

## DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY INTERNAL REVENUE SERVICE WASHINGTON, D.C. 20224

U.I.L.72-20-04		OCT 2	5 2004
******************************	•		
LEGEND:			
Taxpayer A	= ***********		
IRA X	= ************		
Company M	= ************************************		
Individual B	= ***********		
Amount D	<del> **************</del>		
Amount E	= *************		
Amount F	= ****************		
Amount G	= ************		
Dear ***********	***.		

This is in response to your letter dated May 20, 2004, and supplemented by correspondence dated September 10, 2004, submitted on your behalf by your authorized representative in which you request a ruling as to whether a "make-up" distribution from your individual retirement arrangement (IRA) will not result in a modification to a series of substantially equal periodic payments you are currently receiving and, therefore, will not be subject to the additional 10 percent tax imposed on premature distributions under section 72(t) of the Internal Revenue Code (Code).

The following facts and representations were made in support of your ruling request.

***********	200503036
Page 2	
Taxpayer A retired in at the age of X, a rollover IRA he established in with Company M from a qualified retirement plan. Company M is the custo	l with a distribution he received
Taxpayer A's financial representative at Company M, Indiarrangement under which Taxpayer A would receive annulof a series of substantially equal periodic payments calcular annuitization method. Taxpayer A is required to annually amount, Amount D, from IRA X. Company M, as custodic Taxpayer A. The distributions from IRA X began in calend intended to comply with the requirements of section 72(t)	ual IRA distributions in the form lated under the fixed withdraw an aggregate an, makes the distributions to adar year and were
Prior to Taxpayer A received an aggreg from IRA X for the Calendar year as part of his required an aggreg requesting a withdrawal of Amount F from IRA X. Amount portion of his Trequired annual distribution. The distribution of the Withdrawal should be made; the withdrawal amount of the Company M account to which Amount F slipercentage of federal income taxes to be withheld from A that he gave the distribution form to Individual B who form	an IRA distribution. On the IRA distribution form the F constituted the remaining ribution form completed and RA X account number from the IRA (Amount F), the account the IRA
On, Individual B noticed that Taxpayer Amount F from IRA X had not been processed and that of distributed to Taxpayer A from IRA X for the calend distribution in the amount of Amount F was made from IR accordance with Taxpayer A's prior withdrawal request of Company M's error, Taxpayer A's Form 1099-R in the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in distribution from IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the form IRA X and the annual payment amount in the control of the control o	only Amount E had been far year. A make-up  RA X on Amount E had been in factorized As a result reflects a total distribution of hount G, which is the sum that ear (Amount D) plus the make Taxpayer A's annual

Based on the foregoing, you request a ruling that the failure to distribute the entire required distribution amount for the calendar year and the subsequent make up distribution in the calendar year will not be considered a modification to a series of substantially equal periodic payments under Code section 72(t)(4) that will result in the imposition of the 10 percent additional tax under Code section 72(t)(1).

Section 408(d)(1) of the Code provides that, except as otherwise provided in section 408(d), any amount paid or distributed out of an IRA shall be included in gross income by the payee or distributee, as the case may be, in the manner provided under section 72 of the Code.

## Page 3

Section 72 of the Code provides rules for determining how amounts received as annuities, endowments, or life insurance contracts and distributions from qualified plans are to be taxed.

Section 72(t)(1) provides for the imposition of an additional 10 percent tax on early distributions from qualified plans, including IRAs. The additional tax is imposed on that portion of the distribution that is includible in gross income.

Section 72(t)(2)(A)(iv) of the Code provides that section 72(t)(1) shall not apply to distributions that are part of a series of substantially equal periodic payments (not less frequently than annually) made for the life (or life expectancy) of the employee or joint lives (or joint life expectancies) of such employee and his designated beneficiary.

Section 72(t)(4) of the Code imposes the additional limitation on distributions excepted from the 10 percent tax by section 72(t)(2)(A)(iv) that, if the series of payments is subsequently modified (other than by reason of death or disability) before the later of the employee's attainment of age 59 ½, then the taxpayer's tax for the first taxable year in which such modification occurs shall be increased by an amount determined under regulations, equal to the tax that would have been imposed except for the section 72(t)(2)(A)(iv) exception, plus interest for the deferral period.

Notice 89-25 was published on March 20, 1989, and provided guidance, in the form of questions and answers, on certain provisions of the Tax Reform Act of 1986 (TRA '86). In the absence of regulations on section 72(t) of the Code, this notice provides guidance with respect to the exception to the tax on premature distributions provided under section 72(t)(2)(A)(iv). Q&A-12 of Notice 89-25 provides three methods of determining substantially equal periodic payments for purposes of section 72(t)(2)(A)(iv) of the Code.

Revenue Ruling 2002-62, 2002-42 I.R.B. 710, which was published on October 21, 2002, modifies Q&A-12 of Notice 89-25. Rev. Rul. 2002-62 provides, among other things, that payments are considered to be substantially equal periodic payments within the meaning of section 72(t)(2)(A)(iv) if they are made in accordance with the required minimum distribution method, the fixed amortization method or the fixed annuitization method (the three methods described in Q&A-12 of Notice 89-25).

The fixed annuitization method provides that the annual payment for each year is determined by dividing the account balance by an annuity factor that is the present value of an annuity of one dollar (\$1) per year beginning at the taxpayer's age and continuing for the life of the taxpayer (or the joint lives of the individual and beneficiary). The annuity factor is derived using the mortality table in Appendix B of Revenue Ruling 2002-62 and using the chosen interest rate. Under this method, the account balance, the annuity factor, the chosen interest rate and the resulting annual payment are determined once for the first distribution year and the annual payment is the same amount in each succeeding year.

In this case, Taxpayer A states that he began to receive payments from IRA X in calendar year in a series of substantially equal periodic payments as described in Code section 72(t)(2)(A)(iv) using the fixed annuitization method as described in Revenue Ruling 2002-62. Taxpayer A further states that the annual payment from IRA X as determined under the above methodology is Amount D. For calendar year Taxpayer A received distributions from IRA X prior to that in the aggregate totaled Amount E. Taxpayer A has submitted documentation that shows that on the payment (Amount F) from IRA X. If Amount F had been distributed as requested
by Taxpayer A on the state of t
annual payment calculated using the method he chose to calculate the series of
substantially equal periodic payments from IRA X. Amount F was not distributed by
Company M by the end of the calendar year. When the error was discovered by
Company M on Compa
A.
Decumentation submitted by Taynaver A shows that he completed the necessary

Documentation submitted by Taxpayer A shows that he completed the necess Company M distribution form to have the remaining amount (Amount F) distributed from calendar year and gave the form to Individual B, a Company M IRA X for the employee. Taxpayer A did all that he could in order to ensure that he received the balance of the annual payment from IRA X and had no reason to believe that Company M would not make the distribution as he requested. Taxpayer A did not intend to modify the series of substantially equal periodic payments he began receiving from IRA X in calendar year Rather, the modification is due to the failure of Company M to make the remaining distribution of Amount F from IRA X in calendar year resulted in Taxpayer A receiving an annual payment for the calendar year that is less than the amount determined under the fixed annuitization method. Further, when , this distribution, when Company M distributed Amount F to Taxpayer A in added to Taxpayer A's previously calculated annual payment (Amount D), will result in Taxpayer A receiving an amount for calendar year that will be more than the annual payment determined under the fixed annuitization method. Other than the amount of the annual payment for the annual calendar years, Taxpayer A will continue to use the fixed annuitization method for calculating the annual payments from IRA X.

Accordingly, we conclude that the failure to distribute the entire required annual payment from IRA X for the calendar year and the subsequent "make-up" distribution for the calendar year made in calendar year will not be considered a modification of a series of substantially equal periodic payments under Code section 72(t)(2)(A)(iv) and, therefore will not be subject to the 10 percent additional tax imposed on premature distributions under section 72(t)(1) of Code.

This ruling does not express an opinion as to whether (but assumes that) the series of substantially equal payments Taxpayer A is currently receiving from IRA X satisfies Code section 72(t)(2)(A)(iv) and Revenue Ruling 2002-62. This ruling assumes that

Page 5

IRA X meets the requirements of Code section 408(a) at all times relevant to this transaction.

This letter ruling is directed only to the taxpayer that requested it. Section 6110(k)(3) of the Code provides that it may not be used or cited by others as precedent.

A copy of this letter has been sent to your authorized representative in accordance with a power of attorney on file in this office.

Sincerely yours,

(Menod) SOTOR B. FLOTO

Joyce E. Floyd, Manager Employee Plans Technical Group 2

Enclosures:

Deleted copy of ruling letter Notice of Intention to Disclose