treasury (Treasury Department) identified 835 LIC tracts in Puerto Rico based on data from the 2011-2015 American Community Survey (ACS), and all of those tracts were designated as QOZs.

Due to the complexities of incorporating the most recent census data information into the eligibility process, the Treasury Department utilized the 2011-2015 ACS data for initial eligibility determinations. States were permitted, however, to

nominate census tracts not already identified by the Treasury Department if they provided evidence of the tract's eligibility under the 2012-2016 ACS data. Following the initial designations, the Treasury Department reviewed and identified two additional eligible LIC census tracts in Puerto Rico based on the 2012-2016 ACS data.

APPLICATION

Two additional census tracts (72119130102 and 72137122002) that are LICs in Puerto Rico are deemed to be certified and designated as QOZs under § 1400Z-1(b)(3). Tracts 72119130102 and 72137122002 are added to the list of population census tracts that are designated as QOZs under § 1400Z-1, effective on December 22, 2017.

EFFECT ON OTHER DOCUMENTS

Notice 2018-48 is amplified by extending its scope to include in the list of designated QOZs two additional census tracts in Puerto Rico: 72119130102 and 72137122002.

DRAFTING INFORMATION

The principal author of this notice is Erika C. Reigle of the Office of Associate Chief Counsel (Income Tax & Accounting). For further information regarding this notice, contact Ms. Reigle at (202) 317-7006 (not a toll-free number).

NOTE. This revenue procedure will be reproduced as the next revision of IRS Publication 1179, General Rules and Specifications for Substitute Forms 1096, 1098, 1099, 5498, and Certain Other Information Returns.

Forms and instructions. (Also Part 1, Sections 101, 162(f), 170, 199A, 220, 223, 401(a), 403(a), 403(b), 408, 408A, 457(b), 529, 529A, 530, 853A, 1400Z, 1441, 6041, 6041A, 6042, 6043, 6044, 6045, 6047, 6049, 6050A, 6050B, 6050D, 6050E, 6050H, 6050J, 6050N, 6050P, 6050Q, 6050R, 6050S, 6050U, 6050W, 6050X, 6050Y, 1.402A-2, 1.408-5, 1.408-7, 1.408-8, 1.408A-7, 1.529A-7, 1.1441-1 through 1.1441-5, 1.1471-4, 1.6041-1, 7.6041-1, 1.6042-2, 1.6042-4, 1.6043-4, 1.6044-2, 1.6044-5, 1.6045-1, 1.6045-2, 1.6045-4, 1.6047-1, 1.6047-2, 1.6049-4, 1.6049-6, 1.6049-7, 1.6050A-1, 1.6050B-1, 1.6050D-1, 1.6050E-1, 1.6050H-1, 1.6050H-2, 1.6050J-1T, 1.6050N-1, 1.6050P-1, 1.6050S-1, 1.6050S-3, 1.6050W-1, 1.6050W-2)

Rev. Proc. 2019-24

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Part 1 – GENERAL INFORMATION

Section 1.1 – Overview of Revenue Procedure 2019-24/What’s New	354
Section 1.2 – Definitions	357
Section 1.3 – General Requirements for Acceptable Substitute Forms 1096, 1097-BTC, 1098, 1099, 3921, 3922, 5498, W-2G, and 1042-S	358

Part 2 – SPECIFICATIONS FOR SUBSTITUTE FORMS 1096 AND COPIES A OF FORMS 1098, 1099, 3921, 3922, AND 5498 (ALL FILED WITH THE IRS)

Section 2.1 – Specifications	361
Section 2.2 – Instructions for Preparing Paper Forms That Will Be Filed With the IRS	365

Part 3 – SPECIFICATIONS FOR SUBSTITUTE FORM W-2G (FILED WITH THE IRS)

Section 3.1 – General	367
Section 3.2 – Specifications for Copy A of Form W-2G	367

Part 4 – SUBSTITUTE STATEMENTS TO FORM RECIPIENTS AND FORM RECIPIENT COPIES

Section 4.1 – Specifications	368
Section 4.2 – Composite Statements	373
Section 4.3 – Additional Information for Substitute and Composite Forms 1099-B	374
Section 4.4 – Required Legends	374
Section 4.5 – Miscellaneous Instructions for Copies B, C, D, E, 1, and 2	376
Section 4.6 – Electronic Delivery of Recipient Statements	378

Part 5 – ADDITIONAL INSTRUCTIONS FOR SUBSTITUTE FORMS 1098, 1097-BTC, 1099, 5498, W-2G, AND 1042-S

Section 5.1 – Paper Substitutes for Form 1042-S	379
Section 5.2 – OMB Requirements for All Forms in This Revenue Procedure	381
Section 5.3 – Ordering Forms and Instructions	382
Section 5.4 – Effect on Other Revenue Procedures	382

Part 6 – EXHIBITS

Section 6.1 – Exhibits of Forms in This Revenue Procedure	383
---	-----

Part 1
General Information

Section 1.1 – Overview of Revenue Procedure 2019-24/What’s New

1.1.1
Purpose

The purpose of this revenue procedure is to set forth the 2019 requirements for:

- Using official Internal Revenue Service (IRS) forms to file information returns with the IRS,
 - Preparing acceptable substitutes of the official IRS forms to file information returns with the IRS, and
 - Using official or acceptable substitute forms to furnish information to recipients.
-

1.1.2
Which Forms Are Covered?

This revenue procedure contains specifications for these information returns:

Form	Title
1096	Annual Summary and Transmittal of U.S. Information Returns
1097-BTC	Bond Tax Credit
1098	Mortgage Interest Statement
1098-C	Contributions of Motor Vehicles, Boats, and Airplanes
1098-E	Student Loan Interest Statement
1098-F	Fines, Penalties, and Other Amounts
1098-MA	Mortgage Assistance Payments
1098-Q	Qualifying Longevity Annuity Contract Information
1098-T	Tuition Statement
1099-A	Acquisition or Abandonment of Secured Property
1099-B	Proceeds From Broker and Barter Exchange Transactions
1099-C	Cancellation of Debt
1099-CAP	Changes in Corporate Control and Capital Structure
1099-DIV	Dividends and Distributions
1099-G	Certain Government Payments
1099-INT	Interest Income
1099-K	Payment Card and Third Party Network Transactions
1099-LS	Reportable Life Insurance Sale
1099-LTC	Long-Term Care and Accelerated Death Benefits
1099-MISC	Miscellaneous Income
1099-OID	Original Issue Discount
1099-PATR	Taxable Distributions Received From Cooperatives
1099-Q	Payments From Qualified Education Programs (Under Sections 529 and 530)
1099-QA	Distributions From ABLE Accounts

Form	Title
1099-R	Distributions From Pensions, Annuities, Retirement or Profit-Sharing Plans, IRAs, Insurance Contracts, etc.
1099-S	Proceeds From Real Estate Transactions
1099-SA	Distributions From an HSA, Archer MSA, or Medicare Advantage MSA
1099-SB	Seller's Investment in Life Insurance Contract
3921	Exercise of an Incentive Stock Option Under Section 422(b)
3922	Transfer of Stock Acquired Through An Employee Stock Purchase Plan Under Section 423(c)
5498	IRA Contribution Information
5498-ESA	Coverdell ESA Contribution Information
5498-QA	ABLE Account Contribution Information
5498-SA	HSA, Archer MSA, or Medicare Advantage MSA Information
W-2G	Certain Gambling Winnings
1042-S	Foreign Person's U.S. Source Income Subject to Withholding

1.1.3 Scope

For purposes of this revenue procedure, a substitute form or statement is one that is not published by the IRS. For a substitute form or statement to be acceptable to the IRS, it must conform to the official form or the specifications outlined in this revenue procedure. Do not submit any substitute forms or statements listed above to the IRS for approval. Privately published forms may not state, "This is an IRS approved form."

Filers making payments to certain recipients during a calendar year are required by the Internal Revenue Code (the Code) to file information returns with the IRS for these payments. These filers also must provide this information to their recipients. In some cases, this also applies to payments received. See *Part 4* for specifications that apply to recipient statements (generally Copy B).

In general, section 6011 of the Code contains requirements for filers of information returns. A filer must file information returns electronically or on paper. A filer who is required to file 250 or more information returns of any one type during a calendar year must file those returns electronically.

Caution. Financial institutions that are required to report payments made under chapter 3 or 4 **must** file Forms 1042-S electronically, regardless of the number of forms to file.

Note. If you file electronically, do not file the same returns on paper.

Although not required, small volume filers (fewer than 250 returns during a calendar year) may file the forms electronically. See the requirements for filing information returns (and providing a copy to a payee) in the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns and the 2019 Instructions for Form 1042-S. In addition, see the current revision of Publication 1220, Specifications for Electronic Filing of Forms 1097, 1098, 1099, 3921, 3922, 5498, and W-2G, for electronic filing through the IRS FIRE system.

1.1.4 For More Information

The IRS prints and provides the forms on which various payments must be reported. See *Section 5.3*, later, for ordering forms and instructions. Alternately, filers may prepare substitute copies of these IRS forms and use such forms to report payments to the IRS.

- The Internal Revenue Service/Information Returns Branch (IRS/IRB) maintains a centralized customer service call site to answer questions related to information returns (Forms W-2, W-3, W-2c, W-3c, 1099 series, 1096, etc.). You can reach the call site at 866-455-7438 (toll-free) or outside the U.S. at 304-263-8700 (not a toll-free number). Persons with a hearing or speech disability with access to TTY/TDD equipment can call 304-579-4827 (not a toll-free number). You also may send questions to the call site via the Internet at mccirp@irs.gov. **Note.** IRS/IRB does not process information returns which are filed on paper forms. See Publication 1220 for information on waivers and extensions of time.
- For other tax information related to business returns or accounts, call 800-829-4933. Persons with hearing or speech disabilities with access to TTY/TDD equipment can call 800-829-4059 to ask tax account questions or to order forms and publications.

Note. Further information impacting Publication 1179, such as issues arising after its final release, will be posted on IRS.gov at IRS.gov/pub1179.

1.1.5 What's New

The following changes have been made to this year's revenue procedure. For further information about each form listed below, see the separate reporting instructions.

Form 1042-S.

- New box 7c was added for partnerships to indicate if withholding with respect to a partnership interest occurred in the subsequent year.
- Income code 55 was added for taxable death benefits paid on a life insurance contract.

For more information, see the Instructions for Form 1042-S

New Form 1098-F. This is a new form required by section 6050X, enacted in section 13306 of P.L. 115-97, the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (TCJA), requiring reporting of certain fines, penalties, and other amounts. For more information, see the Instructions for Form 1098-F.

Form 1099-B. Section 13823 of P.L. 115-97 added section 1400Z, Opportunity Zones. We added a check box in box 3 to report disposition of qualified opportunity funds (QOFs). For more information, see the Instructions for Form 1099-B.

Form 1099-DIV. Box 5 must be completed to report section 199A dividends paid to the recipient. The amount paid also is included in box 1a.

Form 1099-G. A 2nd TIN notice checkbox has been added to copies A and C of the form. See 2nd TIN notice in the Instructions for Form 1099-G.

New Form 1099-LS. This is a new form required under section 6050Y. See P. L. 115-97, section 13520. Form 1099-LS, Reportable Life Insurance Sale, and the separate instructions will provide acquirers the necessary information for completing, furnishing, and filing the forms for reporting the acquisition of a life insurance contract, or any interest in a life insurance contract, in a reportable policy sale.

Filing dates for Form 1099-MISC. File Form 1099-MISC on or before January 31, 2020, if you are reporting nonemployee compensation (NEC) payments in box 7, using either paper or electronic filing procedures. For all other reported payments, file Form 1099-MISC by February 28, 2020, if you file on paper, or March 31, 2020, if you file electronically. For more information, see the Instructions for Form 1099-MISC.

Form 1099-PATR. Specified agricultural and horticultural cooperatives only, will report qualified payments paid to the patron in new box 7. For more information, see the Instructions for Form 1099-PATR.

Form 1099-Q. Report in Box 4, distribution code 1, rollover of limited funds from a QTP to an ABLE account. For more information, see the Instructions for Form 1099-Q.

New Form 1099-SB. This is a new form required under section 6050Y. See P. L. 115-97, section 13520. Form 1099-SB, Seller’s Investment in Life Insurance Contract, and the separate instructions will provide issuers the necessary information for completing, furnishing, and filing the forms for reporting the investment in a life insurance contract and surrender amount upon receiving notice of a transfer of the life insurance contract, or an interest in the life insurance contract, in a reportable policy sale or to a foreign person.

Form 5498-QA. The title in box 2 changed from *Rollover Contributions* to *ABLE to ABLE Rollovers*.

Exhibits. All of the exhibits in this publication were updated to include all of the 2019 revisions of those forms that have been revised.

Editorial changes. We made editorial changes throughout, including updated references. Redundancies were eliminated as much as possible.

Section 1.2 – Definitions

1.2.1 Form Recipient

Form recipient means the person to whom you are required by law to furnish a copy of the official form or information statement. The form recipient may be referred to by different names on various Forms 1099 and related forms (“acquirer,” “beneficiary,” “borrower,” “debtor,” “donor,” “employee,” “filer,” “homeowner,” “insured,” “issuer,” “participant,” “payee,” “payer,” “payer/borrower,” “payment recipient,” “policyholder,” “seller,” “shareholder,” “student,” “transferor,” or, in the case of Form W-2G, the “winner”). See *Section 1.3.4*.

1.2.2 Filer

Filer means the person or organization required by law to file with the IRS a form listed in *Section 1.1.2* with the IRS. A filer may be a payer, creditor, payment settlement entity, recipient of mortgage or student loan interest payments, educational institution, broker, barter exchange, person reporting real estate transactions; a trustee or issuer of any educational or ABLE Act savings account, individual retirement arrangement, or medical savings account; a lender who acquires an interest in secured property or who has reason to know that the property has been abandoned; a corporation reporting a change in control and capital structure or transfer of stock to an employee; or certain donees of motor vehicles, boats, and airplanes.

1.2.3 Substitute Form

Substitute form means a paper substitute of Copy A of an official form listed in *Section 1.1.2* that completely conforms to the provisions in this revenue procedure.

1.2.4 Substitute Form Recipient Statement (recipient statement)

Substitute form recipient statement means a paper or electronic statement of the information reported on a form listed in *Section 1.1.2*. For the remainder of this revenue procedure, we will refer to this as a recipient statement. This statement must be furnished to a person (form recipient), as defined under the applicable provisions of the Code and the applicable regulations.

**1.2.5
Composite
Substitute Statement**

Composite substitute statement means one in which two or more required statements (for example, Forms 1099-INT and 1099-DIV) are furnished to the recipient on one document. However, each statement must be designated separately and must contain all the requisite Form 1099 information except as provided under *Section 4.2*. A composite statement may not be filed with the IRS.

Section 1.3 – General Requirements for Acceptable Substitute Forms 1096, 1097-BTC, 1098, 1099, 3921, 3922, 5498, W-2G, and 1042-S

**1.3.1
Introduction**

Paper substitutes for Form 1096 and Copy A of Forms 1097-BTC, 1098, 1099, 3921, 3922, 5498, W-2G, and 1042-S that completely conform to the specifications listed in this revenue procedure may be privately printed and filed as returns with the IRS. The reference to the Department of the Treasury– Internal Revenue Service should be included on all such forms.

If you are uncertain of any specification and want it clarified, you may submit a letter citing the specification, stating your understanding and interpretation of the specification, and enclosing an example of the form (if appropriate) to:

Internal Revenue Service
Attn: Substitute Forms Program
SE:W:CAR:MP:P:TP
1111 Constitution Ave., NW, Room 6550
Washington, DC 20224

Note. Allow at least 30 days for the IRS to respond.

You also may contact the Substitute Forms Program via e-mail at substituteforms@irs.gov. Please enter “Substitute Forms” on the Subject Line.

Forms 1096, 1097-BTC, 1098, 1099, 3921, 3922, 5498, W-2G, and 1042-S are subject to annual review and possible change. Therefore, filers are cautioned against overstocking supplies of privately printed substitutes.

**1.3.2
Logos, Slogans, and
Advertisements**

Some Forms 1097-BTC, 1098, 1099, 3921, 3922, 5498, W-2G, and 1042-S that include logos, slogans, and advertisements may not be recognized as important tax documents. A payee may not recognize the importance of the payee copy for tax reporting purposes due to the use of logos, slogans, and advertisements.

Accordingly, the IRS has determined that logos, slogans, and advertising are not allowed on the payee copies of the above forms, on Copy A filed with the IRS, or on Form 1096, with the following exceptions:

- The exact name of the payer, broker, or agent, primary trade name, trademark, service mark, or symbol of the payer, broker, or agent, an embossment or watermark on the information return and payee copies that is a representation of the name, a primary trade name, trademark, service mark, or symbol of the payer, broker, or agent, that is;

- Presented in any typeface, font, stylized fashion, or print color normally used by the payer, broker, or agent, and used in a non intrusive manner; and
- As long as these items do not materially interfere with the ability of the recipient to recognize, understand, and use the tax information on the payee copies.

The IRS e-file logo on the IRS official payee copies may be included, but it is not required, on any of the substitute form copies.

The information return and payee copies must clearly identify the payer’s name associated with its employer identification number.

Logos and slogans may be used on permissible enclosures, such as a check or account statement, other than information returns and payee copies.

If you have comments about the restrictions on including logos, slogans, and advertising on information returns and payee copies, send your comments to:

Internal Revenue Service
 Attn: Substitute Forms Program
 SE:W:CAR:MP:P:TP
 1111 Constitution Ave., NW, Room 6550
 Washington, DC 20224

or email them to substituteforms@irs.gov.

**1.3.3
 Copy A
 Specifications**

Proposed substitutes of Copy A must be exact replicas of the official IRS form with respect to layout and content. Proposed substitutes for Copy A that do not conform to the specifications in this revenue procedure are not acceptable.

Further, if you file such forms with the IRS, you may be subject to a penalty for failure to file a correct information return under section 6721 of the Code. The amount of the penalty is based on when you file the correct information return.

Penalties. The amounts of the penalty for returns required to be filed in 2019 is shown in *Penalties* in the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns. You can access the penalties section at [IRS.gov/instructions/i1099gi#idm140065029227536](https://www.irs.gov/instructions/i1099gi#idm140065029227536).

**1.3.4
 Copy B and Copy C
 Specifications**

Copy B and Copy C of the following forms must contain the information in *Part 4* to be considered a “statement” or “official form” under the applicable provisions of the Code. The format of this information is at the discretion of the filer with the exception of the location of the tax year, form number, form name, and the information for composite Form 1099 statements as outlined under *Section 4.2*.

Copy B, of the forms below, is for the following recipients.

Form	Recipient
1098	For Payer/Borrower
1098-C	For Donor
1098-E; 1099-A	For Borrower
1098-F	For Payer
1098-MA	For Homeowner

Form	Recipient
1098-Q	For Participant
1098-T	For Student
1099-C	For Debtor
1099-CAP	For Shareholder
1099-K	For Payee
1099-LS	For Payment Recipient
1099-LTC	For Policyholder
1099-R; W-2G	Indicates that these forms may require Copy B to be attached to the federal income tax return.
1099-S	For Transferor
1099-SB	For Seller
All remaining Forms 1099; 1097-BTC; 1042-S	For Recipient
3921; 3922	For Employee
5498; 5498-SA	For Participant
5498-QA; 5498-ESA	For Beneficiary

Copy C, of the following forms, is for the following recipients.

Form	Recipient
1097-BTC	For Payer
1098	For Recipient/Lender
1098-C	For Donor's Records
1098-F	For Filer
1042-S; 1098-E	For Recipient
1098-MA; 1098-T; 1099-K	For Filer
1098-Q	For Issuer
1099-CAP; 3921; 3922	For Corporation
1099-LS	For Payment Recipient
1099-LTC	For Insured
1099-QA	For Payer
1099-R	For Recipient's Records
All other Forms 1099	See <i>Section 4.5.2</i>
5498	For Trustee or Insurer
5498-ESA; 5498-SA	For Trustee
5498-QA	For Issuer
W-2G	For Winner's Records

Note. On Copy C, Form 1099-LTC, you may reverse the locations of the policyholder's and the insured's name, street address, city, state, and ZIP code for easier mailing.

Part 2
Specifications for Substitute Forms 1096 and Copies A of Forms 1098, 1099, 3921, 3922, and 5498
(All Filed With the IRS)

Section 2.1 – Specifications

2.1.1
Online Fillable
Forms

Due to the very low volume of paper Forms 1097-BTC, 1098-C, 1098-MA, 1099-A, 1099-CAP, 1099-LTC, 1099-Q, 1099-QA, 1099-SA, 3922, 5498-ESA, 5498-QA, and 5498-SA received and processed by the IRS each year, these forms have been converted to online fillable PDFs.

Note. The instructions for substitute Forms 1042-S, also an online fillable format, are found separately in *Part 5*.

These forms in their fillable format can be found at *IRS.gov/formspubs*.

All the instructions regarding the substitute forms found in *Part I*, and *Sections 2.1.2, 2.1.7, 2.1.9, and 2.1.10*, and the remainder of this publication, unless specified differently immediately below, remain in effect if you are going to produce the online fillable forms as paper or online substitute forms.

- Copy A of privately printed substitutes of the forms listed above must be exact replicas of the official forms with respect to layout and content. Use the official form, found on *IRS.gov*, printed actual size on an 8½ inches by 11 inches sheet of paper. The forms will print one to a page.
- All printing must be in high quality non-gloss black ink.
- Paper for Copy A must be white chemical wood bond, or equivalent, 20 pound (basis 17 x 22-500), plus or minus 5% (0.05); or offset book paper, 50 pound (basis 25 x 38-500). No optical brighteners may be added to the pulp or paper during manufacture. The paper must consist of principally bleached chemical wood pulp or recycled printed paper. It also must be suitably sized to accept ink without feathering.

Note. If you want to print the forms as they formerly appeared to save paper, with the exception of Forms 1097-BTC (printed 2-to-a-page) and 1098-C (single form page), they are all printed 3-to-a-page. Follow the 3-to-a-page measurements in *Section 6*. Form 1098-C can be found at *IRS.gov/Form1098C*. Print the form to actual size, no scaling.

2.1.2
General
Requirements

Form identifying numbers (for example, 9191 for Form 1099-DIV) must be printed in nonreflective black carbon-based ink in print positions 15 through 19 using an optical character recognition (OCR) A font. The check boxes to the right of the form identifying numbers must be 10-point boxes. The “VOID” checkbox is in print position 25 (1.9 inches from left vertical line of the form). The “CORRECTED” check box is in print position 33 (2.7 inches from left vertical line of the form). Measurements generally are from the left edge of the paper, not including the perforated strip.

The substitute form Copy A must be an exact replica of the official IRS form with respect to layout and content. To determine the correct form measurements, see *Exhibits A through BB* at the end of this publication.

Hot wax and cold carbon spots are not permitted on any of the internal form plies. These spots are permitted on the back of a mailer top envelope ply.

Use of chemical transfer paper for Copy A is acceptable.

The Government Printing Office (GPO) symbol must be deleted.

2.1.3 Color and Paper Quality

Color and paper quality for Copy A (cut sheets and continuous pinfeed forms) as specified by JCP Code 0-25, dated November 29, 1978, must be white 100% bleached chemical wood, OCR bond produced in accordance with the following specifications.

Note. Reclaimed fiber in any percentage is permitted provided the requirements of this standard are met.

Acidity: Ph value, average, not less than	4.5
Basis Weight: 17 x 22-500 cut sheets	18-20
Metric equivalent-g/m ²	75
A tolerance of ±5 pct. is allowed.	
Stiffness: Average, each direction, not less than-milligrams	50
Tearing strength: Average, each direction, not less than-grams	40
Opacity: Average, not less than-percent	82
Thickness: Average-inch	0.0038
Metric equivalent-mm	0.097
A tolerance of +0.0005 inch (0.0127 mm) is allowed. Paper cannot vary more than 0.0004 inch (0.0102 mm) from one edge to the other.	
Porosity: Average, not less than-seconds	10
Finish (smoothness): Average, each side-seconds	20-55
For information only, the Sheffield equivalent-units	170-100
Dirt: Average, each side, not to exceed-parts per million	8

2.1.4 Chemical Transfer Paper

Chemical transfer paper is permitted for Copy A only if the following standards are met.

- Only chemically backed paper is acceptable for Copy A. Front and back chemically treated paper cannot be processed properly by machine.
- Carbon-coated forms are not permitted.
- Chemically transferred images must be black.

All copies must be clearly legible. Fading must be minimized to assure legibility.

2.1.5 Printing

All print on Copy A of Forms 1097-BTC, 1098, 1098-C, 1098-E, 1098-MA, 1098-Q, 1098-T, 1099-A, 1099-B, 1099-C, 1099-DIV, 1099-G, 1099-INT, 1099-K, 1099-MISC, 1099-OID, 1099-PATR, 1099-Q, 1099-R, 1099-S, 3921, 3922, 5498, and the print on Form 1096 above the statement, "Return this entire page to the Internal Revenue Service. Photocopies are not acceptable." must be in Flint J-6983 red OCR dropout ink or an exact match. However, the four-digit form identifying number must be in nonreflective carbon-based black ink in OCR A font.

The shaded areas of any substitute form generally should correspond to the format of the official form.

The printing for the Form 1096 jurat statement and the text that follows may be in any shade or tone of black ink. Black ink should only appear on the lower part of the reverse side of Form 1096, where it will not bleed through and interfere with scanning.

Note. The instructions on the front and back of Form 1096, which include filing addresses, must be printed.

Separation between fields must be 0.1 inch.

Other printing requirements are discussed in *Sections 2.1.5 through 2.1.9*.

**2.1.6
OCR
Specifications**

You must initiate, or have, a quality control program to assure OCR ink density. Readings will be made when printed on approved 20 lb. white OCR bond with a reflectance of not less than 80% (0.80). Black ink must not have a reflectance greater than 15% (0.15). These readings are based on requirements of the “BancTec IntelliScan XDS” Optical Scanner using Flint J-6983 red OCR dropout ink or an exact match.

The following testers and ranges are acceptable:

Important information: The forms produced under these specifications must be guaranteed to function properly when processed through High Speed Scan-Optics 9000 mm scanners. Forms require precision spacing, printing, and trimming.

Density readings on the solid J-6983 (red) must be between the ranges of 0.95 to 0.90. The optimal scanning range is 0.93. Density readings on the solid black must be between the ranges of 112 to 108. The optimal scanning range is 110.

Note. The readings are taken using an Ex-Rite 500 series densitometer, in Status T with Absolute or – paper setting under an Illuminate 5000 Kelvin Watt Light. You must maintain print contrast specification of ink and densitometer reflectivity reading throughout the entire production run.

- *MacBeth PCM-II.* The tested Print Contrast Signal (PCS) values when using the MacBeth PCM-II tester on the “C” scale must range from .01 minimum to .06 maximum.
- *Kidder 082A.* The tested PCS values when using the Kidder 082A tester on the Infra Red (IR) scale must range from .12 minimum to .21 maximum. White calibration disc must be 100%. Sensitivity must be set at one (1).
- Alternative testers must be approved by the IRS to establish tested PCS values. You may obtain approval by writing to the following address:

Commissioner of Internal Revenue
Attn: SE:W:CAR:MP:P:TP
Business Publishing – Tax Products
1111 Constitution Ave., NW, Room 6550
Washington, DC 20224.

2.1.7 Typography

Type must be substantially identical in size and shape to the official form. All rules are either 1/2-point or 3/4-point. Rules must be identical to those on the official IRS form.

Note. The form identifying number must be nonreflective carbon-based black ink in OCR A font.

2.1.8 Dimensions

Generally, three Copies A of Forms 1098, 1099, 3921, and 3922 are contained on a single page (3-to-a-page), 8 inches wide (without any snap-stubs and/or pinfeed holes) by 11 inches deep.

Exceptions. Forms 1097-BTC, 1098, 1098-Q, 1099-B, 1099-DIV, 1099-INT, 1099-K, 1099-MISC, 1099-OID, 1099-R, and 5498 contain two copies on a single page (2-to-a-page). Forms 1098-C and 1042-S are single-page documents.

There is a 0.33 inch top margin from the top of the corrected box, and a 0.2 to 0.25 inch right margin, with a +/- 1/20 (0.05) inch tolerance for the right margin. If the right and top margins are properly aligned, the left margin for all forms will be correct. All margins must be free of print. See *Exhibits A through BB* in Part 6 for correct form measurements.

These measurements are constant for certain Forms 1098, 1099, and 5498. These measurements are shown only once in this publication, on Form 1097-BTC (*Exhibit B*) 2-to-a-page, and on Form 1098-E (*Exhibit E*) 3-to-a-page. Exceptions to these measurements and form-specific measurements are shown on the rest of the exhibits.

The depth of the individual trim size of each 3-to-a-page form must be 3 2/3 inches, the same depth as the official form, unless otherwise indicated.

The depth of the individual trim size of each 2-to-a-page form is 5 1/2 inches.

2.1.9 Perforation

Copy A (3-to-a-page and 2-to-a-page) of privately printed continuous substitute forms must be perforated at each 11 inches page depth. No perforations are allowed between forms on the Copy A page.

Exception. Copy A of Form W-2G may be perforated.

The words “Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page” must be printed in red dropout ink (as required by form specifications) between the 3-to-a-page or 2-to-a-page. This statement should not be included after the last form on the page.

Separations are required between all the other individual copies (Copies B and C, and Copies 1 and 2 of Forms 1099-B, 1099-DIV, 1099-G, 1099-INT, 1099-K, 1099-MISC, 1099-OID, 1099-R, and Copy D for Forms 1099-LTC, 1099-R, and 1042-S) in the set. Any recipient copies printed on a single sheet of paper must be easily separated. The best method of separation is to provide perforations between the individual copies. Each copy should be easily distinguished, whatever method of separation is used.

Note. Perforation does not apply to printouts of copies that are furnished electronically to recipients (as described in Regulations section 31.6051-1(k)). However, these recipients should be cautioned to carefully separate any copies. See *Section 4.6.1*, later, for information on electronically furnishing statements to recipients.

**2.1.10
Required Inclusions/
Exclusions**

You must include the OMB Number on Copies A and Form 1096 in the same location as on the official form.

The following Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice phrases must be printed on Copy A of the forms as follows. It also must be printed on the Copy C, D, or E of the form retained by the filer.

- “For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the current version of the General Instructions for Certain Information Returns” on Forms 3921 and 3922.
- **“For more information and the Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns”** on Form 1096.
- **“For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see instructions”** on Form 1042-S.
- “For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the **2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns**” must be printed on all other forms listed in *Section 1.1.2*.

A postal indicia may be used if it meets the following criteria.

- It is printed in the OCR ink color prescribed for the form.
- No part of the indicia is within one print position of the scannable area.

The printer’s symbol (GPO) must not be printed on substitute Copy A. Instead, the employer identification number (EIN) or the vendor code of the form’s printer must be entered in place of the Catalog Number (Cat. No.). The 4-digit vendor code, preceded by four zeros and a slash, for example, 0000/9876, must appear in 12-point Arial font, or a close approximation, on Copy A only of Forms 1096, 1098-BTC, 1098, 1099, 3921, 3922, 5498, and W-2G. The vendor code is used to identify the forms producer. Vendor codes can be obtained free of charge from the National Association of Computerized Tax Processors (NACTP) via email at president@nactp.org. The use of a vendor code is recommended.

Note. Vendor codes from the NACTP are required by those companies producing the 1099 family of forms (Forms 1096, 1097-BTC, 1098, 1099, 3921, 3922, 5498, and W-2G) as part of a product for resale to be used by multiple issuers. Issuers developing 1099 family forms to be used only for their individual company do not require a vendor code.

The Cat. No. shown on the forms is used for IRS distribution purposes and should not be printed on any substitute forms.

The form must not contain the statement “IRS approved” or any similar statement.

Section 2.2 – Instructions for Preparing Paper Forms That Will Be Filed With the IRS

**2.2.1
Recipient
Information**

The form recipient’s name, street address, city, state, ZIP code, and telephone number (if required) should be typed or machine printed in black ink in the same format as shown on the official IRS form. The city, state, and ZIP code must be on the same line.

The following rules apply to the form recipient’s name(s).

- The name of the appropriate form recipient must be shown on the first or second name line in the area provided for the form recipient’s name.

- No descriptive information or other name may precede the form recipient's name.
- Only one form recipient's name may appear on the first name line of the form.
- If multiple recipients' names are required on the form, enter on the first name line the recipient name that corresponds to the recipient taxpayer identification number (TIN) shown on the form. Place the other form recipients' names on the second name line (only 2 name lines are allowable).

Because certain states require that trust accounts be provided in a different format, filers generally should provide information returns reflecting payments to trust accounts with the:

- Trust's employer identification number (EIN) in the recipient's TIN area,
- Trust's name on the recipient's first name line, and
- Name of the trustee on the recipient's second name line.

Although handwritten forms will be accepted, the IRS prefers that filers type or machine print data entries. Also, filers should insert data as directed by shading, or in the middle of blocks, well separated from other printing and guidelines, and take measures to guarantee clear, dark black, sharp images. Photocopies are not acceptable.

Truncating payee identification number on payee statements. Where permitted, filers may truncate a payee's identification number (social security number (SSN), individual taxpayer identification number (ITIN), adoption taxpayer identification number (ATIN), or employer identification number (EIN)) on the payee statement (including substitute and composite substitute statements) furnished to the payee in paper form or electronically. Generally, the payee statement is that copy of an information return designated "Copy B" on the form. To truncate where allowed, replace the first 5 digits of the 9-digit number with asterisks (*) or Xs (for example, an SSN xxx-xx-xxxx would appear on the paper payee statement as ***-**-xxxx or XXX-XX-xxxx). See Treasury Decision 9675, 2014-31 I.R.B. 242, available at [IRS.gov/irb/2014-31_IRB#TD-9675](https://www.irs.gov/irb/2014-31_IRB#TD-9675).

Caution. Recipient TINs must **not** be truncated on Copy A filed with the IRS.

2.2.2 Account Number Box

Use the account number box on all Forms 1098, 1099, 3921, 3922, 5498, and W-2G for an account number designation when required by the official IRS form. The account number is required if you have multiple accounts for a recipient for whom you are filing more than one information return of the same type. Additionally, the IRS encourages you to include the recipients' account numbers on paper forms if your system of records uses the account number rather than the name or TIN for identification purposes. Also, the IRS will include the account number in future notices to you about backup withholding. If you are using window envelopes to mail statements to recipients and using reduced rate mail, be sure the account number does not appear in the window. The Postal Service may not accept these for reduced rate mail.

Exception. Form 1098-T can have third-party provider information.

2.2.3 Specifications and Restrictions

- Machine-printed forms should be printed using a 6 lines/inch option, and should be printed in 10 pitch pica (10 print positions per inch) or 12 pitch elite (12 print positions per inch). Proportional spaced fonts are unacceptable.

- Substitute forms prepared in continuous or strip form must be burst and stripped to conform to the size specified for a single sheet before they are filed with the IRS. The size specified does not include pin feed holes. Pin feed holes must not be present on forms filed with the IRS.
- Do **not** use a felt tip marker. The machine used to “read” paper forms generally cannot read this ink type.
- Do **not** use dollar signs (\$), ampersands (&), asterisks (*), commas (,), or other special characters in the numbered money boxes. **Exception.**

Use decimal points to indicate dollars and cents (for example, 2000.00 is acceptable).

- Do **not** use apostrophes (’), asterisks (*), or other special characters on the payee name line.
- Do **not** fold Forms 1097-BTC, 1098, 1099, 3921, 3922, or 5498 mailed to the IRS. Mail these forms flat in an appropriately sized envelope or box. Folded documents cannot be readily moved through the machine used in IRS processing.
- Do **not** staple Forms 1096 to the transmitted returns. Any staple holes near the return code number may impair the IRS’s ability to machine scan the type of documents.
- Do **not** type other information on Copy A.
- Do **not** cut or separate the individual forms on the sheet of forms of Copy A (except Forms W-2G).

2.2.4 Where To File

Mail completed paper forms to the IRS service center shown in the Instructions for Form 1096 and in the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns. Specific information needed to complete the forms mentioned in this revenue procedure are given in the specific form instructions. A chart showing which form must be filed to report a particular payment is included in the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.

Part 3 Specifications for Substitute Form W-2G (Filed With the IRS)

Section 3.1 – General

3.1.1 Purpose

The following specifications give the format requirements for substitute Form W-2G (Copy A only), which is filed with the IRS.

A filer may use a substitute Form W-2G to file with the IRS (referred to as “substitute Copy A”). The substitute form must be an exact replica of the official form with respect to layout and content.

Section 3.2 – Specifications for Copy A of Form W-2G

**3.2.1
Substitute Form
W-2G (Copy A)**

You must follow these specifications when printing substitute Copy A of the Form W-2G.

Caution. The payee’s TIN (SSN, ITIN, ATIN, or EIN) must **not** be truncated on Copy A of Form W-2G.

Item	Substitute Form W-2G (Copy A)
Paper Color and Quality	Paper for Copy A must be white chemical wood bond, or equivalent, 20 pound (basis 17 x 22-500), plus or minus 5% (0.05). The paper must consist substantially of bleached chemical wood pulp. It must be free from unbleached or ground wood pulp or post-consumer recycled paper. It also must be suitably sized to accept ink without feathering.
Ink Color and Quality	All printing must be in a high quality non gloss black ink.
Typography	The type must be substantially identical in size and shape to the official form. All rules on the document are either 1/2 point (0.007 inch), 1 point (0.015 inch), or 3 point (0.045). Vertical rules must be parallel to the left edge of the document, horizontal rules to the top edge.
Dimensions	The official form is 8 inches wide x 5 1/2 inches deep, exclusive of a snap stub. Any substitute Copy A can be between 8 inches and 8 1/2 inches wide by 5 inches deep. The snap feature is not required on substitutes. All margins must be free of print. There is a 0.33 inch top margin from the top of the corrected box, and a 1/2 inch left margin. If the top and left margins are properly aligned, the right margin for all forms will be correct. If the substitute forms are in continuous or strip form, they must be burst and stripped to conform to the size specified for a single form.
Hot Wax and Cold Carbon Spots	Hot wax and cold carbon spots are not permitted on any of the internal form plies. These spots are permitted on the back of a mailer top envelope ply.
Printer’s Symbol	The Government Printing Office (GPO) symbol must not be printed on substitute Forms W-2G. Instead, the employer identification number (EIN) of the forms printer must be printed in the bottom margin on the face of each individual Copy A on a sheet. The form must not contain the statement “IRS approved” or any similar statement.
Catalog Number	The Catalog Number (Cat. No.) shown on Form W-2G is used for IRS distribution purposes and should not be printed on any substitute forms.

Part 4

Substitute Statements to Form Recipients and Form Recipient Copies

Section 4.1 – Specifications

**4.1.1
Introduction**

If you do not use the official IRS form to furnish statements to recipients, you must furnish an acceptable substitute statement. Information presented in substitute statements should be in a point size large enough to be easily read by recipients. To be acceptable, your substitute statement must comply with the rules in this Part. If you are furnishing a substitute form, see Regulations sections 1.6042-4, 1.6044-5, 1.6049-6, and 1.6050N-1 to determine how the following statements must be provided to recipients for most Forms 1099-DIV and 1099-INT, all Forms 1099-OID and 1099-PATR, and Form 1099-MISC, or 1099-S for royalties. Generally, information returns may be furnished electronically with the consent of the recipient. See *Section 4.6.1*.

Note. A trustee of a grantor-type trust may choose to file Forms 1099 and furnish a statement to the grantor under Regulations sections 1.671-4(b)(2)(iii) and (b)(3)(ii). The statement required by those regulations is not subject to the requirements outlined in this section.

**4.1.2
Substitute
Statements to
Recipients for
Certain Forms 1099-
B, 1099-DIV, 1099-
INT, 1099-OID, and
1099-PATR**

The rules in this section apply to Form 1099-B, 1099-DIV (except for section 404(k) dividends), 1099-INT (except for interest reportable under section 6041), 1099-OID, and 1099-PATR only. You may furnish form recipients with Copy B of the official Form 1099 or a substitute Form 1099 (recipient statement) if it contains the same information as the official IRS form (such as aggregate amounts paid to the form recipient, any backup withholding, the name, address, and TIN of the person making the return, and any other information required by the official form). Information not required by the official form should not be included on the substitute form except for state income tax withholding information. But see section 4.3 regarding additional information that may be included on substitute and composite Forms 1099-B, such as basis for noncovered securities.

Note. Many of the information returns now include boxes for providing state withholding information as part of the official form, with additional copies for convenience. Payers may, however, provide the state withholding information separately (such as on a separate page or section) in order to assist the payee with completing a state income tax return that requires the attachment of any information return that includes state withholding amounts and payer numbers.

Exception for supplementary information. The substitute form may include supplementary information that will assist the payee with completing his or her tax return. Such information could include expense and cost basis factors related to the reporting for widely held fixed investment trusts (WHFITs), as required under Regulations section 1.671-5. The substitute statement should disclose to the payee that such supplementary information is not furnished to the IRS. See *Section 4.3* for additional requirements when providing supplemental information with the Form 1099-B that is not furnished to the IRS.

Form 1099-B. For transactions reportable on Form 8949, brokers that use substitute statements should segregate dispositions of noncovered securities from covered securities, and further segregate long-term and short-term dispositions of covered securities. They also may segregate long-term from short-term dispositions of noncovered securities, to the extent that date acquired is known. For 2019 dispositions, the substitute Forms 1099-B may have up to five separate sections, each with a heading identifying which securities are included in the list, and each separately totaled. Each section, after totaling or within the heading for the section, should indicate how to report the transactions on Form 8949, as indicated.

1. Short-term transactions for which basis **is** reported to the IRS – Report on Form 8949, **Part I**, with **Box A** checked.
2. Short-term transactions for which basis **is not** reported to the IRS – Report on Form 8949, **Part I**, with **Box B** checked.
3. Long-term transactions for which basis **is** reported to the IRS – Report on Form 8949, **Part II**, with **Box D** checked.
4. Long-term transactions for which basis **is not** reported to the IRS – Report on Form 8949, **Part II**, with **Box E** checked.
5. Transactions for which basis **is not** reported to the IRS and for which short-term or long-term determination is unknown (to Broker). You must determine short-term or long-term based on your records and report on Form 8949, **Part I**, with **Box B** checked, or on Form 8949, **Part II**, with **Box E** checked, as appropriate.

For each section, each transaction may include information not reported to the IRS, such as basis, date acquired, and gain or loss. Therefore, for short-term dispositions where basis was not reported to the IRS, basis and date acquired may be shown just as it would be shown for short-term dispositions where basis was reported to the IRS.

For 2019 dispositions, each of the applicable sections must have Sales Price and Cost or Other Basis (if known) separately totaled. Net gain or loss, if included for any of the sections, also may be totaled.

Brokers also may use substitute Form 1099-B for transactions that are not directly reported on Form 8949. Examples include transactions involving regulated futures contracts, foreign currency contracts, and section 1256 option contracts. Any additional sections created for this purpose should be segregated from those transactions directly reportable on Form 8949.

The substitute form requirements in the following paragraphs also apply to Form 1099-B.

Forms 1099-INT, DIV, OID, and PATR. A substitute recipient statement for Form 1099-INT, 1099-DIV, 1099-OID, or 1099-PATR must comply with the following requirements.

- Box captions and numbers that are applicable must be clearly identified, using the same wording and numbering as on the official form.
- The recipient statement (Copy B) must contain all applicable recipient instructions as provided on the front and back of the official IRS form. You may provide those instructions on a separate sheet of paper.
- The box caption “**Federal income tax withheld**” must be in boldface type or otherwise highlighted on the recipient statement.
- The recipient statement must contain the Office of Management and Budget (OMB) number as shown on the official IRS form. See Section 5.2.
- The recipient statement must contain the tax year (for example, 2019), form number (for example, Form 1099-INT), and form name (for example, Interest Income) of the official IRS Form 1099. This information must be displayed prominently together in one area of the statement. For example, the tax year, form number, and form name could be shown in the upper right part of the statement. Each copy must be appropriately labeled (such as Copy B, For Recipient). See *Section 4.5.2* for applicable labels and arrangement of assembly of forms. **Note.** Do not include the words “Substitute for” or “In lieu of” on the recipient statement.
- Layout and format of the statement is at the discretion of the filer. However, the IRS encourages the use of boxes so that the statement has the appearance of a form and can be easily distinguished from other non-tax statements.
- Each recipient statement of Forms 1099-B, 1099-DIV, 1099-INT, 1099-OID, and 1099-PATR must include the direct access telephone number of an individual who can answer questions about the statement. Include that telephone number conspicuously anywhere on the recipient statement.

A mutual fund family may furnish one statement (for example, one piece of paper) on which it reports the dividend income earned by a recipient from multiple funds within the family of mutual funds, as required by Form 1099-DIV. However, each fund and its earnings must be stated separately. The statement must contain an instruction to the recipient that each fund’s dividends and name, not the name of the mutual fund family, must be reported on the recipient’s tax return. The statement cannot contain an aggregate total of all funds. In addition, a mutual fund family may furnish a single statement (as a single filer) for Forms 1099-INT, 1099-DIV, and 1099-OID information (see *Section 4.2.1*, later). Each fund and its earnings must be stated separately. The

statement must contain an instruction to the recipient that each fund's earnings and name, not the name of the mutual fund family, must be reported on the recipient's tax return. The statement cannot contain an aggregate total of all funds.

You may enter a total of the individual accounts listed on the statement only if they have been paid by the same payer. For example, if you are listing interest paid on several accounts by one financial institution on Form 1099-INT, you also may enter the total interest amount. You also may enter a date next to the corrected box if that box is checked.

4.1.3 Substitute Statements to Recipients for Certain Forms 1098, 1099, 5498, and W-2G

Statements to form recipients for Forms 1097-BTC, 1098, 1098-C, 1098-E, 1098-F, 1098-MA, 1098-Q, 1098-T, 1099-A, 1099-C, 1099-CAP, 1099-G, 1099-K, 1099-LS, 1099-LTC, 1099-MISC, 1099-Q, 1099-QA, 1099-R, 1099-S, 1099-SA, 1099-SB, 3921, 3922, 5498, 5498-ESA, 5498-QA, 5498-SA, W-2G, 1099-DIV (only for section 404(k) dividends reportable under section 6047), and 1099-INT (only for interest of \$600 or more made in the course of a trade or business reportable under section 6041) can be copies of the official forms or an acceptable substitute.

Caution. The IRS does not require a donee to use Form 1098-C as the written acknowledgment for contributions of motor vehicles, boats, and airplanes. However, if you choose to use copies of Form 1098-C or an acceptable substitute as the written acknowledgment, then you must follow the requirements of this section.

To be acceptable, a substitute recipient statement must meet the following requirements.

- The tax year, form number, and form name must be the same as the official form and must be displayed prominently together in one area on the statement. For example, they may be shown in the upper right part of the statement.
- The statement must contain the same information as the official IRS form, such as aggregate amounts paid to the form recipient, any backup withholding, the name, address, and TIN of the filer and of the recipient, and any other information required by the official form.
- Each substitute recipient statement for Forms W-2G, 1097-BTC, 1098, 1098-C, 1098-E, 1098-F, 1098-T, 1099-A, 1099-C, 1099-CAP, 1099-DIV, 1099-G (excluding state and local income tax refunds), 1099-K, 1099-INT, 1099-LS, 1099-LTC, 1099-MISC (excluding fishing boat proceeds), 1099-Q, 1099-R (for qualified long-term care insurance contracts under combined arrangements only), 1099-S, 1099-SA, 1099-SB, and 5498-SA must include the direct access telephone number of an individual who can answer questions about the statement.
- Include the telephone number conspicuously anywhere on the recipient statement. Although not required, payers reporting on Forms 1099-QA, 1099-R (payments other than qualified long-term care insurance contracts under combined arrangements), 3921, 3922, 5498, 5498-ESA, and 5498-QA are encouraged to furnish telephone numbers at which recipients of the form(s) can reach a person familiar with the information reported.
- All applicable money amounts and information, including box numbers required to be reported to the form recipient, must be titled on the recipient statement in substantially the same manner as those on the official IRS form. The box caption "**Federal income tax withheld**" must be in boldface type on the recipient statement.

Exception. If you are reporting a payment as "Other income" in box 3 of Form 1099-MISC, you may substitute appropriate language for the box title. For example, for payments of accrued wages and leave to a beneficiary of a deceased employee, you might change the title of box 3 to "Beneficiary payments" or something similar.

Note. You cannot make this change on Copy A.

- If federal income tax is withheld and shown on Form 1099-R or W-2G, Copy B and Copy C must be furnished to the recipient. If federal income tax is not withheld, only Copy C of Forms 1099-R and W-2G must be furnished. However, for Form 1099-R, instructions similar to those on the back of the official Copy B and Copy C of Form 1099-R must be furnished to the recipient. For convenience, you may choose to provide both Copies B and C of Form 1099-R to the recipient.
- You must provide appropriate instructions to the form recipient similar to those on the official IRS form, to aid in the proper reporting on the form recipient's income tax return. For payments reported on Forms 1099-B and 1099-CAP, the requirement to include instructions substantially similar to those on the official IRS form, may be satisfied by providing form recipients with a single set of instructions for all Forms 1099-B and 1099-CAP statements required to be furnished in a calendar year.
- If you use carbonless sets to produce recipient statements, the quality of each copy in the set must meet the following standards.
 1. All copies must be clearly legible.
 2. All copies must be able to be photocopied.
 3. Fading must not diminish legibility and the ability to photocopy.
- In general, black chemical transfer inks are preferred, but other colors are permitted if the above standards are met. Hot wax and cold carbon spots are not permitted on any of the internal form plies. The back of a mailer top envelope ply may contain these spots.
- You may use a Settlement Statement (under the Real Estate Settlement Procedures Act of 1974 (RESPA)) for Form 1099-S. The Settlement Statement is acceptable as the written statement to the transferrer if you include the legend for Form 1099-S found in *Section 4.4.2* and indicate which information on the Settlement Statement is being reported to the IRS on Form 1099-S.
- For reporting state income tax withholding and state payments, you may add an additional box(es) to recipient copies as appropriate. In addition, the state withholding information may be provided separately and apart from the other information in the event the recipient must attach a copy to the recipient's tax return. **Note.** You cannot make this change on Copy A.
- On Copy C of Form 1099-LTC, you may reverse the location of the policyholder's and the insured's name, street address, city, state, and ZIP code for easier mailing.
- If an institution insurer uses a third-party service provider to file Form 1098-T, then in addition to the institution or insurer's name, address, and telephone number, the same information may be included for the third-party service provider in the space provided on the form.
- Forms 1099-A and 1099-C transactions, if related, may be combined on Form 1099-C.

**4.1.4
Online Fillable
Copies B, C, D, 1,
and 2**

Copies B, C, D, 1, and 2 as applicable, to be furnished to recipients and kept in filer's records, have been made online fillable at [IRS.gov/forms-instructions](https://www.irs.gov/forms-instructions) for many forms referenced in these instructions. See the separate instructions for Forms 1098, 1098-E & T, 1098-F, 1098-Q, 1099-A & C, 1099-B, 1099-DIV, 1099-G, 1099-INT & OID, 1099-K, 1099-LS, 1099-MISC, 1099-PATR, 1099-R & 5498, 1099-S, 1099-SB, and 3921.

Section 4.2 – Composite Statements

4.2.1 Composite Substitute Statements for Certain Forms 1099- B, 1099-DIV, 1099- INT, 1099-MISC, 1099-OID, 1099- PATR, and 1099-S

A composite recipient statement is permitted for reportable payments consisting of the proceeds of brokerage and barter transactions, dividends, interest, original issue discount, patronage dividends, and royalties. The following forms may be included on a composite substitute statement, when one payer is reporting more than one of these payments during a calendar year to the same form recipient.

- Form 1099-B.
- Form 1099-DIV (except for section 404(k) dividends).
- Form 1099-INT (except for interest reportable under section 6041).
- Form 1099-MISC (only for royalties or substitute payments in lieu of dividends and interest).
- Form 1099-OID.
- Form 1099-PATR.
- Form 1099-S (only for royalties).

Generally, do not include any other Form 1099 information (for example, 1099-A or 1099-C) on a composite statement with the information required on the forms listed in the preceding sentence.

Although the composite recipient statement may be on one sheet, the format of the composite recipient statement must satisfy the following requirements in addition to the requirements listed earlier in *Section 4.1.2, 4.3, and 4.4*, as applicable.

- All information pertaining to a particular type of payment must be located and blocked together on the form and separate from any information covering other types of payments included on the form. For example, if you are reporting interest and dividends, the Form 1099-INT information must be presented separately from the Form 1099-DIV information.
 - The composite recipient statement must prominently display the form number and form name of the official IRS form together in one area at the beginning of each appropriate block of information. The tax year must only be placed on each block of information if it is not prominently displayed elsewhere on the page on which the information appears.
 - Any information required by the official IRS forms that would otherwise be repeated in each information block is required to be listed only once in the first information block on the composite form. For example, there is no requirement to report the name of the filer in each information block. This rule does not apply to any money amounts (for example, federal income tax withheld) or to any other information that applies to money amounts.
 - A composite statement is an acceptable substitute only if the type of payment, and the recipient's tax obligation with respect to the payment are as clear as if each required statement were furnished separately on an official form.
-

**4.2.2
Composite
Substitute
Statements to
Recipients for
Forms Specified in
Sections 4.1.2 and
4.1.3**

A composite recipient statement for the forms specified in *Section 4.1.2* or *4.1.3* is permitted when one filer is reporting more than one type of payment during a calendar year to the same form recipient. A composite statement is **not** allowed for a combination of forms listed in *Sections 4.1.2* and *4.1.3*.

Exceptions:

- Substitute payments in lieu of dividends or interest reported in Box 8 of Form 1099-MISC may be reported on a composite substitute statement with Form 1099-DIV.
- Form 1099-B information may be reported on a composite form with the forms specified in *Section 4.1.2* as described in *Section 4.2.1*.
- Royalties reported on Form 1099-MISC or 1099-S may be reported on a composite form only with the forms specified in *Section 4.1.2*.

Although the composite recipient statement may be on one sheet, the format of the composite recipient statement must satisfy the requirements listed in *Section 4.2.1* as well as the requirements in *Section 4.1.3*. A composite statement of Forms 1098 and 1099-INT (for interest reportable under section 6049) is not allowed.

Section 4.3 – Additional Information for Substitute and Composite Forms 1099-B

**4.3.1
General
Requirements
for Presenting
Additional 1099-B
Information**

A filer may include Form 1099-B information on a composite form with the forms listed in *Section 4.1.2*. Therefore, supporting, explanatory, or comparable relevant information for covered and noncovered lots on the 1099-B portion of the composite statement can be included. This information includes display on the payee statement of data elements such as basis for noncovered lots, explanatory remarks on permissible basis adjustments for covered lots, descriptions of the type of transaction (merger, buy to close, redemption, etc.), identification of contingent payment debt obligations, and lot relief methods.

If you wish to provide additional information to the investor on the same substitute recipient Form 1099-B, the form must follow the rules set forth in this *Section 4.3* and should clearly delineate how the information is presented. Any information presented should make reference to its corresponding number on the official form as appropriate. You should clearly categorize each type of information you are reporting.

**4.3.2
Added Legend
for Providing
Additional 1099-B
Information**

An additional separate legend is required that explains exactly which pieces of information are and which are not reported to the IRS, to the extent, if any, the information is not already identified as not being reported to the IRS as described in *Section 4.1.2*. It should clearly explain how the information is presented. You may present this legend in a way that is consistent with your design as long as it clearly indicates which information is being provided to the IRS. Additionally, a reminder to taxpayers that they are ultimately responsible for the accuracy of their tax returns also is required.

Section 4.4 – Required Legends

4.4.1 Required Legends for Forms 1098

Form 1098 recipient statements (Copy B) must contain the following legends:

- Form 1098
 1. “The information in boxes 1 through 10 is important tax information and is being furnished to the IRS. If you are required to file a return, a negligence penalty or other sanction may be imposed on you if the IRS determines that an underpayment of tax results because you overstated a deduction for the mortgage interest or for these points, reported in boxes 1 and 6; or because you did not report the refund of interest (box 4); or because you claimed a non-deductible item.”
 2. **Caution.** “The amount shown may not be fully deductible by you. Limits based on the loan amount and the cost and value of the secured property may apply. Also, you may only deduct interest to the extent it was incurred by you, actually paid by you, and not reimbursed by another person.”
 - Form 1098-C: Copy B - “In order to take a deduction of more than \$500 for this contribution, you must attach this copy to your federal tax return. **Unless box 5a or 5b is checked, your deduction cannot exceed the amount in box 4c.**” Copy C - “This information is being furnished to the IRS unless box 7 is checked.”
 - Form 1098-E: “This is important tax information and is being furnished to the IRS. If you are required to file a return, a negligence penalty or other sanction may be imposed on you if the IRS determines that an underpayment of tax results because you overstated a deduction for student loan interest.”
 - Forms 1098-F and 1098-MA: “This is important tax information and is being furnished to the IRS.”
 - Form 1098-Q: “This information is being furnished to the IRS.”
 - Form 1098-T: “This is important tax information and is being furnished to the IRS. This form must be used to complete Form 8863 to claim education credits. Give it to the tax preparer or use it to prepare the tax return.”
-

4.4.2 Required Legends for Forms 1099 and W-2G

- Forms 1099-A, 1099-C, 1099-CAP, and 1099-K: Copy B - “This is important tax information and is being furnished to the IRS. If you are required to file a return, a negligence penalty or other sanction may be imposed on you if taxable income results from this transaction and the IRS determines that it has not been reported.”
- Forms 1099-B, 1099-DIV, 1099-G, 1099-INT, 1099-MISC, 1099-OID, 1099-PATR, 1099-Q, and 1099-QA: Copy B - “This is important tax information and is being furnished to the IRS. If you are required to file a return, a negligence penalty or other sanction may be imposed on you if this income is taxable and the IRS determines that it has not been reported.”
- Form 1099-LTC: Copy B - “This is important tax information and is being furnished to the IRS. If you are required to file a return, a negligence penalty or other sanction may be imposed on you if this item is required to be reported and the IRS determines that it has not been reported.” Copy C - “Copy C is provided to you for information only. Only the policyholder is required to report this information on a tax return.”
- Form 1099-R: **Copy B - “Report this income on your federal tax return. If this form shows federal income tax withheld in box 4, attach this copy to your return.”** Copy C - “This information is being furnished to the IRS.”

- Forms 1099-LS, 1099-S, and 1099-SB: Copy B - “This is important tax information and is being furnished to the IRS. If you are required to file a return, a negligence penalty or other sanction may be imposed on you if this item is required to be reported and the IRS determines that it has not been reported.”
 - Form 1099-SA: Copy B - “This information is being furnished to the IRS.”
 - Form W-2G: **Copy B** - “This information is being furnished to the IRS. **Report this income on your federal tax return. If this form shows federal income tax withheld in box 4, attach this copy to your return.**” Copy C - “This is important tax information and is being furnished to the IRS. If you are required to file a return, a negligence penalty or other sanction may be imposed on you if this income is taxable and the IRS determines that it has not been reported.”
-

4.4.3 Required Legends for Forms 1097- BTC, 3921, 3922, and 5498

- Form 1097-BTC: Copy B - “This is important tax information and is being furnished to the IRS. If you are required to file a return, a negligence penalty or other sanction may be imposed on you if an amount of tax credit exceeding the amount reported on this form is claimed on your income tax return.”
 - Form 3921: Copy B - “This is important tax information and is being furnished to the IRS. If you are required to file a return, a negligence penalty or other sanction may be imposed on you if this item is required to be reported and the IRS determines that it has not been reported.” Copy C - “This copy should be retained by the corporation whose stock has been transferred under Section 422(b).”
 - Form 3922: Copy B - “This is important tax information and is being furnished to the IRS.” Copy C - “This copy should be retained by the corporation.”
 - Form 5498: Copy B - “This information is being furnished to the IRS.” **Note.** If you do not provide another statement to the participant because no contributions were made for the year, the statement of the fair market value, and any required minimum distribution of the account, must contain this legend and a designation of which information is being provided to the IRS.
 - Forms 5498-ESA, 5498-QA, and 5498-SA: Copy B - “This information is being furnished to the IRS.”
-

Section 4.5 – Miscellaneous Instructions for Copies B, C, D, E, 1, and 2

4.5.1 Copies

Copies B, C, and in some cases D, E, 1, and 2 are included in the official assembly for the convenience of the filer. You are not legally required to include all these copies with the privately printed substitute forms. Furnishing Copy B, and in some cases Copy C, will satisfy the legal requirement to provide statements of information to form recipients.

Note. If an amount of federal income tax withheld is shown on Form 1099-R or W-2G, Copy B (to be attached to the tax return) and Copy C must be furnished to the recipient. Copy D (Forms 1099-R and W-2G) may be used for payer records. Only Copy A should be filed with the IRS.

**4.5.2
Arrangement of
Assembly**

Copy A (“For Internal Revenue Service Center”) of all forms must be on top. The rest of the assembly must be arranged, from top to bottom, as follows.

Form	Title
1098	Copy B “For Payer/Borrower”; Copy C “For Recipient/Lender.”
1098-C	Copy B “For Donor”; Copy C “For Donor’s Records”; Copy D “For Donee.”
1098-E	Copy B “For Borrower”; Copy C “For Recipient.”
1098-F	Copy B “For Payer”; Copy C “For Filer.”
1098-MA	Copy B “For Homeowner”; Copy C “For Filer.”
1098-Q	Copy B “For Participant”; Copy C “For Issuer”
1098-T	Copy B “For Student”; Copy C “For Filer.”
1099-A	Copy B “For Borrower”; Copy C “For Lender.”
1097-BTC, 1099-PATR, 1099- Q, and 1099-QA	Copy B “For Recipient”; Copy C “For Payer.”
1099-C	Copy B “For Debtor”; Copy C “For Creditor.”

Form	Title
1099-CAP	Copy B “For Shareholder”; Copy C “For Corporation.”
1099-B, 1099-DIV, 1099-G, 1099-INT, 1099-MISC, and 1099-OID	Copy 1 “For State Tax Department”; Copy B “For Recipient”; Copy 2 “To be filed with recipient’s state income tax return, when required”; and Copy C “For Payer.”
1099-K	Copy 1 “For State Tax Department”; Copy B “For Payee”; Copy 2 “To be filed with the recipient’s state income tax return, when required”; Copy C “For Filer.”
1099-LS	Copy B “For Payment/Recipient”; Copy C “For Issuer.”
1099-LTC	Copy B “For Policyholder”; Copy C “For Insured”; and Copy D “For Payer.”
1099-R	Copy 1 “For State, City, or Local Tax Department”; Copy B “Report this income on your federal tax return. If this form shows federal income tax withheld in box 4, attach this copy to your return”; Copy C “For Recipient’s Records”; Copy 2 “File this copy with your state, city, or local income tax return, when required”; Copy D “For Payer.”
1099-S	Copy B “For Transferor”; Copy C “For Filer.”
1099-SA	Copy B “For Recipient”; Copy C “For Trustee/Payer.”
1099-SB	Copy B “For Seller”; Copy C “For Issuer.”
3921	Copy B “For Employee”; Copy C “For Corporation”; Copy D “For Transferor.”
3922	Copy B “For Employee”; Copy C “For Corporation.”
5498	Copy B “For Participant”; Copy C “For Trustee or Issuer.”
5498-ESA	Copy B “For Beneficiary”; Copy C “For Trustee.”
5498-QA	Copy B “For Beneficiary”; Copy C “For Issuer.”
5498-SA	Copy B “For Participant”; Copy C “For Trustee.”

Form	Title
W-2G	Copy 1 “For State, City, or Local Tax Department”; Copy B “Report this income on your federal tax return. If this form shows federal income tax withheld in box 2, attach this copy to your return”; Copy C “For Winner’s Records”; Copy 2 “Attach this copy to your state, city, or local income tax return, if required”; Copy D “For Payer.”
1042-S	Copy B “For Recipient”; Copy C “For Recipient” and “Attach to any federal tax return you file”; Copy D “For Recipient” and “Attach to any state tax return you file”; Copy E “For Withholding Agent.”

**4.5.3
Perforations**

Instructions for perforation of forms can be found in *Section 2.1.8*, earlier.

Section 4.6 – Electronic Delivery of Recipient Statements

**4.6.1
Electronic Recipient
Statements**

If you are required to furnish a written statement (Copy B or an acceptable substitute) to a recipient, then you may furnish the statement electronically instead of on paper. This includes furnishing the statement to recipients of Forms 1098, 1098-E, 1098-F, 1098-MA, 1098-Q, 1098-T, 1099-A, 1099-B, 1099-C, 1099-CAP, 1099-DIV, 1099-G, 1099-INT, 1099-K, 1099-LS, 1099-LTC, 1099-MISC, 1099-OID, 1099-PATR, 1099-Q, 1099-QA, 1099-R, 1099-S, 1099-SA, 1099-SB, 1042-S, 3921, 3922, 5498, 5498-ESA, 5498-QA, and 5498-SA. It also includes Form W-2G (except for horse and dog racing, jai alai, sweepstakes, wagering pools, and lotteries).

Note. Until further guidance is issued, you cannot furnish Form 1098-C electronically. Perforation (see *Section 2.1.9*, earlier) does not apply to printouts of copies of forms that are furnished electronically to recipients. However, recipients should be cautioned to carefully separate the copies.

If you meet the requirements listed in *Sections 4.6.2* and *4.6.3*, you are treated as furnishing the statement timely.

**4.6.2
Consent**

The recipient must consent in the affirmative to receiving the statement electronically and not have withdrawn the consent before the statement is furnished. The consent by the recipient must be made electronically in a way that shows that he or she can access the statement in the electronic format in which it will be furnished. You must notify the recipient of any hardware or software changes prior to furnishing the statement. A new consent to receive the statement electronically is required after the new hardware or software is put into service. Prior to furnishing the statements electronically, you must provide the recipient a statement with the following statements prominently displayed.

- If the recipient does not consent to receive the statement electronically, a paper copy will be provided.
- The scope and duration of the consent. For example, whether the consent applies to every year the statement is furnished or only for the January 31 (February 15 for Forms 1099-B, 1099-S, and 1099-MISC with payments reported in box 8 or 14) immediately following the date of the consent.

- How to obtain a paper copy after giving consent.
- How to withdraw the consent. The consent may be withdrawn at any time by furnishing the withdrawal in writing (electronically or on paper) to the person whose name appears on the statement. Confirmation of the withdrawal also will be in writing (electronically or on paper).
- Notice of termination. The notice must state under what conditions the statements will no longer be furnished to the recipient.
- Procedures to update the recipient's information.
- A description of the hardware and software required to access, print, and retain a statement, and a date the statement will no longer be available on the website.

4.6.3 Format, Posting, and Notification

Additionally, you must:

- Ensure the electronic format contains all the required information and complies with the guidelines in this document;
- Post, on or before the January 31 (February 15 for Forms 1099-B, 1099-S, and 1099-MISC with payments reported in box 8 or 14) due date, the applicable statement on a website accessible to the recipient through October 15 of that year; and
- Inform the recipient, electronically or by mail, of the posting and how to access and print the statement.

For more information, see Regulations section 31.6051-1.

For electronic furnishing of:

- Forms 1098-E and 1098-T, see Regulations sections 1.6050S-2 and 1.6050S-4;
- Form 1099-K, see Regulations section 1.6050W-2;
- Forms 1099-QA and 5498-QA, see Proposed Regulations section 1.529A-7 (taxpayers may rely on the provisions of the proposed regulations);
- Forms 1099-R, 1099-SA, 1099-Q, 5498, 5498-ESA, and 5498-SA, see Notice 2004-10, 2004-1 C.B. 433; and
- Form 1042-S, see Regulations section 1.1461-1(c)(1)(i).

Part 5

Additional Instructions for Substitute Forms 1098, 1097-BTC, 1099, 5498, W-2G, and 1042-S

Section 5.1 – Paper Substitutes for Form 1042-S

5.1.1 Paper Substitutes

Paper substitutes of Copies A, B, C, and D **must** be identical to the Form 1042-S, Foreign Person's U.S. Source Income Subject to Withholding, and may be privately printed without prior approval from the Internal Revenue Service.

Caution. On the bottom of Copy B, left align the following text: (keep for your records) and right align the following text: Form 1042-S (2019).

Note. Copies A, B, C, and D of Form 1042-S may **not** contain multiple income types for the same recipient, that is, multiple rows of the top boxes 1–11 of the form. Only Copy E, retained by the withholding agent, can contain multiple income types.

5.1.2 Revisions

Form 1042-S is subject to annual review and possible change. Withholding agents and form suppliers are cautioned against overstocking supplies of the privately printed substitutes.

5.1.3 Obtaining Copies

Copies of the official form for the reporting year may be obtained from most IRS offices. The IRS provides only cut sheets of these forms. Continuous fan-fold/pin-fed forms are not provided.

5.1.4 Instructions For Withholding Agents

- Only original forms may be filed with the IRS. Photocopies are not acceptable.
- The term “Recipient’s U.S. TIN” for an individual means the SSN, ITIN, or ATIN, consisting of nine digits separated by hyphens as follows: 000-00-0000. For all other recipients, the EIN or qualified intermediary employer identification number (QI-EIN). The QI-EIN designation includes a withholding foreign partnership employer identification number (WP-EIN), and a withholding foreign trust employer identification number (WT-EIN). The EIN and QI-EIN consist of nine digits separated by a hyphen as follows: 00-0000000. The TIN must be in one of these formats. **Note.** Digits must be separated by hyphens on paper statements in the formats listed.
- The term “Recipient’s GIIN” means the global intermediary identification number assigned to a recipient that is a participating foreign financial institution (FFI) (including a reporting Model 2 FFI), registered deemed-compliant FFI (including a reporting Model 1 FFI), or other entity for chapter 4 purposes.

Note. A GIIN consists of nineteen characters as follows: XXXXXXX.XXXXX.XX.XXX (6 characters followed by a period, 5 characters followed by a period, 2 characters followed by a period, and 3 final characters).

- Withholding agents are requested to type or machine print whenever possible, provide quality data entries on the forms (that is, use black ink and insert data in the middle of blocks well separated from other printing and guidelines), and take other measures to guarantee a clear, sharp image. Withholding agents are not required, however, to acquire special equipment solely for the purpose of preparing these forms.
 - The “UNIQUE FORM IDENTIFIER,” “AMENDED,” and “AMENDMENT NO.” boxes must be printed at the top center of the form under the title.
 - Substitute forms prepared in continuous or strip form must be burst and stripped to conform to the size specified for a single form before they are filed with the IRS. The dimensions are found in *Section 5.1.5* next. Computer cards are acceptable provided they meet all requirements regarding layout, content, and size.
 - The OMB number must be printed in the format “OMB No. 1545-0056.”
-

**5.1.5
Substitute Form
1042-S Format
Requirements**

Property	Substitute Form 1042-S Format Requirements
Printing	Privately printed substitute Forms 1042-S must be exact replicas of the official forms with respect to layout and content. The Government Printing Office (GPO) symbol must be deleted. The exact dimensions are found below. The Cat. No. must be removed and replaced with the form printers EIN or the vendor code (preferred). See <i>Section 2.1.10</i> .
Box Entries	Only one type of income may be represented on Copies A, B, C, and D submitted to the IRS or furnished to recipients. Multiple income types may be shown on Copy E retained by withholding agents. All boxes on Copy A filed with the IRS, and Copies B, C, and D furnished to recipients on the substitute form must conform to the official IRS form.
Color and Quality of Ink	All printing must be in high quality non-gloss black ink.
Typography	Type must be substantially identical in size and shape to corresponding type on the official form. All rules on the document are either 1 point (0.015 inches) or 3 point (0.045 inches). Vertical rules must be parallel to the left edge of the document; horizontal rules must be parallel to the top edge.
Assembly	If all five parts are present, the parts of the assembly shall be arranged from top to bottom as follows: Copy A (Original) “for Internal Revenue Service,” Copies B, C, and D “for Recipient,” and Copy E “for Withholding Agent.”
Color Quality of Paper	Paper for Copy A must be white chemical wood bond, or equivalent, 20 pound (basis 17 x 22–500), plus or minus 5% (0.05); or offset book paper, 50 pound (basis 25 x 38–500). No optical brighteners may be added to the pulp or paper during manufacture. The paper must consist of principally bleached chemical wood pulp or recycled printed paper. It also must be suitably sized to accept ink without feathering.
Dimensions	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • The dimensions for substitute Copies A, B, C, and D must match the IRS Form 1042-S in size and format. • The official form is 8 inches wide x 11 inches deep, exclusive of a 1/2 inch snap stub on the left side of the form. The snap feature is not required on substitutes. • Copies A, B, C, and D must conform to the official IRS form. No size variations are permitted.
Other Copies	Copies B, C, and D must be furnished for the convenience of payees who must send a copy of the form with other federal and state returns they file. Copy E may be used as a withholding agent’s record/copy.

Section 5.2 – OMB Requirements for All Forms in This Revenue Procedure

**5.2.1
OMB Requirements**

The Paperwork Reduction Act (the Act) of 1995 (Public Law 104-13) requires that:

- OMB approves all IRS tax forms that are subject to the Act. Each IRS form contains (in or near the upper right corner) the OMB approval number, if any. (The official OMB numbers may be found on the official IRS printed forms and also are shown on the forms in the exhibits in *Part 6*.),
- Each IRS form (or its instructions) states:
 1. Why the IRS needs the information,
 2. How it will be used, and
 3. Whether or not the information is required to be furnished to the IRS.

This information must be provided to any users of official or substitute IRS forms or instructions.

5.2.2 Substitute Form Requirements

The OMB requirements for substitute IRS forms are:

- Any substitute form or substitute statement to a recipient must show the OMB number as it appears on the official IRS form,
- For Copy A, the OMB number must appear exactly as shown on the official IRS form.

For any copy other than Copy A, the OMB number must use one of the following formats.

1. OMB No. 1545-xxxx (preferred), or
2. OMB # 1545-xxxx (acceptable).

Caution. These requirements do **not** apply to substitute Forms 1042-S. See *Section 5.1.4*, earlier.

5.2.3 Required Explanation to Users

All substitute forms must state the Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice as listed in *Section 2.1.10*, earlier.

If no instructions are provided to users of your forms, you must furnish them with the exact text of the Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice.

Section 5.3 – Ordering Forms and Instructions

You can order official IRS Forms (Forms 1096, 1098, 1099, W-2G, 1042-S, and most other forms mentioned in this publication), instructions, and information copies of federal tax material by going to *IRS.gov/OrderForms*.

Note. Some forms on the Internet are intended as information only and may not be submitted as an official IRS form (for example, most Forms 1099, W-2, and W-3). Unless otherwise instructed, Form 1096 and Copy A of 1098 series, 1099 series, 5498 series, and Forms 3921 and 3922 cannot be used for filing with the IRS when printed from a conventional printer. These forms contain drop-out ink requirements as described in *Part 2* of this publication.

Exception. Forms 1097-BTC, 1098-C, 1098-MA, 1099-CAP, 1099-LTC, 1099-Q, 1099-QA, 1099-SA, 3922, 5498-ESA, 5498-QA, 5498-SA, and 1042-S can be printed in black ink as specified in *Sections 2.1.1* and *5.1.5*, earlier.

Section 5.4 – Effect on Other Revenue Procedures

**5.4.1
Other Revenue
Procedures**

Revenue Procedure 2018-46, 2018-39, I.R.B. 460, dated September 26, 2018, is superseded by this revenue procedure.

**Part 6
Exhibits**

Section 6.1 – Exhibits of Forms in the Revenue Procedure

**6.1.1
Purpose**

Exhibits A through BB illustrate some of the specifications that were discussed earlier in this revenue procedure. The dimensions apply to the actual size forms, but the exhibits have been reduced in size.

Generally, the illustrated dimensions apply to all like forms. For example, *Exhibit E* shows 11.00 inches from the top edge to the bottom edge of Form 1098-E and .85 inches between the bottom rule of the top form and the top rule of the second form on the page. These dimensions apply to all forms that are printed 3-to-a-page.

Exhibit B contains the general measurements for forms printed 2-to-a-page. All 2-to-a-page forms, except Form 1099-B, are 4.5 inches in height within the border lines. Form 1099-B is 4.67 inches in height within the border lines.

Exhibit E contains the general measurements for forms printed 3-to-a-page. All 3-to-a-page forms are 2.83 inches in height within the border lines.

The printed area of all forms is 7.3 inches wide.

All of the exhibits in this publication were updated to include all of the 2019 revisions for those forms that have been revised.

**6.1.2
Guidelines**

Keep in mind the following guidelines when printing substitute forms.

- Closely follow the specifications to avoid delays in processing the forms.
 - Always use the specifications as outlined in this revenue procedure and illustrated in the exhibits.
 - Do not add the text line “Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page” to the bottom form. This will be inconsistent with the specifications.
-

**6.2
Exhibits**

The following exhibits provide specifications for the forms listed in *Section 1.1.2. Exhibits A, B, and E* contain the general measurements for all of the forms. The remaining exhibits represent the images and may contain unique measurements as required by the form.

Do Not Staple 6969

Form **1096** Annual Summary and Transmittal of U.S. Information Returns **2019** OMB No. 1545-0108

Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

FILER'S name

Street address (including room or suite number)

City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code

Name of person to contact Telephone number

Email address Fax number

For Official Use Only

1 Employer identification number 1.40 in

2 Social security number 1.40 in

3 Total number of forms 1.20 in

4 Federal income tax withheld \$ 1.40 in

5 Total amount reported with this Form 1096 \$ 1.90 in

6 Enter an "X" in only one box below to indicate the type of form being filed.

W-2G 32	1097-BTC 50	1098 81	1098-C 78	1098-E 84	1098-F 03	1098-Q 74	1098-T 83	1099-A 80	1099-B 79	1099-C 85	1099-CAP 73	1099-DIV 91	1099-G 86	1099-INT 92	1099-K 10	1099-LS 16
<input type="checkbox"/>																

7 Form 1099-MISC with NEC in box 7, check

Return this entire page to the Internal Revenue Service. Photocopies are not acceptable.

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return and accompanying documents and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, they are true, correct, and complete.

Signature Title Date

Instructions

Future developments. For the latest information about developments related to Form 1096, such as legislation enacted after it was published, go to www.irs.gov/Form1096.

Reminder. The only acceptable method of electronically filing information returns listed on this form in box 6 with the IRS is through the FIRE System. See Pub. 1220.

Purpose of form. Use this form to transmit paper Forms 1097, 1098, 1099, 3921, 3922, 5498, and W-2G to the IRS.

Caution: If you are required to file 250 or more information returns of any one type, you must file electronically. If you are required to file electronically but fail to do so, and you do not have an approved waiver, you may be subject to a penalty. For more information, see part F in the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.

Forms 1099-QA and 5498-QA can be filed on paper only, regardless of the number of returns.

Who must file. Any person or entity who files any of the forms shown in line 6 above must file Form 1096 to transmit those forms to the IRS.

Enter the filer's name, address (including room, suite, or other unit number), and taxpayer identification number (TIN) in the spaces provided on the form. The name, address, and TIN of the filer on this form must be the same as those you enter in the upper left area of Forms 1097, 1098, 1099, 3921, 3922, 5498, or W-2G.

When to file. File Form 1096 as follows.

- With Forms 1097, 1098, 1099, 3921, 3922, or W-2G, file by February 28, 2020.
- Caution:** We recommend you file Form 1099-MISC, as a stand-alone shipment, by January 31, 2020, if you are reporting nonemployee compensation (NEC) in box 7. Also, check box 7 above.
- With Forms 5498, file by June 1, 2020.

Where To File

Send all information returns filed on paper with Form 1096 to the following.

If your principal business, office or agency, or legal residence in the case of an individual, is located in

Use the following address

Alabama, Arizona, Arkansas, Delaware, Florida, Georgia, Kentucky, Maine, Massachusetts, Mississippi, New Hampshire, New Jersey, New Mexico, New York, North Carolina, Ohio, Texas, Vermont, Virginia

Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service Center
Austin, TX 73301

For more information and the Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.

Cat. No. 14400O Form 1096 (2019)

Exhibit B

Form 1097-BTC

5050 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		6.25in	
FORM 1097-BTC ISSUER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.	1 Total \$	OMB No. 1545-2197 2019 Form 1097-BTC	
FORM 1097-BTC ISSUER'S TIN RECIPIENT'S TIN	2a Code	2b Unique identifier	
RECIPIENT'S name	3 Bond type	4	
Street address (including apt. no.)	5a January \$	5b February \$	
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code	5c March \$	5d April \$	
Form 1097-BTC issuer is (check one):	5e May \$	5f June \$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Issuer of bond or its agent filing 2019 Form 1097-BTC for credit being reported	5g July \$	5h August \$	
<input type="checkbox"/> An entity or a person that received or should have received a 2019 Form 1097-BTC and is distributing part or all of that credit to others	5i September \$	5j October \$	
Form 1097-BTC issuer is (check one):	5k November \$	5l December \$	
Form 1097-BTC issuer is (check one):	6 Comments		
Form 1097-BTC Cat. No. 54293T www.irs.gov/Form1097BTC Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service		Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page	
		1 in	
5050 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED			
FORM 1097-BTC ISSUER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.	1 Total \$	OMB No. 1545-2197 2019 Form 1097-BTC	
FORM 1097-BTC ISSUER'S TIN RECIPIENT'S TIN	2a Code	2b Unique identifier	
RECIPIENT'S name	3 Bond type	4	
Street address (including apt. no.)	5a January \$	5b February \$	
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code	5c March \$	5d April \$	
Form 1097-BTC issuer is (check one):	5e May \$	5f June \$	
<input type="checkbox"/> Issuer of bond or its agent filing 2019 Form 1097-BTC for credit being reported	5g July \$	5h August \$	
<input type="checkbox"/> An entity or a person that received or should have received a 2019 Form 1097-BTC and is distributing part or all of that credit to others	5i September \$	5j October \$	
Form 1097-BTC issuer is (check one):	5k November \$	5l December \$	
Form 1097-BTC issuer is (check one):	6 Comments		
Form 1097-BTC Cat. No. 54293T www.irs.gov/Form1097BTC Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service		Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page	

8181 VOID CORRECTED

RECIPIENT'S/LENDER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		OMB No. 1545-1380 <h2 style="margin: 0;">2019</h2> Form 1098		<h3 style="margin: 0;">Mortgage Interest Statement</h3>	
1 Mortgage interest received from payer(s)/borrower(s) \$		2 Outstanding mortgage principal \$		3 Mortgage origination date	
RECIPIENT'S/LENDER'S TIN	PAYER'S/BORROWER'S TIN	4 Refund of overpaid interest \$	5 Mortgage insurance premiums \$		
PAYER'S/BORROWER'S name		6 Points paid on purchase of principal residence \$			
Street address (including apt. no.)		7 <input type="checkbox"/> If address of property securing mortgage is the same as PAYER'S/BORROWER'S address, check the box, or enter the address or description in box 8.			
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		8 Address or description of property securing mortgage (see instructions)			
9 Number of properties securing the mortgage	10 Other				
Account number (see instructions)		11 Mortgage acquisition date			

Copy A
For Internal Revenue Service Center

File with Form 1096.

For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the **2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.**

1.13 in

Form 1098 Cat. No. 14402K www.irs.gov/Form1098 Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

8181 VOID CORRECTED

RECIPIENT'S/LENDER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		OMB No. 1545-1380 <h2 style="margin: 0;">2019</h2> Form 1098		<h3 style="margin: 0;">Mortgage Interest Statement</h3>	
1 Mortgage interest received from payer(s)/borrower(s) \$		2 Outstanding mortgage principal \$		3 Mortgage origination date	
RECIPIENT'S/LENDER'S TIN	PAYER'S/BORROWER'S TIN	4 Refund of overpaid interest \$	5 Mortgage insurance premiums \$		
PAYER'S/BORROWER'S name		6 Points paid on purchase of principal residence \$			
Street address (including apt. no.)		7 <input type="checkbox"/> If address of property securing mortgage is the same as PAYER'S/BORROWER'S address, check the box, or enter the address or description in box 8.			
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		8 Address or description of property securing mortgage (see instructions)			
9 Number of properties securing the mortgage	10 Other				
Account number (see instructions)		11 Mortgage acquisition date			

Copy A
For Internal Revenue Service Center

File with Form 1096.

For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the **2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.**

Form 1098 Cat. No. 14402K www.irs.gov/Form1098 Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

Exhibit D

Form 1098-C

7878 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		1 Date of contribution		OMB No. 1545-1959		Contributions of Motor Vehicles, Boats, and Airplanes
DONOR'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		2a Odometer mileage		2019		
		2b Year		2c Make		
DONOR'S TIN		DONOR'S TIN		2d Model		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
		3 Vehicle or other identification number				
DONOR'S name		4a <input type="checkbox"/> Donee certifies that vehicle was sold in arm's length transaction to unrelated party		2.45 in		
Street address (including apt. no.)		4b Date of sale		3.40 in		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		4c Gross proceeds from sale (see instructions)				
5a <input type="checkbox"/> Donee certifies that vehicle will not be transferred for money, other property, or services before completion of material improvements or significant intervening use		5b <input type="checkbox"/> Donee certifies that vehicle is to be transferred to a needy individual for significantly below fair market value in furtherance of donee's charitable purpose		\$		
5c Donee certifies the following detailed description of material improvements or significant intervening use and duration of use		6a Did you provide goods or services in exchange for the vehicle? Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>				
6b Value of goods and services provided in exchange for the vehicle		6c Describe the goods and services, if any, that were provided. If this box is checked, donee certifies that the goods and services consisted solely of intangible religious benefits <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		.55 in		
7 Under the law, the donor may not claim a deduction of more than \$500 for this vehicle if this box is checked <input type="checkbox"/>		7.30 in		.05 in		

Exhibit E

Form 1098-E

8484 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		OMB No. 1545-1576		2019		Student Loan Interest Statement	
RECIPIENT'S/LENDER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone number		1 Student loan interest received by lender		2.80 in		Copy A	
3.33 in		BORROWER'S TIN		2.83 in		For Internal Revenue Service Center	
1.70 in		BORROWER'S name		7.30 in		File with Form 1096.	
3.40 in		Street address (including apt. no.)		2 Check if box 1 does not include loan origination fees and/or capitalized interest, and the loan was made before September 1, 2004 <input type="checkbox"/>		For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
1 in		City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		1.17 in			
.45 in		Account number (see instructions)		Form 1098-E			
Cat. No. 25088U		www.irs.gov/Form1098E		Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service			
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page							
8484 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		OMB No. 1545-1576		2019		Student Loan Interest Statement	
RECIPIENT'S/LENDER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone number		1 Student loan interest received by lender		2.80 in		Copy A	
11.0 in		BORROWER'S TIN		2.83 in		For Internal Revenue Service Center	
1.70 in		BORROWER'S name		7.30 in		File with Form 1096.	
3.40 in		Street address (including apt. no.)		2 Check if box 1 does not include loan origination fees and/or capitalized interest, and the loan was made before September 1, 2004 <input type="checkbox"/>		For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
.85 in		City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		1.17 in			
.45 in		Account number (see instructions)		Form 1098-E			
Cat. No. 25088U		www.irs.gov/Form1098E		Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service			
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page							
8484 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		OMB No. 1545-1576		2019		Student Loan Interest Statement	
RECIPIENT'S/LENDER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone number		1 Student loan interest received by lender		2.80 in		Copy A	
11.0 in		BORROWER'S TIN		2.83 in		For Internal Revenue Service Center	
1.70 in		BORROWER'S name		7.30 in		File with Form 1096.	
3.40 in		Street address (including apt. no.)		2 Check if box 1 does not include loan origination fees and/or capitalized interest, and the loan was made before September 1, 2004 <input type="checkbox"/>		For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
.85 in		City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		1.17 in			
.45 in		Account number (see instructions)		Form 1098-E			
Cat. No. 25088U		www.irs.gov/Form1098E		Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service			
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page							

Exhibit F

Form 1098-F

0303 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED				2019		Fines, Penalties, and Other Amounts	
FILER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone number		1 Total amount required to be paid		OMB No. 1545-2284			
		\$		Form 1098-F			
		2 Restitution/remediation amount					
		\$		Form 1098-F			
FILER'S TIN		PAYER'S TIN					3 Compliance amount
PAYER'S name		5 Jurisdiction		Form 1098-F		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center	
		6 Case Number					
Street address (including apt. no.)		7 Name or description of matter/suit/agreement		Form 1098-F		File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		8 Code					
Form 1098-F Cat. No. 71382B www.irs.gov/Form1098F Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service		Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page		—		Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page	

0303 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED				2019		Fines, Penalties, and Other Amounts	
FILER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone number		1 Total amount required to be paid		OMB No. 1545-2284			
		\$		Form 1098-F			
		2 Restitution/remediation amount					
		\$		Form 1098-F			
FILER'S TIN		PAYER'S TIN					3 Compliance amount
PAYER'S name		5 Jurisdiction		Form 1098-F		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center	
		6 Case Number					
Street address (including apt. no.)		7 Name or description of matter/suit/agreement		Form 1098-F		File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		8 Code					
Form 1098-F Cat. No. 71382B www.irs.gov/Form1098F Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service		Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page		—		Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page	

0303 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED				2019		Fines, Penalties, and Other Amounts	
FILER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone number		1 Total amount required to be paid		OMB No. 1545-2284			
		\$		Form 1098-F			
		2 Restitution/remediation amount					
		\$		Form 1098-F			
FILER'S TIN		PAYER'S TIN					3 Compliance amount
PAYER'S name		5 Jurisdiction		Form 1098-F		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center	
		6 Case Number					
Street address (including apt. no.)		7 Name or description of matter/suit/agreement		Form 1098-F		File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		8 Code					
Form 1098-F Cat. No. 71382B www.irs.gov/Form1098F Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service		Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page		—		Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page	

VOID CORRECTED

FILER'S name, street address, city, state, ZIP code, and telephone no.			OMB No. 1545-2221	Mortgage Assistance Payments Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center <small>For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.</small>
			2019	
			Form 1098-MA	
FILER'S TIN	HOMEOWNER'S TIN	1. Total State HFA and homeowner mortgage payments		
		\$		
HOMEOWNER'S name		2. State HFA mortgage assistance payments		
		\$		
Street address (including apt. no.) (optional)		3. Homeowner mortgage payments		
		\$		
City, state, and ZIP code (optional)				
Account number (optional)				

Form **1098-MA** Cat. No. 58017D www.irs.gov/Form1098MA Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — **Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page**

VOID CORRECTED

FILER'S name, street address, city, state, ZIP code, and telephone no.			OMB No. 1545-2221	Mortgage Assistance Payments Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center <small>For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.</small>
			2019	
			Form 1098-MA	
FILER'S TIN	HOMEOWNER'S TIN	1. Total State HFA and homeowner mortgage payments		
		\$		
HOMEOWNER'S name		2. State HFA mortgage assistance payments		
		\$		
Street address (including apt. no.) (optional)		3. Homeowner mortgage payments		
		\$		
City, state, and ZIP code (optional)				
Account number (optional)				

Form **1098-MA** Cat. No. 58017D www.irs.gov/Form1098MA Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — **Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page**

VOID CORRECTED

FILER'S name, street address, city, state, ZIP code, and telephone no.			OMB No. 1545-2221	Mortgage Assistance Payments Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center <small>For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.</small>
			2019	
			Form 1098-MA	
FILER'S TIN	HOMEOWNER'S TIN	1. Total State HFA and homeowner mortgage payments		
		\$		
HOMEOWNER'S name		2. State HFA mortgage assistance payments		
		\$		
Street address (including apt. no.) (optional)		3. Homeowner mortgage payments		
		\$		
City, state, and ZIP code (optional)				
Account number (optional)				

Form **1098-MA** Cat. No. 58017D www.irs.gov/Form1098MA Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit H

Form 1098-Q

7474 VOID CORRECTED

ISSUER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		ISSUER'S TIN		OMB No. 1545-2234 2019 Form 1098-Q		Qualifying Longevity Annuity Contract Information	
		PARTICIPANT'S TIN					
1.5 in		1a Annuity amount on start date		2 Check if start date may be accelerated <input type="checkbox"/>		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center	
		1b Annuity start date					
0.6 in		3 Total premiums		4 FMV of QLAC		File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
PARTICIPANT'S name		5a January		5b February			
0.5 in		5c March		5d April			
Street address (including apt. no.)		5e May		5f June			
0.6 in		5g July		5h August			
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		5i September		5j October			
0.3 in		5k November		5l December			
Account number (see instructions)		Plan no.					
0.5 in							
Name of plan		Plan sponsor's EIN					
0.5 in							

Form 1098-Q Cat. No. 67073Z www.irs.gov/Form1098Q Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

7474 VOID CORRECTED

ISSUER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		ISSUER'S TIN		OMB No. 1545-2234 2019 Form 1098-Q		Qualifying Longevity Annuity Contract Information	
		PARTICIPANT'S TIN					
1.5 in		1a Annuity amount on start date		2 Check if start date may be accelerated <input type="checkbox"/>		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center	
		1b Annuity start date					
0.6 in		3 Total premiums		4 FMV of QLAC		File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
PARTICIPANT'S name		5a January		5b February			
0.5 in		5c March		5d April			
Street address (including apt. no.)		5e May		5f June			
0.6 in		5g July		5h August			
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		5i September		5j October			
0.3 in		5k November		5l December			
Account number (see instructions)		Plan no.					
0.5 in							
Name of plan		Plan sponsor's EIN					
0.5 in							

Form 1098-Q Cat. No. 67073Z www.irs.gov/Form1098Q Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit I

Form 1098-T

8383 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED					
FILER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone number		1 Payments received for qualified tuition and related expenses	OMB No. 1545-1574		
		\$	2019		
		2			
		Form 1098-T			Tuition Statement Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
FILER'S employer identification no.	STUDENT'S TIN <input type="checkbox"/>	3			
STUDENT'S name		4 Adjustments made for a prior year	5 Scholarships or grants		
		\$	\$		
Street address (including apt. no.)		6 Adjustments to scholarships or grants for a prior year	7 Check this box if the amount in box 1 includes amounts for an academic period beginning January—March 2020 <input type="checkbox"/>		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		\$			
Service Provider/Acct. No. (see instr.)	8 Check if at least half-time student <input type="checkbox"/>	9 Check if a graduate student <input type="checkbox"/>	10 Ins. contract reimb./refund		
			\$		

Form **1098-T** Cat. No. 25087J www.irs.gov/Form1098T Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

8383 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED					
FILER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone number		1 Payments received for qualified tuition and related expenses	OMB No. 1545-1574		
		\$	2019		
		2			
		Form 1098-T			Tuition Statement Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
FILER'S employer identification no.	STUDENT'S TIN <input type="checkbox"/>	3			
STUDENT'S name		4 Adjustments made for a prior year	5 Scholarships or grants		
		\$	\$		
Street address (including apt. no.)		6 Adjustments to scholarships or grants for a prior year	7 Check this box if the amount in box 1 includes amounts for an academic period beginning January—March 2020 <input type="checkbox"/>		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		\$			
Service Provider/Acct. No. (see instr.)	8 Check if at least half-time student <input type="checkbox"/>	9 Check if a graduate student <input type="checkbox"/>	10 Ins. contract reimb./refund		
			\$		

Form **1098-T** Cat. No. 25087J www.irs.gov/Form1098T Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

8383 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED					
FILER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone number		1 Payments received for qualified tuition and related expenses	OMB No. 1545-1574		
		\$	2019		
		2			
		Form 1098-T			Tuition Statement Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
FILER'S employer identification no.	STUDENT'S TIN <input type="checkbox"/>	3			
STUDENT'S name		4 Adjustments made for a prior year	5 Scholarships or grants		
		\$	\$		
Street address (including apt. no.)		6 Adjustments to scholarships or grants for a prior year	7 Check this box if the amount in box 1 includes amounts for an academic period beginning January—March 2020 <input type="checkbox"/>		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		\$			
Service Provider/Acct. No. (see instr.)	8 Check if at least half-time student <input type="checkbox"/>	9 Check if a graduate student <input type="checkbox"/>	10 Ins. contract reimb./refund		
			\$		

Form **1098-T** Cat. No. 25087J www.irs.gov/Form1098T Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit J

Form 1099-A

8080 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> LENDER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no. OMB No. 1545-0877 </div> <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">2019</div> <div style="text-align: center;">Form 1099-A</div>		Acquisition or Abandonment of Secured Property Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. <small>For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.</small>
LENDER'S TIN	BORROWER'S TIN	1 Date of lender's acquisition or knowledge of abandonment	2 Balance of principal outstanding \$	
BORROWER'S name		3	4 Fair market value of property \$	
Street address (including apt. no.)		5 Check if the borrower was personally liable for repayment of the debt <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		6 Description of property		
Account number (see instructions)				
Form 1099-A Cat. No. 14412G www.irs.gov/Form1099A Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page				

8080 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> LENDER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no. OMB No. 1545-0877 </div> <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">2019</div> <div style="text-align: center;">Form 1099-A</div>		Acquisition or Abandonment of Secured Property Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. <small>For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.</small>
LENDER'S TIN	BORROWER'S TIN	1 Date of lender's acquisition or knowledge of abandonment	2 Balance of principal outstanding \$	
BORROWER'S name		3	4 Fair market value of property \$	
Street address (including apt. no.)		5 Check if the borrower was personally liable for repayment of the debt <input type="checkbox"/>		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		6 Description of property		
Account number (see instructions)				
Form 1099-A Cat. No. 14412G www.irs.gov/Form1099A Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page				

8080 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		<div style="display: flex; justify-content: space-between;"> LENDER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no. OMB No. 1545-0877 </div> <div style="text-align: center; font-size: 2em; font-weight: bold;">2019</div> <div style="text-align: center;">Form 1099-A</div>		Acquisition or Abandonment of Secured Property Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. <small>For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.</small>
LENDER'S TIN	BORROWER'S TIN	1 Date of lender's acquisition or knowledge of abandonment	2 Balance of principal outstanding \$	
BORROWER'S name		3	4 Fair market value of property \$	
Street address (including apt. no.)		5 Check if the borrower was personally liable for repayment of the debt <input type="checkbox"/>		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		6 Description of property		
Account number (see instructions)				
Form 1099-A Cat. No. 14412G www.irs.gov/Form1099A Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page				

1-3011

Exhibit K

Form 1099-B

7979 VOID CORRECTED

PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.			Applicable checkbox on Form 8949		OMB No. 1545-0715 2019 Form 1099-B		Proceeds From Broker and Barter Exchange Transactions	
1a Description of property (Example: 100 sh. XYZ Co.)								
PAYER'S TIN			RECIPIENT'S TIN		1d Proceeds		1e Cost or other basis	
					\$		\$	
RECIPIENT'S name			2 Short-term gain or loss <input type="checkbox"/>		3 Check if proceeds from:		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. 1.27 in →	
Street address (including apt. no.)			Long-term gain or loss <input type="checkbox"/>		Collectibles <input type="checkbox"/>			
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code			Ordinary <input type="checkbox"/>		QOF <input type="checkbox"/>		For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
Account number (see instructions)			4 Federal income tax withheld		5 Check if noncovered security <input type="checkbox"/>			
CUSIP number			6 Reported to IRS:		7 Check if loss is not allowed based on amount in 1d		2.60 in →	
FATCA filing requirement <input type="checkbox"/>			Gross proceeds <input type="checkbox"/>		Net proceeds <input type="checkbox"/>			
14 State name			15 State identification no.		8 Profit or (loss) realized in 2019 on closed contracts		9 Unrealized profit or (loss) on open contracts—12/31/2018	
					\$		\$	
16 State tax withheld			10 Unrealized profit or (loss) on open contracts—12/31/2019		11 Aggregate profit or (loss) on contracts		4.20 in →	
\$			\$		\$			
12 Check if basis reported to IRS <input type="checkbox"/>			13 Bartering		1.56 in			
					\$			

Form **1099-B** Cat. No. 14411V www.irs.gov/Form1099B Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

7979 VOID CORRECTED

PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.			Applicable checkbox on Form 8949		OMB No. 1545-0715 2019 Form 1099-B		Proceeds From Broker and Barter Exchange Transactions	
1a Description of property (Example: 100 sh. XYZ Co.)								
PAYER'S TIN			RECIPIENT'S TIN		1d Proceeds		1e Cost or other basis	
					\$		\$	
RECIPIENT'S name			2 Short-term gain or loss <input type="checkbox"/>		3 Check if proceeds from:		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096.	
Street address (including apt. no.)			Long-term gain or loss <input type="checkbox"/>		Collectibles <input type="checkbox"/>			
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code			Ordinary <input type="checkbox"/>		QOF <input type="checkbox"/>		For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
Account number (see instructions)			4 Federal income tax withheld		5 Check if noncovered security <input type="checkbox"/>			
CUSIP number			6 Reported to IRS:		7 Check if loss is not allowed based on amount in 1d		2.60 in →	
FATCA filing requirement <input type="checkbox"/>			Gross proceeds <input type="checkbox"/>		Net proceeds <input type="checkbox"/>			
14 State name			15 State identification no.		8 Profit or (loss) realized in 2019 on closed contracts		9 Unrealized profit or (loss) on open contracts—12/31/2018	
					\$		\$	
16 State tax withheld			10 Unrealized profit or (loss) on open contracts—12/31/2019		11 Aggregate profit or (loss) on contracts		4.20 in →	
\$			\$		\$			
12 Check if basis reported to IRS <input type="checkbox"/>			13 Bartering		1.56 in			
					\$			

Form **1099-B** Cat. No. 14411V www.irs.gov/Form1099B Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit L

Form 1099-C

8585 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		OMB No. 1545-1424		2019 Form 1099-C	Cancellation of Debt		
CREDITOR'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Date of identifiable event					
		2 Amount of debt discharged \$					
		3 Interest if included in box 2 \$					
CREDITOR'S TIN	DEBTOR'S TIN	4 Debt description			Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.		
DEBTOR'S name							
Street address (including apt. no.)		5 Check here if the debtor was personally liable for repayment of the debt <input type="checkbox"/>					
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code							
Account number (see instructions)		6 Identifiable event code	7 Fair market value of property \$				
Form 1099-C		Cat. No. 26280W		www.irs.gov/Form1099C		Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service	
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page – Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page							
8585 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		OMB No. 1545-1424		2019 Form 1099-C	Cancellation of Debt		
CREDITOR'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Date of identifiable event					
		2 Amount of debt discharged \$					
		3 Interest if included in box 2 \$					
CREDITOR'S TIN	DEBTOR'S TIN	4 Debt description			Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.		
DEBTOR'S name							
Street address (including apt. no.)		5 Check here if the debtor was personally liable for repayment of the debt <input type="checkbox"/>					
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code							
Account number (see instructions)		6 Identifiable event code	7 Fair market value of property \$				
Form 1099-C		Cat. No. 26280W		www.irs.gov/Form1099C		Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service	
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page – Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page							
8585 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		OMB No. 1545-1424		2019 Form 1099-C	Cancellation of Debt		
CREDITOR'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Date of identifiable event					
		2 Amount of debt discharged \$					
		3 Interest if included in box 2 \$					
CREDITOR'S TIN	DEBTOR'S TIN	4 Debt description			Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.		
DEBTOR'S name							
Street address (including apt. no.)		5 Check here if the debtor was personally liable for repayment of the debt <input type="checkbox"/>					
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code							
Account number (see instructions)		6 Identifiable event code	7 Fair market value of property \$				
Form 1099-C		Cat. No. 26280W		www.irs.gov/Form1099C		Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service	

Exhibit M

Form 1099-DIV

9191 VOID CORRECTED

PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1a Total ordinary dividends		OMB No. 1545-0110	
		\$		2019	
		1b Qualified dividends			
				\$	
2a Total capital gain distr.				2b Unrecap. Sec. 1250 gain	
PAYER'S TIN		RECIPIENT'S TIN		2c Section 1202 gain	
				\$	
RECIPIENT'S name		3 Nondividend distributions		4 Federal income tax withheld	
		\$		\$	
Street address (including apt. no.)		5 Section 199A dividends		6 Investment expenses	
		\$		\$	
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		7 Foreign tax paid		8 Foreign country or U.S. possession	
		\$		\$	
		FATCA filing requirement		11 Exempt-interest dividends	
		<input type="checkbox"/>		\$	
Account number (see instructions)		2nd TIN not.		13 State	
		<input type="checkbox"/>		14 State identification no.	
				15 State tax withheld	
				\$	
				\$	

Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center
File with Form 1096.

For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the **2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.**

Form **1099-DIV** Cat. No. 14415N www.irs.gov/Form1099DIV Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — **Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page**

9191 VOID CORRECTED

PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1a Total ordinary dividends		OMB No. 1545-0110	
		\$		2019	
		1b Qualified dividends			
				\$	
2a Total capital gain distr.				2b Unrecap. Sec. 1250 gain	
PAYER'S TIN		RECIPIENT'S TIN		2c Section 1202 gain	
				\$	
RECIPIENT'S name		3 Nondividend distributions		4 Federal income tax withheld	
		\$		\$	
Street address (including apt. no.)		5 Section 199A dividends		6 Investment expenses	
		\$		\$	
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		7 Foreign tax paid		8 Foreign country or U.S. possession	
		\$		\$	
		FATCA filing requirement		11 Exempt-interest dividends	
		<input type="checkbox"/>		\$	
Account number (see instructions)		2nd TIN not.		13 State	
		<input type="checkbox"/>		14 State identification no.	
				15 State tax withheld	
				\$	
				\$	

Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center
File with Form 1096.

For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the **2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.**

Form **1099-DIV** Cat. No. 14415N www.irs.gov/Form1099DIV Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit N

Form 1099-G

8686 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED				OMB No. 1545-0120		2019	Form 1099-G	Certain Government Payments
PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Unemployment compensation		\$				
		2 State or local income tax refunds, credits, or offsets		\$				
PAYER'S TIN	RECIPIENT'S TIN	3 Box 2 amount is for tax year		4 Federal income tax withheld		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center		
				\$				
RECIPIENT'S name		5 RTAA payments		6 Taxable grants		File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019		
		\$		\$				
Street address (including apt. no.)		7 Agriculture payments		8 Check if box 2 is trade or business income ... <input type="checkbox"/>		General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.		
		\$						
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		9 Market gain						
		\$						
Account number (see instructions)		2nd TIN not.	10a State	10b State identification no.	11 State income tax withheld			
		<input type="checkbox"/>			\$			
					\$			

Form 1099-G Cat. No. 14438M www.irs.gov/Form1099G Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

8686 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED				OMB No. 1545-0120		2019	Form 1099-G	Certain Government Payments
PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Unemployment compensation		\$				
		2 State or local income tax refunds, credits, or offsets		\$				
PAYER'S TIN	RECIPIENT'S TIN	3 Box 2 amount is for tax year		4 Federal income tax withheld		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center		
				\$				
RECIPIENT'S name		5 RTAA payments		6 Taxable grants		File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019		
		\$		\$				
Street address (including apt. no.)		7 Agriculture payments		8 Check if box 2 is trade or business income ... <input type="checkbox"/>		General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.		
		\$						
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		9 Market gain						
		\$						
Account number (see instructions)		2nd TIN not.	10a State	10b State identification no.	11 State income tax withheld			
		<input type="checkbox"/>			\$			
					\$			

Form 1099-G Cat. No. 14438M www.irs.gov/Form1099G Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

8686 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED				OMB No. 1545-0120		2019	Form 1099-G	Certain Government Payments
PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Unemployment compensation		\$				
		2 State or local income tax refunds, credits, or offsets		\$				
PAYER'S TIN	RECIPIENT'S TIN	3 Box 2 amount is for tax year		4 Federal income tax withheld		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center		
				\$				
RECIPIENT'S name		5 RTAA payments		6 Taxable grants		File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019		
		\$		\$				
Street address (including apt. no.)		7 Agriculture payments		8 Check if box 2 is trade or business income ... <input type="checkbox"/>		General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.		
		\$						
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		9 Market gain						
		\$						
Account number (see instructions)		2nd TIN not.	10a State	10b State identification no.	11 State income tax withheld			
		<input type="checkbox"/>			\$			
					\$			

Form 1099-G Cat. No. 14438M www.irs.gov/Form1099G Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit O

Form 1099-INT

9292 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		Payer's RTN (optional)	OMB No. 1545-0112		2019	Interest Income
				1 Interest income	Form 1099-INT			
				\$			Copy A	
				2 Early withdrawal penalty				
PAYER'S TIN	RECIPIENT'S TIN			\$			For Internal Revenue Service Center	
				3 Interest on U.S. Savings Bonds and Treas. obligations				
		RECIPIENT'S name		4 Federal income tax withheld	5 Investment expenses		File with Form 1096.	
				\$	\$			
		Street address (including apt. no.)		6 Foreign tax paid	7 Foreign country or U.S. possession		For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
				\$				
		City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		8 Tax-exempt interest	9 Specified private activity bond interest			
				\$	\$			
				10 Market discount	11 Bond premium			
				\$	\$			
				FATCA filing requirement	12 Bond premium on Treasury obligations		13 Bond premium on tax-exempt bond	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	\$		\$	
Account number (see instructions)		2nd TIN not.		14 Tax-exempt and tax credit bond CUSIP no.	15 State	16 State identification no.	17 State tax withheld	
		<input type="checkbox"/>					\$	
							\$	

Form **1099-INT** Cat. No. 14410K www.irs.gov/Form1099INT Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

9292 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		Payer's RTN (optional)	OMB No. 1545-0112		2019	Interest Income
				1 Interest income	Form 1099-INT			
				\$			Copy A	
				2 Early withdrawal penalty				
PAYER'S TIN	RECIPIENT'S TIN			\$			For Internal Revenue Service Center	
				3 Interest on U.S. Savings Bonds and Treas. obligations				
		RECIPIENT'S name		4 Federal income tax withheld	5 Investment expenses		File with Form 1096.	
				\$	\$			
		Street address (including apt. no.)		6 Foreign tax paid	7 Foreign country or U.S. possession		For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
				\$				
		City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		8 Tax-exempt interest	9 Specified private activity bond interest			
				\$	\$			
				10 Market discount	11 Bond premium			
				\$	\$			
				FATCA filing requirement	12 Bond premium on Treasury obligations		13 Bond premium on tax-exempt bond	
				<input type="checkbox"/>	\$		\$	
Account number (see instructions)		2nd TIN not.		14 Tax-exempt and tax credit bond CUSIP no.	15 State	16 State identification no.	17 State tax withheld	
		<input type="checkbox"/>					\$	
							\$	

Form **1099-INT** Cat. No. 14410K www.irs.gov/Form1099INT Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit P

Form 1099-K

1010 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		OMB No. 1545-2205		2019 Form 1099-K	Payment Card and Third Party Network Transactions
FILER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		FILER'S TIN	PAYEE'S TIN		
Check to indicate if FILER is a (an): Payment settlement entity (PSE) <input type="checkbox"/> Electronic Payment Facilitator (EPF) <input type="checkbox"/> Other third party <input type="checkbox"/>		Check to indicate transactions reported are: Payment card <input type="checkbox"/> Third party network <input type="checkbox"/>		2 Merchant category code Federal income tax withheld	
		3 Number of payment transactions			
PAYEE'S name Street address (including apt. no.) City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		5a January \$		5b February \$	
		5c March \$		5d April \$	
PSE'S name and telephone number		5e May \$		5f June \$	
		5g July \$		5h August \$	
Account number (see instructions) 2nd TIN not <input type="checkbox"/>		5i September \$		5j October \$	
		5k November \$		5l December \$	
Form 1099-K Cat. No. 54118B		6 State		7 State identification no.	
		8 State income tax withheld \$ \$			

Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

1010 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		OMB No. 1545-2205		2019 Form 1099-K	Payment Card and Third Party Network Transactions
FILER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		FILER'S TIN	PAYEE'S TIN		
Check to indicate if FILER is a (an): Payment settlement entity (PSE) <input type="checkbox"/> Electronic Payment Facilitator (EPF) <input type="checkbox"/> Other third party <input type="checkbox"/>		Check to indicate transactions reported are: Payment card <input type="checkbox"/> Third party network <input type="checkbox"/>		2 Merchant category code Federal income tax withheld	
		3 Number of payment transactions			
PAYEE'S name Street address (including apt. no.) City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		5a January \$		5b February \$	
		5c March \$		5d April \$	
PSE'S name and telephone number		5e May \$		5f June \$	
		5g July \$		5h August \$	
Account number (see instructions) 2nd TIN not <input type="checkbox"/>		5i September \$		5j October \$	
		5k November \$		5l December \$	
Form 1099-K Cat. No. 54118B		6 State		7 State identification no.	
		8 State income tax withheld \$ \$			

Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

Exhibit Q

Form 1099-LS

1616 VOID CORRECTED

ACQUIRER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Amount paid to payment recipient \$	OMB No. 1545-2281 2019 Form 1099-LS	Reportable Life Insurance Sale
		2 Date of sale		
ACQUIRER'S TIN	PAYMENT RECIPIENT'S TIN	Issuer's name		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
PAYMENT RECIPIENT'S name		Acquirer's information contact name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no. (if different from ACQUIRER)		
Street address (including apt. no.)				
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code				
Policy number				

Form 1099-LS Cat. No. 71383M www.irs.gov/Form1099LS Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

1616 VOID CORRECTED

ACQUIRER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Amount paid to payment recipient \$	OMB No. 1545-2281 2019 Form 1099-LS	Reportable Life Insurance Sale
		2 Date of sale		
ACQUIRER'S TIN	PAYMENT RECIPIENT'S TIN	Issuer's name		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
PAYMENT RECIPIENT'S name		Acquirer's information contact name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no. (if different from ACQUIRER)		
Street address (including apt. no.)				
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code				
Policy number				

Form 1099-LS Cat. No. 71383M www.irs.gov/Form1099LS Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

1616 VOID CORRECTED

ACQUIRER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Amount paid to payment recipient \$	OMB No. 1545-2281 2019 Form 1099-LS	Reportable Life Insurance Sale
		2 Date of sale		
ACQUIRER'S TIN	PAYMENT RECIPIENT'S TIN	Issuer's name		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
PAYMENT RECIPIENT'S name		Acquirer's information contact name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no. (if different from ACQUIRER)		
Street address (including apt. no.)				
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code				
Policy number				

Form 1099-LS Cat. No. 71383M www.irs.gov/Form1099LS Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit R

Form 1099-MISC

9595 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED				OMB No. 1545-0115		2019	Miscellaneous Income	
PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.				1 Rents				Form 1099-MISC
				2 Royalties				
PAYER'S TIN				3 Other income		4 Federal income tax withheld		
				5 Fishing boat proceeds		6 Medical and health care payments		
RECIPIENT'S TIN				7 Nonemployee compensation		8 Substitute payments in lieu of dividends or interest		
RECIPIENT'S name				9 Payer made direct sales of \$5,000 or more of consumer products to a buyer (recipient) for resale <input type="checkbox"/>		10 Crop insurance proceeds		
Street address (including apt. no.)				11		12		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code				13 Excess golden parachute payments		14 Gross proceeds paid to an attorney		
Account number (see instructions)		FATCA filing requirement <input type="checkbox"/>	2nd TIN not <input type="checkbox"/>	15a Section 409A deferrals		15b Section 409A income		
				16 State tax withheld		17 State/Payer's state no.		
				18 State income				
Form 1099-MISC Cat. No. 14425J www.irs.gov/Form1099MISC Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service				Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page				

9595 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED				OMB No. 1545-0115		2019	Miscellaneous Income	
PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.				1 Rents				Form 1099-MISC
				2 Royalties				
PAYER'S TIN				3 Other income		4 Federal income tax withheld		
RECIPIENT'S TIN				5 Fishing boat proceeds		6 Medical and health care payments		
RECIPIENT'S name				7 Nonemployee compensation		8 Substitute payments in lieu of dividends or interest		
Street address (including apt. no.)				9 Payer made direct sales of \$5,000 or more of consumer products to a buyer (recipient) for resale <input type="checkbox"/>		10 Crop insurance proceeds		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code				11		12		
Account number (see instructions)		FATCA filing requirement <input type="checkbox"/>	2nd TIN not <input type="checkbox"/>	13 Excess golden parachute payments		14 Gross proceeds paid to an attorney		
				15a Section 409A deferrals		15b Section 409A income		
				16 State tax withheld		17 State/Payer's state no.		
				18 State income				
Form 1099-MISC Cat. No. 14425J www.irs.gov/Form1099MISC Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service				Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page				

Exhibit S

Form 1099-OID

9696 VOID CORRECTED

PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Original issue discount for 2019		2019	OMB No. 1545-0117	Original Issue Discount
		\$				
		2 Other periodic interest		Form 1099-OID		
		\$				
PAYER'S TIN	RECIPIENT'S TIN	3 Early withdrawal penalty		4 Federal income tax withheld		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
		\$		\$		
		5 Market discount		6 Acquisition premium		
		\$		\$		
RECIPIENT'S name		7 Description				
Street address (including apt. no.)						
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		8 Original issue discount on U.S. Treasury obligations		9 Investment expenses		
		\$		\$		
		FATCA filing requirement	10 Bond premium		11 Tax-exempt OID	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	\$		\$	
Account number (see instructions)		2nd TIN not.	12 State	13 State identification no.	14 State tax withheld	
		<input type="checkbox"/>			\$	
						\$

Form **1099-OID** Cat. No. 14421R www.irs.gov/Form1099OID Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

9696 VOID CORRECTED

PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Original issue discount for 2019		2019	OMB No. 1545-0117	Original Issue Discount
		\$				
		2 Other periodic interest		Form 1099-OID		
		\$				
PAYER'S TIN	RECIPIENT'S TIN	3 Early withdrawal penalty		4 Federal income tax withheld		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
		\$		\$		
		5 Market discount		6 Acquisition premium		
		\$		\$		
RECIPIENT'S name		7 Description				
Street address (including apt. no.)						
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		8 Original issue discount on U.S. Treasury obligations		9 Investment expenses		
		\$		\$		
		FATCA filing requirement	10 Bond premium		11 Tax-exempt OID	
		<input type="checkbox"/>	\$		\$	
Account number (see instructions)		2nd TIN not.	12 State	13 State identification no.	14 State tax withheld	
		<input type="checkbox"/>			\$	
						\$

Form **1099-OID** Cat. No. 14421R www.irs.gov/Form1099OID Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit T

Form 1099-PATR

9797 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED				2019		Taxable Distributions Received From Cooperatives
PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Patronage dividends		OMB No. 1545-0118 2019 Form 1099-PATR		
		2 Nonpatronage distributions				
		3 Per-unit retain allocations				
		4 Federal income tax withheld				Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
PAYER'S TIN	RECIPIENT'S TIN					
RECIPIENT'S name		5 Redemption of nonqualified notices and retain allocations		6 Domestic production activities deduction		
Street address (including apt. no.)		7 Qualified payments		8 Investment credit		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		9 Work opportunity credit		10 Patron's AMT adjustment		
Account number (see instructions)		2nd TIN not. <input type="checkbox"/>	11 Other credits and deductions			
Form 1099-PATR Cat. No. 14435F www.irs.gov/Form1099PATR Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page – Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page						

9797 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED				2019		Taxable Distributions Received From Cooperatives
PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Patronage dividends		OMB No. 1545-0118 2019 Form 1099-PATR		
		2 Nonpatronage distributions				
		3 Per-unit retain allocations				
		4 Federal income tax withheld				Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
PAYER'S TIN	RECIPIENT'S TIN					
RECIPIENT'S name		5 Redemption of nonqualified notices and retain allocations		6 Domestic production activities deduction		
Street address (including apt. no.)		7 Qualified payments		8 Investment credit		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		9 Work opportunity credit		10 Patron's AMT adjustment		
Account number (see instructions)		2nd TIN not. <input type="checkbox"/>	11 Other credits and deductions			
Form 1099-PATR Cat. No. 14435F www.irs.gov/Form1099PATR Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page – Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page						

9797 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED				2019		Taxable Distributions Received From Cooperatives
PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Patronage dividends		OMB No. 1545-0118 2019 Form 1099-PATR		
		2 Nonpatronage distributions				
		3 Per-unit retain allocations				
		4 Federal income tax withheld				Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
PAYER'S TIN	RECIPIENT'S TIN					
RECIPIENT'S name		5 Redemption of nonqualified notices and retain allocations		6 Domestic production activities deduction		
Street address (including apt. no.)		7 Qualified payments		8 Investment credit		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		9 Work opportunity credit		10 Patron's AMT adjustment		
Account number (see instructions)		2nd TIN not. <input type="checkbox"/>	11 Other credits and deductions			
Form 1099-PATR Cat. No. 14435F www.irs.gov/Form1099PATR Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page – Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page						

Exhibit U

Form 1099-Q

3131 VOID CORRECTED

PAYER'S/TRUSTEE'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Gross distribution	2019 Form 1099-Q	Payments From Qualified Education Programs (Under Sections 529 and 530)
		\$		
		2 Earnings		
		\$		
PAYER'S/TRUSTEE'S TIN	RECIPIENT'S TIN	3 Basis	4 Trustee-to-trustee transfer	Copy A Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1099-Q For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
RECIPIENT'S name		5 Distribution is from: • Qualified tuition program— Private <input type="checkbox"/> or State <input type="checkbox"/>	6 Check if the recipient is not the designated beneficiary	
Street address (including apt. no.)		• Coverdell ESA <input type="checkbox"/>	1.17 in	
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code			3.30 in	
Account number (see instructions)			2.55 in	

Form **1099-Q** Cat. No. 32223J www.irs.gov/Form1099Q Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

3131 VOID CORRECTED

PAYER'S/TRUSTEE'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Gross distribution	2019 Form 1099-Q	Payments From Qualified Education Programs (Under Sections 529 and 530)
		\$		
		2 Earnings		
		\$		
PAYER'S/TRUSTEE'S TIN	RECIPIENT'S TIN	3 Basis	4 Trustee-to-trustee transfer	Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1099-Q. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
RECIPIENT'S name		5 Distribution is from: • Qualified tuition program— Private <input type="checkbox"/> or State <input type="checkbox"/>	6 Check if the recipient is not the designated beneficiary	
Street address (including apt. no.)		• Coverdell ESA <input type="checkbox"/>		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code				
Account number (see instructions)				

Form **1099-Q** Cat. No. 32223J www.irs.gov/Form1099Q Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

3131 VOID CORRECTED

PAYER'S/TRUSTEE'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Gross distribution	2019 Form 1099-Q	Payments From Qualified Education Programs (Under Sections 529 and 530)
		\$		
		2 Earnings		
		\$		
PAYER'S/TRUSTEE'S TIN	RECIPIENT'S TIN	3 Basis	4 Trustee-to-trustee transfer	Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1099-Q. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
RECIPIENT'S name		5 Distribution is from: • Qualified tuition program— Private <input type="checkbox"/> or State <input type="checkbox"/>	6 Check if the recipient is not the designated beneficiary	
Street address (including apt. no.)		• Coverdell ESA <input type="checkbox"/>		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code				
Account number (see instructions)				

Form **1099-Q** Cat. No. 32223J www.irs.gov/Form1099Q Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit V

Form 1099-R

9898 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		OMB No. 1545-0119		2019 Form 1099-R Distributions From Pensions, Annuities, Retirement or Profit-Sharing Plans, IRAs, Insurance Contracts, etc.	
PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and phone no.		1 Gross distribution			
		2a Taxable amount			
		2b Taxable amount not determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Total distribution <input type="checkbox"/>	Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096.	
PAYER'S TIN	RECIPIENT'S TIN	3 Capital gain (included in box 2a)	4 Federal income tax withheld		
RECIPIENT'S name		5 Employee contributions/ Designated Roth contributions or insurance premiums	6 Net unrealized appreciation in employer's securities	For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
Street address (including apt. no.)		7 Distribution code(s)	8 Other		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		9a Your percentage of total distribution	9b Total employee contributions		
10 Amount allocable to IRR within 5 years	11 1st year of desig. Roth contrib.	FATCA filing requirement <input type="checkbox"/>	12 State tax withheld	13 State/Payer's state no.	14 State distribution
Account number (see instructions)	Date of payment	15 Local tax withheld	16 Name of locality	17 Local distribution	

Form 1099-R Cat. No. 14436Q www.irs.gov/Form1099R Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

9898 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		OMB No. 1545-0119		2019 Form 1099-R Distributions From Pensions, Annuities, Retirement or Profit-Sharing Plans, IRAs, Insurance Contracts, etc.	
PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and phone no.		1 Gross distribution			
		2a Taxable amount			
		2b Taxable amount not determined <input type="checkbox"/>	Total distribution <input type="checkbox"/>	Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096.	
PAYER'S TIN	RECIPIENT'S TIN	3 Capital gain (included in box 2a)	4 Federal income tax withheld		
RECIPIENT'S name		5 Employee contributions/ Designated Roth contributions or insurance premiums	6 Net unrealized appreciation in employer's securities	For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
Street address (including apt. no.)		7 Distribution code(s)	8 Other		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		9a Your percentage of total distribution	9b Total employee contributions		
10 Amount allocable to IRR within 5 years	11 1st year of desig. Roth contrib.	FATCA filing requirement <input type="checkbox"/>	12 State tax withheld	13 State/Payer's state no.	14 State distribution
Account number (see instructions)	Date of payment	15 Local tax withheld	16 Name of locality	17 Local distribution	

Form 1099-R Cat. No. 14436Q www.irs.gov/Form1099R Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit W

Form 1099-S

7575 VOID CORRECTED

FILER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone number		1 Date of closing	OMB No. 1545-0997 2019 Form 1099-S	Proceeds From Real Estate Transactions
		2 Gross proceeds \$		
FILER'S TIN	TRANSFEROR'S TIN	3 Address (including city, state, and ZIP code) or legal description		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
TRANSFEROR'S name				
Street address (including apt. no.)				
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code				
Account number (see instructions)				
		4 Check here if the transferor received or will receive property or services as part of the consideration ▶ <input type="checkbox"/>		
		5 Check here if the transferor is a foreign person (nonresident alien, foreign partnership, foreign estate, or foreign trust) ▶ <input type="checkbox"/>		
		6 Buyer's part of real estate tax \$		

Form **1099-S** Cat. No. 64292E www.irs.gov/Form1099S Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

7575 VOID CORRECTED

FILER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone number		1 Date of closing	OMB No. 1545-0997 2019 Form 1099-S	Proceeds From Real Estate Transactions
		2 Gross proceeds \$		
FILER'S TIN	TRANSFEROR'S TIN	3 Address (including city, state, and ZIP code) or legal description		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
TRANSFEROR'S name				
Street address (including apt. no.)				
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code				
Account number (see instructions)				
		4 Check here if the transferor received or will receive property or services as part of the consideration ▶ <input type="checkbox"/>		
		5 Check here if the transferor is a foreign person (nonresident alien, foreign partnership, foreign estate, or foreign trust) ▶ <input type="checkbox"/>		
		6 Buyer's part of real estate tax \$		

Form **1099-S** Cat. No. 64292E www.irs.gov/Form1099S Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

7575 VOID CORRECTED

FILER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone number		1 Date of closing	OMB No. 1545-0997 2019 Form 1099-S	Proceeds From Real Estate Transactions
		2 Gross proceeds \$		
FILER'S TIN	TRANSFEROR'S TIN	3 Address (including city, state, and ZIP code) or legal description		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
TRANSFEROR'S name				
Street address (including apt. no.)				
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code				
Account number (see instructions)				
		4 Check here if the transferor received or will receive property or services as part of the consideration ▶ <input type="checkbox"/>		
		5 Check here if the transferor is a foreign person (nonresident alien, foreign partnership, foreign estate, or foreign trust) ▶ <input type="checkbox"/>		
		6 Buyer's part of real estate tax \$		

Form **1099-S** Cat. No. 64292E www.irs.gov/Form1099S Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit X

Form 1099-SB

4343 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		OMB No. 1545-2281		2019	Seller's Investment in Life Insurance Contract
ISSUER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Investment in contract	\$		
		2 Surrender amount	\$	Form 1099-SB	
ISSUER'S TIN	SELLER'S TIN	ISSUER'S information contact name		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
SELLER'S name					
Street address (including apt. no.)					
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code					
Policy number					
Form 1099-SB		Cat. No. 71384X	www.irs.gov/Form1099SB	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service	
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page					

4343 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		OMB No. 1545-2281		2019	Seller's Investment in Life Insurance Contract
ISSUER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Investment in contract	\$		
		2 Surrender amount	\$	Form 1099-SB	
ISSUER'S TIN	SELLER'S TIN	ISSUER'S information contact name		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
SELLER'S name					
Street address (including apt. no.)					
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code					
Policy number					
Form 1099-SB		Cat. No. 71384X	www.irs.gov/Form1099SB	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service	
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page					

4343 <input type="checkbox"/> VOID <input type="checkbox"/> CORRECTED		OMB No. 1545-2281		2019	Seller's Investment in Life Insurance Contract
ISSUER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code, and telephone no.		1 Investment in contract	\$		
		2 Surrender amount	\$	Form 1099-SB	
ISSUER'S TIN	SELLER'S TIN	ISSUER'S information contact name		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
SELLER'S name					
Street address (including apt. no.)					
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code					
Policy number					
Form 1099-SB		Cat. No. 71384X	www.irs.gov/Form1099SB	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service	
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page					

Exhibit Y

Form 3921

2525 VOID CORRECTED

TRANSFEROR'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		1 Date option granted	OMB No. 1545-2129 Form 3921 (Rev. October 2017)	Exercise of an Incentive Stock Option Under Section 422(b)	
		2 Date option exercised			
TRANSFEROR'S TIN	EMPLOYEE'S TIN	3 Exercise price per share	4 Fair market value per share on exercise date		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the current version of the General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
EMPLOYEE'S name		\$	\$		
Street address (including apt. no.)		5 No. of shares transferred			
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code					
Account number (see instructions)					
		6 If other than TRANSFEROR, name, address, and TIN of corporation whose stock is being transferred			

Form **3921** (Rev. October 2017) Cat. No. 411790 www.irs.gov/Form3921 Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

2525 VOID CORRECTED

TRANSFEROR'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		1 Date option granted	OMB No. 1545-2129 Form 3921 (Rev. October 2017)	Exercise of an Incentive Stock Option Under Section 422(b)	
		2 Date option exercised			
TRANSFEROR'S TIN	EMPLOYEE'S TIN	3 Exercise price per share	4 Fair market value per share on exercise date		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the current version of the General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
EMPLOYEE'S name		\$	\$		
Street address (including apt. no.)		5 No. of shares transferred			
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code					
Account number (see instructions)					
		6 If other than TRANSFEROR, name, address, and TIN of corporation whose stock is being transferred			

Form **3921** (Rev. October 2017) Cat. No. 411790 www.irs.gov/Form3921 Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

2525 VOID CORRECTED

TRANSFEROR'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		1 Date option granted	OMB No. 1545-2129 Form 3921 (Rev. October 2017)	Exercise of an Incentive Stock Option Under Section 422(b)	
		2 Date option exercised			
TRANSFEROR'S TIN	EMPLOYEE'S TIN	3 Exercise price per share	4 Fair market value per share on exercise date		Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096. For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the current version of the General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.
EMPLOYEE'S name		\$	\$		
Street address (including apt. no.)		5 No. of shares transferred			
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code					
Account number (see instructions)					
		6 If other than TRANSFEROR, name, address, and TIN of corporation whose stock is being transferred			

Form **3921** (Rev. October 2017) Cat. No. 411790 www.irs.gov/Form3921 Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service
Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

Exhibit Z

Form 5498

2828 VOID CORRECTED

TRUSTEE'S or ISSUER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		1 IRA contributions (other than amounts in boxes 2-4, 8-10, 13a, and 14a)	OMB No. 1545-0747 <b style="font-size: 2em;">2019 Form 5498		IRA Contribution Information
		2 Rollover contributions			
TRUSTEE'S or ISSUER'S TIN PARTICIPANT'S TIN		3 Roth IRA conversion amount	4 Recharacterized contributions	Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096.	
		5 FMV of account	6 Life insurance cost included in box 1		
PARTICIPANT'S name		7 IRA <input type="checkbox"/> SEP <input type="checkbox"/> SIMPLE <input type="checkbox"/> Roth IRA <input type="checkbox"/>	9 SIMPLE contributions	For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
Street address (including apt. no.)		8 SEP contributions	10 Roth IRA contributions		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		11 Check if RMD for 2020 <input type="checkbox"/>	12a RMD date		
		12b RMD amount	13a Postponed/late contrib.		
		13b Year	13c Code		
Account number (see instructions)		14a Repayments	14b Code		
		15a FMV of certain specified assets	15b Code(s)		

Form **5498** Cat. No. 50010C www.irs.gov/Form5498 Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

2828 VOID CORRECTED

TRUSTEE'S or ISSUER'S name, street address, city or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		1 IRA contributions (other than amounts in boxes 2-4, 8-10, 13a, and 14a)	OMB No. 1545-0747 <b style="font-size: 2em;">2019 Form 5498		IRA Contribution Information
		2 Rollover contributions			
TRUSTEE'S or ISSUER'S TIN PARTICIPANT'S TIN		3 Roth IRA conversion amount	4 Recharacterized contributions	Copy A For Internal Revenue Service Center File with Form 1096.	
		5 FMV of account	6 Life insurance cost included in box 1		
PARTICIPANT'S name		7 IRA <input type="checkbox"/> SEP <input type="checkbox"/> SIMPLE <input type="checkbox"/> Roth IRA <input type="checkbox"/>	9 SIMPLE contributions	For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.	
Street address (including apt. no.)		8 SEP contributions	10 Roth IRA contributions		
City or town, state or province, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		11 Check if RMD for 2020 <input type="checkbox"/>	12a RMD date		
		12b RMD amount	13a Postponed/late contrib.		
		13b Year	13c Code		
Account number (see instructions)		14a Repayments	14b Code		
		15a FMV of certain specified assets	15b Code(s)		

Form **5498** Cat. No. 50010C www.irs.gov/Form5498 Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit AA

Form W-2G

3232 VOID CORRECTED

PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, province or state, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		1 Reportable winnings	2 Date won
		\$	
		3 Type of wager	4 Federal income tax withheld
		\$	
PAYER'S federal identification number PAYER'S telephone number		5 Transaction	6 Race
		\$	
WINNER'S name		7 Winnings from identical wagers	8 Cashier
		\$	
Street address (including apt. no.)		9 Winner's taxpayer identification no.	10 Window
		\$	
City or town, province or state, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		11 First I.D.	12 Second I.D.
		\$	
17 Local income tax withheld		13 State/Payer's state identification no.	14 State winnings
		\$	\$
15 State income tax withheld		16 Local winnings	
		\$	\$
17 Local income tax withheld		18 Name of locality	
		\$	

OMB No. 1545-0238
2019
Form W-2G
Certain Gambling Winnings

For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the **2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.**

File with Form 1096

Copy A
For Internal Revenue Service Center

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the name, address, and taxpayer identification number that I have furnished correctly identify me as the recipient of this payment and any payments from identical wagers, and that no other person is entitled to any part of these payments.

Signature ▶ **Date** ▶

Form **W-2G** Cat. No. 10138V www.irs.gov/FormW2G Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page - Do Not Cut or Separate Forms on This Page

3232 VOID CORRECTED

PAYER'S name, street address, city or town, province or state, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		1 Reportable winnings	2 Date won
		\$	
		3 Type of wager	4 Federal income tax withheld
		\$	
PAYER'S federal identification number PAYER'S telephone number		5 Transaction	6 Race
		\$	
WINNER'S name		7 Winnings from identical wagers	8 Cashier
		\$	
Street address (including apt. no.)		9 Winner's taxpayer identification no.	10 Window
		\$	
City or town, province or state, country, and ZIP or foreign postal code		11 First I.D.	12 Second I.D.
		\$	
17 Local income tax withheld		13 State/Payer's state identification no.	14 State winnings
		\$	\$
15 State income tax withheld		16 Local winnings	
		\$	\$
17 Local income tax withheld		18 Name of locality	
		\$	

OMB No. 1545-0238
2019
Form W-2G
Certain Gambling Winnings

For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the **2019 General Instructions for Certain Information Returns.**

File with Form 1096

Copy A
For Internal Revenue Service Center

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the name, address, and taxpayer identification number that I have furnished correctly identify me as the recipient of this payment and any payments from identical wagers, and that no other person is entitled to any part of these payments.

Signature ▶ **Date** ▶

Form **W-2G** Cat. No. 10138V www.irs.gov/FormW2G Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit BB

Form 1042-S

Form 1042-S Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service		Foreign Person's U.S. Source Income Subject to Withholding 2019 ▶ Go to www.irs.gov/Form1042S for instructions and the latest information.				OMB No. 1545-0096 Copy A for Internal Revenue Service	
		UNIQUE FORM IDENTIFIER <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDED <input type="checkbox"/> AMENDMENT NO.					
1 Income code	2 Gross income	3 Chapter indicator. Enter "3" or "4"		13e Recipient's U.S. TIN, if any		13f Ch. 3 status code	
		3a Exemption code	4a Exemption code	13h Recipient's GIIN		13i Recipient's foreign tax identification number, if any	13j LOB code
		3b Tax rate	4b Tax rate				
5 Withholding allowance				13k Recipient's account number			
6 Net income				13l Recipient's date of birth (YYYYMMDD)			
7a Federal tax withheld							
7b Check if federal tax withheld was not deposited with the IRS because escrow procedures were applied (see instructions) <input type="checkbox"/>							
7c Check if withholding occurred in subsequent year with respect to a partnership interest <input type="checkbox"/>							
8 Tax withheld by other agents				14a Primary Withholding Agent's Name (if applicable)			
9 Overwithheld tax repaid to recipient pursuant to adjustment procedures (see instructions) ()				14b Primary Withholding Agent's EIN		15 Check if pro-rata basis reporting <input type="checkbox"/>	
10 Total withholding credit (combine boxes 7a, 8, and 9)				15a Intermediary or flow-through entity's EIN, if any		15b Ch. 3 status code	15c Ch. 4 status code
11 Tax paid by withholding agent (amounts not withheld) (see instructions)				15d Intermediary or flow-through entity's name			
12a Withholding agent's EIN		12b Ch. 3 status code	12c Ch. 4 status code	15e Intermediary or flow-through entity's GIIN			
12d Withholding agent's name				15f Country code	15g Foreign tax identification number, if any		
12e Withholding agent's Global Intermediary Identification Number (GIIN)				15h Address (number and street)			
12f Country code	12g Foreign tax identification number, if any			15i City or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code			
12h Address (number and street)				16a Payer's name		16b Payer's TIN	
12i City or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code				16c Payer's GIIN		16d Ch. 3 status code	16e Ch. 4 status code
13a Recipient's name		13b Recipient's country code		17a State income tax withheld	17b Payer's state tax no.	17c Name of state	
13c Address (number and street)				7.30 in			
13d City or town, state or province, country, ZIP or foreign postal code							

For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see instructions. Cat. No. 11386R Form 1042-S (2019)

Part IV.

Notice of Proposed Rulemaking

Guidance under Section 958 (Rules for Determining Stock Ownership) and Section 951A (Global Intangible Low-Taxed Income)

REG-101828-19

AGENCY: Internal Revenue Service (IRS), Treasury.

ACTION: Notice of proposed rulemaking.

SUMMARY: This document contains proposed regulations regarding the treatment of domestic partnerships for purposes of determining amounts included in the gross income of their partners with respect to foreign corporations. In addition, this document contains proposed regulations under the global intangible low-taxed income provisions regarding gross income that is subject to a high rate of foreign tax. The proposed regulations would affect United States persons that own stock of foreign corporations through domestic partnerships and United States shareholders of foreign corporations.

DATES: Written or electronic comments and requests for a public hearing must be received by September 19, 2019.

ADDRESSES: Send submissions to: Internal Revenue Service, CC:PA:LPD:PR (REG-101828-19), Room 5203, Post Office Box 7604, Ben Franklin Station, Washington, DC 20044. Submissions may be hand-delivered Monday through Friday between the hours of 8 a.m. and 4 p.m. to CC:PA:LPD:PR (REG-101828-19), Courier's Desk, Internal Revenue Service, 1111 Constitution Avenue, N.W., Washington, DC 20024, or sent electronically, via the Federal eRulemaking Portal

at www.regulations.gov (indicate IRS and REG-101828-19).

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Concerning the proposed regulations under §§1.951-1, 1.956-1, and 1.958-1, Joshua P. Roffenbender at (202) 317-6934; concerning the proposed regulations under §§1.951A-0, 1.951A-2, 1.951A-7, and 1.954-1, Jorge M. Oben at (202) 317-6934; concerning the proposed regulations under §1.1502-51, Katherine H. Zhang at (202) 317-6848 or Kevin M. Jacobs at (202) 317-5332; concerning submissions of comments or requests for a public hearing, Regina Johnson at (202) 317-6901 (not toll-free numbers).

SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

Background

I. Subpart F Before Enactment of Section 951A

The Revenue Act of 1962 (the “1962 Act”), Pub. L. 87-834, sec. 12, 76 Stat. at 1006, enacted subpart F of part III, subchapter N, chapter 1 of the 1954 Internal Revenue Code (“subpart F”), as amended. See sections 951 through 965 of the Internal Revenue Code (“Code”).¹¹ Congress created the subpart F regime to limit the use of corporations organized in low-tax jurisdictions for the purposes of obtaining indefinite deferral of U.S. tax on certain earnings – generally earnings that are passive or highly mobile – that would otherwise be subject to Federal income tax. H.R. Rep. No. 1447 at 57-58 (1962); S. Rep. No. 1881 at 78-80 (1962). Subpart F generally requires a United States shareholder (as defined in section 951(b)) (“U.S. shareholder”) to include in its gross income (“subpart F inclusion”) its pro rata share of subpart F income (as defined in section 952) earned by a controlled foreign corporation (“CFC”) (as defined in section 957(a)) and its pro rata share of earnings and profits (“E&P”) invested in certain United States property by the CFC. See section 951(a)(1)(A) and (B) and sec-

tion 956(a). For purposes of both section 951(a)(1)(A) and (B), the determination of a U.S. shareholder’s pro rata share of any amount with respect to a CFC is determined by reference to the stock of the CFC that the shareholder owns (within the meaning of section 958(a)). See sections 951(a)(1) and (2) and 956(a).

Section 957(a) defines a CFC as any foreign corporation if U.S. shareholders own (within the meaning of section 958(a)), or are considered as owning by applying the ownership rules of section 958(b), more than 50 percent of the total combined voting power or value of stock of such corporation on any day during the taxable year of such foreign corporation. Section 951(b) defines a U.S. shareholder of a foreign corporation as a United States person (“U.S. person”) that owns (within the meaning of section 958(a)), or is considered as owning by applying the ownership rules of section 958(b), at least 10 percent of the total combined voting power or value of stock of the foreign corporation. Section 957(c) generally defines a U.S. person by reference to section 7701(a)(30), which defines a U.S. person as a citizen or resident of the United States, a domestic partnership, a domestic corporation, and certain estates and trusts.

Stock owned within the meaning of section 958(a) is stock owned directly and stock owned indirectly under section 958(a)(2). Section 958(a)(2) provides that stock owned, directly or indirectly, by or for a foreign corporation, foreign partnership, foreign trust, or foreign estate is considered to be owned proportionately by its shareholders, partners, or beneficiaries. Section 958(a)(2) does not provide rules addressing stock owned by domestic entities, including domestic partnerships.

Section 958(b) provides in relevant part that the constructive ownership rules of section 318(a) apply, with certain modifications, for purposes of determining whether any U.S. person is a U.S. shareholder or any foreign corporation is a CFC. These rules apply to treat a person as owning the stock owned, directly or indirectly, by another person, generally

¹¹ Except as otherwise stated, all section references in this preamble are to the Internal Revenue Code.

without regard to whether the person to or from which stock is attributed is domestic or foreign. In particular, section 318(a)(2)(A) provides in relevant part that stock owned, directly or indirectly, by or for a partnership is considered as owned proportionately by its partners, and section 318(a)(3)(A) provides that stock owned, directly or indirectly, by or for a partner is considered as owned by the partnership. Further, in determining stock treated as owned by partners of a partnership under section 318(a)(2)(A), section 958(b)(2) provides in relevant part that a partnership that owns, directly or indirectly, more than 50 percent of the voting power of a corporation is considered as owning all the stock entitled to vote. However, a U.S. person that is a U.S. shareholder of a CFC by reason of constructive ownership under section 958(b), but that does not own stock in the CFC within the meaning of section 958(a), does not have a subpart F inclusion with respect to the CFC.

II. *Treatment of Domestic Partnerships as Entities or Aggregates of their Partners, in General*

For purposes of applying a particular provision of the Code, a partnership may be treated as either an entity separate from its partners or as an aggregate of its partners. Under an aggregate approach, the partners of a partnership, and not the partnership, are treated as owning the partnership's assets and conducting the partnership's operations. Under an entity approach, the partnership is respected as separate and distinct from its partners, and therefore the partnership, and not the partners, is treated as owning the partnership's assets and conducting the partnership's operations. Based upon the authority of subchapter K and the policies underlying a particular provision of the Code, a partnership is treated as an aggregate of its partners or as an entity separate from its partners, depending on which characterization is more appropriate to carry out the scope and purpose of the Code provision. See H.R. Rep. No. 83-2543, at 59 (1954) (Conf. Rep.) (“Both the House provisions and the Senate amendment provide for the use of the ‘entity’ approach in the treatment of transactions between a partner and a partnership No inference is in-

tended, however, that a partnership is to be considered as a separate entity for the purpose of applying other provisions of the internal revenue laws if the concept of the partnership as a collection of individuals is more appropriate for such provisions.”). See also *Casel v. Commissioner*, 79 T.C. 424, 433 (1982) (“When the 1954 Code was adopted by Congress, the conference report . . . clearly stated that whether an aggregate or entity theory of partnerships should be applied to a particular Code section depends upon which theory is more appropriate to such section.”); *Holiday Village Shopping Center v. United States*, 5 Cl. Ct. 566, 570 (1984), *aff’d* 773 F.2d 276 (Fed. Cir. 1985) (“[T]he proper inquiry is not whether a partnership is an entity or an aggregate for purposes of applying the internal revenue laws generally, but rather which is the more appropriate and more consistent with Congressional intent with respect to the operation of the particular provision of the Internal Revenue Code at issue.”); §1.701-2(e)(1) (“The Commissioner can treat a partnership as an aggregate of its partners in whole or in part as appropriate to carry out the purpose of any provision of the Internal Revenue Code”).

Consistent with this authority under subchapter K, the Treasury Department and the IRS have adopted an aggregate approach to partnerships to carry out the purpose of various provisions, including international provisions, of the Code. For example, regulations under section 871 treat domestic and foreign partnerships as aggregates of their partners in applying the 10 percent shareholder test of section 871(h)(3) to determine whether interest paid to a partnership would be considered portfolio interest under section 871(h)(2). See §1.871-14(g)(3)(i). An aggregate approach to partnerships was also adopted in regulations issued under section 367(a) to address the transfer of property by a domestic or foreign partnership to a foreign corporation in an exchange described in section 367(a)(1). See §1.367(a)-1T(c)(3)(i)(A). Similarly, the Treasury Department and the IRS adopted an aggregate approach to foreign partnerships for purposes of applying the regulations under section 367(b). See §1.367(b)-2(k); see also §§1.367(e)-1(b)(2) (treating stock and securities of a distributing corporation

owned by or for a partnership (domestic or foreign) as owned proportionately by its partners) and 1.861-9(e)(2) (requiring certain corporate partners to apportion interest expense, including the partner's distributive share of partnership interest expense, by reference to the partner's assets).

III. *Treatment of Domestic Partnerships as Entities or Aggregates for Purposes of Subpart F Before the Tax Cuts and Jobs Act*

Since the enactment of subpart F, domestic partnerships have generally been treated as entities, rather than as aggregates of their partners, for purposes of determining whether U.S. shareholders own more than 50 percent of the stock (by voting power or value) of a foreign corporation and thus whether a foreign corporation is a CFC. See §1.701-2(f), *Example 3* (concluding that a foreign corporation wholly owned by a domestic partnership is a CFC for purposes of applying the look-through rules of section 904(d)(3)). In addition, domestic partnerships have generally been treated as entities for purposes of treating a domestic partnership as the U.S. shareholder that has the subpart F inclusion with respect to such foreign corporation. But cf. §§1.951-1(h) and 1.965-1(e) (treating certain domestic partnerships owned by CFCs as foreign partnerships for purposes of determining the U.S. shareholder that has the subpart F inclusion with respect to CFCs owned by such domestic partnerships). If a domestic partnership is treated as the U.S. shareholder with the subpart F inclusion, then each partner of the partnership has a distributive share of the partnership's subpart F inclusion, regardless of whether the partner itself is a U.S. shareholder. See section 702.

This entity treatment is consistent with the inclusion of a domestic partnership in the definition of a U.S. person in section 7701(a)(30), which term is used in the definition of U.S. shareholder by reference to section 957(c). It is also consistent with the legislative history to section 951, which describes domestic partnerships as being included within the definition of a U.S. person and, therefore, a U.S. shareholder. See, for example, S. Rep. No. 1881

at 80 n.1 (1962) (“U.S. shareholders are defined in the bill as ‘U.S. persons’ with 10-percent stockholding. U.S. persons, in general, are U.S. citizens and residents and domestic corporations, partnerships and estates or trusts.”). Furthermore, entity treatment is consistent with sections 958(b) and 318(a)(3)(A), which treat a partnership (including a domestic partnership) as owning the stock owned by its partners for purposes of determining whether the foreign corporation is owned more than 50 percent by U.S. shareholders.

In contrast to the historical treatment of domestic partnerships as entities for purposes of subpart F, foreign partnerships are generally treated as aggregates of their partners for purposes of determining stock ownership under section 958(a). See section 958(a)(2). Accordingly, whether a foreign corporation owned by a foreign partnership is a CFC is determined based on the proportionate amount of stock owned by domestic partners of the partnership and, if the foreign corporation is a CFC, partners that are U.S. shareholders have the subpart F inclusion with respect to the CFC.

IV. Section 951A

A. In general

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act, Pub. L. 115-97 (the “Act”) established a participation exemption system for the taxation of certain foreign income by allowing a domestic corporation a 100 percent dividends received deduction for the foreign-source portion of a dividend received from a specified 10 percent-owned foreign corporation. See section 14101(a) of the Act and section 245A. The Act’s legislative history expresses concern that the new participation exemption could heighten the incentive to shift profits to low-tax foreign jurisdictions or tax havens absent base erosion protections. See S. Comm. on the Budget, Reconciliation Recommendations Pursuant to H. Con. Res. 71, S. Print No. 115-20, at 370 (2017) (“Senate Explanation”). For example, without appropriate limits, domestic corporations might be incentivized to shift income to low-taxed foreign affiliates, and the income could potentially be distributed back

to domestic corporate shareholders without the imposition of any U.S. tax. See *id.* To prevent base erosion, the Act retained the subpart F regime and enacted section 951A, which applies to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and to taxable years of U.S. shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

Section 951A requires a U.S. shareholder of any CFC for any taxable year to include in gross income the shareholder’s global intangible low-taxed income (“GILTI inclusion”) for such taxable year in a manner similar to a subpart F inclusion for many purposes of the Code. See sections 951A(a) and (f)(1)(A); H.R. Rep. No. 115-466, at 641 (2017) (Conf. Rep.) (“[A] U.S. shareholder of any CFC must include in gross income for a taxable year its [GILTI] in a manner generally similar to inclusions of subpart F income.”). Similar to a subpart F inclusion, the determination of a U.S. shareholder’s GILTI inclusion begins with the calculation of relevant items – such as tested income, tested loss, and qualified business asset investment – of each CFC owned by the shareholder (“tested items”). See section 951A(c)(2) and (d) and §§1.951A-2 through -4. A U.S. shareholder then determines its pro rata share of each of these CFC-level tested items in a manner similar to a U.S. shareholder’s pro rata share of subpart F income under section 951(a)(2). See section 951A(e)(1) and §1.951A-1(d).

In contrast to a subpart F inclusion, however, a U.S. shareholder’s pro rata shares of the tested items of a CFC are not amounts included in gross income, but rather are amounts taken into account by the U.S. shareholder in determining the amount of its GILTI inclusion for the taxable year. Section 951A(b) and §1.951A-1(c). Thus, a U.S. shareholder does not compute a separate GILTI inclusion amount under section 951A(a) with respect to each CFC for a taxable year, but rather computes a single GILTI inclusion amount by reference to all of its CFCs.

Section 951A itself does not contain specific rules regarding the treatment of domestic partnerships and their partners for purposes of GILTI. However, proposed regulations under section 951A that were published in the **Federal Register**

on October 10, 2018, (REG-104390-18, 83 FR 51072) (“GILTI proposed regulations”) reflect a hybrid approach that treats a domestic partnership that is a U.S. shareholder with respect to a CFC (“U.S. shareholder partnership”) as an entity with respect to some partners but as an aggregate of its partners with respect to others. Under the hybrid approach, with respect to partners that are not U.S. shareholders of a CFC owned by a domestic partnership, a U.S. shareholder partnership calculates a GILTI inclusion amount and its partners have a distributive share of such amount (if any). See proposed §1.951A-5(b)(1). However, with respect to partners that are themselves U.S. shareholders of a CFC owned by a domestic partnership (“U.S. shareholder partners”), the partnership is treated in the same manner as a foreign partnership, with the result that the U.S. shareholder partners are treated as proportionately owning, within the meaning of section 958(a), stock owned by the domestic partnership for purposes of determining their own GILTI inclusion amounts. See proposed §1.951A-5(c). In the preamble to the GILTI proposed regulations, the Treasury Department and the IRS rejected a pure entity approach to section 951A, because treating a domestic partnership as the section 958(a) owner of stock in all cases would frustrate the GILTI framework by creating unintended planning opportunities for well advised taxpayers and traps for the unwary. However, the Treasury Department and the IRS also did not adopt a pure aggregate approach to domestic partnerships for GILTI because such an approach would be inconsistent with the existing treatment of domestic partnerships as entities for purposes of subpart F.

The Treasury Department and the IRS received many comments in response to the hybrid approach of the GILTI proposed regulations. The comments generally advised against adopting the hybrid approach due primarily to concerns with complexity and administrability arising from the treatment of a partnership as an entity with respect to some partners but as an aggregate with respect to other partners. The comments also generally advised against adopting a pure entity approach because such an approach would result in different treatment for similarly

situated taxpayers depending on whether a U.S. shareholder owned stock of a foreign corporation through a domestic partnership or a foreign partnership, which is treated as an aggregate of its partners for purposes of determining CFC status and section 958(a) ownership. The majority of comments on this issue recommended at least some form of aggregate approach for domestic partnerships for purposes of the GILTI regime; some of these comments suggested that an aggregate approach is supported by analogy to other situations where regulations apply an aggregate approach to partnerships. See, for example, §§1.954-1(g)(1) and 1.871-14(g)(3)(i).

In response to these comments, the Treasury Department and the IRS are issuing final regulations under section 951A in the Rules and Regulations section of this issue of the **Internal Revenue Bulletin** (“GILTI final regulations”) that treat stock owned by a domestic partnership as owned within the meaning of section 958(a) by its partners for purposes of determining a partner’s GILTI inclusion amount under section 951A. The Treasury Department and the IRS concluded that applying an aggregate approach for purposes of determining a partner’s GILTI inclusion amount under section 951A is necessary to ensure that, consistent with the purpose and operation of section 951A, a single GILTI inclusion amount is determined for each taxpayer based on its economic interests in all of its CFCs. The GILTI final regulations apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and to taxable years of U.S. shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

Some comments also recommended adopting an aggregate approach for purposes of section 951, especially if the GILTI final regulations adopt an aggregate approach. These comments generally asserted that there is insufficient policy justification for treating domestic partnerships differently than foreign partnerships for purposes of U.S. shareholder and CFC determinations because the choice of law under which a partnership is organized should be irrelevant. In this regard, these comments criticized entity treatment of domestic partnerships because it results in each partner including in income its distributive share of a domestic partnership’s

subpart F inclusion with respect to a CFC, even if that partner is not a U.S. shareholder itself and thus would not have had a subpart F inclusion with respect to such CFC if the domestic partnership were instead foreign.

B. High-tax gross tested income

Section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i) provides that the gross tested income of a CFC for a taxable year is all the gross income of the CFC for the year, determined without regard to certain items. See also §1.951A-2(c)(1). In particular, section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(III) excludes from gross tested income any gross income excluded from foreign base company income (as defined in section 954) (“FBCI”) or insurance income (as defined in section 953) of a CFC by reason of the exception under section 954(b)(4) (the “GILTI high tax exclusion”).

The GILTI proposed regulations clarified that the GILTI high tax exclusion applies only to income that is excluded from FBCI and insurance income solely by reason of an election made to exclude the income under the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) and §1.954-1(d)(5). See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(1)(iii).

Numerous comments requested that the scope of the GILTI high tax exclusion be expanded in the final regulations. These comments asserted that the legislative history to section 951A indicates that Congress intended that income of a CFC should be taxed as GILTI only if it is subject to a low rate of foreign tax, regardless of whether the income is active or passive. Comments also suggested that the GILTI high tax exclusion does not require that income be excluded “solely” by reason of section 954(b)(4). The comments argued that the GILTI high tax exclusion could be interpreted to exclude any item of income that would be FBCI or insurance income, but for another exception to FBCI (for instance, the active financing exception under section 954(h) and the active insurance exception under section 954(i)). Of the comments recommending an expansion of the GILTI high tax exclusion, some recommended that the GILTI high tax exclusion apply to income taxed at a rate above 13.125 percent, while others recommended that the GILTI high tax

exclusion apply to income taxed at a rate above 90 percent of the maximum rate of tax specified in section 11, or 18.9 percent. The comments recommended that the GILTI high tax exclusion be applied either on a CFC-by-CFC basis or an item-by-item basis.

Alternatively, comments recommended that the scope of the GILTI high tax exclusion be expanded under section 951A(f) by treating, on an elective basis, a GILTI inclusion as a subpart F inclusion that is potentially excludible from FBCI or insurance income under section 954(b)(4), or by modifying the GILTI high tax exclusion to exclude any item of income subject to a sufficiently high effective foreign tax rate such that it would be excludible under section 954(b)(4) if it were FBCI or insurance income. Other comments recommended the creation of a rebuttable presumption that all income of a CFC is subpart F income, regardless of whether such income is of a character included in FBCI or insurance income, and therefore, if the taxpayer chose not to rebut the presumption, the income would be excluded from gross tested income either because it is included in subpart F income (and thus excluded from gross tested income by reason of the subpart F exclusion under section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(II)) or because the income is excluded from subpart F income by reason of section 954(b)(4) (and thus excluded from gross tested income by reason of the GILTI high tax exclusion).

The GILTI final regulations adopt the GILTI high tax exclusion of the proposed regulations without change.

Explanation of Provisions

I. Partnerships

A. Adoption of aggregate treatment for purposes of section 951

After considering the alternatives, the Treasury Department and the IRS have concluded that, to be consistent with the treatment of domestic partnerships under section 951A, a domestic partnership should also generally be treated as an aggregate of its partners in determining stock owned under section 958(a) for purposes of section 951. Therefore, the proposed regulations provide that,

for purposes of sections 951 and 951A, and for purposes of any provision that applies by reference to sections 951 and 951A (for example, sections 959, 960, and 961), a domestic partnership is not treated as owning stock of a foreign corporation within the meaning of section 958(a). See proposed §1.958-1(d)(1). Furthermore, the proposed regulations provide that, for purposes of determining the stock owned under section 958(a) by a partner of a domestic partnership, a domestic partnership is treated in the same manner as a foreign partnership. See *id.* This rule does not apply, however, for purposes of determining whether any U.S. person is a U.S. shareholder, whether a U.S. shareholder is a controlling domestic shareholder (as defined in §1.964-1(c)(5)), or whether a foreign corporation is a CFC. See proposed §1.958-1(d)(2). Accordingly, under the proposed regulations, a domestic partnership that owns a foreign corporation is treated as an entity for purposes of determining whether the partnership and its partners are U.S. shareholders, whether the partnership is a controlling domestic shareholder, and whether the foreign corporation is a CFC, but the partnership is treated as an aggregate of its partners for purposes of determining whether, and to what extent, its partners have inclusions under sections 951 and 951A and for purposes of any other provision that applies by reference to sections 951 and 951A.

For purposes of subpart F, a foreign partnership is explicitly treated as an aggregate of its partners, and rules regarding this aggregate treatment are relatively well-developed and understood. Therefore, rather than developing a new standard for the treatment of a domestic partnership as an aggregate of its partners, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that it would be simpler and more administrable to adopt, by reference, the rules related to foreign partnerships for this limited purpose. The GILTI final regulations adopt the same approach for purposes of section 951A. See §1.951A-1(e). As a result, under the proposed regulations, stock owned directly or indirectly by or for a domestic partnership will generally be treated as owned proportionately by its partners for purposes of sections 951(a) and 951A and any provi-

sion that applies by reference to sections 951 and 951A.

The Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that, as a result of the enactment of the GILTI regime, it is no longer appropriate to treat domestic partnerships as entities that are separate from their owners for purposes of determining whether, and to what extent, a partner has an inclusion under section 951. Congress intended for the subpart F and GILTI regimes to work in tandem by providing that both regimes apply to U.S. shareholders of CFCs, that GILTI is included in a U.S. shareholder's gross income in a manner similar to a subpart F inclusion for many purposes of the Code, and that gross income taken into account in determining the subpart F income of a CFC is not taken into account in determining the tested income of such CFC (and, therefore, in determining the GILTI inclusion amount of a U.S. shareholder of such CFC). See section 951A(c)(2)(i)(II) and 951A(f); see also Senate Explanation at 373 ("Although GILTI inclusions do not constitute subpart F income, GILTI inclusions are generally treated similarly to subpart F inclusions."). As a result, treating domestic partnerships inconsistently for subpart F and GILTI purposes would be inconsistent with legislative intent.

Furthermore, inconsistent approaches to the treatment of domestic partnerships for purposes of subpart F and GILTI would introduce substantial complexity and uncertainty, particularly with respect to foreign tax credits, previously taxed earnings and profits ("PTEP") and related basis rules, or any other provision the application of which turns on the owner of stock under section 958(a) and, thus, the U.S. person that has the relevant inclusion. For example, if a domestic partnership were treated as an aggregate of its partners for purposes of GILTI but as an entity for purposes of subpart F, regulations would need to address separately the maintenance of PTEP accounts at the domestic partnership level for subpart F and the maintenance of PTEP accounts at the partner level for GILTI. Similarly, regulations would need to provide separate rules for basis adjustments under section 961 with respect to a domestic partnership and its CFCs depending on whether an amount was included under section 951

or section 951A. The increased complexity of regulations resulting from treating domestic partnerships differently for purposes of subpart F and GILTI would, in turn, increase the burden on taxpayers to comply with, and on the IRS to administer, such regulations. Conversely, aggregate treatment of domestic partnerships in determining section 958(a) stock ownership for purposes of determining a partner's inclusion under both the GILTI and subpart F regimes will result in substantial simplification, as compared to disparate treatment, and will harmonize the two regimes.

The Treasury Department and the IRS also considered extending aggregate treatment for all purposes of subpart F, including for purposes of determining whether a foreign corporation is a CFC under section 957(a). However, the Treasury Department and the IRS determined that an approach that treats a domestic partnership as an aggregate for purposes of determining CFC status is inconsistent with relevant statutory provisions. As discussed in part III of the Background section of this preamble, the Code clearly contemplates that a domestic partnership can be a U.S. shareholder under section 951(b), including by attribution from its partners. See sections 7701(a)(30), 957(c), 951(b), 958(b), 318(a)(2)(A), and 318(a)(3)(A). An approach that treats a domestic partnership as an aggregate for purposes of determining CFC status would not give effect to the statutory treatment of a domestic partnership as a U.S. shareholder.

By contrast, neither section 958(a) nor any other provision of the Code specifies whether and to what extent a domestic partnership should be treated as an entity or an aggregate for purposes of determining stock ownership under section 958(a) for purposes of sections 951 and 951A. According to the legislative history to the 1962 Act, section 958(a) is a "limited rule of stock ownership for determining the amount taxable to a United States person," whereas section 958(b) is "a broader set of constructive rules of ownership for determining whether the requisite ownership by United States persons exists so as to make a corporation a controlled foreign corporation or a United States person has the requisite ownership to be liable for tax under section 951(a)." S. Rep. No. 1881 at

254 (1962). In light of the changes adopted in the Act (including the introduction of the GILTI regime), it is consistent with the intent of the Act to provide that domestic partnerships are treated in the same manner as foreign partnerships under section 958(a)(2) for purposes of sections 951(a) and 951A and any provision that applies by reference to sections 951 and 951A. As discussed in parts II and IV.A. of the Background section of this preamble, a domestic partnership may be treated as an aggregate of its partners or as an entity separate from its partners for purposes of a provision, depending on which characterization is more appropriate to carry out the purpose of the provision. In this regard, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that treating a domestic partnership as an aggregate for purposes of sections 951 and 951A is appropriate because the partners of the partnership generally are the ultimate taxable owners of the CFC and thus their inclusions under sections 951 and 951A are properly computed at the partner level regardless of whether the partnership is foreign or domestic.

Based on the foregoing, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that a domestic partnership should be treated consistently as an aggregate of its partners in determining the ownership of stock within the meaning of section 958(a) for purposes of sections 951 and 951A, and any provision that applies by reference to section 951 or section 951A, except for purposes of determining whether a U.S. person is a U.S. shareholder, whether a U.S. shareholder is a controlling domestic shareholder (as defined in §1.964-1(c)(5)), and whether a foreign corporation is a CFC. See proposed §1.958-1(d). This aggregate treatment does not apply for any other purposes of the Code, including for purposes of section 1248. However, the Treasury Department and the IRS request comments on other provisions in the Code that apply by reference to ownership within the meaning of section 958(a) for which aggregate treatment for domestic partnerships would be appropriate. The Treasury Department and the IRS also request comments on whether, and for which purposes, the aggregate treatment for domestic partnerships should be extended to the determination of the controlling domestic

shareholders (as defined in §1.964-1(c)(5)) of a CFC, such that some or all of the partners who are U.S. shareholders of the CFC, rather than the partnership, make any elections applicable to the CFC for purposes of sections 951 and 951A.

B. Applicability date and comment request with respect to transition

The proposed regulations are proposed to apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning on or after the date of publication of the Treasury decision adopting these rules as final regulations in the **Federal Register** (the “finalization date”), and to taxable years of a U.S. person in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end. See proposed §1.958-1(d)(4). With respect to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning before the finalization date, the proposed regulations provide that a domestic partnership may apply §1.958-1(d), as included in the final regulations, for taxable years of a foreign corporation beginning after December 31, 2017, and for taxable years of a domestic partnership in which or with which such taxable years of the foreign corporation end (the “applicable years”), provided that the partnership, domestic partnerships that are related (within the meaning of section 267 or 707) to the partnership, and certain partners consistently apply §1.958-1(d) with respect to all foreign corporations whose stock they own within the meaning of section 958(a) (generally determined without regard to §1.958-1(d)). See proposed §1.958-1(d)(4). A domestic partnership may rely on proposed §1.958-1(d) with respect to taxable years beginning after December 31, 2017, and beginning before the date that these regulations are published as final regulations in the **Federal Register**, provided that the partnership, domestic partnerships that are related (within the meaning of section 267 or 707) to the partnership, and certain partners consistently apply proposed §1.958-1(d) with respect to all foreign corporations whose stock they own within the meaning of section 958(a) (generally determined without regard to proposed §1.958-1(d)). See id.

Once proposed §1.958-1(d) applies as a final regulation, §1.951A-1(e) and

§1.951-1(h) (providing an aggregate treatment of domestic partnerships, but only for purposes of section 951A and limited subpart F purposes, respectively) would be unnecessary because the scope of those regulations would effectively be subsumed by §1.958-1(d). Therefore, the proposed regulations would revise the applicability dates of §1.951A-1(e) and §1.951-1(h), so that those provisions do not apply once the final regulations under section 958 apply.

Historically, domestic partnerships have been treated as owning stock within the meaning of section 958(a) for purposes of determining their subpart F inclusions, and thus PTEP accounts were maintained, and related basis adjustments were made, at the partnership level. Upon the finalization of the proposed regulations, domestic partnerships will cease to be treated as owning stock of foreign corporations under section 958(a) for purposes of determining a subpart F inclusion, and instead their partners will be treated as owning stock under section 958(a). The Treasury Department and the IRS request comments on appropriate rules for the transition to the aggregate approach to domestic partnerships described in the proposed regulations. Comments are specifically requested as to necessary adjustments to PTEP and related basis amounts and capital accounts after finalization. In addition, comments are requested as to whether aggregate treatment of domestic partnerships should be extended to other “pass-through” entities, such as certain trusts or estates.

Comments are also requested with respect to the application of the PFIC regime after finalization, and whether elections (including elections under sections 1295 and 1296) and income inclusions under the PFIC rules are more appropriately made at the level of the domestic partnership or at the level of the partners. Specifically, the Treasury Department and the IRS are considering the operation of the PFIC regime where U.S. persons are partners of a domestic partnership that owns stock of a foreign corporation that is a PFIC, some of those partners might themselves be U.S. shareholders of the foreign corporation, and the foreign corporation might not be treated as a PFIC with respect to such U.S. shareholders

under section 1297(d) if the foreign corporation is also a CFC. Comments should consider how any recommended approach would interact with the determinations of a partner's basis in its interest and capital accounts determined and maintained in accordance with §1.704-1(b)(2).

II. GILTI High Tax Exclusion

A. Expansion to exclude other high-taxed income

In response to comments, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that the GILTI high tax exclusion should be expanded (on an elective basis) to include certain high-taxed income even if that income would not otherwise be FBCI or insurance income. In particular, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that taxpayers should be permitted to elect to apply the exception under section 954(b)(4) with respect to certain classes of income that are subject to high foreign taxes within the meaning of that provision. Before the Act, such an election would have had no effect with respect to items of income that were excluded from FBCI or insurance income for other reasons. Nevertheless, section 954(b)(4) is not explicitly restricted in its application to an item of income that first qualifies as FBCI or insurance income; rather, the provision applies to “any item of income received by a controlled foreign corporation.” Therefore, any item of gross income, including an item that would otherwise be gross tested income, could be excluded from FBCI or insurance income “by reason of” section 954(b)(4) if the provision is one of the reasons for such exclusion, even if the exception under section 954(b)(4) is not the sole reason. Any item thus excluded from FBCI or insurance income by reason of section 954(b)(4) would then also be excluded from gross tested income under the GILTI high tax exclusion, as modified in these proposed regulations.

The legislative history evidences an intent to exclude high-taxed income from gross tested income. See Senate Explanation at 371 (“The Committee believes that certain items of income earned by CFCs should be excluded from the GILTI, either because they should be exempt from

U.S. tax—as they are generally not the type of income that is the source of base erosion concerns—or are already taxed currently by the United States. Items of income excluded from GILTI because they are exempt from U.S. tax under the bill include foreign oil and gas extraction income (which is generally immobile) and income subject to high levels of foreign tax.”). The proposed regulations, which permit taxpayers to electively exclude a CFC's high-taxed income from gross tested income, are consistent, therefore, with this legislative history. Furthermore, an election to exclude a CFC's high-taxed income from gross tested income allows a U.S. shareholder to ensure that its high-taxed non-subpart F income is eligible for the same treatment as its high-taxed FBCI and insurance income, and thus eliminates an incentive for taxpayers to restructure their CFC operations in order to convert gross tested income into FBCI for the sole purpose of availing themselves of section 954(b)(4) and, thus, the GILTI high tax exclusion.

For the foregoing reasons, the proposed regulations provide that an election may be made for a CFC to exclude under section 954(b)(4), and thus to exclude from gross tested income, gross income subject to foreign income tax at an effective rate that is greater than 90 percent of the rate that would apply if the income were subject to the maximum rate of tax specified in section 11 (18.9 percent based on the current rate of 21 percent). See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(i). The election is made by the CFC's controlling domestic shareholders with respect to the CFC for a CFC inclusion year by attaching a statement to an amended or filed return in accordance with forms, instructions, or administrative pronouncements. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v)(A). If an election is made with respect to a CFC, the election applies to exclude from gross tested income all the CFC's items of income for the taxable year that meet the effective rate test in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(iii) and is binding on all the U.S. shareholders of the CFC. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v)(B). The election is effective for a CFC for the CFC inclusion year for which it is made and all subsequent CFC inclusion years of the CFC unless revoked by the controlling domestic shareholders of

the CFC. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v)(C).

An election may generally be revoked by the controlling domestic shareholders of the CFC for any CFC inclusion year. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v)(D)(1). However, upon revocation for a CFC inclusion year, a new election generally cannot be made for any CFC inclusion year of the CFC that begins within sixty months after the close of the CFC inclusion year for which the election was revoked, and that subsequent election cannot be revoked for a CFC inclusion year that begins within sixty months after the close of the CFC inclusion year for which the subsequent election was made. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v)(D)(2)(i). An exception to this 60-month limitation may be permitted by the Commissioner with respect to a CFC if the CFC undergoes a change of control. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v)(D)(2)(ii).

Finally, if a CFC is a member of a controlling domestic shareholder group, the election applies with respect to each member of the controlling domestic shareholder group. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v)(E)(1). A “controlling domestic shareholder group” is defined as two or more CFCs if more than 50 percent of the stock (by voting power) of each CFC is owned (within the meaning of section 958(a)) by the same controlling domestic shareholder (or persons related to such controlling domestic shareholder) or, if no single controlling domestic shareholder owns (within the meaning of section 958(a)) more than 50 percent of the stock (by voting power) of each corporation, more than 50 percent of the stock (by voting power) of each corporation is owned (within the meaning of section 958(a)) in the aggregate by the same controlling domestic shareholders and each controlling domestic shareholder owns (within the meaning of section 958(a)) the same percentage of stock in each CFC. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v)(E)(2). Accordingly, an election made under proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v) applies with respect to each item of income of each CFC in a group of commonly controlled CFCs that meets the effective rate test in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(iii). The Treasury Department and the IRS request comments on the manner and terms of the election for the exception from gross test-

ed income, including whether the limitations with respect to revocations and the consistency requirements should be modified, such as by allowing the election to be made on an item-by-item or a CFC-by-CFC basis.

In general, the relevant items of income for purposes of the election under section 954(b)(4) pursuant to proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6) are all items of gross tested income attributable to a qualified business unit (“QBU”). See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(ii)(A)(1). For example, a CFC that owns a disregarded entity that qualifies as a QBU may have one item of income with respect to the CFC itself (which is a per se QBU) and another item of income with respect to the disregarded entity. The proposed regulations provide that the gross income attributable to a QBU is determined by reference to the items of gross income reflected on the books and records of the QBU, determined under Federal income tax principles, except that income attributable to a QBU must be adjusted to account for certain disregarded payments. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(ii)(A)(2). The proposed regulations provide an example to illustrate the application of this rule. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(vi).

Comments are requested on whether additional rules are needed to properly account for other instances in which the income base upon which foreign tax is imposed does not match the items of income reflected on the books and records of the QBU determined under Federal income tax principles. For example, comments are requested on whether special rules are needed for associating taxes with income with respect to partnerships (including hybrid partnerships), disregarded entities, or reverse hybrid entities, and how to address circumstances in which QBUs are permitted to share losses or determine tax liability based on combined income for foreign tax purposes. Comments are also requested as to whether all of a CFC’s QBUs located within a single foreign country or possession should be combined for purposes of performing the effective rate test in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(iii) and whether the definition of QBU should be modified for purposes of the GILTI high tax exclusion in respect of the requirement to have a trade or business, maintain

books and records, or other rules relating to QBUs.

Under §1.954-1(d)(3), the determination of taxes paid or accrued with respect to an item of income for purposes of the exception under section 954(b)(4) is determined for each U.S. shareholder based on the amount of foreign income taxes that would be deemed paid under section 960 if the item of income were included by the U.S. shareholder under section 951(a)(1)(A). Calculating the effective tax rate for purposes of the election under section 954(b)(4) with respect to gross tested income by reference to section 960(d) would not be consistent with the aggregate nature of the computation under section 960(d). Furthermore, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that the Act’s change to section 960(a) from a pooling based approach to an annual attribution of taxes to income requires revising §1.954-1(d)(3). Therefore, the proposed regulations provide that for purposes of both the exception under section 954(b)(4) and the GILTI high tax exclusion, the effective rate of foreign tax imposed on an item of income is determined solely at the CFC level by allocating and apportioning the foreign income taxes paid or accrued by the CFC in the current year to the CFC’s gross income in that year based on the rules described in the regulations under section 960 for determining foreign income taxes “properly attributable” to income. See §1.960-1(d), as proposed to be amended in 83 FR 63257 (December 7, 2018).

To the extent foreign income taxes are allocated and apportioned to items of income that are excluded from gross tested income by the GILTI high tax exclusion, none of those foreign income taxes are properly attributable to tested income and thus none are allowed as a deemed paid credit under section 960. See §1.960-1(e), as proposed to be amended in 83 FR 63259 (December 7, 2018). In addition, if an item of income is excluded from gross tested income by reason of the GILTI high tax exclusion, the property used to produce that income, because not used in the production of gross tested income, does not qualify as specified tangible property, in whole or in part, and therefore the adjusted basis in the property is not taken into account in determining qualified busi-

ness asset investment. See §1.951A-3(b) and (c)(1).

The proposed regulations also clarify the scope of each item of income under §1.954-1(c)(1)(iii), consistent with the rules under §1.960-1(d)(2)(ii)(B), as proposed to be amended in 83 FR 63257 (December 7, 2018).

B. *Applicability date*

The changes related to the election to exclude a CFC’s gross income subject to high foreign income taxes under section 954(b)(4) are proposed to apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning on or after the date that final regulations are published in the **Federal Register**, and to taxable years of U.S. shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

Special Analyses

I. *Regulatory Planning and Review – Economic Analysis*

Executive Orders 13771, 13563, and 12866 direct agencies to assess costs and benefits of available regulatory alternatives and, if regulation is necessary, to select regulatory approaches that maximize net benefits, including potential economic, environmental, public health and safety effects, distributive impacts, and equity. Executive Order 13563 emphasizes the importance of quantifying both costs and benefits, reducing costs, harmonizing rules, and promoting flexibility. The Executive Order 13771 designation for any final rule resulting from the proposed regulation will be informed by comments received. The preliminary Executive Order 13771 designation for this proposed rule is regulatory.

The proposed regulation has been designated by the Office of Information and Regulatory Affairs (OIRA) as subject to review under Executive Order 12866 pursuant to the Memorandum of Agreement (MOA, April 11, 2018) between the Treasury Department and the Office of Management and Budget regarding review of tax regulations. OIRA has designated this proposed regulation as economically significant under section 1(c) of the MOA. Accordingly, these proposed regulations

have been reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget. For more detail on the economic analysis, please refer to the following analysis.

A. Need for the proposed regulations

The proposed regulations are required to provide a mechanism by which taxpayers can elect the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) in order to exclude certain high-taxed income from taxation under section 951A and to conform the treatment of domestic partnerships for purposes of the subpart F regime with the treatment of domestic partnerships for purposes of section 951A.

B. Background

The Tax Cuts and Jobs Act (the “Act”) established a system under which certain earnings of a foreign corporation can be repatriated to a corporate U.S. shareholder without U.S. tax. See section 14101(a) of the Act and section 245A. However, Congress recognized that, without any base protection measures, this system, known as a participation exemption system, could incentivize taxpayers to allocate income – in particular, mobile income from intangible property that would otherwise be subject to the full U.S. corporate tax rate – to controlled foreign corporations (“CFCs”) operating in low- or zero-tax jurisdictions. See Senate Explanation at 365. Therefore, Congress enacted section 951A in order to subject intangible income earned by a CFC to U.S. tax on a current basis, similar to the treatment of a CFC’s subpart F income under section 951(a)(1)(A). However, in order to not harm the competitive position of U.S. corporations relative to their foreign peers, the global intangible low tax income (“GILTI”) of a corporate U.S. shareholder is effectively taxed at a reduced rate by reason of the deduction under section 250 (with the resulting U.S. tax further reduced by a portion of foreign tax credits under section 960(d)). *Id.*

The GILTI final regulations generally provide structure and clarity for the implementation of section 951A. However, the Treasury Department and the IRS determined that there remained two outstanding issues pertinent to the implementation of GILTI. The first of these issues pertains

to the GILTI high tax exclusion under section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(III), which excludes from gross tested income any gross income excluded from foreign base company income (“FBCI”) (as defined in section 954) and insurance income (as defined in section 953) by reason of section 954(b)(4). The GILTI proposed regulations limited the application of the exclusion to income that would be included in FBCI or insurance income but for the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4). See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(1)(iii). However, comments to the GILTI proposed regulations recommended that the statute be interpreted so that the GILTI high tax exclusion applies on an elective basis to a broader category of income, that is, any income that is subject to a high rate of foreign tax. Other comments suggested that because taxpayers have the ability to structure transactions so that they would qualify as FBCI or insurance income, the regulations should allow a taxpayer to elect to treat all income, or all high-taxed income, as FBCI or insurance income, with the result that such income would then be excluded from gross tested income under the GILTI high tax exclusion. Comments noted that, under the narrower application of the exclusion under the GILTI proposed regulations, taxpayers would be incentivized to affirmatively plan into subpart F income to permit such taxpayers to elect the high tax exception under section 954(b)(4) with respect to such income or to allow taxpayers to carry foreign tax credits attributable to such income to another taxable year under section 904(c). However, restructuring activities to convert gross tested income into subpart F income may cost significant time and money and is economically inefficient. The GILTI final regulations adopt this narrower application. See proposed §1.951A-2(c)(1)(iii). However, the preamble to the GILTI final regulations indicated that proposed regulations would be issued to propose a framework under which taxpayers would be permitted to make an election to apply the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) with respect to income that would otherwise be gross tested income in order to exclude that income from gross tested income by reason of the GILTI high tax exclusion.

The second of these issues pertains to the treatment of domestic partnerships

for purposes of the subpart F regime. A U.S. shareholder of a CFC is required to include in gross income its pro rata share of the CFC’s subpart F income under section 951(a)(1)(A), the amount determined under section 956, under section 951(a)(1)(B), and its GILTI inclusion amount under section 951A(a). Since the enactment of subpart F, domestic partnerships have generally been treated as entities separate from their partners, rather than as aggregates of their partners, for purposes of the subpart F regime, including for purposes of treating a domestic partnership as the U.S. shareholder that has the subpart F inclusion with respect to a CFC owned by the partnership. However, the GILTI final regulations generally adopt an aggregate approach to domestic partnerships for purposes of section 951A and the section 951A regulations. See §1.951A-1(e)(1). Because the GILTI final regulations apply only for purposes of section 951A, absent the proposed regulations, a domestic partnership would still be treated as an entity for purposes of the subpart F regime. This inconsistency in the treatment of a domestic partnership for the purposes of section 951A and for purposes of the subpart F regime is problematic because it necessitates complicated coordination rules which could greatly increase compliance and administrative burden. Therefore, the proposed regulations conform the treatment of domestic partnerships for purposes of the subpart F regime with the treatment of domestic partnerships for purposes of section 951A.

C. Economic analysis

1. Baseline

The Treasury Department and the IRS have assessed the benefits and costs of the proposed regulations relative to a no-action baseline reflecting anticipated Federal income tax-related behavior in the absence of these proposed regulations.

2. Summary of Economic Effects

To assess the economic effects of the proposed regulations, the Treasury Department and the IRS considered economic effects arising from two provisions of the proposed regulations. These are (i)

effects arising from the provision that provides substance and clarity regarding the application of the GILTI high tax exclusion in 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(III) and (ii) simplification and coordination effects arising from conforming the treatment of domestic partnerships for purposes of subpart F with their treatment for purposes of section 951A.

The Treasury Department and the IRS have not undertaken quantitative estimates of these effects because any such quantitative estimates would be highly uncertain. For example, the proposed regulations include provisions that permit controlling domestic shareholders of CFCs to elect to apply the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) to items of gross income that are subject to a foreign tax rate that is greater than 18.9 percent (based on the current U.S. corporate tax rate of 21 percent) for purposes of excluding such income from gross tested income under the GILTI high tax exclusion. Whether controlling domestic shareholders will choose to make the election will depend on their specific facts and circumstances, such as their U.S. expenses allocated to section 951A category income, their foreign tax credit position, and the distribution of their foreign activity between high- and low-tax jurisdictions.¹² Because GILTI is new, the Treasury Department and the IRS do not have readily available data to project these items in this context. Furthermore, the election would be made with respect to qualified business units (QBUs) rather than with respect to CFCs or specific items of income, and the Treasury Department and the IRS do not have readily available data on activities at the QBU level. In addition, due to the taxpayer-specific nature of the factors influencing a decision to utilize the GILTI high-tax exclusion, the Treasury Department and the IRS do not have readily available data or models to predict the marginal effective tax rates that would prevail under these provisions for the varied forms of foreign

investments that taxpayers might consider and thus cannot predict with reasonable precision the difference in economic activity, relative to the baseline, that might be undertaken by taxpayers based on this election.

The proposed regulations also contain provisions to conform the treatment of domestic partnerships for purposes of subpart F with their treatment for purposes of section 951A. Under the proposed regulations, the tax treatment of domestic partners that are U.S. shareholders of a CFC owned by the domestic partnership differs from the tax treatment of domestic partners that are not U.S. shareholders of such CFC. The Treasury Department and the IRS do not have readily available data to identify these types of partners. The Treasury Department and the IRS further do not have readily available data or models to predict with reasonable precision the set of marginal effective tax rates that taxpayers might face under these provisions nor the effects of those marginal effective tax rates on economic activity relative to the baseline.

With these considerations in mind, parts I.C.3.a.ii and iii of this Special Analyses section explain the rationale behind the proposed regulations' approach to the GILTI high tax exclusion and qualitatively evaluate the alternatives considered. Part I.C.3.b of this Special Analyses section explains the rationale for the coordination in the treatment of domestic partnerships and qualitatively evaluates the alternatives considered.

3. Economic Effects of Specific Provisions

The Treasury Department and IRS solicit comments on each of the items discussed in this Special Analyses section and on any other items of the proposed regulations not discussed in this section. The Treasury Department and the IRS particularly solicit comments that provide

data, other evidence, or models that could enhance the rigor of the process by which the final regulations might be developed.

a. Exclusion of Income Subject to High Rate of Foreign Tax

i. Description

The proposed regulations permit U.S. shareholders of CFCs to make an election under section 954(b)(4) with respect to high-taxed income in order to exclude such income from gross tested income under the GILTI high tax exclusion. Under section 954(b)(4), high-taxed income is defined as income subject to a foreign effective tax rate greater than 90 percent of the maximum U.S. corporate tax rate (18.9 percent based on the current U.S. corporate tax rate of 21 percent). Under the proposed regulations, the determination as to whether income is high-taxed is made at the QBU level. However, an election made with respect to a CFC applies with respect to each high-taxed QBU of the CFC (including potentially the CFC itself), and a U.S. shareholder that makes the election with respect to a CFC generally must make the same election with respect to each of its CFCs. In general, the election may be made or revoked at any time, except that, if a U.S. shareholder revokes an election with respect to a CFC, the U.S. shareholder cannot make the election again within five years after the revocation, and then if subsequently made, the election cannot be revoked again within five years of the subsequent election.

ii. Alternatives Considered for Determining the Scope of the GILTI High Tax Exclusion

The Treasury Department and the IRS considered a number of options to address the types of income excluded from gross tested income by the GILTI high tax ex-

¹² Specifically, the U.S. tax system reduces double taxation on a U.S. shareholder's GILTI inclusion amount by crediting a portion of certain foreign taxes paid by CFCs against the U.S. tax on the U.S. shareholder's GILTI inclusion amount. However, the U.S. foreign tax credit regime requires taxpayers to allocate U.S. deductible expenses, including interest, research and experimentation, and general and administrative expenses, to their foreign source income in the categories described in section 904(d) when determining the allowable foreign tax credits. The allocated expenses reduce net foreign source income within the section 904(d) categories, which can reduce allowable foreign tax credits. This may result in a smaller foreign tax credit than would be allowed if the limitation on foreign tax credits was determined based only on the local country tax assessed on the tested income taken into account in determining GILTI. The election to apply the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) with respect to any high-taxed income allows taxpayers to eliminate the need to use foreign tax credits to reduce GILTI tax liability on such income by removing such income from gross tested income; however, taxpayers choosing the election will not be able to use the foreign tax credits associated with that income against other section 951A category income, and they will not be able to use the tangible assets owned by high tax QBUs in their QBAI computation. Therefore, taxpayers will have to evaluate their individual facts and circumstances to determine whether they should make the election.

clusion. The options were (i) to exclude from gross tested income only income that would be subpart F income but for the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4); (ii) in addition to excluding the aforementioned income, to exclude from gross tested income on an elective basis an item of gross income that is excluded by reason of another exception to subpart F, if such income is subject to a foreign effective tax rate greater than 18.9 percent; and (iii) to exclude from gross tested income on an elective basis any item of gross income subject to a foreign effective tax rate greater than 18.9 percent. The Treasury Department and the IRS considered the other recommended options discussed in part IV.B of the Background section, but determined that those other options are not authorized by the relevant statutory provisions.

The first option considered was to exclude from gross tested income only income that would be FBCI or insurance income but for the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4), which is the interpretation of the GILTI high tax exclusion in the GILTI proposed regulations. This narrow approach is consistent with a reasonable interpretation of the statutory text, which excludes from gross tested income only income that is excluded from subpart F income “by reason of section 954(b)(4).” Moreover, this approach is consistent with current regulations under section 954, which permit an election under section 954(b)(4) only with respect to income that is not otherwise excluded from subpart F income by reason of another exception (for example, section 954(c)(6) or 954(h)). However, under this approach, taxpayers with high-taxed gross tested income would have incentives to restructure their foreign operations in order to convert their gross tested income into subpart F income. For instance, a taxpayer could restructure its operations to have a CFC purchase personal property from, or sell personal property to, a related person without substantially contributing to the manufacture of the property in its country of incorporation, with the result that the CFC’s income from the disposition of the property is foreign base company sales income within the meaning of section 954(d). Any such restructuring may be unduly costly and only available to certain

taxpayers. Further, such reorganization to realize a specific income treatment suggests that tax instead of business considerations are determining business structures. This can lead to higher compliance costs and inefficient investment. Therefore, the Treasury Department and the IRS rejected this option.

The second option considered was to broaden the application of the GILTI high tax exclusion to allow taxpayers to elect under the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) to exclude from gross tested income an item of gross income that is subject to a foreign effective tax rate greater than 18.9 percent, if such income was also excluded from FBCI or insurance income by reason of another exception to subpart F. Under this interpretation, income such as active financing income that is excluded from subpart F income under section 954(h), active rents or royalties that are excluded from subpart F income under 954(c)(2)(A), and related party payments that are excluded from subpart F income under section 954(c)(6) could also be excluded from gross tested income under the GILTI high tax exclusion if such items of income are high taxed within the meaning of section 954(b)(4). This broader approach represents a plausible interpretation of the GILTI high tax exclusion; that is, that an item of income could be excluded both “by reason of section 954(b)(4)” and by reason of another exception. However, this approach would provide taxpayers the ability to exclude their CFCs’ high-taxed income that would be subpart F income but for an exception (for example, active financing income), while denying taxpayers the same ability with respect to their CFCs’ high-taxed income that is not subpart F income in the first instance (for example, active business income), without any general economic benefit from such differential treatment. Furthermore, taxpayers with items of high-taxed income that are not subpart F income would still be incentivized to restructure their foreign operations in order to convert their high-taxed gross tested income into subpart F income, which poses the same compliance costs and inefficiencies as the first option. Therefore, the Treasury Department and the IRS rejected this option.

The third option, which is adopted in the proposed regulations, is to provide an

election to broaden the scope of the high tax exception under section 954(b)(4) for purposes of the GILTI high tax exclusion to apply to any item of income that is subject to a foreign effective tax rate greater than 18.9 percent. The proposed regulations permit controlling domestic shareholders of CFCs to elect to apply the high tax exception under section 954(b)(4) to items of gross income that would not otherwise be FBCI or insurance income. If this high tax exception is elected, the GILTI high tax exclusion will exclude the item of gross income from gross tested income. Under the election, an item of gross income is subject to a high rate of foreign tax if, after taking into account properly allocable expenses, the net item of income is subject to a foreign effective tax rate greater than 90 percent of the maximum U.S. corporate tax rate (18.9 percent based on the current U.S. corporate tax rate of 21 percent). This option therefore establishes a framework for applying the high tax exception under section 954(b)(4), including rules to determine the scope of an item of income that would otherwise be gross tested income to which the election applies and to determine the rate of foreign tax on such item.

The approach chosen by the proposed regulations is consistent with the legislative history to section 951A, which evidences an intent to tax low-taxed income of CFCs that presents base erosion concerns. The approach is also supported by a reasonable interpretation of the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4), which applies to “any item of income” of a CFC, not just income that would otherwise be FBCI or insurance income. Furthermore, contrary to the first two options, this approach permits all similarly situated taxpayers with CFCs subject to a high rate of foreign tax to make the election with respect to such income to exclude it from gross tested income, and reduces the incentive for taxpayers to restructure their operations to convert their high-taxed gross tested income into subpart F income for U.S. tax purposes.

For taxpayers that make the election, this approach reduces the taxpayers’ cost of capital on foreign investment by reducing U.S. tax on such taxpayers’ GILTI relative to the baseline. At the margin, the lower cost of capital may increase foreign

investment by U.S.-parented firms. Further, removing high-taxed tested income from the GILTI tax base could change the incentives for the location of tangible assets. The magnitude of these effects is highly uncertain because of the uncertainty surrounding the number and attributes of the taxpayers that will find it advantageous to make the election and because the relationship between the marginal effective tax rate at the QBU level and foreign investment by U.S. taxpayers is not well known. In addition, the impact of tax considerations on taxpayer investment decisions depends on a number of international tax provisions, many of which interact in complex ways.

iii. Alternatives Considered for Determining High-Taxed Income

The Treasury Department and the IRS next considered options for determining whether an item of income is subject to the foreign effective tax rate described in section 954(b)(4). The options considered were (i) apply the determination on an item-by-item basis; (ii) apply the determination on a CFC-by-CFC basis; or (iii) apply the determination on a QBU-by-QBU basis.

The first option was to determine whether income is high-taxed income within the meaning of section 954(b)(4) on an item-by-item basis. This approach would be consistent with the language of section 954(b)(4), which applies to an “item of income” of a CFC that is sufficiently high tax. However, this approach would be complex and difficult to administer because it would require analyzing each item of income to determine whether, under Federal tax principles, such item is subject to a sufficiently high foreign effective tax rate. In fact, for this reason, the current regulations that implement the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) for purposes of subpart F income do not require an item-by-item determination and aggregate all items of income into separate categories of income for purposes of determining whether each such category

is high tax. See §1.954-1(d)(2). Therefore, the Treasury Department and the IRS rejected this option.

The second option was to apply the determination based on all the items of income of the CFC. On the one hand, this approach would minimize complexity and would be relatively easy to administer. On the other hand, this approach could permit inappropriate tax planning, such as combining operations subject to different rates of tax into a single CFC. This would have the effect of “blending” the rates of foreign tax imposed on the income, which could result in low- or non-taxed income being excluded as high-taxed income by being blended with much higher-taxed income. The low-taxed income in this scenario is precisely the sort of base erosion-type income that the legislative history describes section 951A as intending to tax, and such tax motivated planning behavior is economically inefficient.

The third option, which is adopted in the proposed regulations, is to apply the high tax exception based on the items of gross income of a QBU of the CFC. Under this approach, the net income that is taxed by the foreign jurisdiction in each QBU must be determined. For example, if a CFC earned \$100x of tested income through a QBU in Country A and was taxed at a 30 percent rate and earned \$100x of tested income through another QBU in Country B and was taxed at 0 percent, the blended rate of tax on all of the CFC’s tested income is 15 percent (\$30x tax / \$200x tested income). However, if the high tax exception applies to each of a CFC’s QBUs based on the income earned by that QBU then the blending of different rates would be minimized. Although applying the high tax exception on the basis of a QBU, rather than the CFC as a whole, may be more complex and administratively burdensome under certain circumstances, it more accurately pinpoints income subject to a high rate of foreign tax and therefore continues to subject to tax the low-taxed base erosion-type income that the legislative history describes section 951A as intending to tax. Accordingly, the

proposed regulations apply the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) based on the items of net income of each QBU of the CFC.

iv. Affected Taxpayers

The proposed regulations potentially affect those taxpayers that have at least one CFC with at least one QBU (including, potentially, the CFC itself) that has high-taxed income. A taxpayer with CFCs that have a mix of high-taxed and low-taxed income (determined on a QBU-by-QBU basis) will need to evaluate the benefit of eliminating any tax under section 951A with respect to high-taxed income with the costs of forgoing the use of such taxes against other section 951A category income and the use of tangible assets in the computation of QBAI. Taxpayers with CFCs that have only low-taxed income are not eligible to elect the high tax exception and hence are unaffected by this provision.

The Treasury Department and the IRS estimate that there are approximately 4,000 business entities (corporations, S corporations, and partnerships) with at least one CFC that pays a foreign effective tax rate above 18.9 percent. The Treasury Department and the IRS further estimate that, for the partnerships with at least one CFC that pays a foreign effective tax rate greater than 18.9 percent, there are approximately 1,500 partners that have a large enough share to potentially qualify as a 10 percent U.S. shareholder of the CFC.¹³ The 4,000 business entities and the 1,500 partners provide an approximate estimate of the number of taxpayers that could potentially be affected by an election into the high tax exception. The figure is approximate since there is an imperfect correspondence between high-taxed CFCs and high-taxed QBUs, and, furthermore, not all taxpayers that are eligible for the election would choose to make the election. The Treasury Department and the IRS do not have readily available data to determine how many of these taxpayers would benefit from the election.

¹³ Data are from IRS’s Research, Applied Analytics, and Statistics division based on E-file data available in the Compliance Data Warehouse, for tax years 2015 and 2016. The counts include Category 4 and Category 5 IRS Form 5471 filers. Category 4 filers are U.S. persons who had control of a foreign corporation during the annual accounting period of the foreign corporation. Category 5 filers are U.S. shareholders who own stock in a foreign corporation that is a CFC and who owned that stock on the last day in the tax year of the foreign corporation in that year in which it was a CFC. For full definitions, see <https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/i5471.pdf>.

Tabulations from the IRS Statistics of Income 2014 Form 5471 file¹⁴ further indicate that approximately 85 percent of earnings and profits before taxes of CFCs are subject to an average foreign effective tax rate that is less than or equal to 18.9 percent, accounting for approximately 30 percent of CFCs. The data indicate several examples of jurisdictions with effective tax rates above 18.9 percent, such as France, Italy, and Japan. However, information is not readily available to determine how many QBUs are part of the same CFC and what the effective foreign tax rates are with respect to such QBUs. Furthermore, the determination of whether or not to elect the high tax exception will be made at the shareholder (not CFC) level, after having evaluated the full impact of doing so across all of the shareholder's CFCs. Taxpayers potentially more likely to elect the high tax exception are those taxpayers with CFCs that only operate in high-tax jurisdictions.

b. Domestic Partnership Treatment for Subpart F

i. Description

Under the statute, a U.S. shareholder of a CFC is required to include in gross income its pro rata share of the CFC's subpart F income under section 951(a)(1)(A), the amount determined under section 956, under section 951(a)(1)(B), and its GILTI inclusion amount under section 951A. The Code does not explicitly prescribe the treatment of domestic partnerships and their partners for purposes of subpart F. However, domestic partnerships have generally been treated as entities separate from their partners, rather than as aggregates of their partners, for purposes of subpart F, including for purposes of determining the amount included in the gross income of the domestic partnership (and the distributive share of such amount of its domestic partners) under section 951(a). The GILTI final regulations adopt an aggregate approach to domestic partner-

ships, but this aggregate treatment applies only for purposes of section 951A.

ii. Alternatives Considered

The Treasury Department and the IRS considered two options for the treatment of domestic partnerships for purposes of subpart F. The first option was to retain the entity approach to domestic partnerships for purposes of subpart F. While this approach would be consistent with the longstanding entity approach to domestic partnerships for purposes of subpart F inclusions, it would result in domestic partnerships being treated inconsistently for purposes of subpart F and section 951A, despite both regimes applying to U.S. shareholders and their CFCs. This inconsistent treatment of domestic partnerships could result in a domestic partnership including subpart F income in gross income under section 951(a) and its partners including GILTI in their gross income under section 951A(a), which would introduce substantial complexity and uncertainty in the application of provisions that require basis and E&P adjustments with respect to CFCs and their U.S. shareholders for amounts included in income under sections 951(a) and 951A(a). This option would also continue the inconsistent treatment of domestic partnerships and foreign partnerships (which generally are treated as aggregates) for purposes of the subpart F rules, despite the lack of a substantial policy justification for treating domestic partners of a partnership differently based upon the law under which the partnership is created or organized. In this regard, this option would require "small" partners of a domestic partnership (that is, partners that are not themselves U.S. shareholders of CFCs owned by the domestic partnership) to include in income their distributive share of the domestic partnership's subpart F inclusion with respect to CFCs of which the small partners are not themselves U.S. shareholders. In contrast, if the domestic partnership were instead a foreign partnership, the small partners would not include any amount in gross

income under section 951(a) (or a distributive share of such amount) with respect to CFCs of which such partners were not U.S. shareholders.

The second option would adopt an aggregate approach to domestic partnerships by treating stock owned by a domestic partnership as being owned proportionately by its partners for purposes of determining the U.S. shareholder that has the subpart F inclusion. This approach is consistent with the approach adopted for section 951A in the GILTI final regulations. Under this approach, a domestic partnership would not be the U.S. shareholder of a foreign corporation that includes subpart F income in its gross income under section 951(a). Instead, only the partners of the domestic partnership that are U.S. shareholders of a CFC owned through the domestic partnership would include subpart F income of the CFC in their gross income.

This approach is supported by public comments requesting harmonization of the treatment of domestic partnerships for purposes of the GILTI and subpart F regimes. The harmonization of the treatment of domestic partnerships for purposes of the GILTI and subpart F regimes is expected to result in substantial simplification of related rules (for example, previously taxed earnings and profits and related basis rules), consistency in the treatment of domestic partnerships and foreign partnerships, and the reduction of burden (both administrative burden and tax liability) on taxpayers that are small partners. This third option is effectuated in the proposed regulations by using the existing framework for foreign partnerships, which is well-developed and more administrable than a new framework.

iii. Affected Taxpayers

The Treasury Department and the IRS estimate that there were approximately 7,000 U.S. partnerships with CFCs that e-filed at least one Form 5471 as Category 4 or 5 filers in 2015 and 2016.¹⁵ The identified partnerships had approx-

¹⁴ The IRS Statistics of Income Tax Stats report on Controlled Foreign Corporations can be accessed here: <https://www.irs.gov/statistics/soi-tax-stats-controlled-foreign-corporations>.

¹⁵ Data are from IRS's Research, Applied Analytics, and Statistics division based on data available in the Compliance Data Warehouse. Category 4 filer includes a U.S. person who had control of a foreign corporation during the annual accounting period of the foreign corporation. Category 5 includes a U.S. shareholder who owns stock in a foreign corporation that is a CFC and who owned that stock on the last day in the tax year of the foreign corporation in that year in which it was a CFC. For full definitions, see <https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/i5471.pdf>.

imately 2 million partners, as indicated by the number of Schedules K-1 filed by the partnerships. This number includes both domestic and foreign partners, so it substantially overstates the number of partners that would be affected by the proposed regulations, which potentially affect only domestic partners.¹⁶ The proposed regulations affect domestic partners that are U.S. shareholders of a CFC owned by the domestic partnership because such partners will determine their subpart F inclusion amount by reference to their pro rata shares of subpart F income of CFCs owned by the partnership. Domestic partners that are not U.S. shareholders of a CFC owned by the domestic partnership will neither determine their own subpart F inclusion amount by reference to their pro rata shares of subpart F income of CFCs owned by the partnership nor include in their income a distributive share of the partnership's subpart F inclusion amount. This latter group is likely to be a substantial portion of domestic partners given the

high number of partners per partnership, and they will have lower compliance costs as a result of the proposed regulations. Because it is not possible to precisely identify these types of partners based on available data, this number is an upper bound of partners who would have been affected by this rule had this rule been in effect in 2015 or 2016.

II. Paperwork Reduction Act

The collection of information in these proposed regulations is in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v). The collection of information in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v) is an election that a controlling domestic shareholder of a CFC may make to apply the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) to gross income of a CFC. The election is made by attaching a statement to an original or amended income tax return in order to elect to apply the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) to gross income of a CFC. For purposes

of the Paperwork Reduction Act of 1995 (44 U.S.C. 3507(d)) (“PRA”), the reporting burden associated with proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v) will be reflected in the PRA submission associated with income tax returns in the Form 990 series, Form 1120 series, Form 1040 series, Form 1041 series, and Form 1065 series (see chart at the end of this part II for the current status of the PRA submissions for these forms). In 2018, the IRS released and invited comments on drafts of the above five forms in order to give members of the public advance notice and an opportunity to submit comments. The IRS received no comments on the portions of the forms that relate to section 951A during the comment period. Consequently, the IRS made the forms available in late 2018 and early 2019 for use by the public. The IRS is contemplating making additional changes to forms to take into account these proposed regulations.

The IRS estimates the number of affected filers to be the following:

Tax Forms Impacted		
Collection of information	Number of respondents (estimated)	Forms to which the information may be attached
§1.951A-2(c)(6)(v) Election to apply the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) to gross income of a CFC	25,000 - 35,000	Form 990 series, Form 1120 series, Form 1040 series, Form 1041 series, and Form 1065 series

Source: MeF, DCS, and IRS's Compliance Data Warehouse

This estimate is based on filers of income tax returns with a Form 5471, “Information Return of U.S. Persons With Respect to Certain Foreign Corporations,” attached because only filers that are U.S. shareholders of CFCs would be subject to the information collection requirements.

The current status of the PRA submissions related to the tax forms that will be revised as a result of the information collection in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v) is provided in the accompanying table. The reporting burdens associated with the information collection in the proposed regulations are included in the aggregated burden estimates for OMB control numbers 1545-0123 (which represents a total estimated burden time for all forms and schedules for corporations of 3.157 billion

hours and total estimated monetized costs of \$58.148 billion (\$2017)), 1545-0074 (which represents a total estimated burden time, including all other related forms and schedules for individuals, of 1.784 billion hours and total estimated monetized costs of \$31.764 billion (\$2017)), 1545-0092 (which represents a total estimated burden time, including all other related forms and schedules for trusts and estates, of 307,844,800 hours and total estimated monetized costs of \$9.950 billion (\$2016)), and 1545-0047 (which represents a total estimated burden time, including all other related forms and schedules for tax-exempt organizations, of 50.450 million hours and total estimated monetized costs of \$1,297,300,000 (\$2017)). The overall burden estimates provided for these OMB

control numbers are aggregate amounts that relate to the entire package of forms associated with the applicable OMB control number and will in the future include, but not isolate, the estimated burden of the tax forms that will be revised as a result of the information collection in the proposed regulations. These numbers are therefore unrelated to the future calculations needed to assess the burden imposed by the proposed regulations. These burdens have been reported for other regulations related to the taxation of cross-border income and the Treasury Department and the IRS urge readers to recognize that these numbers are duplicates and to guard against overcounting the burden that international tax provisions imposed prior to the Act. No burden estimates specific to the forms

¹⁶ This analysis is based on the tax data readily available to the Treasury Department at this time. Some variables may be available on tax forms that are not available for statistical purposes. Moreover, with new tax provisions, such as section 951A, relevant data may not be available for a number of years for statistical purposes.

affected by the proposed regulations are currently available. The Treasury Department and the IRS have not estimated the burden, including that of any new information collections, related to the requirements under the proposed regulations. The Treasury Department and the IRS estimate PRA burdens on a taxpayer-type basis rather than a provision-specific basis. Those estimates would capture both

changes made by the Act and those that arise out of discretionary authority exercised in the final regulations.

The Treasury Department and the IRS request comments on all aspects of information collection burdens related to the proposed regulations, including estimates for how much time it would take to comply with the paperwork burdens described above for each relevant form

and ways for the IRS to minimize the paperwork burden. Proposed revisions (if any) to these forms that reflect the information collections contained in these proposed regulations will be made available for public comment at <https://apps.irs.gov/app/picklist/list/draftTaxForms.htm> and will not be finalized until after these forms have been approved by OMB under the PRA.

Form	Type of Filer	OMB Number(s)	Status
Forms 990	Tax exempt entities (NEW Model)	1545-0047	Approved by OIRA 12/21/2018 until 12/31/2019. The form will be updated with OMB number 1545-0047 and the corresponding PRA Notice on the next revision.
	Link: https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=201811-1545-003		
Form 1040	Individual (NEW Model)	1545-0074	Limited Scope submission (1040 only) approved on 12/7/2018 until 12/31/2019. Full ICR submission for all forms in 6/2019. 60 Day FRN not published yet for full collection.
	Link: https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=201808-1545-031		
Form 1041	Trusts and estates	1545-0092	Submitted to OIRA for review on 9/27/2018.
	Link: https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=201806-1545-014		
Form 1065 and 1120	Business (NEW Model)	1545-0123	Approved by OIRA 12/21/2018 until 12/31/2019.
	Link: https://www.reginfo.gov/public/do/PRAViewICR?ref_nbr=201805-1545-019		

III. Regulatory Flexibility Act

It is hereby certified that these proposed regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities within the meaning of section 601(6) of the Regulatory Flexibility Act (5 U.S.C. chapter 6).

Section 951A generally affects U.S. shareholders of CFCs. The reporting burden in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v) affects controlling domestic shareholders of a CFC that elect to apply the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) to gross income of a CFC. Controlling domestic shareholders are generally U.S. shareholders who, in the aggregate, own more than 50 percent

of the total combined voting power of all classes of stock of the foreign corporation entitled to vote. As an initial matter, foreign corporations are not considered small entities. Nor are U.S. taxpayers considered small entities to the extent the taxpayers are natural persons or entities other than small entities. Thus, proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v) generally only affects small entities if a U.S. taxpayer that is a U.S. shareholder of a CFC is a small entity.

Examining the gross receipts of the e-filed Forms 5471 that is the basis of the 25,000 – 35,000 respondent estimates, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that the tax revenue from section 951A estimated by the Joint Commit-

tee on Taxation for businesses of all sizes is less than 0.3 percent of gross receipts as shown in the table below. Based on data for 2015 and 2016, total gross receipts for all businesses with gross receipts under \$25 million is \$60 billion while those over \$25 million is \$49.1 trillion. Given that tax on GILTI inclusion amounts is correlated with gross receipts, this results in businesses with less than \$25 million in gross receipts accounting for approximately 0.01 percent of the tax revenue. Data are not readily available to determine the sectoral breakdown of these entities. Based on this analysis, smaller businesses are not significantly impacted by these proposed regulations.

	2017	2018	2019	2020	2021	2022	2023	2024	2025	2026
JCT tax revenue	7.7 billion	12.5 billion	9.6 billion	9.5 billion	9.3 billion	9.0 billion	9.2 billion	9.3 billion	15.1 billion	21.2 billion
Total gross receipts	30727 billion	53870 billion	566676 billion	59644 billion	62684 billion	65865 billion	69201 billion	72710 billion	76348 billion	80094 billion
Percent	0.03	0.02	0.02	0.02	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.01	0.02	0.03

Source: Research, Applied Analytics and Statistics division (IRS), Compliance Data Warehouse (IRS) (E-filed Form 5471, category 4 or 5, C and S corporations and partnerships); Conference Report, at 689.

The data to assess the number of small entities potentially affected by proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v) are not readily available. However, businesses that are U.S. shareholders of CFCs are generally not small businesses because the ownership of sufficient stock in a CFC in order to be a U.S. shareholder generally entails significant resources and investment. The Treasury Department and the IRS welcome comments on whether the proposed regulations would affect a substantial number of small entities in any particular industry.

Regardless of the number of small entities potentially affected by proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v), the Treasury Department and the IRS have concluded that there is no significant economic impact on such entities as a result of proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v). As discussed above, smaller businesses are not significantly impacted by the proposed regulations. Furthermore, the requirements in proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v) apply only if a taxpayer chooses to make an election to apply a favorable rule. Consequently, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v) will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, it is hereby certified that the collection of information requirements of proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v) would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Notwithstanding this certification, the Treasury Department and the IRS invite comments from the public on the impact of proposed §1.951A-2(c)(6)(v) on small entities.

The treatment of domestic partnerships as an aggregate of their partners in these proposed regulations for purposes of subpart F would reduce the burden on partners that are not U.S. shareholders of a CFC owned by the partnership because these partners will no longer be required to include in income a distributive share

of subpart F income. The proposed regulations would also reduce burden on domestic partnerships that hold CFCs because these partnerships would no longer be required to calculate their partners' distributive share of subpart F income, resulting in compliance cost savings for the affected partnerships. As described in section II of this Special Analyses section, the Treasury Department and the IRS estimate that there are approximately 7,000 U.S. partnerships with CFCs that e-filed at least one Form 5471 as Category 4 or 5 filers in 2015 and 2016.¹⁷ The identified partnerships had approximately 2 million domestic and foreign partners. However, this figure overstates the number of partners that would be affected by the proposed regulations, because the proposed regulations would not affect foreign partners of the affected U.S. partnerships. Of affected U.S. partnerships, business entities are a minority of the affected domestic partners. Because data to identify the size of domestic partners that are business entities are not readily available, this number is a high upper bound and is magnitudes greater than the number of affected domestic partners that are small businesses. Consequently, the Treasury Department and the IRS have determined that the proposed regulations will not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities. Accordingly, it is hereby certified that the proposed regulations would not have a significant economic impact on a substantial number of small entities.

Pursuant to section 7805(f) of the Code, this notice of proposed rulemaking has been submitted to the Chief Counsel for Advocacy of the Small Business Administration for comment on its impact on small businesses.

IV. *Unfunded Mandates Reform Act*

Section 202 of the Unfunded Mandates Reform Act of 1995 requires that agencies assess anticipated costs and benefits and take certain other actions before issuing a final rule that includes any Federal mandate that may result in expenditures in any

one year by a state, local, or tribal government, in the aggregate, or by the private sector, of \$100 million in 1995 dollars, updated annually for inflation. In 2019, that threshold is approximately \$154 million. These proposed regulations do not include any Federal mandate that may result in expenditures by state, local, or tribal governments, or by the private sector in excess of that threshold.

V. *Executive Order 13132: Federalism*

Executive Order 13132 (entitled “Federalism”) prohibits an agency from publishing any rule that has federalism implications if the rule either imposes substantial, direct compliance costs on state and local governments, and is not required by statute, or preempts state law, unless the agency meets the consultation and funding requirements of section 6 of the Executive Order. These proposed regulations do not have federalism implications and do not impose substantial direct compliance costs on state and local governments or preempt state law within the meaning of the Executive Order.

Comments and Requests for Public Hearing

Before the proposed regulations are adopted as final regulations, consideration will be given to any comments that are submitted timely to the IRS as prescribed in this preamble under the “ADDRESSES” heading. The Treasury Department and the IRS request comments on all aspects of the proposed regulations and on changes to forms related to the proposed regulations. See also parts I.B and II.A of the Explanation of Provisions section (requesting specific comments related to the aggregate approach to domestic partnerships and GILTI high tax exclusion, respectively).

All comments will be available at www.regulations.gov or upon request. A public hearing will be scheduled if requested in writing by any person that timely submits written comments. If a public hearing is scheduled, then notice of the date, time,

¹⁷Data are from IRS's Research, Applied Analytics, and Statistics division based on data available in the Compliance Data Warehouse. Category 4 filer includes a U.S. person who had control of a foreign corporation during the annual accounting period of the foreign corporation. Category 5 includes a U.S. shareholder who owns stock in a foreign corporation that is a CFC and who owned that stock on the last day in the tax year of the foreign corporation in that year in which it was a CFC. For full definitions, see <https://www.irs.gov/pub/irs-pdf/i5471.pdf>.

and place for the public hearing will be published in the **Federal Register**.

Drafting Information

The principal authors of these regulations are Joshua P. Roffenbender and Jorge M. Oben of the Office of Associate Chief Counsel (International). However, other personnel from the Treasury Department and the IRS participated in their development.

Proposed Amendments to the Regulations

Accordingly, 26 CFR part 1 is proposed to be amended as follows:

PART 1—INCOME TAXES

Paragraph 1. The authority citation for part 1 continues to read in part as follows:
Authority: 26 U.S.C. 7805.

Par. 2. Section 1.951-1 is amended by adding paragraph (a)(4) and revising the last sentence of paragraph (i) to read as follows:

§1.951-1 Amounts included in gross income of United States shareholders.

(a) ***

(4) See §1.958-1(d)(1) for ownership of stock of a foreign corporation through a domestic partnership for purposes of sections 951 and 951A and for purposes of any other provision that applies by reference to section 951 or 951A.

(i) *** Paragraph (h) of this section applies to taxable years of domestic partnerships ending on or after May 14, 2010, but does not apply to determine the stock of a controlled foreign corporation owned (within the meaning of section 958(a)) by a United States person for taxable years of the controlled foreign corporation beginning on or after the date of publication of the Treasury decision adopting these rules as final regulations in the Federal Register, and for taxable years of United States persons in which or with which such taxable years of the controlled foreign corporation end.

Par. 3. Section 1.951A-0 is amended by adding entries for §1.951A-7(a),

§1.951A-7(b), and §1.951A-7(c) to read as follows:

§1.951A-0 Outline of section 951A regulations.

§1.951A-7 Applicability dates.

- (a) In general.
- (b) High tax exclusion.
- (c) Domestic partnerships.

Par. 4. Section 1.951A-2 is amended by revising paragraph (c)(1)(iii) and adding paragraph (c)(6) to read as follows:

§1.951A-2 Tested income and tested loss.

(c) ***

(1) ***

(iii) Gross income excluded from the foreign base company income (as defined in section 954) or the insurance income (as defined in section 953) of the corporation by reason of the exception described in section 954(b)(4) pursuant to an election under §1.954-1(d), or a tentative gross tested income item of the corporation that qualifies for the exception described in section 954(b)(4) pursuant to an election under paragraph (c)(6) of this section,

(6) *Election for application of high tax exception of section 954(b)(4)*—(i) *In general.* For purposes of section 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(III) and paragraph (c)(1)(iii) of this section, a tentative gross tested income item of a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year qualifies for the exception described in section 954(b)(4) if—

(A) An election made under paragraph (c)(6)(v)(A) of this section is effective with respect to the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year; and

(B) The tentative net tested income item with respect to the tentative gross tested income item was subject to foreign income taxes at an effective rate that is greater than 90 percent of the rate that would apply if the income were subject to the maximum rate of tax specified in section 11.

(ii) *Definitions*—(A) *Tentative gross tested income item*—(1) *In general.* A single tentative gross tested income item with respect to a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year is the aggregate of all items of gross income attributable

to a single qualified business unit (QBU) of the controlled foreign corporation in such CFC inclusion year that would be gross tested income without regard to this paragraph (c)(6) and that would be in a single tested income group (as defined in §1.960-1(d)(2)(ii)(C)). For this purpose, a QBU is defined in section 989(a) and the regulations under that section, and a controlled foreign corporation's QBUs includes QBUs owned by the controlled foreign corporation in addition to the QBU that is the controlled foreign corporation. Therefore, a controlled foreign corporation may have multiple tentative gross tested income items.

(2) *Income attributable to a QBU.* Gross income is attributable to a QBU if the gross income is properly reflected on the books and records of the QBU. Such gross income must be determined under Federal income tax principles, except that the principles of §1.904-4(f)(2)(vi) (without regard to the exclusion described in §1.904-4(f)(2)(vi)(C)(1)) apply to adjust gross income of a QBU to reflect disregarded payments.

(B) *Tentative net tested income item.* A tentative net tested income item with respect to a tentative gross tested income item is determined by allocating and apportioning deductions (not including any items described in §1.951A-2(c)(5)) to the tentative gross tested income item under the principles of §1.960-1(d)(3) by treating each single tentative gross tested income item as gross income in a separate tested income group.

(iii) *Effective rate at which taxes are imposed.* For a CFC inclusion year of a controlled foreign corporation, the effective rate with respect to the controlled foreign corporation's tentative net tested income items is determined separately for each such item. The effective rate at which taxes are imposed on a tentative net tested income item is—

(A) The U.S. dollar amount of foreign income taxes paid or accrued with respect to the tentative net tested income item, determined by applying paragraph (c)(6)(iv) of this section; divided by

(B) The U.S. dollar amount of the tentative net tested income item, increased by the amount of foreign income taxes referred to in paragraph (c)(6)(iv) of this section.

(iv) *Taxes paid or accrued with respect to a tentative net tested income item.* For a CFC inclusion year, the amount of foreign income taxes paid or accrued by a controlled foreign corporation with respect to a tentative net tested income item of the controlled foreign corporation for purposes of this paragraph (c)(6) is the U.S. dollar amount of the controlled foreign corporation's current year taxes (as defined in §1.960-1(b)(4)) that would be allocated and apportioned under the principles of §1.960-1(d)(3)(ii) to the tentative net tested income item by treating such tentative net tested income item as being in a separate tested income group. If the principles of §1.904-4(f)(2)(vi) apply to adjust the gross income of a QBU to account for disregarded payments as provided in paragraph (c)(6)(ii)(A)(2) of this section, the principles of §1.904-6(a)(2) apply to allocate and apportion foreign income taxes imposed by reason of the disregarded payments. Except to the extent provided in the next sentence, the amount of foreign income taxes paid or accrued with respect to a tentative net tested income item, determined in the manner provided in this paragraph (c)(6), will not be affected by a subsequent reduction in foreign income taxes attributable to a distribution to shareholders of all or part of such income. To the extent the foreign income taxes paid or accrued by the controlled foreign corporation are reasonably certain to be returned by the foreign jurisdiction imposing such taxes to a shareholder, directly or indirectly, through any means (including, but not limited to, a refund, credit, payment, discharge of an obligation, or any other method) on a subsequent distribution to such shareholder, the foreign income taxes are not treated as paid or accrued for purposes of this paragraph (c)(6)(iv).

(v) *Rules regarding the election—(A) Manner of making election.* An election is made under this paragraph (c)(6)(v)(A) with respect to a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year—

(1) By the controlling domestic shareholders (as defined in §1.964-1(c)(5)), by attaching a statement to such effect with an original or amended income tax return for the U.S. shareholder inclusion year of each controlling domestic shareholder in which or with which such CFC inclusion year ends, and including any additional in-

formation required by applicable administrative pronouncements; or

(2) In accordance with the rules provided in forms or instructions.

(B) *Scope of election.* An election made under paragraph (c)(6)(v)(A) of this section that is effective with respect to a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year applies with respect to each tentative gross tested income item of the controlled foreign corporation for the CFC inclusion year and is binding on all United States shareholders of the controlled foreign corporation.

(C) *Duration of election.* An election made under paragraph (c)(6)(v)(A) of this section is effective for a CFC inclusion year of a controlled foreign corporation for which the election is made and all subsequent CFC inclusion years of such corporation unless revoked by the controlling domestic shareholders of the controlled foreign corporation under paragraph (c)(6)(v)(D)(1) of this section.

(D) *Revocation of election—(1) In general.* Except as provided in paragraph (c)(6)(v)(D)(2) of this section, the election made under paragraph (c)(6)(v)(A) of this section with respect to a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year is revoked by the controlling domestic shareholders of the controlled foreign corporation in the same manner as prescribed for an election in paragraph (c)(6)(v)(A) of this section.

(2) *Limitations by reason of revocation—(i) In general.* Except as provided in paragraph (c)(6)(v)(D)(2)(ii) of this section, if an election with respect to a controlled foreign corporation for a CFC inclusion year is revoked under paragraph (c)(6)(v)(D)(1) of this section, a new election cannot be made under paragraph (c)(6)(v)(A) of this section with respect to the controlled foreign corporation for any CFC inclusion year that begins within sixty months following the close of the CFC inclusion year for which the previous election was revoked, and such subsequent election cannot be revoked under paragraph (c)(6)(v)(D)(1) of this section with respect to the controlled foreign corporation for any CFC inclusion year that begins within sixty months following the close of the CFC inclusion year for which the subsequent election was made.

(ii) *Exception for change of control.* The Commissioner may permit a controlled foreign corporation to make an election under paragraph (c)(6)(v)(A) of this section or revoke an election under paragraph (c)(6)(v)(D)(1) of this section with respect to any CFC inclusion year within the sixty-month period described in paragraph (c)(6)(v)(D)(2)(i) of this section if more than 50 percent of the total combined voting power of all classes of the stock of the controlled foreign corporation entitled to vote as of the beginning of such CFC inclusion year are owned (within the meaning of section 958(a)) by persons that did not own any interests in the controlled foreign corporation as of the close of the CFC inclusion year for which the prior election or revocation with respect to the controlled foreign corporation became effective. For purposes of the preceding sentence, a person includes any person bearing a relationship described in section 267(b) or 707(b)(1) with respect to the person.

(E) *Rules applicable to controlling domestic shareholder groups—(1) In general.* In the case of a controlled foreign corporation that is a member of a controlling domestic shareholder group, an election is made under paragraph (c)(6)(v)(A) of this section or revoked under paragraph (c)(6)(v)(D)(1) of this section with respect to each member of the controlling domestic shareholder group (including any member that joins the controlling domestic shareholder group after the election or revocation) and the rules in paragraphs (c)(6)(v)(A) through (D) of this section apply by reference to the controlling domestic shareholder group.

(2) *Definition of controlling domestic shareholder group.* For purposes of paragraph (c)(6)(v)(E)(1) of this section, the term *controlling domestic shareholder group* means two or more controlled foreign corporations (each a *member*) if more than 50 percent of the total combined voting power of all classes of the stock of each corporation is owned (within the meaning of section 958(a)) by the same controlling domestic shareholder or, if no single controlling domestic shareholder owns (within the meaning of section 958(a)) more than 50 percent of the total combined voting power of all classes of the stock of each corporation, more than 50 percent

of the total combined voting power of all classes of the stock of each corporation is owned (within the meaning of section 958(a)) by the same controlling domestic shareholders and each controlling domestic shareholder owns (within the meaning of section 958(a)) the same percentage of stock in each controlled foreign corporation. For purposes of the preceding sentence, a controlling domestic shareholder includes any person bearing a relationship described in section 267(b) or 707(b)(1) to the controlling domestic shareholder.

(vi) *Example.* The following example illustrates the application of this paragraph (c)(6).

(A) *Example: Effect of disregarded payments between QBUs—(1) Facts—*(i) FP, a controlled foreign corporation organized in Country A, conducts a trade or business in Country A (the Country A Business) and reflects items of income, gain, loss, and expense attributable to the Country A Business on the books and records of FP's home office. Under §1.989(a)-1(b)(2)(i)(A), FP is a QBU. FP's functional currency is the U.S. dollar. FP has a calendar year taxable year in both the United States and Country A. An election is made under paragraph (c)(6)(v)(A) of this section that is effective for FP's CFC inclusion year.

(ii) FP owns FDE, a Country B disregarded entity (within the meaning of §1.904-4(f)(3)(i)). FDE conducts activities in Country B that constitute a trade or business within the meaning of §1.989(a)-1(c) (the Country B Business), and reflects items of income, gain, loss, and expense attributable to the Country B Business on the books and records of FDE. Under §1.989(a)-1(b)(2)(ii)(B), the Country B Business conducted through FDE is a QBU. The Country B Business's functional currency is the U.S. dollar. FDE has a calendar year taxable year in Country B.

(iii) On Date A in Year 1, FDE accrues \$100x of interest income from X, an unrelated third party, and reflects the accrual on the books and records of the Country B business. FP excludes the \$100x from foreign personal holding company income by reason of section 954(h). Subsequently, on Date B in Year 1, FDE accrues and pays \$20x of interest to FP. FP reflects the interest income item on the books and records of the Country A Business. FDE reflects the \$20x of interest expense on the books and records of the Country B Business.

(iv) Country A imposes no tax on income. Country B imposes a 25% tax on income. For Country B income tax purposes, FDE (which is not disregarded under Country B income tax principles) recognizes \$80x of taxable income (\$100x interest income, less a \$20x deduction for the interest paid to FP). Accordingly, FDE incurs a Country B income tax liability with respect to Year 1, the U.S. dollar amount of which is \$20x. For Federal income tax purposes, if FDE were not a disregarded entity (within the meaning of §1.904-4(f)(3)(i)), FP would recognize \$20x of income in Year 1, and FDE would recognize \$80x of taxable income in Year 1. Other than the \$20x expense accrued with respect to the income tax imposed by Country B, FP incurs no deductions in Year 1 for Federal income tax purposes.

(2) *Analysis—*(i) Under paragraph (c)(6)(ii)(A) (1) of this section, a separate tentative gross tested income item must be determined with respect to FP's Country A Business and Country B Business (each of which is a QBU). To determine the separate tentative gross tested income items with respect to its Country A Business and Country B Business, FP must determine the gross income that is attributable to the Country A Business and the Country B Business under paragraph (c)(6)(ii)(A)(2) of this section. Without regard to the \$20x interest payment from FDE to FP, gross income attributable to the Country A Business would be \$0 (that is, \$20x of interest income reflected on the books and records of the Country A Business, reduced by \$20x attributable to a payment that is disregarded for Federal income tax purposes). Similarly, without regard to the \$20x interest payment from FDE to FP, gross income attributable to the Country B Business would be \$100x (that is, \$100x of interest income reflected on the books and records of the Country B Business, unreduced by the \$20x payment from FDE to FP is a disregarded payment within the meaning of §1.904-4(f)(3)(ii), and would, under the principles of §1.904-4(f)(2)(vi) (without regard to the exclusion described in §1.904-4(f)(2)(vi)(C)(1)), adjust the gross income of the Country A Business from \$0 to \$20x and the gross income of the Country B Business from \$100x to \$80x (in each case, by virtue of the \$20x disregarded interest payment from FDE to FP). Accordingly, FP's tentative gross tested income attributable to the Country A Business is \$20x and its tentative gross tested income attributable to the Country B Business is \$80x.

(ii) Under paragraph (c)(6)(ii)(B) of this section, because there are no deductions allocated or apportioned under §1.960-1(d)(3) to the tentative gross tested income items of the Country A Business, FP's tentative net tested income item attributable to the Country A Business is \$20x. Taking into account the \$20x deduction for Country B income taxes that are allocable to the Country B Business under §1.960-1(d)(3), FP's tentative net tested income item attributable to the Country B Business is \$60x under paragraph (c)(6)(ii)(B) of this section (tentative gross tested income of \$80x less the \$20x deduction).

(iii) Under paragraphs (c)(6)(iii) and (iv) of this section, for Year 1 (a CFC inclusion year of FP), the effective rate with respect to FP's \$60x tentative net tested income item attributable to its Country B Business is 25%: \$20x (the U.S. dollar amount of the Country B taxes accrued with respect to FP's tentative tested net income item attributable to the Country B Business) divided by \$80x (the U.S. dollar amount of FP's \$60x tentative net tested income item, increased by the \$20x amount of Country B income taxes accrued with respect to that tentative net tested income item), expressed as a percentage. Therefore, FP's tentative net tested income item attributable to the Country B Business was subject to foreign income taxes at an effective rate (25%) that is greater than 18.9% (which is 90% of the rate that would apply if the income were subject to the maximum rate of tax specified in section 11, which is 21%). Accordingly, the requirement of paragraph (c)(6)(i)(B) of this section is satisfied with respect to FP's tentative gross tested income item attributable to the Country B Business in Year 1. Further, the requirement of paragraph (c)(6)(i)(A) of this section is satisfied because an election

described in paragraph (c)(6)(v)(A) of this section was made with respect to FP for Year 1. Accordingly, FP's \$80x item of tentative gross tested income attributable to its Country B Business qualifies for the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) under paragraph (c)(6)(i) of this section.

(iv) FP's \$20x item of tentative net tested income attributable to its Country A Business is not subject to foreign income tax, and therefore does not satisfy the requirement of paragraph (c)(6)(i)(B) of this section. Accordingly, FP's \$20x item of tentative gross tested income attributable to the Country A Business does not qualify for the high tax exception of section 954(b)(4) under paragraph (c)(6)(i) of this section.

Par. 5. Section 1.951A-7 is revised to read as follows:

§1.951A-7 Applicability dates.

(a) *In general.* Except as otherwise provided in this section, sections 1.951A-1 through 1.951A-6 apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and to taxable years of United States shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

(b) *High tax exclusion.* Section 1.951A-2(c)(1)(iii) and (c)(6) applies to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning on or after the date of publication of the Treasury decision adopting these rules as final regulations in the **Federal Register**, and to taxable years of United States shareholders in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

(c) *Domestic partnerships.* Section 1.951A-1(e) applies to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning after December 31, 2017, and before the date of publication of the Treasury decision adopting these rules as final regulations in the **Federal Register**, and to taxable years of United States persons in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end.

Par. 6. Section 1.954-1 is amended by:

1. Adding "or" to the end of paragraph (c)(1)(ii)(A)(2)(ii).
2. Removing and reserving paragraphs (c)(1)(ii)(A)(2)(iii) and (iv).
3. Adding paragraphs (c)(1)(iii)(A)(3) and (c)(1)(iv).
4. Removing the language "foreign base company oil related income, as defined in section 954(g), or" in the second sentence of paragraph (d)(1) introductory text.
5. Adding a new sentence after the fourth sentence in paragraph (d)(1) introductory text.

6. Removing the language “imposed by a foreign country or countries” in paragraph (d)(1)(ii).
7. Removing the language “in a chain of corporations through which a distribution is made” in the first sentence in paragraph (d)(2) introductory text.
8. Removing the language “(or deemed paid or accrued)” in paragraph (d)(2)(i).
9. Revising the heading and the first sentence of paragraph (d)(3)(i).
10. Removing the second sentence of paragraph (d)(3)(i).
11. Removing and reserving paragraphs (d)(4)(iii) and (d)(7).

The additions and revisions read as follows:

§1.954-1 Foreign base company income.

(c)***

(1)***

(iii)***

(A)***

(3) *Amount of a single item.* For purposes of paragraph (c)(1)(iii)(A) of this section, the aggregate amount from all transactions that falls within a single separate category (as defined in §1.904-5(a)(4)(v)) and is described in paragraph (c)(1)(iii)(A)(I)(i) of this section is a single item of income. Similarly, the aggregate amount from all transactions that falls within a single separate category (as defined in §1.904-5(a)(4)(v)) and is described in each one of paragraphs (c)(1)(iii)(A)(I)(ii) through (c)(1)(iii)(A)(I)(v) of this section is in each case a separate single item of income. The same principles apply for transactions described in each one of paragraphs (c)(1)(iii)(A)(2)(i) through (v) of this section.

(iv) *Treatment of deductions or loss attributable to disqualified basis.* For purposes of paragraph (c)(1)(i) of this section (and in the case of insurance income, paragraph (a)(6) of this section), in determining the amount of a net item of foreign base company income or insurance income, deductions or loss described in §1.951A-2(c)(5) are not allocated and apportioned to gross foreign base company income or gross insurance income.

(d)***

(1)*** For rules concerning the application of the high tax exception of sec-

tions 954(b)(4) and 951A(c)(2)(A)(i)(III) to tentative gross tested income items, see §1.951A-2(c)(1)(iii) and (c)(6).***

(3)***

(i) *In general.* The amount of foreign income taxes paid or accrued by a controlled foreign corporation with respect to a net item of income for purposes of section 954(b)(4) and this paragraph (d) is the U.S. dollar amount of the controlled foreign corporation’s current year taxes (as defined in §1.960-1(b)(4)) that are allocated and apportioned under §1.960-1(d)(3)(ii) to the subpart F income group (as defined in §1.960-1(d)(2)(ii)(B)) that corresponds with the net item of income.***

Par. 7. Section 1.954-1, as proposed to be amended at 83 FR 63200 (December 7, 2018), is further amended by:

1. Removing and reserving paragraph (d)(3)(ii).
2. Redesignating paragraphs (h)(1) and (h)(2) as paragraphs (h)(2) and (h)(3), respectively.
3. Adding a new paragraph (h)(1).
4. Removing the language “Paragraphs (d)(3)(i) and (ii)” in newly redesignated paragraph (h)(2) and adding “The last two sentences in paragraph (d)(3)(i)” in its place.

The addition reads as follows:

§1.954-1 Foreign base company income.

(h)***

(1) *Paragraphs (c)(1)(iii)(A)(3) and (c)(1)(iv) of this section and portion of paragraph (d)(3)(i) of this section.* Paragraphs (c)(1)(iii)(A)(3) and (c)(1)(iv) of this section and the first sentence of paragraph (d)(3)(i) of this section apply to taxable years of a controlled foreign corporation beginning on or after the date of publication of the Treasury decision adopting these rules as final regulations in the **Federal Register**.

Par. 8. Section 1.956-1, as amended May 23, 2019, at 84 FR 23717, effective July 22, 2019, is further amended by revising the first sentence of paragraph (g)(4) to read as follows:

§1.956-1 Shareholder’s pro rata share of the average of the amounts of United States property held by a controlled foreign corporation.

(g)***

(4) Paragraphs (a)(2) and (3) of this section apply to taxable years of controlled foreign corporations beginning on or after July 22, 2019, and to taxable years of a United States shareholder in which or with which such taxable years of the controlled foreign corporations end, but the last sentence of paragraph (a)(2)(i) and paragraphs (a)(2)(iii) and (a)(3)(iv) of this section do not apply to taxable years of controlled foreign corporations beginning on or after the date of publication of the Treasury decision adopting these rules as final regulations in the **Federal Register**, and to taxable years of a United States shareholder in which or with which such taxable years of the controlled foreign corporations end.***

Par. 9. Section 1.958-1 is amended by:

1. Redesignating paragraph (d) as paragraph (e).
2. Adding a new paragraph (d).

The addition reads as follows:

§1.958-1 *Direct and indirect ownership of stock.*

(d) *Stock owned through domestic partnerships—(1) In general.* Except as otherwise provided in paragraph (d)(2) of this section, for purposes of section 951 and section 951A, and for purposes of any other provision that applies by reference to section 951 or section 951A, a domestic partnership is not treated as owning stock of a foreign corporation within the meaning of section 958(a). When the preceding sentence applies, a domestic partnership is treated in the same manner as a foreign partnership under section 958(a)(2) and paragraph (b) of this section for purposes of determining the persons that own stock of the foreign corporation within the meaning of section 958(a).

(2) *Non-application for determination of status as United States shareholder or controlled foreign corporation.* Paragraph (d)(1) of this section does not apply for purposes of determining whether any United States person is a United States shareholder (as defined in section 951(b)), whether any United States shareholder is a controlling domestic shareholder (as defined in §1.964-1(c)(5)), or whether any foreign corporation is a controlled foreign corporation (as defined in section 957(a)).

(3) *Examples.* The following examples illustrate the application of this paragraph (d).

(i) *Example 1—(A) Facts.* USP, a domestic corporation, and Individual A, a United States citizen unrelated to USP, own 95% and 5%, respectively, of PRS, a domestic partnership. PRS owns 100% of the single class of stock of FC, a foreign corporation.

(B) *Analysis—(1) CFC and United States shareholder determinations.* Under paragraph (d)(2) of this section, the determination of whether PRS, USP, and Individual A (each a United States person) are United States shareholders of FC and whether FC is a controlled foreign corporation is made without regard to paragraph (d)(1) of this section. PRS, a United States person, owns 100% of the total combined voting power or value of the FC stock within the meaning of section 958(a). Accordingly, PRS is a United States shareholder under section 951(b), and FC is a controlled foreign corporation under section 957(a). USP is a United States shareholder of FC because it owns 95% of the total combined voting power or value of the FC stock under sections 958(b) and 318(a)(2)(A). Individual A, however, is not a United States shareholder of FC because Individual A owns only 5% of the total combined voting power or value of the FC stock under sections 958(b) and 318(a)(2)(A).

(2) *Application of sections 951 and 951A.* Under paragraph (d)(1) of this section, for purposes of sections 951 and 951A, PRS is not treated as owning (within the meaning of section 958(a)) the FC stock; instead, PRS is treated in the same manner as a foreign partnership for purposes of determining the FC stock owned by USP and Individual A under section 958(a)(2) and paragraph (b) of this section. Therefore, for purposes of sections 951 and 951A, USP is treated as owning 95% of the FC stock under section 958(a), and Individual A is treated as owning 5% of the FC stock under section 958(a). USP is a United States shareholder of FC, and therefore USP determines its income inclusions under section 951 and 951A based on its ownership of FC stock under section 958(a). However, because Individual A is not a United States shareholder of FC, Individual A does not have an income inclusion under section 951 with respect to FC or a pro rata share of any amount of FC for purposes of section 951A.

(ii) *Example 2—(A) Facts.* USP, a domestic corporation, and Individual A, a United States citizen,

own 90% and 10%, respectively, of PRS1, a domestic partnership. PRS1 and Individual B, a nonresident alien individual, own 90% and 10%, respectively, of PRS2, a domestic partnership. PRS2 owns 100% of the single class of stock of FC, a foreign corporation. USP, Individual A, and Individual B are unrelated to each other.

(B) *Analysis—(1) CFC and United States shareholder determination.* Under paragraph (d)(2) of this section, the determination of whether PRS1, PRS2, USP, and Individual A (each a United States person) are United States shareholders of FC and whether FC is a controlled foreign corporation is made without regard to paragraph (d)(1) of this section. PRS2 owns 100% of the total combined voting power or value of the FC stock within the meaning of section 958(a). Accordingly, PRS2 is a United States shareholder under section 951(b), and FC is a controlled foreign corporation under section 957(a). Under sections 958(b) and 318(a)(2)(A), PRS1 is treated as owning 90% of the FC stock owned by PRS2. Accordingly, PRS1 is a United States shareholder under section 951(b). Further, under section 958(b)(2), PRS1 is treated as owning 100% of the FC stock for purposes of determining the FC stock treated as owned by USP and Individual A under section 318(a)(2)(A). Therefore, USP is treated as owning 90% of the FC stock under section 958(b) (100% x 100% x 90%), and Individual A is treated as owning 10% of the FC stock under section 958(b) (100% x 100% x 10%). Accordingly, both USP and Individual A are United States shareholders of FC under section 951(b).

(2) *Application of sections 951 and 951A.* Under paragraph (d)(1) of this section, for purposes of sections 951 and 951A, PRS1 and PRS2 are not treated as owning (within the meaning of section 958(a)) the FC stock; instead, PRS1 and PRS2 are treated in the same manner as foreign partnerships for purposes of determining the FC stock owned by USP and Individual A under section 958(a)(2) and paragraph (b) of this section. Therefore, for purposes of determining the amount included in gross income under sections 951 and 951A, USP is treated as owning 81% (100% x 90% x 90%) of the FC stock under section 958(a), and Individual A is treated as owning 9% (100% x 90% x 10%) of the FC stock under section 958(a). Because USP and Individual A are both United States shareholders of FC, USP and Individual A determine their respective inclusions under sections 951 and 951A based on their ownership of FC stock under section 958(a).

(4) *Applicability date.* Paragraphs (d)(1) through (3) of this section apply to taxable years of foreign corporations beginning on or after the date of publication of the Treasury decision adopting these rules as final regulations in the **Federal Register**, and to taxable years of United States persons in which or with which such taxable years of foreign corporations end. For taxable years that precede the taxable years described in the preceding sentence, a domestic partnership may apply those paragraphs to taxable years of a foreign corporation beginning after December 31, 2017, and to taxable years of the domestic partnership in which or with which such taxable years of the foreign corporation end, provided that the partnership, its partners that are United States shareholders of the foreign corporation, and other domestic partnerships that bear relationships described in section 267(b) or 707(b) to the partnership (and their United States shareholder partners) consistently apply paragraph (d) of this section with respect to all foreign corporations whose stock the domestic partnerships own within the meaning of section 958(a) (determined without regard to paragraph (d)(1) of this section).

* * * * *

Par. 10. Section 1.1502-51 is amended by revising the last sentence in paragraph (b) and adding paragraph (g)(2) to read as follows:

§1.1502-51 Consolidated section 951A.

* * * * *

(b) * * * In addition, see §1.958-1(d).

* * * * *

(g) * * *

(2) *The last sentence of paragraph (b) of this section.* The last sentence of paragraph (b) of this section applies to taxable years of United States shareholders described in §1.958-1(d)(4).

Kirsten Wielobob,
Deputy Commissioner for Services
and Enforcement.

(Filed by the Office of the Federal Register on June 14, 2019, 4:15 p.m., and published in the issue of the Federal Register for June 21, 2019, 84 F.R. 29114)

Definition of Terms

Revenue rulings and revenue procedures (hereinafter referred to as “rulings”) that have an effect on previous rulings use the following defined terms to describe the effect:

Amplified describes a situation where no change is being made in a prior published position, but the prior position is being extended to apply to a variation of the fact situation set forth therein. Thus, if an earlier ruling held that a principle applied to A, and the new ruling holds that the same principle also applies to B, the earlier ruling is amplified. (Compare with *modified*, below).

Clarified is used in those instances where the language in a prior ruling is being made clear because the language has caused, or may cause, some confusion. It is not used where a position in a prior ruling is being changed.

Distinguished describes a situation where a ruling mentions a previously published ruling and points out an essential difference between them.

Modified is used where the substance of a previously published position is being changed. Thus, if a prior ruling held that a principle applied to A but not to B, and the

new ruling holds that it applies to both A and B, the prior ruling is modified because it corrects a published position. (Compare with *amplified* and *clarified*, above).

Obsoleted describes a previously published ruling that is not considered determinative with respect to future transactions. This term is most commonly used in a ruling that lists previously published rulings that are obsoleted because of changes in laws or regulations. A ruling may also be obsoleted because the substance has been included in regulations subsequently adopted.

Revoked describes situations where the position in the previously published ruling is not correct and the correct position is being stated in a new ruling.

Superseded describes a situation where the new ruling does nothing more than restate the substance and situation of a previously published ruling (or rulings). Thus, the term is used to republish under the 1986 Code and regulations the same position published under the 1939 Code and regulations. The term is also used when it is desired to republish in a single ruling a series of situations, names, etc., that were previously published over a period of time in separate rulings. If the

new ruling does more than restate the substance of a prior ruling, a combination of terms is used. For example, *modified* and *superseded* describes a situation where the substance of a previously published ruling is being changed in part and is continued without change in part and it is desired to restate the valid portion of the previously published ruling in a new ruling that is self contained. In this case, the previously published ruling is first modified and then, as modified, is superseded.

Supplemented is used in situations in which a list, such as a list of the names of countries, is published in a ruling and that list is expanded by adding further names in subsequent rulings. After the original ruling has been supplemented several times, a new ruling may be published that includes the list in the original ruling and the additions, and supersedes all prior rulings in the series.

Suspended is used in rare situations to show that the previous published rulings will not be applied pending some future action such as the issuance of new or amended regulations, the outcome of cases in litigation, or the outcome of a Service study.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations in current use and formerly used will appear in material published in the Bulletin.

A—Individual.
Acq.—Acquiescence.
B—Individual.
BE—Beneficiary.
BK—Bank.
B.T.A.—Board of Tax Appeals.
C—Individual.
C.B.—Cumulative Bulletin.
CFR—Code of Federal Regulations.
CI—City.
COOP—Cooperative.
Ct.D.—Court Decision.
CY—County.
D—Decedent.
DC—Dummy Corporation.
DE—Donee.
Del. Order—Delegation Order.
DISC—Domestic International Sales Corporation.
DR—Donor.
E—Estate.
EE—Employee.
E.O.—Executive Order.
ER—Employer.

ERISA—Employee Retirement Income Security Act.
EX—Executor.
F—Fiduciary.
FC—Foreign Country.
FICA—Federal Insurance Contributions Act.
FISC—Foreign International Sales Company.
FPH—Foreign Personal Holding Company.
FR—Federal Register.
FUTA—Federal Unemployment Tax Act.
FX—Foreign corporation.
G.C.M.—Chief Counsel’s Memorandum.
GE—Grantee.
GP—General Partner.
GR—Grantor.
IC—Insurance Company.
I.R.B.—Internal Revenue Bulletin.
LE—Lessee.
LP—Limited Partner.
LR—Lessor.
M—Minor.
Nonacq.—Nonacquiescence.
O—Organization.
P—Parent Corporation.
PHC—Personal Holding Company.
PO—Possession of the U.S.
PR—Partner.
PRS—Partnership.

PTE—Prohibited Transaction Exemption.
Pub. L.—Public Law.
REIT—Real Estate Investment Trust.
Rev. Proc.—Revenue Procedure.
Rev. Rul.—Revenue Ruling.
S—Subsidiary.
S.P.R.—Statement of Procedural Rules.
Stat.—Statutes at Large.
T—Target Corporation.
T.C.—Tax Court.
T.D.—Treasury Decision.
TFE—Transferee.
TFR—Transferor.
T.I.R.—Technical Information Release.
TP—Taxpayer.
TR—Trust.
TT—Trustee.
U.S.C.—United States Code.
X—Corporation.
Y—Corporation.
Z—Corporation.

Numerical Finding List¹

Bulletin 2019–29

Announcements:

2019-07, 2019-27 I.R.B. 62

Notices:

2019-12, 2019-27 I.R.B. 57

2019-40, 2019-27 I.R.B. 59

2019-41, 2019-28 I.R.B. 256

2019-42, 2019-29 I.R.B. 352

Proposed Regulations:

REG-105476-18, 2019-27 I.R.B. 63

REG-106282-18, 2019-28 I.R.B. 259

REG-101828-19, 2019-29 I.R.B. 412

Revenue Rulings:

2019-16, 2019-28 I.R.B. 96

Revenue Procedures:

2019-24, 2019-29 I.R.B. 353

Treasury Decisions:

9863, 2019-27 I.R.B. 1

9864, 2019-27 I.R.B. 6

9865, 2019-27 I.R.B. 27

9867, 2019-28 I.R.B. 98

9868, 2019-28 I.R.B. 252

9866, 2019-29 I.R.B. 261

¹ A cumulative list of all revenue rulings, revenue procedures, Treasury decisions, etc., published in Internal Revenue Bulletins 2018–27 through 2018–52 is in Internal Revenue Bulletin 2018–52, dated December 27, 2018.

Finding List of Current Actions on Previously Published Items¹

Bulletin 2019–29

¹ A cumulative list of all revenue rulings, revenue procedures, Treasury decisions, etc., published in Internal Revenue Bulletins 2018–27 through 2018–52 is in Internal Revenue Bulletin 2018–52, dated December 27, 2018.

Internal Revenue Service

Washington, DC 20224

Official Business
Penalty for Private Use, \$300

INTERNAL REVENUE BULLETIN

The Introduction at the beginning of this issue describes the purpose and content of this publication. The weekly Internal Revenue Bulletins are available at www.irs.gov/irb/.

We Welcome Comments About the Internal Revenue Bulletin

If you have comments concerning the format or production of the Internal Revenue Bulletin or suggestions for improving it, we would be pleased to hear from you. You can email us your suggestions or comments through the IRS Internet Home Page (www.irs.gov) or write to the Internal Revenue Service, Publishing Division, IRB Publishing Program Desk, 1111 Constitution Ave. NW, IR-6230 Washington, DC 20224.