Part I
Section 2056.—Bequests, Etc., to Surviving Spouse

26 CFR 20.2056(a)-1: Qualified terminable interest property elections.

Rev. Rul. 2006-26

ISSUE

If a marital trust described in Situations 1, 2, or 3 is the named beneficiary of a decedent’s individual retirement account (IRA) or other qualified retirement plan described in section 4974(c) that is a defined contribution plan, under what circumstances is the surviving spouse considered to have a qualifying income interest for life in the IRA (or qualified retirement plan) and in the trust for purposes of an election to treat both the IRA and the trust as qualified terminable interest property (QTIP) under § 2056(b)(7) of the Internal Revenue Code?

FACTS

A dies in 2004, at age 68, survived by spouse, B. Prior to death, A established an IRA described in § 408(a). A’s will creates a testamentary marital trust (Trust) that is funded with assets in A’s probate estate. As of A’s death, Trust is irrevocable and is valid under applicable local law. Prior to death, A named Trust as the beneficiary of all amounts payable from the IRA after A’s death. The IRA is properly included in A’s gross estate for federal estate tax purposes. The IRA is currently invested in productive
assets and $B$ has the right (directly or through the trustee of Trust) to compel the investment of the IRA in assets productive of a reasonable income. The IRA document does not prohibit the withdrawal from the IRA of amounts in excess of the annual required minimum distribution amount under § 408(a)(6). The executor of $A$'s estate elects under § 2056(b)(7) to treat both the IRA and Trust as QTIP.

Under Trust’s terms, all income is payable annually to $B$ for $B$’s life, and no person has the power to appoint any part of the Trust principal to any person other than $B$ during $B$’s lifetime. $B$ has the right to compel the trustee to invest the Trust principal in assets productive of a reasonable income. On $B$’s death, the Trust principal is to be distributed to $A$’s children, who are younger than $B$. Under the trust instrument, no person other than $B$ and $A$’s children has a beneficial interest in Trust (including any contingent beneficial interest). Further, as in Rev. Rul. 2000-2, 2000-1 C.B. 305, under Trust’s terms, $B$ has the power, exercisable annually, to compel the trustee to withdraw from the IRA an amount equal to all the income of the IRA for the year and to distribute that income to $B$. If $B$ exercises this power, the trustee is obligated under Trust’s terms to withdraw the greater of all of the income of the IRA or the annual required minimum distribution amount under § 408(a)(6), and distribute currently to $B$ at least the income of the IRA. The Trust instrument provides that any excess of the required minimum distribution amount over the income of the IRA for that year is to be added to Trust’s principal. If $B$ does not exercise the power to compel a withdrawal from the IRA for a particular year, the trustee must withdraw from the IRA only the required minimum distribution amount under § 408(a)(6) for that year.
The trustee of Trust provides to the IRA trustee a copy of A’s will (Trust’s governing instrument) before October 31, 2005, in accordance with A-6(b) of § 1.401(a)(9)-4 of the Income Tax regulations. Because the requirements of A-4 and A-5 of § 1.401(a)(9)-4 of the Income Tax regulations are satisfied and there are no beneficiaries or potential beneficiaries that are not individuals, the beneficiaries of the trust may be treated as designated beneficiaries of the IRA. In accordance with § 408(a)(6) and the terms of the IRA instrument, the trustee of Trust elects to receive annual required minimum distributions using the exception to the five year rule in § 401(a)(9)(B)(iii) for distributions over a distribution period equal to a designated beneficiary’s life expectancy. Because amounts may be accumulated in Trust for the benefit of A’s children, B is not treated as the sole beneficiary and, thus, the special rule for a surviving spouse in § 401(a)(9)(B)(iv) is not applicable. Accordingly, the trustee of Trust elects to have the annual required minimum distributions from the IRA to Trust begin in 2005, the year immediately following the year of A’s death. The amount of the annual required minimum distribution from the IRA for each year is calculated by dividing the account balance of the IRA as of December 31 of the immediately preceding year by the remaining distribution period. Because B’s life expectancy is the shortest of all of the potential beneficiaries of Trust’s interest in the IRA (including remainder beneficiaries), the distribution period for purposes of § 401(a)(9)(B)(iii) is B’s life expectancy, based on the Single Life Table in A-1 of § 1.401(a)(9)-9, using B’s age as of B’s birthday in 2005, reduced by one for each calendar year that elapses after 2005. On B’s death, the required minimum distributions with respect to any
undistributed balance of the IRA will continue to be calculated in the same manner and be distributed to Trust over the remaining distribution period.

**Situation 1—Authorized Adjustments Between Income and Principal.** The facts and the terms of Trust are as described above. Trust is governed by the laws of State X. State X has adopted a version of the Uniform Principal and Income Act (UPIA) including a provision similar to section 104(a) of the UPIA providing that, in certain circumstances, the trustee is authorized to make adjustments between income and principal to fulfill the trustee’s duty of impartiality between the income and remainder beneficiaries. More specifically, State X has adopted a provision providing that adjustments between income and principal may be made, as under section 104(a) of the UPIA, when trust assets are invested under State X's prudent investor standard, the amount to be distributed to a beneficiary is described by reference to the trust's income, and the trust cannot be administered impartially after applying State X’s statutory rules regarding the allocation of receipts and disbursements to income and principal. In addition, State X’s statute incorporates a provision similar to section 409(c) of the UPIA providing that, when a payment is made from an IRA to a trust: (i) if no part of the payment is characterized as interest, a dividend, or an equivalent payment, and all or part of the payment is required to be distributed currently to the beneficiary, the trustee must allocate 10 percent of the required payment to income and the balance to principal; and (ii) if no part of the payment made is required to be distributed from the trust or if the payment received by the trust is the entire amount to which the trustee is contractually entitled, the trustee must allocate the entire payment to principal. State X’s statute further provides that, similar to section 409(d) of the UPIA, if in order to
obtain an estate tax marital deduction for a trust a trustee must allocate more of a payment to income, the trustee is required to allocate to income the additional amount necessary to obtain the marital deduction.

For each calendar year, the trustee determines the total return of the assets held directly in Trust, exclusive of the IRA, and then determines the respective portion of the total return that is to be allocated to principal and to income under State X’s version of section 104(a) of the UPIA in a manner that fulfills the trustee’s duty of impartiality between the income and remainder beneficiaries. The amount allocated to income is distributed to B as income beneficiary of Trust, in accordance with the terms of the Trust instrument. Similarly, for each calendar year the trustee of Trust determines the total return of the assets held in the IRA and then determines the respective portion of the total return that would be allocated to principal and to income under State X’s version of section 104(a) of the UPIA in a manner that fulfills a fiduciary’s duty of impartiality. This allocation is made without regard to, and independent of, the trustee’s determination with respect to Trust income and principal. If B exercises the withdrawal power, Trustee withdraws from the IRA the amount allocated to income (or the required minimum distribution amount under § 408(a)(6), if greater), and distributes to B the amount allocated to income of the IRA.

Situation 2—Unitrust Income Determination. The facts, and the terms of Trust, are as described above. Trust is governed by the laws of State Y. Under State Y law, if the trust instrument specifically provides or the interested parties consent, the income of the trust means a unitrust amount of 4 percent of the fair market value of the trust assets valued annually. In accordance with procedures prescribed by the State Y
statute, all interested parties authorize the trustee to administer Trust and to determine withdrawals from the IRA in accordance with this provision. The trustee determines an amount equal to 4 percent of the fair market value of the IRA assets and an amount equal to 4 percent of the fair market value of Trust’s assets, exclusive of the IRA, as of the appropriate valuation date. In accordance with the terms of Trust, trustee distributes the amount equal to 4 percent of the Trust assets, exclusive of the IRA, to B, annually. In addition, if B exercises the withdrawal power, Trustee withdraws from the IRA the greater of the required minimum distribution amount under § 408(a)(6) or the amount equal to 4 percent of the value of the IRA assets, and distributes to B at least the amount equal to 4 percent of the value of the IRA assets.

Situation 3—“Traditional” Definition of Income. The facts, and the terms of Trust, are as described above. Trust is governed by the laws of State Z. State Z has not enacted the UPIA, and therefore does not have provisions comparable to sections 104(a) and 409(c) and (d) of the UPIA. Thus, in determining the amount of IRA income B can compel the trustee to withdraw from the IRA, the trustee applies the law of State Z regarding the allocation of receipts and disbursements to income and principal, with no power to allocate between income and principal. As in Situations 1 and 2, the income of Trust is determined without regard to the IRA, and the income of the IRA is separately determined based on the assets of the IRA.

LAW AND ANALYSIS

Section 2056(a) provides that the value of the taxable estate is, except as limited by § 2056(b), determined by deducting from the value of the gross estate an amount equal to the value of any interest in property that passes from the decedent to the
surviving spouse, to the extent that interest is included in the value of decedent’s gross estate.

Under § 2056(b)(1), if an interest passing to the surviving spouse will terminate or fail, no deduction is allowed with respect to the interest if an interest in the property passes or has passed from the decedent to any person other than the surviving spouse (or the estate of the spouse), that may be possessed or enjoyed by such other person after termination of the spouse’s interest.

Section 2056(b)(7) provides that QTIP, for purposes of § 2056(a), is treated as passing to the surviving spouse and no part of the property is treated as passing to any person other than the surviving spouse. Section 2056(b)(7)(B)(i) defines QTIP as property that passes from the decedent, in which the surviving spouse has a qualifying income interest for life, and to which an election under § 2056(b)(7) applies. Under § 2056(b)(7)(B)(ii), the surviving spouse has a qualifying income interest for life if, inter alia, the surviving spouse is entitled to all the income from the property, payable annually or at more frequent intervals.

Section 20.2056(b)-7(d)(2) provides that the principles of § 20.2056(b)-5(f), relating to whether the spouse is entitled for life to all of the income from the property, apply in determining whether the surviving spouse is entitled for life to all of the income from the property for purposes of § 2056(b)(7).

Section 20.2056(b)-5(f)(1) provides that, if an interest is transferred in trust, the surviving spouse is entitled for life to all of the income from the entire interest if the effect of the trust is to give the surviving spouse substantially that degree of beneficial enjoyment of the trust property during the surviving spouse’s life that the principles of
the law of trusts accord to a person who is unqualifiedly designated as the life beneficiary of a trust. In addition, the surviving spouse is entitled for life to all of the income from the property if the spouse is entitled to income as determined by applicable local law that provides for a reasonable apportionment between the income and remainder beneficiaries of the total return of the trust and that meets the requirements of § 1.643(b)-1.

Section 20.2056(b)-5(f)(8) provides that the terms “entitled for life” and “payable annually or at more frequent intervals” require that under the terms of the trust the income referred to must be currently (at least annually) distributable to the spouse or that the spouse must have such command over the income that it is virtually the spouse’s. Thus, the surviving spouse will be entitled for life to all of the income from the trust, payable annually, if, under the terms of the trust instrument, the spouse has the right exercisable annually (or at more frequent intervals) to require distribution to the spouse of the trust income and, to the extent that right is not exercised, the trust income is to be accumulated and added to principal.

Generally, § 1.643(b)-1 provides that, for purposes of various provisions of the Code relating to the income taxation of estates and trusts, the term “income” means the amount of income of the estate or trust for the taxable year determined under the terms of the governing instrument and applicable local law. Under § 1.643(b)-1, trust provisions that depart fundamentally from traditional principles of income and principal generally will not be recognized. Under these traditional principles, items such as dividends, interest, and rents are generally allocated to income and proceeds from the sale or exchange of trust assets are generally allocated to principal.
However, under § 1.643(b)-1, the allocation of an amount between income and principal pursuant to applicable local law will be respected if local law provides for a reasonable apportionment between the income and remainder beneficiaries of the total return of the trust for the year, including ordinary and tax-exempt income, capital gains, and appreciation. For example, a state statute providing that income is a unitrust amount of no less than 3 percent and no more than 5 percent of the fair market value of the trust assets, whether determined annually or averaged on a multiple year basis, is a reasonable apportionment of the total return of the trust. Similarly, under § 1.643(b)-1, a state statute that permits the trustee to make adjustments between income and principal to fulfill the trustee’s duty of impartiality between the income and remainder beneficiaries is generally a reasonable apportionment of the total return of the trust.

Rev. Rul. 2000-2, 2000-1 C.B. 305, concludes that a surviving spouse has a qualifying income interest for life under § 2056(b)(7)(B)(ii) in an IRA and in a marital trust named as the beneficiary of that IRA if the spouse has the power, exercisable annually, to compel the trustee to withdraw the income earned on the IRA assets and to distribute that income (along with the income earned on the trust assets other than the IRA) to the spouse. Therefore, assuming all other requirements of § 2056(b)(7) are satisfied, and provided the executor makes the election for both the IRA and the trust, the IRA and the trust will qualify for the marital deduction under § 2056(b)(7). The revenue ruling also concludes that the result would be the same if the terms of the trust require the trustee to withdraw an amount equal to the income earned on the IRA assets and to distribute that amount (along with the income earned on the trust assets other than the IRA) to the spouse.
In Situation 1, under section 104(a) of the UPIA as enacted by State X, the trustee of Trust allocates the total return of the assets held directly in Trust (i.e., assets other than those held in the IRA) between income and principal in a manner that fulfills the trustee’s duty of impartiality between the income and remainder beneficiaries. The trustee of Trust makes a similar allocation with respect to the IRA. The allocation of the total return of the IRA and the total return of Trust in this manner constitutes a reasonable apportionment of the total return of the IRA and Trust between the income and remainder beneficiaries under § 20.2056(b)-5(f)(1) and §1.643(b)-1. Under the terms of Trust, the income of the IRA so determined is subject to B’s withdrawal power, and the income of Trust, so determined, is payable to B annually. Accordingly, the IRA and Trust meet the requirements of § 20.2056(b)(7)(B)(ii) and therefore B has a qualifying income interest for life in both the IRA and Trust because B has the power to unilaterally access all of the IRA income, and the income of Trust is payable to B annually.

Depending upon the terms of Trust, the impact of State X’s version of sections 409(c) and (d) of the UPIA may have to be considered. State X’s version of section 409(c) of the UPIA provides in effect that a required minimum distribution from the IRA under Code section 408(a)(6) is to be allocated 10 percent to income and 90 percent to principal. This 10 percent allocation to income, standing alone, does not satisfy the requirements of §§ 20.2056(b)-5(f)(1) and 1.643(b)-1, because the amount of the required minimum distribution is not based on the total return of the IRA (and therefore the amount allocated to income does not reflect a reasonable apportionment of the total return between the income and remainder beneficiaries). The 10 percent allocation to income also does not represent the income of the IRA under
applicable state law without regard to a power to adjust between principal and income. State X’s version of section 409(d) of the UPIA, requiring an additional allocation to income if necessary to qualify for the marital deduction, may not qualify the arrangement under § 2056. Cf. Rev. Rul. 75-440, 1975-2 C.B. 372, using a savings clause to determine testator’s intent in a situation where the will is ambiguous, but citing Rev. Rul. 65-144, 1965-1 C.B. 422, for the position that savings clauses are ineffective to reform an instrument for federal transfer tax purposes. Based on the facts in Situation 1, if B exercises the withdrawal power, the trustee is obligated under Trust’s terms to withdraw the greater of all of the income of the IRA or the annual required minimum distribution amount under § 408(a)(6), and to distribute at least the income of the IRA to B. Thus, in this case, State X’s version of section 409(c) or (d) of UPIA would only operate to determine the portion of the required minimum distribution amount that is allocated to Trust income, and (because Trust income is determined without regard to the IRA or distributions from the IRA) would not affect the determination of the amount distributable to B. Accordingly, in Situation 1, the requirements of § 2056(b)(7)(B)(ii) are satisfied. However, if the terms of a trust do not require the distribution to B of at least the income of the IRA in the event that B exercises the right to direct the withdrawal from the IRA, then the requirements of § 2056(b)(7)(B)(ii) may not be satisfied unless the Trust’s terms provide that State X’s version of section 409(c) of the UPIA is not to apply.

In Situation 2, the trustee determines the income of Trust (excluding the IRA) and the income of the IRA under a statutory unitrust regime pursuant to which “income” is defined as a unitrust amount of 4 percent of the fair market value of the assets determined annually. The determination of what constitutes Trust income and the income of the IRA in this manner satisfies the requirements of § 20.2056(b)-5(f)(1) and § 1.643(b)-1. The Trustee distributes
the income of Trust, determined in this manner, to B annually, and B has the power to compel
the trustee annually to withdraw and distribute to B the income of the IRA, determined in this
manner. Accordingly, in Situation 2, because B has the power to unilaterally access all income
of the IRA, and the income of Trust is payable to B annually, the IRA and Trust meet the
requirements of § 20.2056(b)(7)(B)(ii). The result would be the same if State Y had enacted
both the statutory unitrust regime and a version of section 104(a) of the UPIA and the income
of Trust is determined under section 104(a) of the UPIA as enacted by State Y, and the income
of the IRA is determined under the statutory unitrust regime (or vice versa). Under these
circumstances, Trust income and IRA income are each determined under state statutory
provisions applicable to Trust that satisfy the requirements of § 20.2056(b)-5(f)(1) and
§ 1.643(b)-1, and therefore B has a qualifying income interest for life in both the IRA and Trust.

In Situation 3, B has the power to compel the trustee to withdraw the income of
the IRA as determined under the law (whether common or statutory) of a jurisdiction
that has not enacted section 104(a) of UPIA. Under the terms of Trust, if B exercises
this power, the trustee must withdraw the greater of the required minimum distribution
amount or the income of the IRA, and at least the income of the IRA must be distributed
to B. Accordingly, in Situation 3, the IRA and Trust meet the requirements of
§ 2056(b)(7)(B)(ii), and therefore B has a qualifying income interest for life in both the
IRA and Trust, because B receives the income of Trust (excluding the IRA) at least
annually and B has the power to unilaterally access all of the IRA income determined in
accordance with § 20.2056(b)-5(f)(1). The result would be the same if State Z had
enacted section 104(a) of the UPIA, but the trustee decided to make no adjustments pursuant to that provision.

In *Situations 1, 2, and 3*, the income of the IRA and the income of Trust (excluding the IRA) are determined separately and without taking into account that the IRA distribution is made to Trust. In order to avoid any duplication in determining the total income to be paid to B, the portion of the IRA distribution to Trust that is allocated to trust income is disregarded in determining the amount of trust income that must be distributed to B under § 2056(b)(7).

The result in *Situations 1, 2, and 3* would be the same if the terms of Trust directed the trustee annually to withdraw all of the income from the IRA and to distribute to B at least the income of the IRA (instead of granting B the power, exercisable annually, to compel the trustee to do so). Furthermore, if, instead of Trust being the named beneficiary of a decedent’s interest in the IRA, Trust is the named beneficiary of a decedent’s interest in some other qualified retirement plan described in section 4974(c) that is a defined contribution plan, the same principles would apply regarding whether B is considered to have a qualifying income interest for life in the qualified retirement plan.

**HOLDING**

If a marital trust is the named beneficiary of a decedent’s IRA (or other qualified retirement plan described in section 4974(c) that is a defined contribution plan), the surviving spouse, under the circumstances described in *Situations 1, 2, and 3* in this revenue ruling, will be considered to have a qualifying income interest for life in the IRA (or qualified retirement plan) and in the trust for purposes of an election to treat both the
IRA (or qualified retirement plan) and the trust as QTIP under § 2056(b)(7). If the marital deduction is sought, the QTIP election must be made for both the IRA and the trust.

Taxpayers should be aware, however, that in situations such as those described in this revenue ruling in which a portion of any distribution from the IRA to Trust may be held in Trust for future distribution rather than being distributed to B currently, B is not the sole designated beneficiary of A's IRA. As a result, both B and the remainder beneficiaries must be taken into account as designated beneficiaries in order to determine the shortest life expectancy and whether only individuals are designated beneficiaries. See A-7(c) of § 1.401(a)(9)-5.

PROSPECTIVE APPLICATION

Under the authority provided by § 7805, the principles illustrated in Situations 1 and 2 of this revenue ruling will not be applied adversely to taxpayers for taxable years beginning prior to May 30, 2006, in which the trust was administered pursuant to a state statute described in §§ 1.643(b)-1, 20.2056(b)-5(f)(1), and 20.2056(b)-7(d)(1) granting the trustee a power to adjust between income and principal or authorizing a unitrust payment in satisfaction of the income interest of the surviving spouse.

EFFECT ON OTHER REVENUE RULINGS

Rev. Rul. 2000-2, 2000-1 C.B. 305, is modified, and as modified, is superseded.

DRAFTING INFORMATION

The principal author of this revenue ruling is Mary Berman of the Office of the Associate Chief Counsel (Passthroughs and Special Industries). For further information
regarding this revenue ruling, contact Mary Berman on (202) 622-3090 (not a toll-free call).