

Internal Revenue bulletin

Bulletin No. 2003-25
June 23, 2003

HIGHLIGHTS OF THIS ISSUE

These synopses are intended only as aids to the reader in identifying the subject matter covered. They may not be relied upon as authoritative interpretations.

INCOME TAX

Rev. Rul. 2003-62, page 1034.

Taxability of distributions of employee's trust. This ruling provides that amounts distributed from a qualified retirement plan that the distributee elects to have applied to pay health insurance premiums under a cafeteria plan are includible in the distributee's gross income. The holding also extends to situations where amounts distributed from the qualified retirement plan are applied directly to reimburse medical care expenses incurred by a participant in the qualified retirement plan.

Rev. Rul. 2003-63, page 1037.

Interest rates; underpayments and overpayments. The rate of interest determined under section 6621 of the Code for the calendar quarter beginning July 1, 2003, will be 5 percent for overpayments (4 percent in the case of a corporation), 5 percent for underpayments, and 7 percent for large corporate underpayments. The rate of interest paid on the portion of a corporate overpayment exceeding \$10,000 is 2.5 percent.

Rev. Proc. 2003-40, page 1044.

This procedure formally establishes the Fast Track Settlement (FTS) program to expedite case resolution and to expand the range of dispute resolution options available to taxpayers. The Large and Mid-Size Business Division and the Office of Appeals will jointly administer the FTS program. FTS will also allow LMSB personnel and LMSB taxpayers an opportunity to mediate their disputes with an Appeals Official acting as a neutral party.

Rev. Proc. 2003-41, page 1047.

This procedure formally establishes the Fast Track Mediation (FTM) program to expedite case resolution and to expand the range of dispute resolution options available to taxpayers. The Small Business/Self-Employed Compliance Division and the Office of Appeals will jointly administer the FTM program. FTM will also allow SB/SE personnel and SB/SE taxpayers an opportunity to mediate their disputes with an Appeals Official acting as a neutral party.

Announcement 2003-36, page 1093.

This announcement contains the procedures for the Tax Exempt Bond Mediation Dispute Resolution Pilot Program (TEB Mediation). In furtherance of the Service's goal of resolving tax controversies on a basis that is fair and impartial to both the government and the taxpayers, TEB Mediation establishes new opportunities for Issuers (as defined in Rev. Proc. 96-16, 1996-1 C.B. 630) of tax-exempt debt, with the assistance of the Office of Appeals, to expedite the resolution of cases within the Tax Exempt Bond organization.

EMPLOYEE PLANS

Rev. Rul. 2003-62, page 1034.

Taxability of distributions of employee's trust. This ruling provides that amounts distributed from a qualified retirement plan that the distributee elects to have applied to pay health insurance premiums under a cafeteria plan are includible in the distributee's gross income. The holding also extends to situations where amounts distributed from the qualified retirement plan are applied directly to reimburse medical care expenses incurred by a participant in the qualified retirement plan.

(Continued on the next page)

Finding Lists begin on page ii.



Department of the Treasury
Internal Revenue Service

Rev. Rul. 2003–65, page 1035.

Frozen plan; additional accruals; vesting service. This ruling states that the freezing of accruals under a plan is not a plan termination for purposes of determining whether vesting service may be disregarded if accruals resume under the plan. Accordingly, all years of service for the plan sponsor since the plan was established must be counted toward vesting. The result is the same if, instead, the frozen plan is merged into a new plan of the employer with accruals resuming under the merged plan.

Notice 2003–30, page 1044.

Weighted average interest rate update. The weighted average interest rate for June 2003 and the resulting permissible range of interest rates used to calculate current liability for purposes of the full funding limitation of section 412(c)(7) of the Code are set forth.

Rev. Proc. 2003–44, page 1051.

Administrative programs; correction programs. This procedure updates and expands upon the Service's correction programs for retirement plans within the jurisdiction of the Commissioner, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division. Rev. Proc. 2002–47 modified and superseded.

EXEMPT ORGANIZATIONS

Rev. Rul. 2003–64, page 1036.

Unrelated business taxable income. In computing the unrelated business income tax it owes under section 511(a)(2)(A) of the Code, it is proper for a social club described in section 501(c)(7) to claim the credit under section 45B for the portion of employer social security taxes paid with respect to employee tips received from both members and nonmembers.

Announcement 2003–42, page 1104.

A list is provided of organizations now classified as private foundations.

ADMINISTRATIVE

Announcement 2003–41, page 1098.

This document contains corrections to Rev. Proc. 2003–30, 2003–17 I.R.B. 822, the specifications for the private printing of paper and laser-printed substitute Form W–2, *Wage and Tax Statement*, and Form W–3, *Transmittal of Wage and Tax Statements*.

The IRS Mission

Provide America's taxpayers top quality service by helping them understand and meet their tax responsibilities and by applying the tax law with integrity and fairness to all.

Introduction

The Internal Revenue Bulletin is the authoritative instrument of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue for announcing official rulings and procedures of the Internal Revenue Service and for publishing Treasury Decisions, Executive Orders, Tax Conventions, legislation, court decisions, and other items of general interest. It is published weekly and may be obtained from the Superintendent of Documents on a subscription basis. Bulletin contents are consolidated semiannually into Cumulative Bulletins, which are sold on a single-copy basis.

It is the policy of the Service to publish in the Bulletin all substantive rulings necessary to promote a uniform application of the tax laws, including all rulings that supersede, revoke, modify, or amend any of those previously published in the Bulletin. All published rulings apply retroactively unless otherwise indicated. Procedures relating solely to matters of internal management are not published; however, statements of internal practices and procedures that affect the rights and duties of taxpayers are published.

Revenue rulings represent the conclusions of the Service on the application of the law to the pivotal facts stated in the revenue ruling. In those based on positions taken in rulings to taxpayers or technical advice to Service field offices, identifying details and information of a confidential nature are deleted to prevent unwarranted invasions of privacy and to comply with statutory requirements.

Rulings and procedures reported in the Bulletin do not have the force and effect of Treasury Department Regulations, but they may be used as precedents. Unpublished rulings will not be relied on, used, or cited as precedents by Service personnel in the disposition of other cases. In applying published rulings and procedures, the effect of subsequent legislation, regulations, court

decisions, rulings, and procedures must be considered, and Service personnel and others concerned are cautioned against reaching the same conclusions in other cases unless the facts and circumstances are substantially the same.

The Bulletin is divided into four parts as follows:

Part I.—1986 Code.

This part includes rulings and decisions based on provisions of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986.

Part II.—Treaties and Tax Legislation.

This part is divided into two subparts as follows: Subpart A, Tax Conventions and Other Related Items, and Subpart B, Legislation and Related Committee Reports.

Part III.—Administrative, Procedural, and Miscellaneous.

To the extent practicable, pertinent cross references to these subjects are contained in the other Parts and Subparts. Also included in this part are Bank Secrecy Act Administrative Rulings. Bank Secrecy Act Administrative Rulings are issued by the Department of the Treasury's Office of the Assistant Secretary (Enforcement).

Part IV.—Items of General Interest.

This part includes notices of proposed rulemakings, disbarment and suspension lists, and announcements.

The first Bulletin for each month includes a cumulative index for the matters published during the preceding months. These monthly indexes are cumulated on a semiannual basis, and are published in the first Bulletin of the succeeding semiannual period, respectively.

The contents of this publication are not copyrighted and may be reprinted freely. A citation of the Internal Revenue Bulletin as the source would be appropriate.

For sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, DC 20402.

Part I. Rulings and Decisions Under the Internal Revenue Code of 1986

Section 125.—Cafeteria Plans

(Also Section 402(a).)

Taxability of distributions of employee's trust. This ruling provides that amounts distributed from a qualified retirement plan that the distributee elects to have applied to pay health insurance premiums under a cafeteria plan are includible in the distributee's gross income. The holding also extends to situations where amounts distributed from the qualified retirement plan are applied directly to reimburse medical care expenses incurred by a participant in the qualified retirement plan.

Rev. Rul. 2003-62

ISSUE

Whether amounts distributed from a qualified retirement plan that the distributee elects to have applied to pay health insurance premiums under a cafeteria plan are includible in the distributee's gross income under § 402(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, and whether the same conclusion applies if amounts distributed from the qualified retirement plan are applied directly to reimburse medical care expenses incurred by a participant in the qualified retirement plan.

FACTS

Employer M maintains a plan qualified under § 401(a) that provides retirement benefits for employees. M also maintains a health plan for employees, former employees, their spouses, and dependents that is partially paid through a cafeteria plan under § 125 for employees. Under M's health plan, former employees may elect to have distributions from the qualified retirement plan applied to pay for the health insurance premiums under the cafeteria plan.

LAW AND ANALYSIS

Section 106 provides that gross income of an employee does not include employer-provided coverage under an accident or health plan. Section 1.106-1 of the Income Tax Regulations provides that the gross income of an employee does not include contributions which the employer's employer makes to an accident or health

plan for compensation (through insurance or otherwise) for personal injuries or sickness to the employee or the employee's spouse or dependents (as defined in § 152).

Under § 125, an employer may establish a cafeteria plan that permits an employee to choose among two or more benefits, consisting of cash (generally, salary) and qualified benefits, including accident and health coverage. Pursuant to § 125, the amount of an employee's salary reduction applied to purchase such coverage is not included in gross income, even though it is available to the employee and the employee could have chosen to receive cash instead. If an employee elects to apply the salary reduction amount to purchase accident or health coverage pursuant to § 125, the accident or health coverage is excludable from gross income under § 106 as employer-provided accident or health coverage.

Section 402(a) applies to distributions from a retirement plan that is qualified under § 401(a). Under § 402(a), except as otherwise provided in § 402, any amount actually distributed to any distributee by a qualified retirement plan is taxable to the distributee, in the taxable year of the distribution in which distributed, under § 72 (relating to annuities). There are two exceptions from the general rule of includability of distributions in gross income (at § 402(c) relating to rollovers and at § 402(e)(4) relating to net unrealized appreciation in employer securities), neither of which relates to cafeteria plans.

Rev. Rul. 61-164, 1961-2 C.B. 99, considers the effect upon the qualification under section 401(a) of an employer's profit-sharing plan of a provision in the plan for the purchase of major hospitalization insurance for the employees. The ruling concludes that although the purchase of the major hospitalization insurance does not prevent the qualification of the plan if the insurance is deemed to be "incidental," the use of the funds to pay for the employees' medical insurance is a "distribution" within the meaning of § 402.

Rev. Rul. 69-141, 1969-1 C.B. 48, considers whether distributions made from a qualified profit-sharing plan to pay an employee-participant for medical care expenses are excludable from the employee-participant's gross income. An option in the

plan provides that in the event an employee-participant incurs medical expenses for the employee, the employee's spouse, or the employee's dependents, the employee may apply for an advance distribution from his account in the plan, provided that the aggregate distributions made pursuant to this option do not exceed 49 percent of the amount of funds in the account and the funds to be distributed have been credited to the account for a period of at least two years. The ruling states that distributions from the qualified profit-sharing plan for medical care expenses incurred by an employee-participant are taxable to the participant under § 402(a).

Neither of the exceptions in § 402 to the general rule of § 402(a) allows a participant to exclude from gross income amounts distributed from a qualified retirement plan and applied to the purchase of benefits under the cafeteria plan. Accordingly, the general rule of § 402(a) applies and the distribution is includible in the distributee's gross income. The same conclusion applies if distributions from the qualified retirement plan were applied directly to reimburse medical care expenses incurred by a plan participant.

HOLDING

Amounts distributed from a qualified retirement plan that the distributee elects to have applied to pay health insurance premiums under a cafeteria plan are includible in the distributee's gross income. The same conclusion applies if amounts distributed from the qualified retirement plan are applied directly to reimburse medical care expenses incurred by a participant in the qualified retirement plan.

DRAFTING INFORMATION

The principal authors of this revenue ruling are Shoshanna Tanner of the Office of Division Counsel/Associate Chief Counsel (Tax Exempt and Government Entities) and Steven Linder of the Employee Plans, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division. For further information regarding this revenue ruling as it pertains to § 125 (cafeteria plan) matters, please contact Ms. Tanner at (202) 622-6080 (not a toll-free number). For further information

regarding this revenue ruling as it pertains to § 402 (rollover) matters, please contact the Employee Plans' taxpayer assistance telephone service at 1-877-829-5500 (a toll-free number), between 8:00 a.m. and 6:30 p.m. Eastern time, Monday through Friday or Mr. Linder at (202) 283-9888 (not a toll-free number).

Section 402(a).—Taxability of Beneficiary of Employees' Trust

Guidance is provided with respect to whether amounts distributed from a qualified retirement plan that the distributee elects to have applied to pay health insurance premiums under a cafeteria plan are includible in the distributee's gross income under § 402(a), and whether the same conclusion applies if amounts distributed from the qualified retirement plan are applied directly to reimburse medical care expenses incurred by a participant in the qualified retirement plan. See Rev. Rul. 2003-62, page 1034.

Section 411.—Minimum Vesting Standards

Frozen plan; additional accruals; vesting service. This ruling states that the freezing of accruals under a plan is not a plan termination for purposes of determining whether vesting service may be disregarded if accruals resume under the plan. Accordingly, all years of service for the plan sponsor since the plan was established must be counted toward vesting. The result is the same if, instead, the frozen plan is merged into a new plan of the employer with accruals resuming under the merged plan.

Rev. Rul. 2003-65

ISSUE

If accruals under a qualified retirement plan are frozen, so that a partial termination of the plan occurs, and accruals under the plan subsequently resume, must all years of service for the plan sponsor following the establishment of the plan be taken into account for purposes of vesting? Is the result different if, instead, a new plan is established that is then merged into the frozen plan after the partial termination?

FACTS

Employer M maintains Plan A, a defined benefit plan qualified under § 401(a) of the Internal Revenue Code, under which benefit accruals were frozen as of December 31, 1996. Under Plan A's benefit formula prior to January 1, 1997, a participant received a specified percentage of his or her highest average pay multiplied by the participant's total years of service. Plan A provides that each participant becomes fully vested in his or her accrued benefit after five years of service. The freezing of accruals under Plan A caused a partial termination in 1997, so that all participants in Plan A became fully vested in their accrued benefits following the freeze. Employer M subsequently amends Plan A to provide that, as of January 1, 2003, participants in Plan A will begin accruing benefits under a different formula. A participant's accrued benefit under Plan A, as amended, will be the sum of the accrued benefit under the old formula and the accrued benefit under the new formula.

LAW AND ANALYSIS

Section 411(a) describes minimum vesting standards that a retirement plan must satisfy in order for the plan to be qualified under § 401(a). These standards include § 411(a)(2), which requires that qualified retirement plans provide that employees who have completed a certain number of years of service have a nonforfeitable right to their accrued benefit derived from employer contributions. Employees must become fully vested in these benefits after no more than five years of service under a cliff vesting schedule or seven years of service under a graded vesting schedule.

Section 411(a)(4) and § 1.411(a)-5(a) of the Income Tax Regulations provide that, in computing the period of service under the plan for purposes of determining the nonforfeitable percentage under § 411(a)(2), all of an employee's years of service with the employer or employers maintaining the plan are taken into account subject to certain exceptions. These include an exception for years of service with an employer during any period for which the employer did not maintain the plan or a predecessor plan. In particular, § 1.411(a)-5(b)(3)(iii) provides that the period for which a plan is not maintained by an employer includes the period after the plan is terminated. For

purposes of § 1.411(a)-5, a plan is terminated at the date there is a termination of the plan within the meaning of § 411(d)(3)(A) and the regulations thereunder.

Section 411(d)(3)(A) requires that a defined benefit plan provide that, upon its termination or partial termination, the rights of all affected employees to benefits accrued to the date of such termination or partial termination, to the extent funded as of such date, are nonforfeitable. Section 1.411(d)-2(c) provides rules for determining when a plan has undergone a termination. Section 1.411(d)-2(b) provides rules for determining when a plan has undergone a partial termination. A partial termination of a plan is not a termination.

Under the facts presented, Plan A has not been terminated but has undergone a partial termination. Employer M has continued to maintain Plan A after benefit accruals were frozen. For vesting purposes, § 1.411(a)-5(b)(3)(iii) excludes service after a plan has been terminated but does not exclude service after a partial termination. Accordingly, service with Employer M after the establishment of Plan A and prior to January 1, 2003, during which accruals under Plan A were frozen may not be disregarded for vesting purposes with respect to the future accruals under Plan A.

HOLDING

The freezing of accruals under a qualified retirement plan, so that a partial termination of the plan occurs, does not constitute a plan termination for purposes of determining whether service for the plan sponsor after the plan was established may be disregarded toward vesting if accruals resume under the plan. Accordingly, all years of service for the plan sponsor following the establishment of the previously frozen plan must be taken into account for purposes of vesting. If, instead, the accruals are earned under a new plan maintained by the same employer and the new plan is merged with the frozen plan, then this holding also applies, so that, after the merger, service after the frozen plan was established must be taken into account for purposes of vesting in any benefit accruals under the new plan.

DRAFTING INFORMATION

The principal author of this revenue ruling is Diane S. Bloom of Employee Plans, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Di-

vision. For further information regarding this revenue ruling, contact the Employee Plans taxpayer assistance telephone service between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 6:30 p.m. Eastern Time, Monday through Friday, by calling (877) 829-5500 (a toll-free number). Ms. Bloom may be reached at (202) 283-9888 (not a toll-free number).

Section 512.—Unrelated Business Taxable Income

26 CFR 1.512(a)-1: Definition.

Unrelated business taxable income. In computing the unrelated business income tax it owes under section 511(a)(2)(A) of the Code, it is proper for a social club described in section 501(c)(7) to claim the credit under section 45B for the portion of employer social security taxes paid with respect to employee tips received from both members and nonmembers.

Rev. Rul. 2003-64

ISSUE

In computing the unrelated business income tax it owes under § 511(a)(2)(A) of the Internal Revenue Code, is it proper for a social club described in § 501(c)(7) to claim the credit under § 45B for a portion of employer social security taxes paid with respect to employee tips received from both members and nonmembers?

FACTS

A is a social club that is exempt from federal income tax under § 501(a) as an organization described in § 501(c)(7). A was formed to operate a country club with a private golf course and related amenities. A has a clubhouse where food and beverages are served to members and their nonmember guests. In addition to dues, fees, and payments from members, A receives an insubstantial amount of income from nonmembers, who use A's golf course and purchase food and beverages at A's dining facility. Such nonmember income is treated as gross income from an unrelated trade or business under § 512(a)(3).

Employees who serve food and beverages in A's clubhouse are paid by the hour and also customarily receive tips from both members and nonmembers. The tips are re-

ported to A as the employer by the employees and are reported on A's employment tax returns. A also pays social security tax on the reported tips.

A files Form 990-T, *Exempt Organization Business Income Tax Return*, reporting nonmember income as gross income from an unrelated trade or business. In computing liability for unrelated business income tax, A claims the credit under § 45B for employer social security taxes paid with respect to tips paid to its employees who serve food and beverages. A calculates the credit based on all tips received by its employees.

LAW

Section 501(c)(7), in part, provides for the exemption from federal income tax of clubs organized for pleasure, recreation, and other nonprofitable purposes, substantially all the activities of which are for such purposes and no part of the net earnings of which inures to the benefit of any private shareholder.

Section 511(a), in part, provides for the imposition of tax on the unrelated business taxable income (as defined in § 512) of social clubs described in § 501(c)(7).

Section 512(a)(3)(A) provides, in part, that in the case of social clubs described in § 501(c)(7), the term "unrelated business taxable income" means the gross income (excluding any exempt function income), less the deductions allowed by Chapter 1 that are directly connected with the production of the gross income (excluding exempt function income), both computed with certain modifications provided in § 512(b).

Section 512(a)(3)(B) defines the term "exempt function income" as the gross income from dues, fees, charges, or similar amounts paid by members of the organization as consideration for providing such members or their dependents or guests goods, facilities, or services in furtherance of the purposes constituting the basis for the exemption of the organization to which such income is paid.

Section 45B provides for a credit for a portion of employer social security taxes paid with respect to employee tips.

Section 45B(a) provides that for purposes of the general business credit under § 38, the employer social security credit is an amount equal to the excess employer social security tax paid or incurred by the taxpayer.

Section 45B(b)(1) defines the term "excess employer social security tax" as any tax paid by an employer under § 3111 with respect to tips received by an employee during any month, to the extent such tips — (A) are deemed to have been paid by the employer to the employee pursuant to § 3121(q) (without regard to whether such tips are reported under § 6053), and (B) exceed the amount by which wages (excluding tips) paid by the employer to the employee during such month are less than the total amount that would be payable (with respect to such employment) at the minimum wage rate applicable to such individual under § 6(a)(1) of the Fair Labor Standards Act of 1938 (determined without regard to § 3(m) of such Act).

Section 45B(b)(2) provides that in applying § 45B(b)(1), there shall be taken into account only tips received from customers in connection with the providing, delivering, or serving of food or beverages for consumption if the tipping of employees delivering or serving food or beverages by customers is customary.

ANALYSIS

To compute its unrelated business taxable income under § 512(a)(3), a social club described in § 501(c)(7) must first ascertain its gross income. Exempt function income is excluded. Gross income is then reduced by allowable deductions that are directly connected with the production of the social club's gross income (with the exclusion of exempt function income) to arrive at unrelated business taxable income. In calculating the amount of tax due, a social club may claim allowable credits, such as the general business credit under § 38. The general business credit is the sum of several other credits, including the employer social security credit under section 45B, which provides for a credit for employer social security taxes paid with respect to employee tips.

The § 45B credit has the effect of limiting the employer's social security tax liability to the amount that would be due if an employee were to receive only the minimum wage, no matter how much the employee actually earns. The employee's earnings record and individual income tax liability are not affected by the credit.

Here, A has paid social security taxes on all tips earned by its employees, including tips paid by both members and non-

members. These tips meet the requirements under § 45B(b)(2) because the tips were received in connection with food and beverage service at A, and tipping of employees is customary at A. Section 45B does not prohibit social clubs described in § 501(c)(7) from claiming the credit, nor does it limit the credit to social security taxes paid in connection with a social club's unrelated trade or business. Therefore, A may calculate the § 45B credit on the basis of all tips received by its employees, not only the tips received by employees from nonmembers.

HOLDING

In computing the unrelated business income tax it owes under § 511(a)(2)(A), it is proper for a social club described in § 501(c)(7) to claim the credit under § 45B for the portion of employer social security taxes paid with respect to employee tips received from both members and nonmembers.

DRAFTING INFORMATION

The principal author of this revenue ruling is Charles Barrett of the Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division, Exempt Organizations. For further information regarding this revenue ruling, contact Mr. Barrett at (202) 283-8944 (not a toll-free number).

Section 6621.—Determination of Interest Rate

26 CFR 301.6621-1: Interest rate.

Interest rates; underpayments and overpayments. The rate of interest determined under section 6621 of the Code for the calendar quarter beginning July 1, 2003, will be 5 percent for overpayments (4 percent in the case of a corporation), 5 percent for underpayments, and 7 percent for large corporate underpayments. The rate of

interest paid on the portion of a corporate overpayment exceeding \$10,000 will be 2.5 percent.

Rev. Rul. 2003-63

Section 6621 of the Internal Revenue Code establishes the rates for interest on tax overpayments and tax underpayments. Under section 6621(a)(1), the overpayment rate beginning July 1, 2003, is the sum of the federal short-term rate plus 3 percentage points (2 percentage points in the case of a corporation), except the rate for the portion of a corporate overpayment of tax exceeding \$10,000 for a taxable period is the sum of the federal short-term rate plus 0.5 of a percentage point for interest computations made after December 31, 1994. Under section 6621(a)(2), the underpayment rate is the sum of the federal short-term rate plus 3 percentage points.

Section 6621(c) provides that for purposes of interest payable under section 6601 on any large corporate underpayment, the underpayment rate under section 6621(a)(2) is determined by substituting "5 percentage points" for "3 percentage points." See section 6621(c) and section 301.6621-3 of the Regulations on Procedure and Administration for the definition of a large corporate underpayment and for the rules for determining the applicable date. Section 6621(c) and section 301.6621-3 are generally effective for periods after December 31, 1990.

Section 6621(b)(1) provides that the Secretary will determine the federal short-term rate for the first month in each calendar quarter.

Section 6621(b)(2)(A) provides that the federal short-term rate determined under section 6621(b)(1) for any month applies during the first calendar quarter beginning after such month.

Section 6621(b)(3) provides that the federal short-term rate for any month is the federal short-term rate determined during such month by the Secretary in accordance with § 1274(d), rounded to the nearest full

percent (or, if a multiple of 1/2 of 1 percent, the rate is increased to the next highest full percent).

Notice 88-59, 1988-1 C.B. 546, announced that, in determining the quarterly interest rates to be used for overpayments and underpayments of tax under section 6621, the Internal Revenue Service will use the federal short-term rate based on daily compounding because that rate is most consistent with section 6621 which, pursuant to section 6622, is subject to daily compounding.

Rounded to the nearest full percent, the federal short-term rate based on daily compounding determined during the month of April 2003 is 2 percent. Accordingly, an overpayment rate of 5 percent (4 percent in the case of a corporation) and an underpayment rate of 5 percent are established for the calendar quarter beginning July 1, 2003. The overpayment rate for the portion of a corporate overpayment exceeding \$10,000 for the calendar quarter beginning July 1, 2003, is 2.5 percent. The underpayment rate for large corporate underpayments for the calendar quarter beginning July 1, 2003, is 7 percent. These rates apply to amounts bearing interest during that calendar quarter.

Interest factors for daily compound interest for annual rates of 2.5 percent, 4 percent, 5 percent, and 7 percent are published in Tables 10, 13, 15, and 19 of Rev. Proc. 95-17, 1995-1 C.B. 556, 564, 567, 569, and 573.

Annual interest rates to be compounded daily pursuant to section 6622 that apply for prior periods are set forth in the tables accompanying this revenue ruling.

DRAFTING INFORMATION

The principal author of this revenue ruling is Crystal Foster of the Office of Associate Chief Counsel (Procedure & Administration). For further information regarding this revenue ruling, contact Ms. Foster at (202) 622-4652 (not a toll-free call).

TABLE OF INTEREST RATES
PERIODS BEFORE JUL. 1, 1975 – PERIODS ENDING DEC. 31, 1986
OVERPAYMENTS AND UNDERPAYMENTS

| PERIOD | RATE | In 1995–1 C.B. DAILY RATE TABLE |
|----------------------------|------|------------------------------------|
| Before Jul. 1, 1975 | 6% | Table 2, pg. 557 |
| Jul. 1, 1975—Jan. 31, 1976 | 9% | Table 4, pg. 559 |
| Feb. 1, 1976—Jan. 31, 1978 | 7% | Table 3, pg. 558 |
| Feb. 1, 1978—Jan. 31, 1980 | 6% | Table 2, pg. 557 |
| Feb. 1, 1980—Jan. 31, 1982 | 12% | Table 5, pg. 560 |
| Feb. 1, 1982—Dec. 31, 1982 | 20% | Table 6, pg. 560 |
| Jan. 1, 1983—Jun. 30, 1983 | 16% | Table 37, pg. 591 |
| Jul. 1, 1983—Dec. 31, 1983 | 11% | Table 27, pg. 581 |
| Jan. 1, 1984—Jun. 30, 1984 | 11% | Table 75, pg. 629 |
| Jul. 1, 1984—Dec. 31, 1984 | 11% | Table 75, pg. 629 |
| Jan. 1, 1985—Jun. 30, 1985 | 13% | Table 31, pg. 585 |
| Jul. 1, 1985—Dec. 31, 1985 | 11% | Table 27, pg. 581 |
| Jan. 1, 1986—Jun. 30, 1986 | 10% | Table 25, pg. 579 |
| Jul. 1, 1986—Dec. 31, 1986 | 9% | Table 23, pg. 577 |

TABLE OF INTEREST RATES
FROM JAN. 1, 1987 – DEC. 31, 1998

| | OVERPAYMENTS | | | UNDERPAYMENTS | | |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------|-----|---------------|-------|-----|
| | 1995–1 C.B. | | | 1995–1 C.B. | | |
| | RATE | TABLE | PG | RATE | TABLE | PG |
| Jan. 1, 1987—Mar. 31, 1987 | 8% | 21 | 575 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Apr. 1, 1987—Jun. 30, 1987 | 8% | 21 | 575 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Jul. 1, 1987—Sep. 30, 1987 | 8% | 21 | 575 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Oct. 1, 1987—Dec. 31, 1987 | 9% | 23 | 577 | 10% | 25 | 579 |
| Jan. 1, 1988—Mar. 31, 1988 | 10% | 73 | 627 | 11% | 75 | 629 |
| Apr. 1, 1988—Jun. 30, 1988 | 9% | 71 | 625 | 10% | 73 | 627 |
| Jul. 1, 1988—Sep. 30, 1988 | 9% | 71 | 625 | 10% | 73 | 627 |
| Oct. 1, 1988—Dec. 31, 1988 | 10% | 73 | 627 | 11% | 75 | 629 |
| Jan. 1, 1989—Mar. 31, 1989 | 10% | 25 | 579 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Apr. 1, 1989—Jun. 30, 1989 | 11% | 27 | 581 | 12% | 29 | 583 |
| Jul. 1, 1989—Sep. 30, 1989 | 11% | 27 | 581 | 12% | 29 | 583 |
| Oct. 1, 1989—Dec. 31, 1989 | 10% | 25 | 579 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Jan. 1, 1990—Mar. 31, 1990 | 10% | 25 | 579 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Apr. 1, 1990—Jun. 30, 1990 | 10% | 25 | 579 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Jul. 1, 1990—Sep. 30, 1990 | 10% | 25 | 579 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Oct. 1, 1990—Dec. 31, 1990 | 10% | 25 | 579 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Jan. 1, 1991—Mar. 31, 1991 | 10% | 25 | 579 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Apr. 1, 1991—Jun. 30, 1991 | 9% | 23 | 577 | 10% | 25 | 579 |
| Jul. 1, 1991—Sep. 30, 1991 | 9% | 23 | 577 | 10% | 25 | 579 |

TABLE OF INTEREST RATES
FROM JAN. 1, 1987 – DEC. 31, 1998—Continued

| | OVERPAYMENTS | | | UNDERPAYMENTS | | |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------|-----|---------------|-------|-----|
| | 1995–1 C.B. | | | 1995–1 C.B. | | |
| | RATE | TABLE | PG | RATE | TABLE | PG |
| Oct. 1, 1991—Dec. 31, 1991 | 9% | 23 | 577 | 10% | 25 | 579 |
| Jan. 1, 1992—Mar. 31, 1992 | 8% | 69 | 623 | 9% | 71 | 625 |
| Apr. 1, 1992—Jun. 30, 1992 | 7% | 67 | 621 | 8% | 69 | 623 |
| Jul. 1, 1992—Sep. 30, 1992 | 7% | 67 | 621 | 8% | 69 | 623 |
| Oct. 1, 1992—Dec. 31, 1992 | 6% | 65 | 619 | 7% | 67 | 621 |
| Jan. 1, 1993—Mar. 31, 1993 | 6% | 17 | 571 | 7% | 19 | 573 |
| Apr. 1, 1993—Jun. 30, 1993 | 6% | 17 | 571 | 7% | 19 | 573 |
| Jul. 1, 1993—Sep. 30, 1993 | 6% | 17 | 571 | 7% | 19 | 573 |
| Oct. 1, 1993—Dec. 31, 1993 | 6% | 17 | 571 | 7% | 19 | 573 |
| Jan. 1, 1994—Mar. 31, 1994 | 6% | 17 | 571 | 7% | 19 | 573 |
| Apr. 1, 1994—Jun. 30, 1994 | 6% | 17 | 571 | 7% | 19 | 573 |
| Jul. 1, 1994—Sep. 30, 1994 | 7% | 19 | 573 | 8% | 21 | 575 |
| Oct. 1, 1994—Dec. 31, 1994 | 8% | 21 | 575 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Jan. 1, 1995—Mar. 31, 1995 | 8% | 21 | 575 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Apr. 1, 1995—Jun. 30, 1995 | 9% | 23 | 577 | 10% | 25 | 579 |
| Jul. 1, 1995—Sep. 30, 1995 | 8% | 21 | 575 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Oct. 1, 1995—Dec. 31, 1995 | 8% | 21 | 575 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Jan. 1, 1996—Mar. 31, 1996 | 8% | 69 | 623 | 9% | 71 | 625 |
| Apr. 1, 1996—Jun. 30, 1996 | 7% | 67 | 621 | 8% | 69 | 623 |
| Jul. 1, 1996—Sep. 30, 1996 | 8% | 69 | 623 | 9% | 71 | 625 |
| Oct. 1, 1996—Dec. 31, 1996 | 8% | 69 | 623 | 9% | 71 | 625 |
| Jan. 1, 1997—Mar. 31, 1997 | 8% | 21 | 575 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Apr. 1, 1997—Jun. 30, 1997 | 8% | 21 | 575 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Jul. 1, 1997—Sep. 30, 1997 | 8% | 21 | 575 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Oct. 1, 1997—Dec. 31, 1997 | 8% | 21 | 575 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Jan. 1, 1998—Mar. 31, 1998 | 8% | 21 | 575 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Apr. 1, 1998—Jun. 30, 1998 | 7% | 19 | 573 | 8% | 21 | 575 |
| Jul. 1, 1998—Sep. 30, 1998 | 7% | 19 | 573 | 8% | 21 | 575 |
| Oct. 1, 1998—Dec. 31, 1998 | 7% | 19 | 573 | 8% | 21 | 575 |

TABLE OF INTEREST RATES
FROM JANUARY 1, 1999 – PRESENT
NONCORPORATE OVERPAYMENTS AND UNDERPAYMENTS

| | 1995–1 C.B. | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------|------|
| | RATE | TABLE | PAGE |
| Jan. 1, 1999—Mar. 31, 1999 | 7% | 19 | 573 |
| Apr. 1, 1999—Jun. 30, 1999 | 8% | 21 | 575 |
| Jul. 1, 1999—Sep. 30, 1999 | 8% | 21 | 575 |
| Oct. 1, 1999—Dec. 31, 1999 | 8% | 21 | 575 |
| Jan. 1, 2000—Mar. 31, 2000 | 8% | 69 | 623 |
| Apr. 1, 2000—Jun. 30, 2000 | 9% | 71 | 625 |

TABLE OF INTEREST RATES
FROM JANUARY 1, 1999 – PRESENT
NONCORPORATE OVERPAYMENTS AND UNDERPAYMENTS—Continued

| | 1995–1 C.B. | | |
|----------------------------|-------------|-------|------|
| | RATE | TABLE | PAGE |
| Jul. 1, 2000—Sep. 30, 2000 | 9% | 71 | 625 |
| Oct. 1, 2000—Dec. 31, 2000 | 9% | 71 | 625 |
| Jan. 1, 2001—Mar. 31, 2001 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Apr. 1, 2001—Jun. 30, 2001 | 8% | 21 | 575 |
| Jul. 1, 2001—Sep. 30, 2001 | 7% | 19 | 573 |
| Oct. 1, 2001—Dec. 31, 2001 | 7% | 19 | 573 |
| Jan. 1, 2002—Mar. 31, 2002 | 6% | 17 | 571 |
| Apr. 1, 2002—Jun. 30, 2002 | 6% | 17 | 571 |
| Jul. 1, 2002—Sep. 30, 2002 | 6% | 17 | 571 |
| Oct. 1, 2002—Dec. 31, 2002 | 6% | 17 | 571 |
| Jan. 1, 2003—Mar. 31, 2003 | 5% | 15 | 569 |
| Apr. 1, 2003—Jun. 30, 2003 | 5% | 15 | 569 |
| Jul. 1, 2003—Sep. 30, 2003 | 5% | 15 | 569 |

TABLE OF INTEREST RATES
FROM JANUARY 1, 1999 – PRESENT
CORPORATE OVERPAYMENTS AND UNDERPAYMENTS

| | OVERPAYMENTS | | | UNDERPAYMENTS | | |
|----------------------------|--------------|-------|-----|---------------|-------|-----|
| | 1995–1 C.B. | | | 1995–1 C.B. | | |
| | RATE | TABLE | PG | RATE | TABLE | PG |
| Jan. 1, 1999—Mar. 31, 1999 | 6% | 17 | 571 | 7% | 19 | 573 |
| Apr. 1, 1999—Jun. 30, 1999 | 7% | 19 | 573 | 8% | 21 | 575 |
| Jul. 1, 1999—Sep. 30, 1999 | 7% | 19 | 573 | 8% | 21 | 575 |
| Oct. 1, 1999—Dec. 31, 1999 | 7% | 19 | 573 | 8% | 21 | 575 |
| Jan. 1, 2000—Mar. 31, 2000 | 7% | 67 | 621 | 8% | 69 | 623 |
| Apr. 1, 2000—Jun. 30, 2000 | 8% | 69 | 623 | 9% | 71 | 625 |
| Jul. 1, 2000—Sep. 30, 2000 | 8% | 69 | 623 | 9% | 71 | 625 |
| Oct. 1, 2000—Dec. 31, 2000 | 8% | 69 | 623 | 9% | 71 | 625 |
| Jan. 1, 2001—Mar. 31, 2001 | 8% | 21 | 575 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Apr. 1, 2001—Jun. 30, 2001 | 7% | 19 | 573 | 8% | 21 | 575 |
| Jul. 1, 2001—Sep. 30, 2001 | 6% | 17 | 571 | 7% | 19 | 573 |
| Oct. 1, 2001—Dec. 31, 2001 | 6% | 17 | 571 | 7% | 19 | 573 |
| Jan. 1, 2002—Mar. 31, 2002 | 5% | 15 | 569 | 6% | 17 | 571 |
| Apr. 1, 2002—Jun. 30, 2002 | 5% | 15 | 569 | 6% | 17 | 571 |
| Jul. 1, 2002—Sep. 30, 2002 | 5% | 15 | 569 | 6% | 17 | 571 |
| Oct. 1, 2002—Dec. 31, 2002 | 5% | 15 | 569 | 6% | 17 | 571 |
| Jan. 1, 2003—Mar. 31, 2003 | 4% | 13 | 567 | 5% | 15 | 569 |
| Apr. 1, 2003—Jun. 30, 2003 | 4% | 13 | 567 | 5% | 15 | 569 |
| Jul. 1, 2003—Sep. 30, 2003 | 4% | 13 | 567 | 5% | 15 | 569 |

TABLE OF INTEREST RATES FOR
LARGE CORPORATE UNDERPAYMENTS
FROM JANUARY 1, 1991 – PRESENT

| | RATE | 1995-1 C.B. TABLE | PG |
|----------------------------|------|----------------------|-----|
| Jan. 1, 1991—Mar. 31, 1991 | 13% | 31 | 585 |
| Apr. 1, 1991—Jun. 30, 1991 | 12% | 29 | 583 |
| Jul. 1, 1991—Sep. 30, 1991 | 12% | 29 | 583 |
| Oct. 1, 1991—Dec. 31, 1991 | 12% | 29 | 583 |
| Jan. 1, 1992—Mar. 31, 1992 | 11% | 75 | 629 |
| Apr. 1, 1992—Jun. 30, 1992 | 10% | 73 | 627 |
| Jul. 1, 1992—Sep. 30, 1992 | 10% | 73 | 627 |
| Oct. 1, 1992—Dec. 31, 1992 | 9% | 71 | 625 |
| Jan. 1, 1993—Mar. 31, 1993 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Apr. 1, 1993—Jun. 30, 1993 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Jul. 1, 1993—Sep. 30, 1993 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Oct. 1, 1993—Dec. 31, 1993 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Jan. 1, 1994—Mar. 31, 1994 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Apr. 1, 1994—Jun. 30, 1994 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Jul. 1, 1994—Sep. 30, 1994 | 10% | 25 | 579 |
| Oct. 1, 1994—Dec. 31, 1994 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Jan. 1, 1995—Mar. 31, 1995 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Apr. 1, 1995—Jun. 30, 1995 | 12% | 29 | 583 |
| Jul. 1, 1995—Sep. 30, 1995 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Oct. 1, 1995—Dec. 31, 1995 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Jan. 1, 1996—Mar. 31, 1996 | 11% | 75 | 629 |
| Apr. 1, 1996—Jun. 30, 1996 | 10% | 73 | 627 |
| Jul. 1, 1996—Sep. 30, 1996 | 11% | 75 | 629 |
| Oct. 1, 1996—Dec. 31, 1996 | 11% | 75 | 629 |
| Jan. 1, 1997—Mar. 31, 1997 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Apr. 1, 1997—Jun. 30, 1997 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Jul. 1, 1997—Sep. 30, 1997 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Oct. 1, 1997—Dec. 31, 1997 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Jan. 1, 1998—Mar. 31, 1998 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Apr. 1, 1998—Jun. 30, 1998 | 10% | 25 | 579 |
| Jul. 1, 1998—Sep. 30, 1998 | 10% | 25 | 579 |
| Oct. 1, 1998—Dec. 31, 1998 | 10% | 25 | 579 |
| Jan. 1, 1999—Mar. 31, 1999 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Apr. 1, 1999—Jun. 30, 1999 | 10% | 25 | 579 |
| Jul. 1, 1999—Sep. 30, 1999 | 10% | 25 | 579 |
| Oct. 1, 1999—Dec. 31, 1999 | 10% | 25 | 579 |
| Jan. 1, 2000—Mar. 31, 2000 | 10% | 73 | 627 |
| Apr. 1, 2000—Jun. 30, 2000 | 11% | 75 | 629 |
| Jul. 1, 2000—Sep. 30, 2000 | 11% | 75 | 629 |
| Oct. 1, 2000—Dec. 31, 2000 | 11% | 75 | 629 |
| Jan. 1, 2001—Mar. 31, 2001 | 11% | 27 | 581 |
| Apr. 1, 2001—Jun. 30, 2001 | 10% | 25 | 579 |
| Jul. 1, 2001—Sep. 30, 2001 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Oct. 1, 2001—Dec. 31, 2001 | 9% | 23 | 577 |
| Jan. 1, 2002—Mar. 31, 2002 | 8% | 21 | 575 |
| Apr. 1, 2002—Jun. 30, 2002 | 8% | 21 | 575 |

TABLE OF INTEREST RATES FOR
LARGE CORPORATE UNDERPAYMENTS
FROM JANUARY 1, 1991 – PRESENT—Continued

| | RATE | 1995-1 C.B. | |
|----------------------------|------|-------------|-----|
| | | TABLE | PG |
| Jul. 1, 2002—Sep. 30, 2002 | 8% | 21 | 575 |
| Oct. 1, 2002—Dec. 30, 2002 | 8% | 21 | 575 |
| Jan. 1, 2003—Mar. 31, 2003 | 7% | 19 | 573 |
| Apr. 1, 2003—Jun. 30, 2003 | 7% | 19 | 573 |
| Jul. 1, 2003—Sep. 30, 2003 | 7% | 19 | 573 |

TABLE OF INTEREST RATES FOR
CORPORATE OVERPAYMENTS EXCEEDING \$10,000
FROM JANUARY 1, 1995 – PRESENT

| | RATE | 1995-1 C.B. | |
|----------------------------|------|-------------|-----|
| | | TABLE | PG |
| Jan. 1, 1995—Mar. 31, 1995 | 6.5% | 18 | 572 |
| Apr. 1, 1995—Jun. 30, 1995 | 7.5% | 20 | 574 |
| Jul. 1, 1995—Sep. 30, 1995 | 6.5% | 18 | 572 |
| Oct. 1, 1995—Dec. 31, 1995 | 6.5% | 18 | 572 |
| Jan. 1, 1996—Mar. 31, 1996 | 6.5% | 66 | 620 |
| Apr. 1, 1996—Jun. 30, 1996 | 5.5% | 64 | 618 |
| Jul. 1, 1996—Sep. 30, 1996 | 6.5% | 66 | 620 |
| Oct. 1, 1996—Dec. 31, 1996 | 6.5% | 66 | 620 |
| Jan. 1, 1997—Mar. 31, 1997 | 6.5% | 18 | 572 |
| Apr. 1, 1997—Jun. 30, 1997 | 6.5% | 18 | 572 |
| Jul. 1, 1997—Sep. 30, 1997 | 6.5% | 18 | 572 |
| Oct. 1, 1997—Dec. 31, 1997 | 6.5% | 18 | 572 |
| Jan. 1, 1998—Mar. 31, 1998 | 6.5% | 18 | 572 |
| Apr. 1, 1998—Jun. 30, 1998 | 5.5% | 16 | 570 |
| Jul. 1, 1998—Sep. 30, 1998 | 5.5% | 16 | 570 |
| Oct. 1, 1998—Dec. 31, 1998 | 5.5% | 16 | 570 |
| Jan. 1, 1999—Mar. 31, 1999 | 4.5% | 14 | 568 |
| Apr. 1, 1999—Jun. 30, 1999 | 5.5% | 16 | 570 |
| Jul. 1, 1999—Sep. 30, 1999 | 5.5% | 16 | 570 |
| Oct. 1, 1999—Dec. 31, 1999 | 5.5% | 16 | 570 |
| Jan. 1, 2000—Mar. 31, 2000 | 5.5% | 64 | 618 |
| Apr. 1, 2000—Jun. 30, 2000 | 6.5% | 66 | 620 |
| Jul. 1, 2000—Sep. 30, 2000 | 6.5% | 66 | 620 |
| Oct. 1, 2000—Dec. 31, 2000 | 6.5% | 66 | 620 |
| Jan. 1, 2001—Mar. 31, 2001 | 6.5% | 18 | 572 |
| Apr. 1, 2001—Jun. 30, 2001 | 5.5% | 16 | 570 |
| Jul. 1, 2001—Sep. 30, 2001 | 4.5% | 14 | 568 |
| Oct. 1, 2001—Dec. 31, 2001 | 4.5% | 14 | 568 |
| Jan. 1, 2002—Mar. 31, 2002 | 3.5% | 12 | 566 |

TABLE OF INTEREST RATES FOR
CORPORATE OVERPAYMENTS EXCEEDING \$10,000
FROM JANUARY 1, 1995 – PRESENT—Continued

| | RATE | 1995-1 C.B. TABLE | PG |
|----------------------------|------|----------------------|-----|
| Apr. 1, 2002—Jun. 30, 2002 | 3.5% | 12 | 566 |
| Jul. 1, 2002—Sep. 30, 2002 | 3.5% | 12 | 566 |
| Oct. 1, 2002—Dec. 31, 2002 | 3.5% | 12 | 566 |
| Jan. 1, 2003—Mar. 31, 2003 | 2.5% | 10 | 564 |
| Apr. 1, 2003—Jun. 30, 2003 | 2.5% | 10 | 564 |
| Jul. 1, 2003—Sep. 30, 2003 | 2.5% | 10 | 564 |

Part III. Administrative, Procedural, and Miscellaneous

Weighted Average Interest Rate Update

Notice 2003-30

Sections 412(b)(5)(B) and 412(l)(7)(C)(i) of the Internal Revenue Code provide that the interest rates used to calculate current liability for purposes of determining the full funding limitation under § 412(c)(7) and the required contribution under § 412(l) must be within a permissible range around the weighted average of the rates of interest on 30-year Treasury securities during the four-year period ending on the last day before the beginning of the plan year.

Notice 88-73, 1988-2 C.B. 383, provides guidelines for determining the weighted average interest rate and the re-

sulting permissible range of interest rates used to calculate current liability for the purpose of the full funding limitation of § 412(c)(7) of the Code.

Section 417(e)(3)(A)(ii)(II) defines the applicable interest rate, which must be used for purposes of determining the minimum present value of a participant's benefit under § 417(e)(1) and (2), as the annual rate of interest on 30-year Treasury securities for the month before the date of distribution or such other time as the Secretary may by regulations prescribe. Section 1.417(e)-1(d)(3) of the Income Tax Regulations provides that the applicable interest rate for a month is the annual interest rate on 30-year Treasury securities as specified by the Commissioner for that month in revenue

rulings, notices or other guidance published in the Internal Revenue Bulletin.

The rate of interest on 30-year Treasury Securities for May 2003 is 4.53 percent. Pursuant to Notice 2002-26, 2002-15 I.R.B. 743, the Service has determined this rate as the monthly average of the daily determination of yield on the 30-year Treasury bond maturing in February 2031.

Section 405 of the Job Creation and Worker Assistance Act of 2002 amended § 412(l)(7)(C) of the Code to provide that for plan years beginning in 2002 and 2003 the permissible range is extended to 120 percent.

The following rates were determined for the plan years beginning in the month shown below.

| Month | Year | Weighted Average | 90% to 110% Permissible Range | 90% to 120% Permissible Range |
|-------|------|------------------|-------------------------------|-------------------------------|
| June | 2003 | 5.39 | 4.85 to 5.93 | 4.85 to 6.46 |

Drafting Information

The principal authors of this notice are Paul Stern and Tony Montanaro of the Employee Plans, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division. For further information regarding this notice, please contact the Employee Plans' taxpayer assistance telephone service at 1-877-829-5500 (a toll-free number), between the hours of 8:00 a.m. and 6:30 p.m. Eastern time, Monday through Friday. Mr. Stern may be reached at 1-202-283-9703. Mr. Montanaro may be reached at 1-202-283-9714. The telephone numbers in the preceding two sentences are not toll-free.

available to taxpayers. The Large and Mid-Size Business Division and the Office of Appeals will jointly administer the FTS program. FTS will allow LMSB personnel and LMSB taxpayers an opportunity to mediate their disputes with an Appeals Official acting as a neutral party. In addition, in certain circumstances, other Service Operating Divisions and taxpayers may participate in the FTS program.

SECTION 2. OVERVIEW

.01 In furtherance of the Service's goal of resolving tax controversies on a basis that is fair and impartial to both the government and the taxpayer, the Service implemented an LMSB Fast Track Dispute Resolution Pilot Program in Notice 2001-67, 2001-2 C.B. 544. The pilot program demonstrated that the Service can successfully use dispute resolution techniques within LMSB to promote issue resolution at earlier stages and decrease the overall time from return filing to ultimate issue resolution. The FTS program, which the Service has structured to promote resolution within 120 days of acceptance into the program, builds on the success of the pilot program.

.02 FTS is optional for the taxpayer. FTS does not eliminate or replace existing dispute resolution options, including the taxpayer's opportunity to request a hearing before Appeals or a conference with a manager. The FTS Appeals Official, serving as a neutral participant in the FTS process, will assist LMSB and the taxpayer to understand the nature of the dispute and to reach a mutually satisfactory resolution consistent with applicable law. The FTS Appeals Official also may recommend a settlement based on the FTS Appeals Official's analysis of the issues. See Section 2.03. The taxpayer may withdraw from the FTS process at any time by notifying in writing the LMSB Team Manager and the FTS Appeals Official of the withdrawal. The FTS Appeals Official or the LMSB Team Manager also may terminate the LMSB Fast Track process, by notifying the taxpayer in writing, if either one determines that meaningful progress toward resolution of the issues has stopped. If any issues remain unresolved at the conclusion of the FTS process, the taxpayer retains all of its otherwise applicable appeal rights.

.03 Any recommended settlement by the FTS Appeals Official of an issue in FTS

Rev. Proc. 2003-40

LMSB/Appeals Fast Track Settlement Procedure

SECTION 1. PURPOSE

This revenue procedure formally establishes the Fast Track Settlement (FTS) program to expedite case resolution and to expand the range of dispute resolution options

shall be subject to the procedures that would be applicable if the issue were being considered by Appeals, including procedures in the Internal Revenue Manual and existing published guidance. FTS therefore creates no special authority for settlement by the FTS Appeals Official. For example, if the FTS issue is coordinated in either the Technical Advisor Program or the Appeals Technical Guidance program, the proposed settlement of that issue is subject to established procedures, including submission of the proposed settlement to the Appeals Coordinator for review and concurrence

SECTION 3. CASE ELIGIBILITY AND EXCLUSIONS

.01 The parties should initiate FTS only after the Service issues the Form 5701 (*Notice of Proposed Adjustment*) and the taxpayer provides a written response, but before the date on which the Service issues the first letter of proposed deficiency which allows the taxpayer an opportunity for administrative review in the Office of Appeals (30-day letter). Notwithstanding the issuance of a Form 5701 and the receipt of a written response from the taxpayer, the Appeals and LMSB Fast Track Program Managers (collectively, Fast Track Program Managers) shall accept an issue into the FTS program **only** if they are satisfied that the issue is sufficiently developed to permit resolution within the framework of the FTS program.

.02 FTS is generally available for all cases within LMSB Compliance jurisdiction. In addition, the Fast Track Program Managers and the Compliance manager from a Service Operating Division other than LMSB may agree to include a taxpayer or issue not under LMSB jurisdiction in the FTS program, depending on the circumstances and operational needs of the case. For example, SBSE Examination Specialization and Abusive Schemes cases may be accepted in the FTS program upon agreement of the Fast Track Program Managers and the SBSE manager. The taxpayer must also agree to the inclusion into the FTS program. FTS is not well suited for all cases, however, so the respective managers and the taxpayers must evaluate their individual circumstances to determine if the FTS program meets their needs, *e.g.*, whether the number of issues is manageable within a 120 day time frame. For non-LMSB cases or issues accepted into the FTS program, the appropriate Compliance

manager in the non-LMSB Operating Division will carry out the responsibilities of the LMSB Team Manager under this revenue procedure. An issue that is excluded from a similar alternate dispute resolution program in another Operating Division, such as the SBSE — Appeals Fast Track Mediation Procedure, usually also will be excluded from the FTS program.

.03 The following issues are *not* eligible for inclusion into the FTS program:

1) issues in a taxpayer's case designated for litigation;

2) issues in a taxpayer's case under consideration for designation for litigation;

3) issues for which the taxpayer has submitted a request for competent authority assistance;

4) issues for which the taxpayer has requested the simultaneous Appeals/Competent Authority procedure described in section 8 of Rev. Proc. 2002–52, 2002–31 I.R.B. 242, or the corresponding provision of any successor guidance;

5) issues outside LMSB jurisdiction, except as permitted under section 3.02;

6) “whipsaw” issues, *i.e.*, issues for which resolution with respect to one party might result in inconsistent treatment in the absence of the participation of another party;

7) issues for which mediation would not be consistent with sound tax administration, *e.g.*, issues governed by closing agreements, by *res judicata*, or by controlling precedent; and

8) issues that have been identified in a Chief Counsel Notice, or equivalent publication, as excluded from the Fast Track Settlement process.

If an issue is determined not to be eligible for the FTS program, all issues in the case shall not be eligible for the FTS program.

SECTION 4. APPLICATION PROCESS

.01 Either the taxpayer or the LMSB Team Manager may suggest participation in the FTS program. If the other party agrees, the parties may contact the LMSB or Appeals Fast Track Program Managers who will assist the parties in determining whether the issue is appropriate for the FTS program. If the parties determine that the issue is appropriate for FTS, the parties must apply to the program by completing and executing a LMSB Fast Track Agreement form. The parties must include the *Notice of Proposed Adjustment* (Form 5701) and the written response from the taxpayer

with the LMSB Fast Track Agreement to complete the package. Taxpayers do not need to submit a formal protest for FTS. The LMSB Team Manager will coordinate preparation and submission of the application package.

.02 All applications to the FTS program require the approval of the Fast Track Program Managers before acceptance into FTS. If the Fast Track Program Managers approve the application, the FTS Appeals Official (*see* section 5.01 below), will notify the taxpayer and the LMSB Team Manager. If the Fast Track Program Managers do not approve the application, the Appeals Fast Track Program Manager will notify the LMSB Team Manager within ten days of receipt of the application. The LMSB Team Manager will, in turn, notify the taxpayer. The decision not to approve an application for the FTS program is final and not subject to administrative appeal or judicial review.

.03 The parties and the FTS Appeals Official will agree to and document a projected completion date on the LMSB Fast Track Agreement form. The goal of the FTS program is to complete the entire FTS process in approximately 120 days. The LMSB Team Manager and the taxpayer will also identify a preferred conference site.

SECTION 5. SETTLEMENT PROCESS

.01 FTS employs various alternative dispute resolution techniques to promote agreement. An FTS Appeals Official will serve as a neutral party. The FTS Appeals Official will not perform in a traditional Appeals role, but will use dispute resolution techniques to facilitate settlement between the parties. An Appeals Team Case Leader, trained in mediation or, in limited cases, an Appeals Officer, trained in mediation, in conjunction with an Appeals Team Manager, will serve as the neutral FTS Appeals Official.

.02 During FTS, the taxpayer and LMSB representatives, including at least one representative with decision-making authority, from both LMSB and the taxpayer, will meet with the FTS Appeals Official. The taxpayer and LMSB representatives should include individuals with the information and expertise necessary to assist the parties and the FTS Appeals Official during the settlement process. The FTS Appeals Official may ask the parties to limit the number of participants to facilitate the process. Any person engaged in practice before the Ser-

vice, as defined in Publication 216, *Conference and Practice Requirements*, must have a power of attorney from the taxpayer (Form 2848, *Power of Attorney and Declaration of Representative*).

.03 The FTS Appeals Official will hold the FTS session at the date and location agreed to by both parties. Prior to the FTS session, the FTS Appeals Official will advise the participants of the procedures and establish ground rules. The FTS Appeals Official may modify the rules and procedures during the session to adapt to changes in circumstances. The FTS session may include joint sessions with all parties, separate meetings, or both as determined appropriate in the sole judgment of the FTS Appeals Official.

.04 The FTS Appeals Official will use a FTS Session Report to assist in planning the FTS session and to report on developments during the session. The FTS Session Report will include a list of all issues approved for the FTS program, a description of the issues, the amounts in dispute, conference dates, a plan of action for the FTS session, and other information useful to the process as determined by the parties and the FTS Appeals Official. The FTS Appeals Official also will prepare and update an Agenda, which guides the communication, sets the order of issue discussion, poses questions to clarify the issue and guides the meetings. During the session, the FTS Appeals Official will provide decision makers from both parties with copies of the Agenda and the FTS Session Report.

.05 Generally, the FTS Appeals Official will consider only those issues outlined in the FTS Session Report, except by mutual agreement of the parties. If the taxpayer presents information during the session that the taxpayer had not previously presented during the audit, the FTS Appeals Official will adjust the targeted completion date to give the appropriate Service officials time to evaluate the information/documentation.

.06 During the session, the FTS Appeals Official may propose settlement terms for any or all issues. If the taxpayer accepts the FTS Appeals Official's settlement proposal, but the LMSB Team Manager rejects it, the LMSB Territory Manager must review the rejection and either concur in writing, or accept the settlement proposal on behalf of LMSB. If the LMSB

Territory Manager concurs with the Team Manager's rejection of the settlement proposal, and an acceptable alternative settlement cannot be reached, the issue will be closed out of the FTS program as unagreed.

.07 If the parties resolve any of the disputed issues at the conclusion of the session, the parties and the FTS Appeals Official shall sign the FTS Session Report acknowledging acceptance of the terms of settlement for purposes of preparing computations. The signature of the parties on the FTS Session Report does not constitute a final settlement, nor does it waive restrictions on assessment, terminate consents to extend periods of limitation, start the running of any periods of limitation, or constitute agreement to close the case.

.08 If applicable, the Service will report a proposed settlement reached as a result of FTS to the Joint Committee on Taxation in accordance with section 6405. The taxpayer acknowledges that the Service may reconsider a proposed settlement, as reflected in a signed FTS Session Report, upon receipt of comments on the proposed settlement from the Joint Committee on Taxation. If the taxpayer declines to agree with any changes by the Service upon reconsideration, LMSB will close the case unagreed and the taxpayer will retain all the usual rights to request Appeals consideration of any unagreed issues.

.09 If the parties fail to resolve any issue in FTS, the taxpayer retains the option of requesting that the issue be heard through the traditional Appeals process.

.10 Communications made during an FTS session are confidential. Employees of the Service involved with the FTS process must adhere to the confidentiality and disclosure provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, including sections 6103, 7213, and 7431. By signing the LMSB Fast Track Agreement, the taxpayer consents, pursuant to section 6103(c), to the disclosure of the taxpayer's returns and return information pertaining to the issues considered in the FTS process to those persons named on the Agreement as participants in the process. Employees of the Service, the taxpayer and persons invited to participate by the Service or the taxpayer shall not voluntarily disclose information regarding any communication made during the FTS session, except as provided by statute, such as in sections 6103 and 7214(a)(8) and 5 U.S.C. § 574.

.11 The prohibition against *ex parte* communications between Appeals Officers and other Service employees provided by section 1001(a) of the Internal Revenue Service Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 does not apply to the communications arising in FTS because Appeals personnel, in facilitating an agreement between the taxpayer and LMSB, are not acting in their traditional Appeals settlement role.

SECTION 6. POST-SESSION PROCEDURE

.01 Unless specific conditions warrant altering the agreement, *e.g.*, a subsequent authoritative decision that materially alters the basis for the agreement, the basis of settlement shall not be modified after the FTS Session Report is signed. Nor may either party raise a new issue for FTS consideration after the FTS Session Report is signed unless both parties and the FTS Appeals Official agree. New issues not accepted for consideration under FTS may still be raised and considered outside the FTS program.

.02 After the parties and the FTS Appeals Official sign the FTS Session Report acknowledging a basis of settlement, the FTS Appeals Official will draft the appropriate settlement document to reflect the agreed upon treatment of the issue. The FTS Appeals Official may use delegated settlement authority to enter into and approve any Fast Track settlement agreement (*e.g.*, specific matter closing agreement or Form 870-AD). Alternatively, LMSB and the taxpayer may resolve an issue using LMSB resolution authority and LMSB will include the issue as an agreed issue in the Revenue Agent's Report. LMSB will then close the case using established case closing procedures.

.03 If one or more issues remain unsettled at the end of FTS, the FTS Appeals Official will send a letter to both the taxpayer and LMSB, stating who participated in the program, listing any unresolved issues, and explaining the taxpayer's rights to appeal unresolved issues.

SECTION 7. GENERAL PROVISIONS

.01 A resolution reached by the parties through FTS will not bind the parties for taxable years not covered by the FTS Session Report. Except as provided in the Fast Track settlement agreement or Delega-

tion Order 236, any such resolution shall not be used as precedent.

.02 With respect to FTS cases that are returned for traditional Appeals consideration, *ex parte* restrictions will not be imposed on intra-Appeals communications. Appeals management will take appropriate measures to ensure these cases are handled fairly and impartially.

SECTION 8. EFFECTIVE DATE

This revenue procedure is effective June 3, 2003.

SECTION 9. CONTACTS

A taxpayer who wants to submit a case to the FTS program, or that has questions about the program and its suitability for the taxpayer's case, may contact the LMSB Team Manager for the tax year currently under examination. For further information, taxpayers may contact J.W. Wyatt at (314) 612-4639 (not a toll-free number) or by email at j.w.ywatt@irs.gov or James Fike at (202) 283-8353 (not a toll-free number) or by email at james.o.fike@irs.gov. Taxpayers may also consider other available alternate dispute resolution programs, including SBSE — Appeals Fast Track Mediation.

Rev. Proc. 2003-41

SB/SE — Appeals Fast Track Mediation Procedure

SECTION 1. PURPOSE

This revenue procedure formally establishes the Fast Track Mediation (FTM) program to expedite case resolution and to expand the range of dispute resolution options available to taxpayers. The Small Business/Self-Employed Compliance Division and the Office of Appeals will jointly administer the FTM program. FTM will allow SB/SE personnel and SB/SE taxpayers an opportunity to mediate their disputes with an Appeals Official acting as a neutral party.

SECTION 2. OVERVIEW

.01 In furtherance of the Service's goal of resolving tax controversies on a basis that is fair and impartial to both the government and the taxpayer, the Service imple-

mented a SB/SE Fast Track Mediation program on July 1, 2000. The pilot program demonstrated that the Service can successfully use dispute resolution techniques within SB/SE to promote issue resolution at earlier stages and decrease the overall time from return filing to ultimate issue resolution. The FTM program, which the Service has structured to promote issue resolution within an average of 30 to 40 days from the initial joint discussion between the FTM Appeals Official and the parties, builds on the success of the pilot program.

.02 FTM is optional for the taxpayer. FTM does not eliminate or replace existing dispute resolution options, including the taxpayer's opportunity to request a hearing before Appeals or a conference with a manager. The FTM Appeals Official, serving as a neutral participant, will assist SB/SE and the taxpayer to understand the nature of the dispute and to reach a mutually satisfactory resolution consistent with applicable law. The FTM Appeals Official may also recommend to the parties a resolution on the merits based on the FTM Appeals Official's analysis of the issues. Either party may withdraw from the mediation process at any time by notifying the other party and the FTM Appeals Official in writing of the withdrawal. The FTM Appeals Official also may terminate the mediation process, by notifying the taxpayer and SB/SE in writing, if it is determined that meaningful progress toward resolution of the issues has stopped. If any issues remain unresolved at the conclusion of FTM, the taxpayer retains all of its otherwise applicable appeal rights.

SECTION 3. CASE ELIGIBILITY AND EXCLUSIONS

.01 FTM is generally available for all non-docketed cases and collection source work over which SB/SE has jurisdiction, including offer in compromise (OIC), trust fund recovery penalty (TFRP) and collection due process (CDP) cases. FTM is generally not available for issues for which resolution will depend on an assessment of the hazards of litigation and which require the FTM Appeals Official to use delegated settlement authority.

.02 The following issues and cases are *not* eligible for inclusion in the FTM program:

(1) Issues in a taxpayer's case designated for litigation;

(2) Issues in a taxpayer's case under consideration for designation for litigation;

(3) Issues for which there is an absence of legal precedent;

(4) Issues for which there are conflicts between circuit courts of appeal;

(5) Issues included in the Technical Advisor Program or in the Appeals Technical Guidance Program;

(6) Issues for which the taxpayer has submitted a request for competent authority assistance;

(7) Issues for which the taxpayer has requested the simultaneous Appeals/Competent Authority procedure described in section 8 of Rev. Proc. 2002-52, 2002-31 I.R.B. 242, or the corresponding provision of any successor guidance;

(8) "Whipsaw" issues, *i.e.*, issues for which resolution with respect to one party might result in inconsistent treatment in the absence of the participation of another party;

(9) Cases worked at a Campus site in which a penalty was proposed, except those involving special electronic fund deposit penalties;

(10) Cases worked at a Campus site in which an offer-in-compromise was made;

(11) Collection Appeals Program cases;

(12) Automated Collection System cases;

(13) Frivolous issues, such as, but not limited to, those identified in Rev. Proc. 2001-41, 2001-2 C.B. 173, or any succeeding revenue procedure;

(14) Issues for which mediation would not be consistent with sound tax administration, *e.g.*, issues governed by closing agreements, by *res judicata*, or by controlling precedent;

(15) Cases in which the taxpayer has failed to respond to Service communications and no documentation has been previously submitted for consideration by the examiner;

(16) Issues within the scope of Rev. Proc. 2002-18, 2002-1 C.B. 678 (methods of accounting); and

(17) Issues that have been identified in a Chief Counsel Notice, or equivalent publication, as excluded from the Fast Track Mediation process.

In addition to the cases described in (9) and (10), other cases worked at the Campus sites are generally not eligible for FTM.

.03 Fast Track Mediation should be initiated only after an issue has been fully de-

veloped. The respective managers and taxpayers must evaluate their individual circumstances to determine if the FTM program meets their needs, e.g., whether the number of issues is manageable within a 30 to 40-day time frame.

.04 Application to the FTM process should only be initiated if there is sufficient time remaining on the period of limitations for assessment and collection. Consistent with current Compliance procedures, a case should not be submitted to the FTM program if the failure to resolve any issue in the FTM process would result in Compliance forwarding the case to Appeals with less than 180 days remaining on the statute of limitations.

SECTION 4. APPLICATION PROCESS

.01 Either the taxpayer or the SB/SE Team Manager may suggest participation in the FTM program. If the parties are interested in electing FTM, and need assistance in determining if the issue is appropriate for the FTM process, they may contact the SB/SE FTM Program Manager.

.02 A request to participate in FTM may only be initiated at the conclusion of an examination/collection determination. Parties apply to the program by completing and executing an Agreement to Mediate, attached as Exhibit 1.

.03 SB/SE will prepare a brief "Summary of Issues" and a tentative tax computation to submit with the Agreement to Mediate to the appropriate Appeals Office. The taxpayer may also submit a summary of the issues, but a formal protest is not required. All documents submitted with the Agreement to Mediate are available to the other party.

.04 All applications to the FTM process require the approval of an Appeals Manager before acceptance into FTM. If the Appeals Manager approves the application, the Appeals Manager will notify the taxpayer and the SB/SE Team Manager. If the case is not accepted for FTM, the Appeals Manager will notify the taxpayer and the SB/SE Team Manager and return all paperwork to SB/SE. The decision not to approve an application for the FTM program is final and not subject to administrative appeal or judicial review.

SECTION 5. MEDIATION PROCESS

.01 During FTM, the case remains under SB/SE Compliance jurisdiction.

.02 After the case is accepted into the FTM program, an Appeals Manager will assign the case to the FTM Appeals Official, an Appeals Employee who has been trained in mediation. The FTM Appeals Official will serve as a neutral party. The taxpayer does not have the option of using a non-IRS employee as a mediator under the SB/SE — Appeals FTM program.

.03 The FTM Appeals Official will hold the FTM session at a date and location agreed to by both parties, usually at the local Appeals Office. Prior to the FTM session, the FTM Appeals Official will advise the participants of the procedures and establish ground rules. The FTM Appeals Official may modify the rules and procedures during the session to adapt to changes in circumstances. The FTM session may include joint sessions with all parties, separate meetings, or both, as determined appropriate in the sole judgment of the FTM Appeals Official.

.04 Both the taxpayer and SB/SE will be given ample opportunity to present their respective positions. The FTM Appeals Official may also ask either party for additional information if deemed necessary for a full understanding of the issues being mediated. A copy of any submission a party gives to the FTM Appeals Official will be provided simultaneously to the other party.

.05 The taxpayer and SB/SE representatives will meet with the FTM Appeals Official. The taxpayer's authorized representative may also participate in the FTM session. At least one representative with decision-making authority for each party must be present at the mediation sessions, or be available for consultation, unless the case is an OIC case over \$50,000 (including tax, penalty, and interest) for which Counsel approval is currently required pursuant to section 7122(b) of the Internal Revenue Code. The FTM Appeals Official may ask the parties to limit the number of participants to facilitate the process. Any person engaged in practice before the Service, as defined in Publication 216, *Conference and Practice Requirements*, must have a power of attorney from the taxpayer (Form 2848, *Power of Attorney and Declaration of Representative*).

.06 The FTM Appeals Official may postpone or terminate the session if: (a) the taxpayer or SB/SE presents new information or new issues during the mediation session; (b) the taxpayer wishes to submit a

substantial amount of documentary information; or (c) the taxpayer wishes to present witnesses, including experts. Any such postponements to allow both parties the opportunity to review and evaluate the new information may result in a longer period for completion of the FTM process. If requested by either party, the FTM Appeals Official may allow a reasonable delay in the proceedings if the FTM Appeals Official determines it is warranted. Any delays will be communicated to, and coordinated with, both parties.

.07 The FTM Appeals Official does not have settlement authority and will not render a decision regarding any issue in dispute. The issues submitted to the FTM process may only be resolved if both the taxpayer and SB/SE reach an agreement.

.08 Communications made during an FTM session are confidential. Employees of the Service, or persons invited by the Service to participate in FTM, must adhere to the confidentiality and disclosure provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, including sections 6103, 7213 and 7431. Employees of the Service, the taxpayers and persons invited by the Service and the taxpayer to participate in FTM shall not voluntarily disclose information regarding any communication made during the FTM session, except as provided by statute, such as in sections 6103 and 7214(a)(8) and 5 U.S.C. § 574.

.09 By signing the Agreement to Mediate, attached as Exhibit 1, the taxpayer consents, pursuant to section 6103(c), to the disclosure of the taxpayer's returns and return information pertaining to the issues considered in FTM to those persons named on the Agreement as participants or observers. If the Agreement to Mediate is executed by a person pursuant to a power of attorney executed by the taxpayer, that power of attorney must express the taxpayer's grant of authority to consent to disclose the taxpayer's returns and return information by the Service to third parties, and a copy of that power of attorney must be attached to the agreement.

.10 The prohibition against *ex parte* communications between Appeals Officers and other Service employees provided by section 1001(a) of the Internal Revenue Service Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 does not apply to the communications arising in the FTM program because Appeals personnel, in facilitating an

agreement between the taxpayer and SB/SE, are not acting in their traditional Appeals settlement role.

SECTION 6. POST-SESSION PROCEDURE

.01 If the parties resolve any of the disputed issues in the FTM session, SB/SE will secure the appropriate closing documents from the taxpayer and will close the case through SB/SE's established case closing procedures.

.02 If applicable, the Service will report a proposed resolution reached as a result of FTM to the Joint Committee on Taxation in accordance with section 6405. The taxpayer acknowledges that the Service may reconsider a proposed resolution upon receipt of comments on the proposed resolution from the Joint Committee on Taxation. If the taxpayer declines to agree with any changes by the Service upon reconsideration, SB/SE will close the case

unagreed and the taxpayer will retain all of the usual rights to request Appeals consideration of any unagreed issues.

.03 Under certain circumstances, the settlement of an OIC case may require a legal opinion from Counsel (7122(b) Counsel) pursuant to section 7122(b). For these OIC cases that are successfully mediated in the FTM program, the case will be forwarded to the 7122(b) Counsel for an opinion after the FTM session and the 7122(b) Counsel shall not be present at the FTM session. Final processing of the OIC case will be subject to the opinion of the 7122(b) Counsel.

.04 If the parties fail to resolve any issue in FTM, the taxpayer retains the option of requesting that the issue be heard through the traditional Appeals process. With respect to any unresolved issues, SB/SE will close the case or issue unagreed in accordance with established case closing procedures.

.05 At the conclusion of FTM, the FTM Appeals Official will prepare a brief written report by completing a Fast Track Mediator's Report on the appropriate form, and submit a copy to each party.

.06 A resolution reached by the parties through FTM will not bind the parties for taxable years not covered by the agreement. Except as provided in the agreement, any such resolution shall not be used as precedent.

SECTION 7. EFFECTIVE DATE

This revenue procedure is effective June 3, 2003.

SECTION 8. CONTACT FOR FURTHER INFORMATION

A taxpayer who wants to participate in FTM, or who has questions about the program and its suitability for the taxpayer's case, may contact Jacqueline Harris at (972) 308-7330 (not a toll-free call) regarding the tax year currently under examination.

Rev. Proc. 2003-44

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PART I. INTRODUCTION TO EMPLOYEE PLANS COMPLIANCE RESOLUTION SYSTEM

SECTION 1. PURPOSE AND OVERVIEW

| | | |
|-----|---|------|
| .01 | <i>Purpose.</i> | 1054 |
| .02 | <i>General principles underlying EPCRS.</i> | 1054 |
| .03 | <i>Overview.</i> | 1054 |

SECTION 2. EFFECT OF THIS REVENUE PROCEDURE ON PROGRAMS

| | | |
|-----|-----------------------------------|------|
| .01 | <i>Effect on programs.</i> | 1055 |
| .02 | <i>Future enhancements.</i> | 1055 |

PART II. PROGRAM EFFECT AND ELIGIBILITY

SECTION 3. EFFECT OF EPCRS; RELIANCE

| | | |
|-----|--|------|
| .01 | <i>Effect of EPCRS on Qualified Plans.</i> | 1055 |
| .02 | <i>Effect of EPCRS on 403(b) Plans.</i> | 1056 |
| .03 | <i>Effect of EPCRS on SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans.</i> | 1056 |
| .04 | <i>Compliance Statement.</i> | 1056 |
| .05 | <i>Other taxes and penalties.</i> | 1056 |
| .06 | <i>Reliance.</i> | 1056 |

SECTION 4. PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY

| | | |
|-----|--|------|
| .01 | <i>EPCRS Programs.</i> | 1056 |
| .02 | <i>Effect of examination.</i> | 1056 |
| .03 | <i>Favorable Letter requirement.</i> | 1056 |
| .04 | <i>Established practices and procedures.</i> | 1056 |
| .05 | <i>Correction by plan amendment.</i> | 1056 |
| .06 | <i>Submission for a determination letter.</i> | 1057 |
| .07 | <i>Availability of correction of Employer Eligibility Failure.</i> | 1057 |
| .08 | <i>Egregious failures.</i> | 1057 |
| .09 | <i>Diversion or misuse of plan assets.</i> | 1057 |
| .10 | <i>EGTRRA nonamenders.</i> | 1057 |

PART III. DEFINITIONS, CORRECTION PRINCIPLES, AND RULES OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY

SECTION 5. DEFINITIONS

| | | |
|-----|---|------|
| .01 | <i>Definitions for Qualified Plans.</i> | 1057 |
| .02 | <i>Definitions for 403(b) Plans.</i> | 1059 |
| .03 | <i>Under Examination.</i> | 1059 |
| .04 | <i>SEP.</i> | 1060 |
| .05 | <i>SIMPLE IRA Plan.</i> | 1060 |

SECTION 6. CORRECTION PRINCIPLES AND RULES OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY

| | | |
|-----|--|------|
| .01 | <i>Correction principles; rules of general applicability.</i> | 1060 |
| .02 | <i>Correction principles.</i> | 1060 |
| .03 | <i>Correction of an Employer Eligibility Failure.</i> | 1061 |
| .04 | <i>Correction of a failure to obtain spousal consent.</i> | 1061 |
| .05 | <i>Correction by plan amendment.</i> | 1062 |
| .06 | <i>Special rules relating to Excess Amounts.</i> | 1062 |
| .07 | <i>Special rules relating to reporting plan loan failures.</i> | 1062 |

| | | |
|-----|--|------|
| .08 | <i>Correction under statute or regulations.</i> | 1062 |
| .09 | <i>Matters subject to excise taxes.</i> | 1062 |
| .10 | <i>Correction for SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans.</i> | 1062 |
| .11 | <i>Confidentiality and disclosure.</i> | 1063 |
| .12 | <i>No effect on other law.</i> | 1063 |

PART IV. SELF-CORRECTION (SCP)

SECTION 7. IN GENERAL

SECTION 8. SELF-CORRECTION OF INSIGNIFICANT OPERATIONAL FAILURES

| | | |
|-----|---------------------------|------|
| .01 | <i>Requirements.</i> | 1063 |
| .02 | <i>Factors.</i> | 1063 |
| .03 | <i>Multiple failures.</i> | 1064 |
| .04 | <i>Examples.</i> | 1064 |

SECTION 9. SELF-CORRECTION OF SIGNIFICANT OPERATIONAL FAILURES

| | | |
|-----|--|------|
| .01 | <i>Requirements.</i> | 1064 |
| .02 | <i>Correction period.</i> | 1064 |
| .03 | <i>Correction by plan amendment.</i> | 1064 |
| .04 | <i>Substantial completion of correction.</i> | 1064 |
| .05 | <i>Examples.</i> | 1065 |

PART V. VOLUNTARY CORRECTION WITH SERVICE APPROVAL (VCP)

SECTION 10. VCP PROCEDURES

| | | |
|-----|--|------|
| .01 | <i>VCP requirements.</i> | 1065 |
| .02 | <i>Identification of failures.</i> | 1065 |
| .03 | <i>Availability of correction of a terminated plan.</i> | 1065 |
| .04 | <i>Effect of VCP submission on examination.</i> | 1065 |
| .05 | <i>No concurrent examination activity.</i> | 1065 |
| .06 | <i>Determination letter application for plan amendments related to a VCP submission.</i> | 1065 |
| .07 | <i>Determination letter applications not related to a VCP submission.</i> | 1065 |
| .08 | <i>Processing of submission.</i> | 1066 |
| .09 | <i>Compliance statement.</i> | 1066 |
| .10 | <i>Effect of compliance statement on examination.</i> | 1067 |
| .11 | <i>Special rules relating to Anonymous (John Doe) Submissions.</i> | 1067 |
| .12 | <i>Special rules relating to Group Submissions.</i> | 1067 |
| .13 | <i>Multiemployer and multiple employer plans.</i> | 1068 |

SECTION 11. APPLICATION PROCEDURES FOR VCP

| | | |
|-----|---|------|
| .01 | <i>General rules.</i> | 1068 |
| .02 | <i>Submission requirements.</i> | 1068 |
| .03 | <i>Required documents.</i> | 1068 |
| .04 | <i>Date VCP fee due generally.</i> | 1069 |
| .05 | <i>Additional fee due earlier for 403(b) Plans, SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans, and Group Submissions.</i> | 1069 |
| .06 | <i>Signed submission.</i> | 1069 |
| .07 | <i>Power of attorney requirements.</i> | 1069 |
| .08 | <i>Penalty of perjury statement.</i> | 1069 |
| .09 | <i>Checklist.</i> | 1069 |
| .10 | <i>Designation.</i> | 1069 |
| .11 | <i>VCP mailing address.</i> | 1069 |
| .12 | <i>Maintenance of copies of submissions.</i> | 1069 |

| | |
|--|------|
| SECTION 12. VCP FEES | |
| .01 VCP fees..... | 1069 |
| .02 VCP fees for <i>Qualified Plans and 403(b) Plans</i> | 1069 |
| .03 VCP fee for <i>nonamenders</i> | 1070 |
| .04 VCP fee for <i>Group Submission</i> | 1070 |
| .05 VCP fee for <i>SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans</i> | 1070 |
| .06 VCP fee for <i>egregious failures</i> | 1070 |
| .07 <i>Establishing the number of plan participants</i> | 1070 |

PART VI. CORRECTION ON AUDIT (AUDIT CAP)

| | |
|--|------|
| SECTION 13. DESCRIPTION OF AUDIT CAP | |
| .01 <i>Audit CAP requirements</i> | 1070 |
| .02 <i>Payment of sanction</i> | 1070 |
| .03 <i>Additional requirements</i> | 1070 |
| .04 <i>Failure to reach resolution</i> | 1071 |
| .05 <i>Effect of closing agreement</i> | 1071 |
| .06 <i>Other procedural rules</i> | 1071 |

| | |
|--|------|
| SECTION 14. AUDIT CAP SANCTION | |
| .01 <i>Determination of sanction</i> | 1071 |
| .02 <i>Factors considered</i> | 1071 |
| .03 <i>Transferred Assets</i> | 1071 |

PART VII. EFFECT ON OTHER DOCUMENTS; EFFECTIVE DATE; PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT

| | |
|--|------|
| SECTION 15. EFFECT ON OTHER DOCUMENTS | |
| .01 <i>Revenue procedure 2002-47 modified and superseded</i> | 1071 |

| | |
|---------------------------------|------|
| SECTION 16. EFFECTIVE DATE..... | 1071 |
|---------------------------------|------|

| | |
|--|------|
| SECTION 17. PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT..... | 1071 |
|--|------|

DRAFTING INFORMATION

| | |
|--|------|
| APPENDIX A: OPERATIONAL FAILURES AND CORRECTION METHODS | |
| .01 <i>General rule</i> | 1072 |
| .02 <i>Failure to properly provide the minimum top-heavy benefit under § 416 to non-key employees</i> | 1072 |
| .03 <i>Failure to satisfy the ADP test set forth in § 401(k)(3), the ACP test set forth in § 401(m)(2), or the multiple use test of § 401(m)(9)</i> | 1072 |
| .04 <i>Failure to distribute elective deferrals in excess of the § 402(g) limit (in contravention of § 401(a)(30))</i> | 1072 |
| .05 <i>Exclusion of an eligible employee from all contributions or accruals under the plan for one or more plan years</i> | 1072 |
| .06 <i>Failure to timely pay the minimum distribution required under § 401(a)(9)</i> | 1072 |
| .07 <i>Failure to obtain participant and/or spousal consent for a distribution subject to the participant and spousal consent rules under §§ 401(a)(11), 411(a)(11), and 417</i> | 1072 |
| .08 <i>Failure to satisfy the § 415 limits in a defined contribution plan</i> | 1073 |

SECTION 1. PURPOSE, ASSUMPTIONS FOR EXAMPLES AND SECTION REFERENCES

.01 *Purpose*..... 1073
 .02 *Assumptions for Examples*..... 1073
 .03 *Section References*..... 1073

SECTION 2. CORRECTION METHODS AND EXAMPLES

.01 *ADP/ACP Failures*..... 1073
 .02 *Exclusion of Eligible Employees*..... 1075
 .03 *Vesting Failures*..... 1078
 .04 *§ 415 Failures*..... 1078
 .05 *Correction of Other Overpayment Failures*..... 1080
 .06 *§ 401(a)(17) Failures*..... 1080
 .07 *Correction by Amendment*..... 1081

SECTION 3. EARNINGS ADJUSTMENT METHODS AND EXAMPLES

.01 *Earnings Adjustment Methods*..... 1082
 .02 *Examples*..... 1083

APPENDIX C: VCP CHECKLIST..... 1087

APPENDIX D: I. SAMPLE FORMAT FOR VCP SUBMISSION FOR QUALIFIED PLAN..... 1089

II. SAMPLE FORMAT FOR VCP SUBMISSION FOR QUALIFIED PLAN FAILURE
 TO AMEND TIMELY FOR TAX LEGISLATION 1091

PART I. INTRODUCTION TO
 EMPLOYEE PLANS COMPLIANCE
 RESOLUTION SYSTEM

SECTION 1. PURPOSE AND
 OVERVIEW

.01 *Purpose*. This revenue procedure updates the comprehensive system of correction programs for sponsors of retirement plans that are intended to satisfy the requirements of § 401(a), § 403(a), § 403(b), § 408(k), or § 408(p) of the Internal Revenue Code (the “Code”), but that have not met these requirements for a period of time. This system, the Employee Plans Compliance Resolution System (“EPCRS”), permits plan sponsors to correct these failures and thereby continue to provide their employees with retirement benefits on a tax-favored basis. The components of EPCRS are the Self-Correction Program (“SCP”), the Voluntary Correction Program (“VCP”), and the Audit Closing Agreement Program (“Audit CAP”).

.02 *General principles underlying EPCRS*. EPCRS is based on the following general principles:

- Sponsors and other administrators of eligible plans should be encouraged to establish administrative practices and

procedures that ensure that these plans are operated properly in accordance with the applicable requirements of the Code.

- Sponsors and other administrators of eligible plans should satisfy the applicable plan document requirements of the Code.
- Sponsors and other administrators should make voluntary and timely correction of any plan failures, whether involving discrimination in favor of highly compensated employees, plan operations, the terms of the plan document, or adoption of a plan by an ineligible employer. Timely and efficient correction protects participating employees by providing them with their expected retirement benefits, including favorable tax treatment.
- Voluntary compliance is promoted by providing for limited fees for voluntary corrections approved by the Service, thereby reducing employers’ uncertainty regarding their potential tax liability and participants’ potential tax liability.
- Fees and sanctions should be graduated in a series of steps so that there is always an incentive to correct promptly.

- Sanctions for plan failures identified on audit should be reasonable in light of the nature, extent, and severity of the violation.

- Administration of EPCRS should be consistent and uniform.
- Sponsors should be able to rely on the availability of EPCRS in taking corrective actions to maintain the tax-favored status of their plans.

.03 *Overview*. EPCRS includes the following basic elements:

- *Self-correction (SCP)*. A Plan Sponsor that has established compliance practices and procedures may, at any time without paying any fee or sanction, correct insignificant Operational Failures under a Qualified Plan or a 403(b) Plan, or a SEP or a SIMPLE IRA Plan, provided the SEP or SIMPLE IRA Plan is established and maintained on a document approved by the Service. In addition, in the case of a Qualified Plan that is the subject of a favorable determination letter from the Service or in the case of a 403(b) Plan, the Plan Sponsor generally may correct even significant Operational Failures without payment of any fee or sanction.

- *Voluntary correction with Service approval (VCP)*. A Plan Sponsor, at any time before audit, may pay a limited fee and receive the Service's approval for correction of a Qualified Plan, 403(b) Plan, SEP or SIMPLE IRA Plan. Under VCP, there are special procedures for anonymous submissions and group submissions.
- *Correction on audit (Audit CAP)*. If a failure (other than a failure corrected through SCP or VCP) is identified on audit, the Plan Sponsor may correct the failure and pay a sanction. The sanction imposed will bear a reasonable relationship to the nature, extent, and severity of the failure, taking into account the extent to which correction occurred before audit.

SECTION 2. EFFECT OF THIS REVENUE PROCEDURE ON PROGRAMS

.01 *Effect on programs*. This revenue procedure modifies and supersedes Rev. Proc. 2002-47, 2002-29 I.R.B. 133, which was the prior consolidated statement of the correction programs under EPCRS. A number of changes have been made to simplify EPCRS and increase uniformity in the administration process and fee structure. The modifications to Rev. Proc. 2002-47 that are reflected in this revenue procedure include:

- consolidating all voluntary correction procedures into a single voluntary correction program (VCP) (sections 4.01(2), 10)
- providing a fixed fee schedule for all VCP submissions (section 12)
- eliminating the Voluntary Correction of Operational Failures Standardized procedure (VCS) (section 10)
- providing for a single time for payment of compliance fees for most VCP submissions (sections 11.04, 11.05)
- expanding EPCRS to SIMPLE IRA Plans (section 4.01)
- adding correction methods and reporting instructions for SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans (section 6.10)
- simplifying the Group Submission procedure by eliminating the POA requirement and revising the Group Submission compliance fee (sections 10.12(3)(b), 12.04)

- eliminating the requirement that VCP compliance fees be submitted by certified or cashier's check (sections 10.06, 11.05 and 12.01)
- expanding the Anonymous and Group Submission procedures to all submissions under VCP including SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans (section 10.11, 10.12)
- providing rules relating to reporting plan loan failures (section 6.07)
- providing guidance for EGTRRA nonamenders (section 4.10)
- expanding the definition of Overpayment (section 5.01(6))
- clarifying the special exception to full correction for imprecise or unavailable data (section 6.02(5)(a))
- adding a correction method for a failure to obtain spousal consent (section 6.04)
- clarifying that the correction of failures in a terminated plan may be made under VCP whether or not the trust is in existence (section 10.03)
- updating the definition of Favorable Letter (section 5.01(4))
- revising the Form 5500 information required for VCP submissions (section 11.03)
- extending correction methods in Appendix A and Appendix B to 403(b) Plans, SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans (Appendix A, section .01 and Appendix B, section 1.01)
- expanding the correction method for early inclusion of an otherwise eligible employee to include improper inclusion due to the application of an incorrect entry date (Appendix B, section .07(3))
- eliminating the factor under Audit CAP that referred to the VCP fees to emphasize that the Maximum Payment Amount is the basis upon which Audit CAP sanctions are negotiated (section 14.02)
- adding a factor under Audit CAP concerning the determination letter process (section 14.02)
- adding Appendix D — sample formats to assist Plan Sponsors in preparing VCP submissions

.02 *Future enhancements*. (1) It is expected that the EPCRS revenue procedure will continue to be updated on a periodic basis, including, as noted above, further improvements to EPCRS based on comments previously received. In addition, the Ser-

vice and Treasury continue to invite further comments on how to improve EPCRS. Comments should be sent to:

Internal Revenue Service
Attention: T:EP:RA:VC
1111 Constitution Avenue, NW
Washington, D.C. 20224

(2) The Service and Treasury are considering expanding the procedures under EPCRS and are interested in receiving comments regarding, among other things, appropriate correction procedures for failures arising under § 457(b) plans. Submissions relating to § 457(b) eligible governmental plans will be accepted by the Service on a provisional basis outside of EPCRS. Submissions relating to other § 457(b) eligible plans may be accepted outside EPCRS as Employee Plans develops experience in the § 457 area. The Service is also interested in receiving comments regarding appropriate correction procedures for failures arising under Qualified Plans, 403(b) Plans and § 457(b) plans with § 408(q) "deemed IRA" provisions. Submissions related to Qualified Plans, 403(b) Plans and § 457(b) eligible governmental plans with § 408(q) "deemed IRA" provisions will be accepted by the Service on a provisional basis outside of EPCRS.

(3) The Service and Treasury are evaluating the availability of the correction procedures under EPCRS for any failures related to a plan's participation in a transaction that is a reportable transaction under Treas. Regs. § 1.6011-4(b). Until this evaluation is completed, the Service reserves its right to treat any such failures as ineligible for EPCRS or to deal with any such failures outside EPCRS.

PART II. PROGRAM EFFECT AND ELIGIBILITY

SECTION 3. EFFECT OF EPCRS; RELIANCE

.01 *Effect of EPCRS on Qualified Plans*. For a Qualified Plan, if the eligibility requirements of section 4 are satisfied and the Plan Sponsor corrects a Qualification Failure in accordance with the applicable requirements of SCP in section 7, VCP in sections 10 and 11, or Audit CAP in section 13, the Service will not treat the Qualified Plan as failing to meet § 401(a). Thus, for example, if the Plan Sponsor corrects the failures in accordance with the require-

ments of this revenue procedure, the plan will be treated as a qualified plan for purposes of applying § 3121(a)(5) (FICA taxes) and § 3306(b)(5) (FUTA taxes).

.02 Effect of EPCRS on 403(b) Plans. (1) *Income taxes.* For a 403(b) Plan, if the applicable eligibility requirements of section 4 are satisfied and the Plan Sponsor corrects a failure in accordance with the applicable requirements of SCP in section 7, VCP in sections 10 and 11, or Audit CAP in section 13, the Service will not pursue income inclusion for affected participants, or liability for income tax withholding, on account of the failure. However, the correction of a failure may result in income tax consequences to participants and beneficiaries (for example, participants may be required to include in gross income distributions of Excess Amounts in the year of distribution).

(2) *Excise and employment taxes.* Excise taxes, FICA taxes, and FUTA taxes (and corresponding withholding obligations), if applicable, that result from a failure are not waived merely because the failure has been corrected.

.03 Effect of EPCRS on SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans. If the eligibility requirements of section 4 are satisfied and the Plan Sponsor, as defined in section 5.01(7), corrects a failure to satisfy the requirements of § 408(k) for a SEP or § 408(p) for a SIMPLE IRA Plan in accordance with the applicable requirements of SCP in section 7 (but only if the failure is an insignificant Operational Failure), VCP in sections 10 and 11, or Audit CAP in section 13, the Service will not treat the SEP or SIMPLE IRA Plan as failing to meet § 408(k) or § 408(p), as applicable. Thus, for example, if the Plan Sponsor corrects the failures in accordance with the requirements of this revenue procedure, the SEP will be treated as satisfying § 408(k) and the SIMPLE IRA Plan will be treated as satisfying § 408(p), for purposes of applying § 3121(a)(5) (FICA taxes) and § 3306(b)(5) (FUTA taxes).

.04 Compliance Statement. If a Plan Sponsor or Eligible Organization receives a compliance statement under VCP, the compliance statement is binding upon the Service and the Plan Sponsor or Eligible Organization as provided in section 10.09.

.05 Other taxes and penalties. See section 6.09 for rules relating to other taxes and penalties.

.06 Reliance. Taxpayers may rely on this revenue procedure, including the relief described in sections 3.01, 3.02, and 3.03.

SECTION 4. PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY

.01 EPCRS Programs. (1) *SCP.* SCP is available only for Operational Failures. Qualified Plans and 403(b) Plans are eligible for SCP with respect to significant and insignificant Operational Failures. SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans are eligible for SCP with respect to insignificant Operational Failures only.

(2) *VCP.* Qualified Plans, 403(b) Plans, SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans are eligible for VCP. VCP provides general procedures for correction of all Qualification Failures: Operational, Plan Document, Demographic, and Employer Eligibility.

(3) *Audit CAP.* Audit CAP is available for Qualified Plans, 403(b) Plans, SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans for correction of all failures found on examination that have not been corrected in accordance with SCP or VCP.

(4) *Eligibility for other arrangements.* The Service may extend EPCRS to other arrangements.

.02 Effect of examination. If the plan or Plan Sponsor is Under Examination, VCP is not available. However, while the plan or Plan Sponsor is Under Examination, insignificant Operational Failures can be corrected under SCP and, if correction has been substantially completed before the plan or Plan Sponsor is Under Examination, significant Operational Failures can be corrected under SCP.

.03 Favorable Letter requirement. The provisions of SCP relating to significant Operational Failures (see section 9) are available for a Qualified Plan only if the plan is the subject of a Favorable Letter. The provisions of SCP relating to insignificant Operational Failures (see section 8) are available for a SEP but only if the plan document consists of either (i) a valid Model Form 5305-SEP or 5305A-SEP adopted by an employer in accordance with the instructions on the applicable form, or (ii) a current favorable opinion letter for a Plan Sponsor that has adopted a prototype SEP which has been amended in accordance with the procedures set forth in Rev. Proc. 2002-10, 2002-4 I.R.B. 401. The provisions of SCP relating to insignificant Operational Failures (see section 8) are available for a SIMPLE IRA Plan but only

if the plan document consists of either (i) a valid Model Form 5305-SIMPLE or 5304-SIMPLE adopted by an employer in accordance with the instructions on the applicable form, or (ii) a current favorable opinion letter for a Plan Sponsor that has adopted a prototype SIMPLE which has been amended in accordance with the procedures set forth in Rev. Proc. 2002-10, 2002-4 I.R.B. 401.

.04 Established practices and procedures. In order to be eligible for SCP, the Plan Sponsor or administrator of a plan must have established practices and procedures (formal or informal) reasonably designed to promote and facilitate overall compliance with applicable Code requirements. For example, the plan administrator of a Qualified Plan that may be top-heavy under § 416 may include in its plan operating manual a specific annual step to determine whether the plan is top-heavy and, if so, to ensure that the minimum contribution requirements of the top-heavy rules are satisfied. A plan document alone does not constitute evidence of established procedures. In order for a Plan Sponsor or administrator to use SCP, these established procedures must have been in place and routinely followed, and an Operational Failure must have occurred through an oversight or mistake in applying them or because of an inadequacy in the procedures. In the case of a failure that relates to Transferred Assets or to a plan assumed in connection with a corporate merger, acquisition, or other similar employer transaction between the Plan Sponsor and sponsor of the transferor plan or the prior plan sponsor of an assumed plan, the plan is considered to have established practices and procedures if such practices and procedures are in effect by the end of the first plan year that begins after the corporate merger, acquisition, or other similar transaction.

.05 Correction by plan amendment. (1) *Availability of correction by plan amendment in VCP.* A Plan Sponsor may use VCP for a Qualified Plan to correct an Operational Failure by a plan amendment to conform the terms of the plan to the plan's prior operations, provided that the amendment complies with the requirements of § 401(a), including the requirements of §§ 401(a)(4), 410(b), and 411(d)(6).

(2) *Certain correction by plan amendment permitted in SCP.* A Plan Sponsor may

use SCP for a Qualified Plan to correct an Operational Failure by a plan amendment to conform the terms of the plan to the plan's prior operations only to correct Operational Failures listed in section 2.07 of Appendix B. These failures must be corrected in accordance with the correction methods set forth in section 2.07 of Appendix B. The amendment must comply with the requirements of § 401(a), including the requirements of §§ 401(a)(4), 410(b), and 411(d)(6). SCP is not otherwise available for a Plan Sponsor to correct an Operational Failure by a plan amendment. Thus, if loans were made to participants, but the plan document did not permit loans to be made to participants, the failure cannot be corrected under SCP by retroactively amending the plan to provide for the loans. However, if a Plan Sponsor corrects an Operational Failure in accordance with SCP, it may amend the plan to the extent necessary to reflect the corrective action. For example, if the plan failed to satisfy the average deferral percentage ("ADP") test required under § 401(k)(3) and the Plan Sponsor must make qualified nonelective contributions not already provided for under the plan, the plan may be amended to provide for qualified nonelective contributions. The issuance of a compliance statement does not constitute a determination as to the effect of any plan amendment on the qualification of the plan.

.06 *Submission for a determination letter.* In any case in which correction of a Qualification Failure includes correction of a Plan Document Failure or Demographic Failure, or an Operational Failure by plan amendment, as permitted under section 4.05, other than the adoption of an amendment designated by the Service as a model amendment or the adoption of a prototype or volume submitter plan for which the Plan Sponsor has reliance on the plan's opinion or advisory letter as provided in Rev. Proc. 2003-6, 2003-1 I.R.B. 191, the amendment must be submitted to the Service for approval using the appropriate application form (*i.e.*, the Form 5300 series or, if permitted, Form 6406) to ensure that the amendment satisfies applicable qualification requirements. In the case of a plan amendment under SCP, as permitted under section 4.05(2), the determination let-

ter application must be submitted before the end of the SCP correction period in section 9.02.

.07 *Availability of correction of Employer Eligibility Failure.* SCP and Group Submissions under VCP are not available for a Plan Sponsor to correct an Employer Eligibility Failure.

.08 *Egregious failures.* SCP is not available to correct Operational Failures that are egregious. For example, if an employer has consistently and improperly covered only highly compensated employees or if a contribution to a defined contribution plan for a highly compensated individual is several times greater than the dollar limit set forth in § 415, the failure would be considered egregious. VCP is available to correct egregious failures; however, these failures are subject to the fees described in section 12.06.

.09 *Diversion or misuse of plan assets.* SCP, VCP, and Audit CAP are not available to correct failures relating to the diversion or misuse of plan assets.

.10 *EGTRRA nonamenders.* EPCRS is available for correction of Qualified Plans that have failed to adopt good faith plan amendments for the Economic Growth and Tax Relief Reconciliation Act of 2001, Pub. L. 107-16 (EGTRRA) within the period described in Notice 2001-42, 2001-2 C.B. 70. In the case of a terminated Qualified Plan, the VCP submission must include the EGTRRA amendment(s) and Form 5310. The Service will process the VCP submission and, when approved, issue a compliance statement and determination letter on the terminated plan. In the case of all other EGTRRA good faith nonamenders, a Plan Sponsor may submit under VCP to receive a compliance statement. The Plan Sponsor must adopt EGTRRA good faith amendment(s) within the time period set forth in the compliance statement. If adopted, the plan will not be treated as failing to adopt the EGTRRA good faith amendment(s) in a timely manner. Because the Service's determination letter program has not opened for EGTRRA amendments, a determination letter will not be issued as part of the VCP submission. In addition, Plan Sponsors may have to amend the plan further within the EGTRRA remedial amendment period as provided in Notice 2001-42. Failure to amend, if required, will result in a failure requiring a subsequent VCP submission.

PART III. DEFINITIONS, CORRECTION PRINCIPLES, AND RULES OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY

SECTION 5. DEFINITIONS

The following definitions apply for purposes of this revenue procedure:

.01 *Definitions for Qualified Plans.* The definitions in this section 5.01 apply to Qualified Plans.

(1) *Qualified Plan.* The term "Qualified Plan" means a plan intended to satisfy the requirements of § 401(a) or § 403(a).

(2) *Qualification Failure.* The term "Qualification Failure" means any failure that adversely affects the qualification of a plan. There are four types of Qualification Failures: (a) Plan Document Failures, (b) Operational Failures, (c) Demographic Failures, and (d) Employer Eligibility Failures.

(a) *Plan Document Failure.* The term "Plan Document Failure" means a plan provision (or the absence of a plan provision) that, on its face, violates the requirements of § 401(a) or § 403(a). Thus, for example, the failure of a plan to be amended to reflect a new qualification requirement within the plan's applicable remedial amendment period under § 401(b) is a Plan Document Failure. In addition, if a plan has not been timely or properly amended during an applicable remedial amendment period for adopting good faith amendments for statutory changes as provided by the Service, but the plan is operated as though the good faith amendments were adopted, then for purposes of EPCRS, the plan is considered to have a Plan Document Failure. For purposes of this revenue procedure, a Plan Document Failure includes any Qualification Failure that is a violation of the requirements of § 401(a) or § 403(a) and that is not an Operational Failure, Demographic Failure, or Employer Eligibility Failure.

(b) *Operational Failure.* The term "Operational Failure" means a Qualification Failure (other than an Employer Eligibility Failure) that arises solely from the failure to follow plan provisions. A failure to follow the terms of the plan providing for the satisfaction of the requirements of § 401(k) and § 401(m) is considered to be an Operational Failure. A plan does not have

an Operational Failure to the extent the plan is permitted to be amended retroactively pursuant to § 401(b) or another statutory provision to reflect the plan's operations. However, if within an applicable remedial amendment period under § 401(b), a plan has been properly amended for statutory or regulatory changes and, on or after the later of the date the amendment is effective or is adopted, the amended provisions are not followed, then the plan is considered to have an Operational Failure.

(c) *Demographic Failure.* The term "Demographic Failure" means a failure to satisfy the requirements of § 401(a)(4), § 401(a)(26), or § 410(b) that is not an Operational Failure or an Employer Eligibility Failure. The correction of a Demographic Failure generally requires a corrective amendment to the plan adding more benefits or increasing existing benefits (cf., § 1.401(a)(4)-11(g)).

(d) *Employer Eligibility Failure.* The term "Employer Eligibility Failure" means the adoption of a plan intended to satisfy the requirements of § 401(a) by an employer that fails to meet the employer eligibility requirements to establish a § 401(k) plan. An Employer Eligibility Failure is not a Plan Document, Operational, or Demographic Failure.

(3) *Excess Amount.* The term "Excess Amount" means (a) an Overpayment, (b) an elective deferral or employee after-tax contribution returned to satisfy § 415, (c) an elective deferral in excess of the limitation of § 402(g) that is distributed, (d) an excess contribution or excess aggregate contribution that is distributed to satisfy § 401(k) or § 401(m), (e) an elective deferral that is distributed to satisfy the limitation of § 401(a)(17), or (f) any similar amount that is required to be distributed in order to maintain plan qualification.

(4) *Favorable Letter.* The term "Favorable Letter" means, in the case of a Qualified Plan, a current favorable determination letter for an individually designed plan (including a volume submitter plan that is not identical to an approved volume submitter plan), a current favorable opinion letter for a Plan Sponsor that has adopted a master or prototype plan, (standardized or nonstandardized), or a current favorable advisory letter and certification that the Plan Sponsor has adopted a plan that is identical to an approved volume submitter plan.

A plan has a current favorable determination letter, opinion letter, or advisory letter if (a), (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), or (g) below is satisfied:

(a) The plan has a favorable determination letter, opinion letter, or advisory letter/certification that considers GUST (GUST is an acronym for the Uruguay Round Agreements Act (GATT), the Uniformed Services Employment and Reemployment Rights Act of 1994 (USERRA), the Small Business Job Protection Act of 1996 (SBJPA), the Taxpayer Relief Act of 1997 (TRA '97), the Internal Revenue Service Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 (RRA '98), and the Community Renewal Tax Relief Act of 2000 (CRA).)

(b) The plan has a favorable determination letter that considers GUST, excluding CRA, and the Plan Sponsor has by the latest of (i) the end of the first plan year beginning on or after January 1, 2002, (ii) the end of the plan's GUST remedial amendment period, or (iii) June 30, 2003, amended the plan to comply with CRA.

(c) The plan either has a favorable determination letter, opinion letter, or notification letter for a regional prototype plan that considers the Tax Reform Act of 1986 ("TRA '86") or was initially adopted or effective after December 7, 1994, and the Plan Sponsor has by the later of February 28, 2002, or the last day of the first plan year beginning on or after January 1, 2001, either, (i) submitted an application for a determination letter on GUST, or, (ii) has adopted or certified that it intends to adopt a master or prototype plan or volume submitter plan, that was submitted for a GUST opinion letter or advisory letter by December 31, 2000, and does adopt a master or prototype plan or volume submitter plan in accordance with the procedures set forth in Rev. Proc. 2002-73, 2002-49 I.R.B. 932.

(d) The plan has a favorable determination letter that considers the Tax Reform Act of 1986 ("TRA '86") or was initially adopted or effective after December 7, 1994, and the plan is a plan directly affected by the September 11, 2001, terrorist attack on the United States, (see Rev. Proc. 2001-55, 2001-2 C.B. 552) and the Plan Sponsor has by June 30, 2002, submitted an application for a determination letter request for GUST.

(e) The plan was timely amended for TRA '86, the Unemployment Compensation Act of 1992 ("UCA"), and the Omni-

bus Budget and Reconciliation Act of 1993 ("OBRA '93") and the Plan Sponsor has submitted an application for a determination letter on GUST by September 3, 2002, in accordance with the procedures set forth in Rev. Proc. 2002-35, 2002-24 I.R.B. 1187.

(f) The plan is initially adopted or effective after February 28, 2002, and the Plan Sponsor timely submits an application for a determination letter or they adopt an approved master or prototype plan or volume submitter plan within the plan's remedial amendment period under § 401(b).

(g) The plan is terminated prior to the expiration of the applicable GUST remedial amendment period under § 401(b) and the plan was amended to reflect the provisions of GUST.

(5) *Maximum Payment Amount.* The term "Maximum Payment Amount" means a monetary amount that is approximately equal to the tax the Service could collect upon plan disqualification and is the sum for the open taxable years of the:

(a) tax on the trust (Form 1041),

(b) additional income tax resulting from the loss of employer deductions for plan contributions (and any interest or penalties applicable to the Plan Sponsor's return), and

(c) additional income tax resulting from income inclusion for participants in the plan (Form 1040).

(6) *Overpayment.* The term "Overpayment" means a distribution to an employee or beneficiary that exceeds the employee's or beneficiary's benefit under the terms of the plan, including a distribution that results from a failure to comply with plan terms that implement § 401(a)(17), § 401(m) (but only with respect to the forfeiture of nonvested matching contributions that are excess aggregate contributions), § 411(a)(3)(G), or § 415. An Overpayment does not include a distribution of any Excess Amount described in section 5.01(3)(b) through (f).

(7) *Plan Sponsor.* The term "Plan Sponsor" means the employer that establishes or maintains a qualified retirement plan for its employees.

(8) *Transferred Assets.* The term "Transferred Assets" means plan assets that were received, in connection with a corporate merger, acquisition or other similar employer transaction, by the plan in a transfer (including a merger or consolidation of

plan assets) under § 414(l) from a plan sponsored by an employer that was not a member of the same controlled group as the Plan Sponsor prior to the corporate merger, acquisition, or other similar employer transaction. If a transfer of plan assets related to the same employer transaction is accomplished through several transfers, then the date of the transfer is the date of the first transfer.

.02 *Definitions for 403(b) Plans.* The definitions in this section 5.02 apply to 403(b) Plans.

(1) *403(b) Plan.* The term “403(b) Plan” means a plan or program intended to satisfy the requirements of § 403(b).

(2) *403(b) Failure.* A 403(b) Failure is any Operational, Demographic, or Employer Eligibility Failure as defined below.

(a) *Operational Failure.* The term “Operational Failure” means any of the following:

(i) A failure to satisfy the requirements of § 403(b)(12)(A)(ii) (relating to the availability of salary reduction contributions);

(ii) A failure to satisfy the requirements of § 401(m) (as applied to 403(b) Plans pursuant to § 403(b)(12)(A)(i));

(iii) A failure to satisfy the requirements of § 401(a)(17) (as applied to 403(b) Plans pursuant to § 403(b)(12)(A)(i));

(iv) A failure to satisfy the distribution restrictions of § 403(b)(7) or § 403(b)(11);

(v) A failure to satisfy the incidental death benefit rules of § 403(b)(10);

(vi) A failure to pay minimum required distributions under § 403(b)(10);

(vii) A failure to give employees the right to elect a direct rollover under § 403(b)(10), including the failure to give meaningful notice of such right;

(viii) A failure of the annuity contract or custodial agreement to provide participants with a right to elect a direct rollover under §§ 403(b)(10) and 401(a)(31);

(ix) A failure to satisfy the limit on elective deferrals under § 403(b)(1)(E);

(x) A failure of the annuity contract or custodial agreement to provide the limit on elective deferrals under §§ 403(b)(1)(E) and 401(a)(30);

(xi) A failure involving contributions or allocations of Excess Amounts; or

(xii) Any other failure to satisfy applicable requirements under § 403(b) that (A) results in the loss of § 403(b) status for the

plan or the loss of § 403(b) status for one or more custodial account(s) or annuity contract(s) under the plan and (B) is not a Demographic Failure, an Employer Eligibility Failure, or a failure related to contributions on behalf of individuals who are not employees of the employer.

(b) *Demographic Failure.* The term “Demographic Failure” means a failure to satisfy the requirements of § 401(a)(4), § 401(a)(26), or § 410(b) (as applied to 403(b) Plans pursuant to § 403(b)(12)(A)(i)).

(c) *Employer Eligibility Failure.* The term “Employer Eligibility Failure” means any of the following:

(i) The adoption of a plan intended to satisfy the requirements of § 403(b) by an employer that is not a tax-exempt organization described in § 501(c)(3) or a public educational organization described in § 170(b)(1)(A)(ii);

(ii) A failure to satisfy the nontransferability requirement of § 401(g);

(iii) A failure to initially establish or maintain a custodial account as required by § 403(b)(7); or

(iv) A failure to purchase (initially or subsequently) either an annuity contract from an insurance company (unless grandfathered under Rev. Rul. 82-102, 1982-1 C.B. 62) or a custodial account from a regulated investment company utilizing a bank or an approved non-bank trustee/custodian.

(3) *Excess Amount.* The term “Excess Amount” means any contributions or allocations that are in excess of the limits under § 415 for the year (and for years prior to 1/1/02, the § 403(b)(2) exclusion allowance limit for the year).

(4) *Plan Sponsor.* The term “Plan Sponsor” means the employer that offers a 403(b) Plan to its employees.

(5) *Total Sanction Amount.* The term “Total Sanction Amount” means a monetary amount that is approximately equal to the income tax the Service could collect as a result of the failure.

.03 *Under Examination.* (1) The term “Under Examination” means: (a) a plan that is under an Employee Plans examination (that is, an examination of a Form 5500 series or other Employee Plans examination), or (b) a Plan Sponsor that is under an Exempt Organizations examination (that is, an examination of a Form 990 series or other Exempt Organizations examination).

(2) A plan that is under an Employee Plans examination includes any plan for which the Plan Sponsor, or a representative, has received verbal or written notification from Employee Plans of an impending Employee Plans examination, or of an impending referral for an Employee Plans examination, and also includes any plan that has been under an Employee Plans examination and is now in Appeals or in litigation for issues raised in an Employee Plans examination. A plan is considered to be Under Examination if it is aggregated for purposes of satisfying the nondiscrimination requirements of § 401(a)(4), the minimum participation requirements of § 401(a)(26), the minimum coverage requirements of § 410(b), or the requirements of § 403(b)(12), with a plan(s) that is Under Examination. In addition, a plan is considered to be Under Examination with respect to a failure of a qualification requirement (other than those described in the preceding sentence) if the plan is aggregated with another plan for purposes of satisfying that qualification requirement (for example, § 402(g), § 415, or § 416) and that other plan is Under Examination. For example, assume Plan A has a § 415 failure, Plan A is aggregated with Plan B only for purposes of § 415, and Plan B is Under Examination. In this case, Plan A is considered to be Under Examination with respect to the § 415 failure. However, if Plan A has a failure relating to the spousal consent rules under § 417 or the vesting rules of § 411, Plan A is not considered to be Under Examination with respect to the § 417 or § 411 failure. For purposes of this revenue procedure, the term aggregation does not include consideration of benefits provided by various plans for purposes of the average benefits test set forth in § 410(b)(2).

(3) An Employee Plans examination also includes a case in which a Plan Sponsor has submitted any Form 5300 series form and the Employee Plans agent notifies the Plan Sponsor, or a representative, of possible Qualification Failures, whether or not the Plan Sponsor is officially notified of an “examination.” This would include a case where, for example, a Plan Sponsor has applied for a determination letter on plan termination, and an Employee Plans agent notifies the Plan Sponsor that there are partial termination concerns. In addition, if, during the review process, the agent requests additional information that indicates the ex-

istence of a Qualification Failure(s) not previously identified by the Plan Sponsor, the plan is considered under an Employee Plans examination. The fact that a Plan Sponsor voluntarily submits a determination letter application does not constitute a voluntary identification of Qualification Failures to the Service. In order to be eligible to perfect a determination letter application into a VCP submission, the Plan Sponsor (or the authorized representative) must identify each Qualification Failure, in writing, to the reviewing agent before the agent recognizes the existence of the Qualification Failure(s) and/or addresses the Qualification Failure(s) in communications with the Plan Sponsor (or the authorized representative).

(4) A Plan Sponsor that is under an Exempt Organizations examination includes any Plan Sponsor that has received (or whose representative has received) verbal or written notification from Exempt Organizations of an impending Exempt Organizations examination or of an impending referral for an Exempt Organizations examination and also includes any Plan Sponsor that has been under an Exempt Organizations examination and is now in Appeals or in litigation for issues raised in an Exempt Organizations examination.

.04 *SEP*. The term “SEP” means a plan intended to satisfy the requirements of § 408(k). For purposes of this revenue procedure, the term SEP also includes a salary reduction SEP (“SARSEP”) described in § 408(k)(6), when applicable.

.05 *SIMPLE IRA Plan*. The term “SIMPLE IRA Plan” means a plan intended to satisfy the requirements of § 408(p).

SECTION 6. CORRECTION PRINCIPLES AND RULES OF GENERAL APPLICABILITY

.01 *Correction principles; rules of general applicability*. The general correction principles in section 6.02 and rules of general applicability in sections 6.03 through 6.12 apply for purposes of this revenue procedure.

.02 *Correction principles*. Generally, a failure is not corrected unless full correction is made with respect to all participants and beneficiaries, and for all taxable years (whether or not the taxable year is closed). Even if correction is made for a closed taxable year, the tax liability associated with that year will not be redeter-

mined because of the correction. In the case of a Qualified Plan, a SEP or a SIMPLE IRA Plan with an Operational Failure, correction is determined taking into account the terms of the plan at the time of the failure. Correction should be accomplished taking into account the following principles:

(1) *Restoration of benefits*. The correction method should restore the plan to the position it would have been in had the failure not occurred, including restoration of current and former participants and beneficiaries to the benefits and rights they would have had if the failure had not occurred.

(2) *Reasonable and appropriate correction*. The correction should be reasonable and appropriate for the failure. Depending on the nature of the failure, there may be more than one reasonable and appropriate correction for the failure. For Qualified Plans, any correction method permitted under Appendix A or Appendix B is deemed to be a reasonable and appropriate method of correcting the related Qualification Failure. Any correction method permitted under Appendix A or Appendix B applicable to a 403(b) Plan, a SEP, or a SIMPLE IRA Plan is deemed to be a reasonable and appropriate method of correcting the related failure. Whether any other particular correction method is reasonable and appropriate is determined taking into account the applicable facts and circumstances and the following principles:

(a) The correction method should, to the extent possible, resemble one already provided for in the Code, regulations thereunder, or other guidance of general applicability. For example, for Qualified Plans, the defined contribution plan correction methods set forth in § 1.415-6(b)(6) would be the typical means of correcting a failure under § 415. Likewise, the correction method set forth in § 1.402(g)-1(e)(2) would be the typical means of correcting a failure under § 402(g).

(b) The correction method for failures relating to nondiscrimination should provide benefits for nonhighly compensated employees. For example, for Qualified Plans, the correction method set forth in § 1.401(a)(4)-11(g) (rather than methods making use of the special testing provisions set forth in § 1.401(a)(4)-8 or § 1.401(a)(4)-9) would be the typical means of correcting a failure to satisfy nondiscrimination requirements. Similarly, the cor-

rection of a failure to satisfy the requirements of § 401(k)(3), § 401(m)(2), or § 401(m)(9) (relating to nondiscrimination), solely by distributing excess amounts to highly compensated employees would not be the typical means of correcting such a failure.

(c) The correction method should keep plan assets in the plan, except to the extent the Code, regulations, or other guidance of general applicability provide for correction by distribution to participants or beneficiaries or return of assets to the employer or Plan Sponsor. For example, if an excess allocation (not in excess of the § 415 limits) made under a Qualified Plan was made for a participant under a plan (other than a cash or deferred arrangement), the excess should be reallocated to other participants or, depending on the facts and circumstances, used to reduce future employer contributions.

(d) The correction method should not violate another applicable specific requirement of § 401(a) or § 403(b) (for example, § 401(a)(4), § 411(d)(6), or § 403(b)(12), as applicable), § 408(k) for SEPs, or § 408(p) for SIMPLE IRA Plans. If an additional failure is created as a result of the use of a correction method in this revenue procedure, then that failure also must be corrected in conjunction with the use of that correction method and in accordance with the requirements of this revenue procedure.

(3) *Consistency Requirement*. Generally, where more than one correction method is available to correct a type of Operational Failure for a plan year (or where there are alternative ways to apply a correction method), the correction method (or one of the alternative ways to apply the correction method) should be applied consistently in correcting all Operational Failures of that type for that plan year. Similarly, earnings adjustment methods generally should be applied consistently with respect to corrective contributions or allocations for a particular type of Operational Failure for a plan year. In the case of a Group Submission, the consistency requirement applies on a plan by plan basis.

(4) *Principles regarding corrective allocations and corrective distributions*. The following principles apply where an appropriate correction method includes the use of corrective allocations or corrective distributions:

(a) Corrective allocations under a defined contribution plan should be based upon the terms of the plan and other applicable information at the time of the failure (including the compensation that would have been used under the plan for the period with respect to which a corrective allocation is being made) and should be adjusted for earnings (including losses) and forfeitures that would have been allocated to the participant's account if the failure had not occurred. The corrective allocation need not be adjusted for losses. See section 3 of Appendix B for additional information on calculation of earnings for corrective allocations.

(b) A corrective allocation to a participant's account because of a failure to make a required allocation in a prior limitation year will not be considered an annual addition with respect to the participant for the limitation year in which the correction is made, but will be considered an annual addition for the limitation year to which the corrective allocation relates. However, the normal rules of § 404, regarding deductions, apply.

(c) Corrective allocations should come only from employer contributions (including forfeitures if the plan permits their use to reduce employer contributions).

(d) In the case of a defined benefit plan, a corrective distribution for an individual should be increased to take into account the delayed payment, consistent with the plan's actuarial adjustments.

(5) *Special exceptions to full correction.* In general, a failure must be fully corrected. Although the mere fact that correction is inconvenient or burdensome is not enough to relieve a Plan Sponsor of the need to make full correction, full correction may not be required in certain situations because it is unreasonable or not feasible. Even in these situations, the correction method adopted must be one that does not have significant adverse effects on participants and beneficiaries or the plan, and that does not discriminate significantly in favor of highly compensated employees. The exceptions described below specify those situations in which full correction is not required.

(a) *Reasonable estimates.* If either, (i) it is possible to make a precise calculation but the probable difference between the approximate and the precise restoration of a participant's benefits is insignificant and the

administrative cost of determining precise restoration would significantly exceed the probable difference or (ii) it is not possible to make a precise calculation (for example, where it is impossible to provide plan data), reasonable estimates may be used in calculating appropriate correction.

(b) *Delivery of small benefits.* If the total corrective distribution due a participant or beneficiary is \$50 or less, the Plan Sponsor is not required to make the corrective distribution if the reasonable direct costs of processing and delivering the distribution to the participant or beneficiary would exceed the amount of the distribution.

(c) *Recovery of small Overpayments.* Generally, for a submission under VCP, if the total amount of an Overpayment made to a participant or beneficiary is \$100 or less, the Plan Sponsor is not required to seek the return of the Overpayment from the participant or beneficiary, but is required to notify the participant or beneficiary that the Overpayment is not eligible for favorable tax treatment accorded to distributions from Qualified Plans (and, specifically, is not eligible for tax-free rollover). See section 6.06(1) for such notice requirements.

(d) *Locating lost participants.* Reasonable actions must be taken to find all current and former participants and beneficiaries to whom additional benefits are due, but who have not been located after a mailing to the last known address. In general, such actions include use of the Internal Revenue Service Letter Forwarding Program (see Rev. Proc. 94-22, 1994-1 C.B. 608) or the Social Security Administration Employer Reporting Service. A plan will not be considered to have failed to correct a failure due to the inability to locate an individual if either of these programs is used; provided that, if the individual is later located, the additional benefits must be provided to the individual at that time.

(6) *Reporting.* Any distributions from the plan should be properly reported.

.03 *Correction of an Employer Eligibility Failure.* (1) The permitted correction of an Employer Eligibility Failure is the cessation of all contributions (including salary reduction and after-tax contributions) beginning no later than the date the application under VCP is filed. Pursuant to VCP correction, the assets in such a plan are to re-

main in the trust, annuity contract, or custodial account and are to be distributed no earlier than the occurrence of one of the applicable distribution events, e.g., for 403(b) Plans, the events described in § 403(b)(7) (to the extent the assets are held in custodial accounts) or § 403(b)(11) (for those assets invested in annuity contracts that would be subject to § 403(b)(11) restrictions if the employer were eligible). A Plan that is corrected through VCP will be treated as subject to all of the requirements and provisions of § 401(a) for a Qualified Plan, § 403(b) for a 403(b) Plan, § 408(k) for a SEP, and § 408(p) for a SIMPLE IRA Plan (including Code provisions relating to rollovers).

(2) Cessation of contributions is not required if continuation of contributions would not be an Employer Eligibility Failure (for example, with respect to a tax-exempt employer that may maintain a § 401(k) plan after 1996).

(3) Because a plan with an Employer Eligibility Failure will be treated as subject to all of the applicable Code qualification requirements, the Plan Sponsor must also correct all other failures in accordance with this revenue procedure.

.04 *Correction of a failure to obtain spousal consent.* Normally, the correction method under VCP for a failure to obtain spousal consent for a distribution subject to the spousal consent rules under §§ 401(a)(11) and 417 is similar to the correction method described in Appendix A .07. The Plan Sponsor must notify the affected participant and spouse (to whom the participant was married at the time of the distribution) so that the spouse can provide spousal consent to the distribution actually made or the participant may repay the distribution and receive a qualified joint and survivor annuity. In the event that spousal consent to the prior distribution cannot be obtained because the spouse refuses to consent, does not respond to the notice provided or because the spouse cannot be located, the spouse is entitled to a benefit under the plan equal to the portion of the qualified joint and survivor annuity that would have been payable to the spouse upon the death of the participant had a qualified joint and survivor annuity been provided to the participant under the plan at his or her retirement. Such spousal benefit must be provided if a claim is made by the spouse.

.05 *Correction by plan amendment.* In a case in which correction of a Qualification Failure includes correction of a Plan Document Failure or Demographic Failure, or an Operational Failure by plan amendment, as permitted under section 4.05, other than the adoption of an amendment designated by the Service as a model amendment or the adoption of a prototype or volume submitter plan for which the Plan Sponsor has reliance on the plan's opinion or advisory letter as provided in Rev. Proc. 2003-6, 2003-1 I.R.B. 191, the amendment must be submitted to the Service (see section 11.11 for the VCP mailing address) for approval under the appropriate application form (*i.e.*, Form 5300 series or Form 6406) to ensure that the amendment satisfies applicable qualification requirements.

.06 *Special rules relating to Excess Amounts.* (1) *Treatment of Excess Amounts under Qualified Plans.* A distribution of an Excess Amount is not eligible for the favorable tax treatment accorded to distributions from Qualified Plans (such as eligibility for rollover under § 402(c)). To the extent that a current or prior distribution was a distribution of an Excess Amount, distribution of that Excess Amount is not an eligible rollover distribution. Thus, for example, if such a distribution was contributed to an individual retirement arrangement ("IRA"), the contribution is not a valid rollover contribution for purposes of determining the amount of excess contributions (within the meaning of § 4973) to the individual's IRA. A distribution of an Excess Amount is generally treated in the manner described in section 3 of Rev. Proc. 92-93, 1992-2 C.B. 505, relating to the corrective disbursement of elective deferrals. The distribution must be reported on Forms 1099-R for the year of distribution with respect to each participant or beneficiary receiving such a distribution. Where an Excess Amount has been or is being distributed, the Plan Sponsor must notify the recipient that (a) an Excess Amount has been or will be distributed and (b) an Excess Amount is not eligible for favorable tax treatment accorded to distributions from Qualified Plans (and, specifically, is not eligible for tax-free rollover).

(2) *Treatment of Excess Amounts under 403(b) Plans.* (a) *Distribution of Excess Amounts.* Excess Amounts for a year, adjusted for earnings through the date of

distribution, must be distributed to affected participants and beneficiaries and are includible in their gross income in the year of distribution. The distribution of Excess Amounts is not an eligible rollover distribution within the meaning of § 403(b)(8). A distribution of Excess Amounts is generally treated in the manner described in section 3 of Rev. Proc. 92-93 relating to the corrective disbursement of elective deferrals. The distribution must be reported on Forms 1099-R for the year of distribution with respect to each participant or beneficiary receiving such a distribution. In addition, the Plan Sponsor must inform affected participants and beneficiaries that the distribution of Excess Amounts is not eligible for rollover.

(b) *Retention of Excess Amounts.* If either the employer or the funding agent is unable to make a correcting distribution, Excess Amounts will be treated as corrected (even though the Excess Amounts are retained in the 403(b) Plan) if the following requirements are satisfied. Excess Amounts arising from a § 415 failure, adjusted for earnings through the date of correction, must reduce affected participants' applicable § 415 limit for the year following the year of correction (or for the year of correction if the Plan Sponsor so chooses), and subsequent years, until the excess is eliminated. See section 12.02(2).

.07 *Special rules relating to reporting plan loan failures.* As part of VCP, in the event of a failure relating to a loan to a participant made from a Qualified Plan or a 403(b) Plan that is treated as received as a distribution for purposes of § 72(p) (a deemed distribution), the distribution may be reported on Form 1099-R for the year of correction with respect to the affected participant.

.08 *Correction under statute or regulations.* Generally, none of the correction programs are available to correct failures that can be corrected under the Code and related regulations. For example, as a general rule, a Plan Document Failure that is a disqualifying provision for which the remedial amendment period under § 401(b) has not expired can be corrected by operation of the Code through retroactive remedial amendment.

.09 *Matters subject to excise taxes.* (1) Except as provided in paragraph (3) of this subsection, excise taxes and additional taxes, to the extent applicable, are not waived

merely because the underlying failure has been corrected or because the taxes result from the correction. Thus, for example, the excise tax on certain excess contributions under § 4979 is not waived under these correction programs.

(2) Except as provided in paragraph (3) of this section, the correction programs are not available for events for which the Code provides tax consequences other than plan disqualification (such as the imposition of an excise tax or additional income tax). For example, funding deficiencies (failures to make the required contributions to a plan subject to § 412), prohibited transactions, and failures to file the Form 5500 cannot be corrected under the correction programs. However, if the event is also an Operational Failure (for example, if the terms of the plan document relating to plan loans to participants were not followed and loans made under the plan did not satisfy § 72(p)(2)), the correction programs will be available to correct the Operational Failure, even though the excise or income taxes generally still will apply.

(3) As part of VCP, if the failure involves the failure to satisfy the minimum required distribution requirements of § 401(a)(9), in appropriate cases, the Service will waive the excise tax under § 4974 applicable to plan participants. The waiver will be included in the compliance statement. The Plan Sponsor, as part of the submission, must request the waiver and in cases where the participant subject to the excise tax is an owner-employee, as defined in § 401(c)(3), or a 10 percent owner of a corporation, the Plan Sponsor must also provide an explanation supporting the request.

.10 *Correction for SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans.* (1) *Correction for SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans generally.* Generally, the correction for a SEP or a SIMPLE IRA Plan is expected to be similar to the correction required for a Qualified Plan with a similar Qualification Failure (*i.e.*, Plan Document Failure, Operational Failure, Demographic Failure, and Employer Eligibility Failure).

(2) *Special correction for SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans.* In any case in which correction under section 6.10(1) is not feasible for a SEP or SIMPLE IRA Plan or in any other case determined by the Service in its discretion (including failures relating to §§ 402(g), 415, and 401(a)(17), fail-

ures relating to deferral percentages, discontinuance of contributions to a SARSEP or SIMPLE IRA Plan, and retention of Excess Amounts for cases in which there has been no violation of a statutory limitation), the Service may provide for a different correction. See section 12.05(2) for a special fee that may apply in such a case.

(3) *Correction of failure to satisfy deferral percentage test.* If the failure involves a violation of the deferral percentage test under § 408(k)(6)(A)(iii) applicable to a SARSEP, there are several methods to correct the failure. This failure may be corrected in one of the following ways:

(a) The Plan Sponsor may make contributions that are 100% vested to all eligible nonhighly compensated employees (to the extent permitted by § 415) necessary to raise the deferral percentage needed to pass the test. This amount may be calculated as either the same percentage of compensation or the same flat dollar amount (regardless of the terms of the SEP).

(b) The Plan Sponsor may effect distribution of excess contributions, adjusted for earnings through the date of correction, to highly compensated employees to correct the failure. The Plan Sponsor must also contribute to the SEP an amount equal to the total amount distributed. This amount must be allocated to (i) current employees who were nonhighly compensated employees in the year of the failure, (ii) current nonhighly compensated employees who were nonhighly compensated employees in the year of the failure, or (iii) employees (both current and former) who were nonhighly compensated employees in the year of the failure.

(4) *Treatment of undercontributions to a SEP or a SIMPLE IRA Plan.* (a) *Make-up contributions; earnings.* The Plan Sponsor should correct undercontributions to a SEP or a SIMPLE IRA Plan by contributing make-up amounts that are fully vested, adjusted for earnings credited from the date of the failure to the date of correction.

(b) *Earnings adjustment methods.* (i) The earnings rate generally is based on the investment results that would have applied to the corrective contribution if the failure had not occurred.

(ii) Insofar as SEP and SIMPLE IRA Plan assets are held in IRAs, there is no earnings rate under the SEP or SIMPLE IRA Plan as a whole. If the Plan Sponsor

is unable to determine what the actual investment results would have been, a reasonable interest rate may be used.

(5) *Treatment of Excess Amounts under a SEP or a SIMPLE IRA Plan.* (a) *Distribution of Excess Amounts.* For purposes of section 6.10, an Excess Amount is an amount contributed on behalf of an employee that is in excess of an employee's benefit under the plan, or an elective deferral in excess of the limitations of §§ 402(g) or 408(k)(6)(A)(iii). If an Excess Amount is attributable to elective deferrals, the Plan Sponsor may effect distribution of the Excess Amount, adjusted for earnings through the date of correction, to the affected participant. The amount distributed to the affected participant is includible in gross income in the year of distribution. The distribution is reported on Form 1099-R for the year of distribution with respect to each participant receiving the distribution. In addition, the Plan Sponsor must inform affected participants that the distribution of an Excess Amount is not eligible for favorable tax treatment accorded to distributions from a SEP or a SIMPLE IRA Plan (and, specifically, is not eligible for tax-free rollover). If the Excess Amount is attributable to employer contributions, the Plan Sponsor may effect distribution of the employer Excess Amount, adjusted for earnings through the date of correction, to the Plan Sponsor. The amount distributed to the Plan Sponsor is not includible in the gross income of the affected participant. The Plan Sponsor is not entitled to a deduction for such employer Excess Amount. The distribution is reported on Form 1099-R issued to the participant indicating the taxable amount as zero.

(b) *Retention of Excess Amounts.* If an Excess Amount is retained in the SEP or SIMPLE IRA Plan under section 6.10(5), a special fee, in addition to the VCP submission fee, will apply. See section 12.05(2) for the special fee. The Plan Sponsor is not entitled to a deduction for an Excess Amount retained in the SEP or SIMPLE IRA Plan. In the case of an Excess Amount retained in a SEP that is attributable to a § 415 failure, the Excess Amount, adjusted for earnings through the date of correction, must reduce affected participants' applicable § 415 limit for the year following the year of correction (or for the year

of correction if the Plan Sponsor so chooses), and subsequent years, until the excess is eliminated.

(c) *De minimis Excess Amounts.* If the total Excess Amount in a SEP or SIMPLE IRA Plan, whether attributable to elective deferrals or employer contributions, is \$100 or less, the Plan Sponsor is not required to distribute the Excess Amount and the special fee described in section 12.05(2) will not apply.

.11 *Confidentiality and disclosure.* Because each correction program relates directly to the enforcement of the Code qualification requirements, the information received or generated by the Service under the program is subject to the confidentiality requirements of § 6103 and is not a written determination within the meaning of § 6110.

.12 *No effect on other law.* Correction under these programs has no effect on the rights of any party under any other law, including Title I of the Employee Retirement Income Security Act of 1974 ("ERISA").

PART IV. SELF-CORRECTION (SCP)

SECTION 7. IN GENERAL

The requirements of this section 7 are satisfied with respect to an Operational Failure if the Plan Sponsor of a Qualified Plan, a 403(b) Plan, a SEP, or a SIMPLE IRA Plan satisfies the requirements of section 8 (relating to insignificant Operational Failures) or, in the case of a Qualified Plan or a 403(b) Plan, section 9 (relating to significant Operational Failures).

SECTION 8. SELF-CORRECTION OF INSIGNIFICANT OPERATIONAL FAILURES

.01 *Requirements.* The requirements of this section 8 are satisfied with respect to an Operational Failure if the Operational Failure is corrected and, given all the facts and circumstances, the Operational Failure is insignificant. This section 8 is available for correcting an insignificant Operational Failure even if the plan or Plan Sponsor is Under Examination and even if the Operational Failure is discovered by an agent on examination.

.02 *Factors.* The factors to be considered in determining whether or not an Op-

erational Failure under a plan is insignificant include, but are not limited to: (1) whether other failures occurred during the period being examined (for this purpose, a failure is not considered to have occurred more than once merely because more than one participant is affected by the failure); (2) the percentage of plan assets and contributions involved in the failure; (3) the number of years the failure occurred; (4) the number of participants affected relative to the total number of participants in the plan; (5) the number of participants affected as a result of the failure relative to the number of participants who could have been affected by the failure; (6) whether correction was made within a reasonable time after discovery of the failure; and (7) the reason for the failure (for example, data errors such as errors in the transcription of data, the transposition of numbers, or minor arithmetic errors). No single factor is determinative. Additionally, factors (2), (4), and (5) should not be interpreted to exclude small businesses.

.03 Multiple failures. In the case of a plan with more than one Operational Failure in a single year, or Operational Failures that occur in more than one year, the Operational Failures are eligible for correction under this section 8 only if all of the Operational Failures are insignificant in the aggregate. Operational Failures that have been corrected under SCP in section 9 and VCP in sections 10 and 11 are not taken into account for purposes of determining if Operational Failures are insignificant in the aggregate.

.04 Examples. The following examples illustrate the application of this section 8. It is assumed, in each example, that the eligibility requirements of section 4 relating to SCP have been satisfied and that no Operational Failures occurred other than the Operational Failures identified below.

Example 1: In 1984, Employer X established Plan A, a profit-sharing plan that satisfies the requirements of § 401(a) in form. In 1999, the benefits of 50 of the 250 participants in Plan A were limited by § 415(c). However, when the Service examined Plan A in 2002, it discovered that, during the 1999 limitation year, the annual additions allocated to the accounts of 3 of these employees exceeded the maximum limitations under § 415(c). Employer X contributed \$3,500,000 to the plan for the plan year. The amount of the excesses totaled \$4,550. Under these facts, because the number of participants affected by the failure relative to the total number of participants who could have been affected by the failure, and the monetary amount of the failure relative to the to-

tal employer contribution to the plan for the 1999 plan year, are insignificant, the § 415(c) failure in Plan A that occurred in 1999 would be eligible for correction under this section 8.

Example 2: The facts are the same as in *Example 1*, except that the failure to satisfy § 415 occurred during each of the 1998, 1999, and 2000 limitation years. In addition, the three participants affected by the § 415 failure were not identical each year. The fact that the § 415 failures occurred during more than one limitation year did not cause the failures to be significant; accordingly, the failures are still eligible for correction under this section 8.

Example 3: The facts are the same as in *Example 1*, except that the annual additions of 18 of the 50 employees whose benefits were limited by § 415(c) nevertheless exceeded the maximum limitations under § 415(c) during the 1999 limitation year, and the amount of the excesses ranged from \$1,000 to \$9,000, and totaled \$150,000. Under these facts, taking into account the number of participants affected by the failure relative to the total number of participants who could have been affected by the failure for the 1999 limitation year (and the monetary amount of the failure relative to the total employer contribution), the failure is significant. Accordingly, the § 415(c) failure in Plan A that occurred in 1999 is ineligible for correction under this section 8 as an insignificant failure.

Example 4: Employer J maintains Plan C, a money purchase pension plan established in 1992. The plan document satisfies the requirements of § 401(a) of the Code. The formula under the plan provides for an employer contribution equal to 10% of compensation, as defined in the plan. During its examination of the plan for the 1999 plan year, the Service discovered that the employee responsible for entering data into the employer's computer made minor arithmetic errors in transcribing the compensation data with respect to 6 of the plan's 40 participants, resulting in excess allocations to those 6 participants' accounts. Under these facts, the number of participants affected by the failure relative to the number of participants that could have been affected is insignificant, and the failure is due to minor data errors. Thus, the failure occurring in 1999 would be insignificant and therefore eligible for correction under this section 8.

Example 5: Public School maintains for its 200 employees a salary reduction 403(b) Plan (the "Plan") that satisfies the requirements of § 403(b). The business manager has primary responsibility for administering the Plan, in addition to other administrative functions within Public School. During the 1998 plan year, a former employee should have received an additional minimum required distribution of \$278 under § 403(b)(10). Another participant received an impermissible hardship withdrawal of \$2,500. Another participant made elective deferrals of \$11,000, \$1,000 of which was in excess of the § 402(g) limit. Under these facts, even though multiple failures occurred in a single plan year, the failures will be eligible for correction under this section 8 because in the aggregate the failures are insignificant.

SECTION 9. SELF-CORRECTION OF SIGNIFICANT OPERATIONAL FAILURES

.01 Requirements. The requirements of this section 9 are satisfied with respect to

an Operational Failure (even if significant) if the Operational Failure is corrected and the correction is either completed or substantially completed (in accordance with section 9.04) by the last day of the correction period described in section 9.02.

.02 Correction period. (1) *End of correction period.* The last day of the correction period for an Operational Failure is the last day of the second plan year following the plan year for which the failure occurred. However, in the case of a failure to satisfy the requirements of § 401(k)(3), 401(m)(2), or 401(m)(9), the correction period does not end until the last day of the second plan year following the plan year that includes the last day of the additional period for correction permitted under § 401(k)(8) or 401(m)(6). If a 403(b) Plan does not have a plan year, the plan year is deemed to be the calendar year for purposes of this subsection.

(2) *Extension of correction period for Transferred Assets.* In the case of an Operational Failure that relates only to Transferred Assets, or to a plan assumed in connection with a corporate merger, acquisition or other similar employer transaction, the correction period does not end until the last day of the first plan year that begins after the corporate merger, acquisition, or other similar employer transaction between the Plan Sponsor and the sponsor of the transferor plan or the prior sponsor of an assumed plan.

(3) *Effect of examination.* The correction period for an Operational Failure that occurs for any plan year ends, in any event, on the first date the plan or Plan Sponsor is Under Examination for that plan year (determined without regard to the second sentence of section 9.02). (But see section 9.04 for special rules permitting completion of correction after the end of the correction period.)

.03 Correction by plan amendment. In order to complete correction by plan amendment (as permitted under section 4.05) during the correction period, the appropriate application (*i.e.*, the Form 5300 series or Form 6406) must be submitted before the end of the correction period.

.04 Substantial completion of correction. Correction of an Operational Failure is substantially completed by the last day of the correction period only if the requirements of either paragraph (1) or (2) are satisfied.

(1) The requirements of this paragraph (1) are satisfied if:

(a) during the correction period, the Plan Sponsor is reasonably prompt in identifying the Operational Failure, formulating a correction method, and initiating correction in a manner that demonstrates a commitment to completing correction of the Operational Failure as expeditiously as practicable, and

(b) within 90 days after the last day of the correction period, the Plan Sponsor completes correction of the Operational Failure.

(2) The requirements of this paragraph (2) are satisfied if:

(a) during the correction period, correction is completed with respect to 85 percent of all participants affected by the Operational Failure, and

(b) thereafter, the Plan Sponsor completes correction of the Operational Failure with respect to the remaining affected participants in a diligent manner.

.05 Examples. The following examples illustrate the application of this section 9. Assume that the eligibility requirements of section 4 relating to SCP have been met.

Example 1: Employer Z established a qualified defined contribution plan in 1986 and received a favorable determination letter for TRA '86. During 1999, while doing a self-audit of the operation of the plan for the 1998 plan year, the plan administrator discovered that, despite the practices and procedures established by Employer Z with respect to the plan, several employees eligible to participate in the plan were excluded from participation. The administrator also found that for 1998 Operational Failures occurred because the elective deferrals of additional employees exceeded the § 402(g) limit and Employer Z failed to make the required top-heavy minimum contribution. During the 1999 plan year, the Plan Sponsor made corrective contributions on behalf of the excluded employees, distributed the excess deferrals to the affected participants, and made a top-heavy minimum contribution to all participants entitled to that contribution for the 1998 plan year. Each corrective contribution and distribution was credited with earnings at a rate appropriate for the plan from the date the corrective contribution or distribution should have been made to the date of correction. Under these facts, the Plan Sponsor has corrected the Operational Failures for the 1998 plan year within the correction period and thus satisfied the requirements of this section 9.

Example 2: Employer A established a qualified defined contribution plan, Plan A, in 1990 and received a favorable determination letter for TRA '86. In April 2002, Employer A purchased all of the stock of Employer B, a wholly-owned subsidiary of Employer C. Employees of Employer B participated in a qualified defined contribution plan sponsored by Employer C, Plan C. Following Employer A's review of Plan C, Employer A and Employer C agreed that Plan A would accept a transfer of plan assets attributable

to the account balances of the employees of Employer B who had participated in Plan C. As part of this agreement, Employer C represented to Employer A that Plan C is tax qualified. Employers A and C also agreed that such transfer would be in accordance with § 414(l) and § 1.414(l)-1 and addressed issues related to costs associated with the transfer. Following the transaction, the employees of Employer B began participation in Plan A. Effective July 1, 2002, Plan A accepted the transfer of plan assets from Plan C. After the transfer, Employer A determined that all the participants in one division of Employer B had been incorrectly excluded from allocation of the profit sharing contributions for the 1998 and 1999 plan years. During 2003, Employer A made corrective contributions on behalf of the affected participants. The corrective contributions were credited with earnings at a rate appropriate for the plan from the date the corrective contribution should have been made to the date of correction and Employer A otherwise complied with the requirements of SCP. Under these facts, Employer A has, within the correction period, corrected the Operational Failures for the 1998 and 1999 plan years with respect to the assets transferred to Plan A, and thus satisfied the requirements of this section 9.

PART V. VOLUNTARY CORRECTION PROGRAM WITH SERVICE APPROVAL (VCP)

SECTION 10. VCP PROCEDURES

.01 VCP requirements. The requirements of this section 10 are satisfied with respect to failures submitted in accordance with the requirements of this section 10 if the Plan Sponsor pays the compliance fee required under section 12 and implements the corrective actions and satisfies any other conditions in the compliance statement described in section 10.09.

.02 Identification of failures. VCP is not based upon an examination of the plan by the Service. Only the failures raised by the Plan Sponsor or failures identified by the Service in processing the application will be addressed under the program, and only those failures will be covered by the program. The Service will not make any investigation or finding under VCP concerning whether there are failures.

.03 Availability of correction of a terminated plan. Correction of Qualification Failures in a terminated plan may be made under VCP, whether or not the plan trust is still in existence.

.04 Effect of VCP submission on examination. Because VCP does not arise out of an examination, consideration under VCP does not preclude or impede (under § 7605(b) or any administrative provisions adopted by the Service) a subsequent examination of the Plan Sponsor or

the plan by the Service with respect to the taxable year (or years) involved with respect to matters that are outside the compliance statement. However, a Plan Sponsor's statements describing failures are made only for purposes of VCP and will not be regarded by the Service as an admission of a failure for purposes of any subsequent examination. See section 5.03 for the definition of Under Examination.

.05 No concurrent examination activity. Except in unusual circumstances, a plan that has been properly submitted under VCP will not be examined while the submission is pending. This practice regarding concurrent examinations does not extend to other plans of the Plan Sponsor. Thus, any plan of the Plan Sponsor that is not pending under VCP could be subject to examination.

.06 Determination letter application for plan amendments related to a VCP submission. In any case in which correction of a Qualification Failure includes correction of a Plan Document Failure or Demographic Failure, or an Operational Failure by plan amendment, as permitted under section 4.05, other than the adoption of an amendment designated by the Service as a model amendment or the adoption of a prototype or volume submitter plan for which the Plan Sponsor has reliance on the plan's opinion or advisory letter as provided in Rev. Proc. 2003-6, 2003-1 I.R.B. 191, the Plan Sponsor must submit a copy of the amendment, the appropriate application form (*i.e.*, Form 5300 series or Form 6406), and the appropriate user fee concurrently and to the same address as the VCP submission. The user fee for the determination letter application and the fee for the VCP submission must be submitted on separate checks made payable to the U.S. Treasury. See section 11.11 for the VCP mailing address.

.07 Determination letter applications not related to a VCP submission. (1) The Service may process a determination letter application submitted under the determination letter program (including an application requested on Form 5310) concurrently with a VCP submission for the same plan. However, issuance of the determination letter in response to an application made on a Form 5310 will be suspended pending the closure of the VCP submission.

(2) A submission of a plan under the determination letter program does not con-

stitute a submission under VCP. If the Service in connection with a determination letter application discovers a Qualification Failure, the agent may issue a closing agreement with respect to the failures identified or, if appropriate, refer the case to Employee Plans Examinations. In either case, the fee structure in section 12, applicable to VCP, will not apply. Instead, the fee structure in section 14 relating to Audit CAP will apply. (See sections 13 and 14.) If the Plan Sponsor discovers a Qualification Failure, the Plan Sponsor should submit an application under VCP to correct the failure.

.08 Processing of submission. (1) *Screening of submission.* Upon receipt of a submission under VCP, the Service will review whether the eligibility requirements of section 4 and the submission requirements of section 11 are satisfied. If the Service determines that a VCP submission is seriously deficient, the Service reserves the right to return the submission, including any compliance fee, without contacting the Plan Sponsor.

(2) *Review of submission.* Once the Service determines that the submission is complete under VCP, the Service will consult with the Plan Sponsor or the Plan Sponsor's representative to discuss the proposed corrections and the plan's administrative procedures.

(3) *Additional information required.* If additional information is required, a Service representative will generally contact the Plan Sponsor or the Plan Sponsor's representative and explain what is needed to complete the submission. The Plan Sponsor will have 21 calendar days from the date of this contact to provide the requested information. If the information is not received within 21 days, the matter will be closed, the compliance fee will not be returned, and the case may be referred to Employee Plans Examinations. Any request for an extension of the 21-day time period must be made in writing within the 21-day time period and must be approved by the Service (by the applicable group manager).

(4) *Additional failures discovered after initial submission.* (a) A Plan Sponsor that discovers additional unrelated Qualification or 403(b) Failures after its initial submission may request that such failures be added to its submission. However, the Service retains the discretion to reject the inclusion of such failures if the request is

not timely, for example, if the Plan Sponsor makes its request when processing of the submission is substantially complete.

(b) If the Service discovers an unrelated Qualification or 403(b) Failure while the request is pending, the failure generally will be added to the failures under consideration. However, the Service retains the discretion to determine that a failure is outside the scope of the voluntary request for consideration because the Plan Sponsor did not voluntarily bring it forward. In this case, if the additional failure is significant, all aspects of the plan may be examined and the rules pertaining to Audit CAP will apply. (See sections 13 and 14.)

(5) *Conference right.* If the Service initially determines that it cannot issue a compliance statement because the parties cannot agree upon correction or a change in administrative procedures, the Plan Sponsor (generally through the Plan Sponsor's representative) will be contacted by the Service representative and offered a conference with the Service. The conference can be held either in person or by telephone and must be held within 21 calendar days of the date of contact. The Plan Sponsor will have 21 calendar days after the date of the conference to submit additional information in support of the submission. Any request for an extension of the 21-day time period must be made in writing within the 21-day time period and must be approved by the Service (by the applicable group manager). Additional conferences may be held at the discretion of the Service.

(6) *Failure to reach resolution.* If the Service and the Plan Sponsor cannot reach agreement with respect to the submission, the matter will be closed, the compliance fee will not be returned, and the case may be referred to Employee Plans Examinations. In the case of an Anonymous Submission that fails to reach resolution under EPCRS, the Service will refund 50% of the applicable VCP fee. See section 12.02 for the VCP fee.

(7) *Issuance of compliance statement.* If agreement is reached, the Service will send to the Plan Sponsor an unsigned compliance statement specifying the corrective action required. Within 30 calendar days of the date the compliance statement is sent, a Plan Sponsor must sign the compliance statement and return it and any compliance fee required to be paid at the time that the compliance statement is signed (see sec-

tion 11.05). The Service will then issue a signed copy of the compliance statement to the Plan Sponsor. If the Plan Sponsor does not send the Service the signed compliance statement (with the compliance fee) within 30 calendar days, the plan may be referred to Employee Plans Examinations for examination consideration.

(8) *Timing of correction.* The Plan Sponsor must implement the specific corrections and administrative changes set forth in the compliance statement within 150 days of the date of the compliance statement. Any request for an extension of this time period must be made prior to the expiration of the correction period and in writing and must be approved by the Service.

(9) *Modification of compliance statement.* Once the compliance statement has been issued (based on the information provided), the Plan Sponsor cannot request a modification of the compliance terms except by a new request for a compliance statement. However, if the requested modification is minor and is postmarked no later than 30 days after the compliance statement is issued, the compliance fee for the modification will be the lesser of the original compliance fee or \$3,000.

(10) *Verification.* Once the compliance statement has been issued, the Service may require verification that the corrections have been made and that any plan administrative procedures required by the statement have been implemented. This verification does not constitute an examination of the books and records of the employer or the plan (within the meaning of § 7605(b)). If the Service determines that the Plan Sponsor did not implement the corrections and procedures within the stated time period, the plan may be referred to Employee Plans Examinations for examination consideration.

.09 Compliance statement. (1) *General description of compliance statement.* The compliance statement issued for a VCP submission addresses the failures identified, the terms of correction, including any revision of administrative procedures, and the time period within which proposed corrections must be implemented, including any changes in administrative procedures. The compliance statement also provides that the Service will not treat the plan as failing to satisfy the applicable requirements of the Code on account of the failures described in the compliance statement if the conditions of the compliance statement are

satisfied. Where current procedures are inadequate for operating the plan in conformance with the applicable requirements of the Code, the compliance statement will be conditioned upon the implementation of stated administrative procedures. The Service may prescribe appropriate administrative procedures in the compliance statement.

(2) *Compliance statement conditioned upon timely correction.* The compliance statement is conditioned on (i) there being no misstatement or omission of material facts in connection with the submission and (ii) the implementation of the specific corrections and satisfaction of any other conditions in the compliance statement.

(3) *Authority delegated.* Compliance statements (including any waiver of the excise tax under § 4974) are authorized to be signed by Area Managers reporting to the Director, Employee Plans Examinations, and managers within Employee Plans Rulings and Agreements, under the Tax Exempt and Government Entities Operating Division of the Service.

.10 *Effect of compliance statement on examination.* The compliance statement is binding upon both the Service and the Plan Sponsor or Eligible Organization (as defined in section 10.12(2)) with respect to the specific tax matters identified therein for the periods specified, but does not preclude or impede an examination of the plan by the Service relating to matters outside the compliance statement, even with respect to the same taxable year or years to which the compliance statement relates.

.11 *Special rules relating to Anonymous (John Doe) Submissions.* (1) The Anonymous Submission procedure permits submission of Qualified Plans, 403(b) Plans, SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans under VCP without initially identifying the applicable plan(s), the Plan Sponsor(s), or the Eligible Organization. The requirements of this revenue procedure relating to VCP, including sections 10, 11, and 12, apply to these submissions. However, information identifying the plan or the Plan Sponsor may be redacted (and the power of attorney statement and the penalty of perjury statement need not be included with the initial submission). In addition, if a determination letter application will be requested as part of the submission, the determination letter application should not be sub-

mitted until the time all identifying information is provided to the Service. For purposes of processing the submission, the State of the Plan Sponsor must be identified in the initial submission. Once the Service and the plan representative reach agreement with respect to the submission, the Service will contact the plan representative in writing indicating the terms of the agreement. The Plan Sponsor will have 21 calendar days from the date of the letter of agreement to identify the plan and Plan Sponsor. If the Plan Sponsor does not submit the identifying material (including the power of attorney statement and the penalty of perjury statement) within 21 calendar days of the letter of agreement, the matter will be closed and the compliance fee will not be returned.

(2) Notwithstanding section 10.05, until the plan(s) and Plan Sponsor(s) are identified to the Service, a submission under this subsection does not preclude or impede an examination of the Plan Sponsor or its plan(s). Thus, a plan submitted under the Anonymous Submission procedure that comes Under Examination prior to the date the plan(s) and Plan Sponsor(s) identifying materials are received by the Service will no longer be eligible under VCP.

.12 *Special rules relating to Group Submissions.* (1) *General rules.* An Eligible Organization may submit a VCP request for a Qualified Plan, a 403(b) Plan, a SEP, or a SIMPLE IRA Plan under a Group Submission for Operational and Plan Document Failures.

(2) *Eligible Organizations.* For purposes of a Group Submission, the term “Eligible Organization” means either (a) a Sponsor (as that term is defined in section 4.09 of Rev. Proc. 2000–20, 2000–1 C.B. 553) of a master or prototype plan, (b) an insurance company or other entity that has issued annuity contracts or provides services with respect to assets for 403(b) Plans, or (c) an entity that provides its clients with administrative services with respect to Qualified Plans, 403(b) Plans, SEPs or SIMPLE IRA Plans. An Eligible Organization is not eligible to make a Group Submission unless the submission includes a failure resulting from a systemic error involving the Eligible Organization that affects at least 20 plans and that results in at least 20 plans implementing correction. If, at any time before the Service issues the compliance statement, the number of plans

falls below 20, the Eligible Organization must notify the Service that it is no longer eligible to make a Group Submission (and the compliance fee may be retained).

(3) *Special Group Submission procedures.* (a) A Group Submission is subject to the same procedures as any VCP submission in accordance with sections 10 and 11, except that the Eligible Organization is responsible for performing the procedural obligations imposed on the Plan Sponsor under sections 10 and 11.

(b) The Eligible Organization must provide notice to all Plan Sponsors of the plans included in the Group Submission. The notice must be provided at least 90 days before the Eligible Organization provides the Service with the information required in section 10.12(3)(c). The purpose of the notice is to provide each Plan Sponsor with information relating to the Group Submission request. The notice should explain the reason for the Group Submission and inform the Plan Sponsor that the Plan Sponsor’s plan will be included in the Group Submission unless the Plan Sponsor responds within the 90-day period to exclude the Plan Sponsor’s plan from the Group Submission.

(c) When an Eligible Organization receives an unsigned compliance statement on the proposed correction and agrees to the terms of the compliance statement, the Eligible Organization must return to the Service within 120 calendar days not only the signed compliance statement and any additional compliance fee under section 12.04, but also a list containing (i) the employers’ tax identification numbers for the Plan Sponsors of the plans to whom the compliance statement may be applicable (ii) the plans by name, plan number, type of plan, number of plan participants, and trust’s tax identification numbers, if applicable, (iii) a certification that each Plan Sponsor received notice of the Group Submission and, (iv) a certification that each Plan Sponsor timely filed the Form 5500 return for each plan. Only those plans for which correction is actually made within 240 calendar days of the date of the signed compliance statement (or within such longer period as may be agreed to by the Service at the request of the Eligible Organization) will be covered by that statement.

(d) Notwithstanding section 10.05, until the Eligible Organization provides the Service with the information of section

10.12(3)(c) with respect to a Plan Sponsor and its plan(s), a Group Submission does not preclude or impede an examination of a Plan Sponsor or its plan(s).

(4) *Group Submissions implementation.* The Group Submission procedure is being implemented on a provisional basis, and the Service and Treasury invite comments on the operation of the Group Submission procedure.

.13 *Multiemployer and multiple employer plans.* (1) In the case of a multiemployer or multiple employer plan, the plan administrator (rather than any contributing or adopting employer) must request consideration of the plan under the programs. The request must be with respect to the plan, rather than a portion of the plan affecting any particular employer.

(2) If a VCP submission for a multiemployer or multiple employer plan has failures that apply to fewer than all of the employers under the plan, the plan administrator may choose to have the compliance fee (in section 12) or sanction (in section 14) calculated separately for each employer based on the number of participants attributable to that employer, rather than being attributable to the number of participants of the entire plan. Thus, the plan administrator may choose to apply the provisions of this paragraph where the failure is attributable in whole or in part to data, information, actions, or inactions that are within the control of the employers rather than the multiemployer or multiple employer plan (such as attribution in whole or in part to the failure of an employer to provide the plan administrator with full and complete information).

SECTION 11. APPLICATION PROCEDURES FOR VCP

.01 *General rules.* The requirements of this section 11 are satisfied if the request for a compliance statement from the Service under VCP satisfies the informational and other requirements of this section 11. In general, a request under VCP consists of a letter from the Plan Sponsor (which may be a letter from the Plan Sponsor's representative) or Eligible Organization (or representative) to the Service that contains a description of the failures, a description of the proposed methods of correction, and other procedural items, and includes supporting information and documentation as described below.

.02 *Submission requirements.* The letter from the Plan Sponsor or the Plan Sponsor's representative must contain the following:

(1) A statement identifying the type of plan submitted (*e.g.*, Qualified Plan, 403(b) Plan, SEP, or SIMPLE IRA Plan) and, if applicable, whether the submission is a Group Submission, an Anonymous Submission or a nonamender submission.

(2) A complete description of the failures and the years in which the failures occurred, including closed years (that is, years for which the statutory period has expired).

(3) A description of the administrative procedures in effect at the time the failures occurred.

(4) An explanation of how and why the failures arose.

(5) A detailed description of the method for correcting the failures that the Plan Sponsor has implemented or proposes to implement. Each step of the correction method must be described in narrative form. The description must include the specific information needed to support the suggested correction method. This information includes, for example, the number of employees affected and the expected cost of correction (both of which may be approximated if the exact number cannot be determined at the time of the request), the years involved, and calculations or assumptions the Plan Sponsor used to determine the amounts needed for correction.

(6) A description of the methodology that will be used to calculate earnings or actuarial adjustments on any corrective contributions or distributions (indicating the computation periods and the basis for determining earnings or actuarial adjustments, in accordance with section 6.02(4)).

(7) Specific calculations for each affected employee or a representative sample of affected employees. The sample calculations must be sufficient to demonstrate each aspect of the correction method proposed. For example, if a Plan Sponsor requests a compliance statement with respect to a failure to satisfy the contribution limits of § 415(c) and proposes a correction method that involves elective contributions (whether matched or unmatched) and matching contributions, the Plan Sponsor must submit calculations illustrating the correction method proposed with respect to each type of contribution. As another example, with respect to a failure to satisfy

the ADP test in § 401(k)(3), the Plan Sponsor must submit the ADP test results both before the correction and after the correction.

(8) The method that will be used to locate and notify former employees and beneficiaries, or an affirmative statement that no former employees or beneficiaries were affected by the failures or will be affected by the correction.

(9) A description of the measures that have been or will be implemented to ensure that the same failures will not recur.

(10) A statement that, to the best of the Plan Sponsor's knowledge, neither the plan nor the Plan Sponsor is Under Examination.

(11) If a submission includes a failure that relates to Transferred Assets and the failure occurred prior to the transfer, a description of the transaction (including the dates of the employer change and the plan transfer).

(12) A statement (if applicable) that the plan is currently being considered in a determination letter application that is not related to the VCP application. If the request for a determination letter is made while a request for consideration under VCP is pending, the Plan Sponsor must update the VCP request to add this information.

(13) In the case of a 403(b) Plan submission, a statement that the Plan Sponsor has contacted all other entities involved with the plan and has been assured of cooperation in implementing the applicable correction, to the extent necessary. For example, if the plan's failure is the failure to satisfy the requirements of § 403(b)(1)(E) on elective deferrals, the Plan Sponsor must, prior to making the VCP application, contact the insurance company or custodian with control over the plan's assets to assure cooperation in effecting a distribution of the excess deferrals and the earnings thereon. An application under VCP must also contain a statement as to the type of employer (*e.g.*, a tax-exempt organization described in § 501(c)(3)) submitting the VCP application.

(14) A Group Submission must be signed by the Eligible Organization or the Eligible Organization's authorized representative and accompanied by a copy of the relevant portions of the plan document(s).

.03 *Required documents.* A VCP submission must be accompanied by the following documents:

(1) *Form 5500 or similar information.*
(a) *Qualified Plan.* In the case of a Qualified Plan, a copy of the first three pages of the most recently filed Form 5500 series return. In the case of a terminated plan, the Form 5500 must be the one filed for the plan year prior to the plan year for which the Final Form 5500 return was filed.

(b) *403(b) Plan, SEP and SIMPLE IRA Plan.* In the case of a 403(b) Plan, SEP or SIMPLE IRA Plan submission, if Form 5500 is inapplicable, the information generally included on the first three pages of Form 5500, including the name and number of the plan, and the employer's Employer Identification Number.

(c) *Anonymous Submission.* In the case of an Anonymous Submission, the employee census may be redacted and replaced by numbers that are rounded up.

(2) *Plan document.* A copy of the entire plan document or the relevant portions of the plan document. For example, in a case involving improper exclusion of eligible employees from a profit-sharing plan with a cash or deferred arrangement, relevant portions of the plan document include the eligibility, allocation, and cash or deferred arrangement provisions of the basic plan document (and the adoption agreement, if applicable), along with applicable definitions in the plan. If the plan is a 403(b) Plan and a plan document is not available, written description of the plan, and sample salary reduction agreements if relevant. In the case of a SEP and a SIMPLE IRA Plan, submit the entire plan document.

(3) *Determination letter application.* In any case in which correction of a Qualification Failure includes correction of a Plan Document Failure or Demographic Failure, or an Operational Failure by plan amendment, as permitted under section 4.05, other than the adoption of an amendment designated by the Service as a model amendment or the adoption of a prototype or volume submitter plan for which the Plan Sponsor has reliance on the plan's opinion or advisory letter as provided in Rev. Proc. 2003-6, 2003-1 I.R.B. 191, the Plan Sponsor must submit a copy of the amendment, the appropriate application

form (i.e., Form 5300 series or Form 6406), and the appropriate user fee concurrently and to the same address as the VCP submission. The user fee for the determination letter application and the fee for the VCP submission must be submitted on separate checks made payable to the U.S. Treasury. See section 11.11 for the VCP mailing address.

.04 *Date VCP fee due generally.* Except as provided in section 11.05, the VCP fee under section 12 must be included with the submission. All fees must be submitted by check made payable to the U.S. Treasury.

.05 *Additional fee due for 403(b) Plans, SEPs, SIMPLE IRA Plans, and Group Submissions.* In the case of a 403(b) Plan, a SEP, a SIMPLE IRA Plan, or a Group Submission, the initial fee described in sections 12.02, 12.04 or 12.05 must be included in the submission (and any additional fee is due at the time the compliance statement is signed by the Plan Sponsor and returned to the Service).

.06 *Signed submission.* The submission must be signed by the Plan Sponsor or the sponsor's authorized representative.

.07 *Power of attorney requirements.* To sign the submission or to appear before the Service in connection with the submission, the Plan Sponsor's representative must comply with the requirements of section 9.02(11) and (12) of Rev. Proc. 2003-4, 2003-1 I.R.B. 123.

.08 *Penalty of perjury statement.* The following declaration must accompany a request and any factual information or change in the submission at a later time: **"Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this submission, including accompanying documents, and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the facts presented in support of this submission are true, correct, and complete."** The declaration must be signed by the Plan Sponsor, not the Plan Sponsor's representative.

.09 *Checklist.* The Service will be able to respond more quickly to a VCP request if the request is carefully prepared and complete. The checklist in Appendix C is de-

signed to assist Plan Sponsors and their representatives in preparing a submission that contains the information and documents required under this revenue procedure. The checklist in Appendix C must be completed, signed, and dated by the Plan Sponsor or the Plan Sponsor's representative, and should be placed on top of the submission. A photocopy of this checklist may be used.

.10 *Designation.* The letter to the Service should indicate in the upper right hand corner of the letter the type of plan submitted under VCP, a Qualified Plan, 403(b) Plan, SEP, or SIMPLE IRA Plan. In addition if the submission is a Group Submission, an Anonymous Submission or a non-amender submission, the letter should so indicate.

.11 *VCP mailing address.* All VCP submissions and accompanying determination applications, if applicable, should be mailed to:

Internal Revenue Service
Attention: T:EP:RA:VC
P.O. Box 27063
McPherson Station
Washington, D.C. 20038

.12 *Maintenance of copies of submissions.* Plan Sponsors and their representatives should maintain copies of all correspondence submitted to the Service with respect to their VCP requests.

SECTION 12. VCP FEES

.01 *VCP fees.* The compliance fees for all submissions under VCP are determined under this section 12. All fees must be submitted by check made payable to the U.S. Treasury and, except for the additional fees described in sections 12.02(2) and 12.05(2), must be included with the initial submission.

.02 *VCP fee for Qualified Plans and 403(b) Plans.* (1) Subject to section 12.02(2), the compliance fee for a submission under VCP for Qualified Plans and 403(b) Plans (including Anonymous Submissions) is determined in accordance with the following chart. For 403(b) Plans, the fee is determined with reference to the number of employees rather than participants.

| Number of Participants/Employees | Fee |
|----------------------------------|--------------|
| 20 or fewer | \$ 750.00 |
| 21 to 50 | \$ 1,000.00 |
| 51 to 100 | \$ 2,500.00 |
| 101 to 500 | \$ 5,000.00 |
| 501 to 1,000 | \$ 8,000.00 |
| 1,001 to 5,000 | \$ 15,000.00 |
| 5,001 to 10,000 | \$ 20,000.00 |
| Over 10,000 | \$ 25,000.00 |

(2) In the case of a 403(b) Plan, if the VCP submission includes Excess Amounts that are corrected pursuant to section 6.06(2)(b), a fee equal to at least ten percent of the Excess Amounts, adjusted for earnings through the date of the VCP application, contributed or allocated in the calendar year of the VCP application and in the three calendar years prior thereto will be imposed. If there is a failure to satisfy both the § 403(b)(2) and § 415 limits with respect to a single employee for a year, the fee will take into account only the larger Excess Amount. This fee is in addition to the 403(b) Plan compliance fee in section 12.02(1).

.03 *VCP fee for nonamenders.* The compliance fee for plans that have not been amended for tax legislation changes within the plan's remedial amendment period (nonamenders (includes EGTRRA nonamenders)) is determined in accordance with the chart in section 12.02. The applicable fee is reduced by 50% for nonamenders that submit under VCP within a one-year period following the expiration of the plan's remedial amendment period for complying with tax law changes. For example, the fee for a "GUST nonamender plan" with 700 participants submitted within the one-year period following the expiration of the plan's remedial amendment period for GUST changes would be \$4,000. See section 5.01(4)(a) for the definition of GUST.

.04 *VCP fee for Group Submission.* The compliance fee for a Group Submission is based on the number of plans affected by the failure as described in the compliance statement. The initial fee for the first 20 plans is \$10,000. An additional fee is due equal to the product of the number of plans in excess of 20 multiplied by \$250, up to a maximum of \$50,000.

.05 *VCP fee for SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans.* (1) The compliance fee for a SEP or

a SIMPLE IRA Plan submission (including an Anonymous Submission) is \$500.

(2) In any case in which a SEP or SIMPLE IRA Plan correction is not similar to a correction for a similar Qualification Failure (as provided under section 6.10(1)), the Service may impose an additional fee. If the failure involves an Excess Amount to a SEP or a SIMPLE IRA Plan and the Plan Sponsor retains the Excess Amount in the SEP or SIMPLE IRA Plan, a fee equal to at least ten percent of the Excess Amount excluding earnings will be imposed. This is in addition to the SEP or SIMPLE IRA Plan compliance fee set forth in section 12.05(1).

.06 *VCP fee for egregious failures.* Notwithstanding the provisions of sections 12.02 and 12.05, in cases involving failures that are egregious (as described in section 4.08), the compliance fee for Qualified Plans, 403(b) Plans, SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans is the greater of the fee that would be determined under sections 12.02 and 12.05, or an amount equal to a negotiated percentage of the Maximum Payment Amount (Total Sanction Amount for a 403(b) Plan), such percentage not to exceed 40 percent.

.07 *Establishing the number of plan participants.* Compliance fees under this section 12 are determined based on the number of plan participants. For new plans and ongoing plans, the number of plan participants is determined from the most recently filed Form 5500 series. Thus, with respect to the 2002 Form 5500, the Plan Sponsor would use the number shown in item 7f (or the equivalent item on the Form 5500 C/R or EZ) to establish the number of plan participants. In the case of a terminated plan, the Form 5500 used to determine the number of plan participants must be the one filed for the plan year prior to the plan year for which the Final Form 5500 return was

filed. If the submission involves a plan with Transferred Assets and no new incidents of the failure occurred after the end of the second plan year that begins after the corporate merger, acquisition, or other similar employer transaction, the Plan Sponsor may calculate the number of plan participants based on the Form 5500 information that would have been filed by the Plan Sponsor for the plan year that includes the employer transaction if the Transferred Assets were maintained as a separate plan. In the case of a SEP or SIMPLE IRA Plan not required to file a Form 5500, the Plan Sponsor may use other reasonable information to determine the number of plan participants.

PART VI. CORRECTION ON AUDIT (AUDIT CAP)

SECTION 13. DESCRIPTION OF AUDIT CAP

.01 *Audit CAP requirements.* If the Service identifies a Qualification or 403(b) Failure (other than a failure that has been corrected in accordance with SCP or VCP) upon an Employee Plans or Exempt Organizations examination of a Qualified Plan, 403(b) Plan, SEP, or SIMPLE IRA Plan, the requirements of this section 13 are satisfied with respect to the failure if the Plan Sponsor corrects the failure, pays a sanction in accordance with section 14, satisfies any additional requirements of section 13.03, and enters into a closing agreement with the Service.

.02 *Payment of sanction.* Payment of the sanction under section 14 generally is required at the time the closing agreement is signed. All sanction amounts should be submitted by certified or cashier's check made payable to the U.S. Treasury.

.03 *Additional requirements.* Depending on the nature of the failure, the Ser-

vice will discuss the appropriateness of the plan's existing administrative procedures with the Plan Sponsor. If existing administrative procedures are inadequate for operating the plan in conformance with the applicable requirements of the Code, the closing agreement may be conditioned upon the implementation of stated procedures. In addition, for Qualified Plans, the Plan Sponsor may be required to obtain a Favorable Letter before the closing agreement is signed unless the Service determines that it is unnecessary based on the facts and circumstances (for example, because the plan already has a Favorable Letter and no significant amendments are adopted). If a Favorable Letter is required, the Plan Sponsor is required to pay the applicable user fee for obtaining the letter.

.04 *Failure to reach resolution.* If the Service and the Plan Sponsor cannot reach an agreement with respect to the correction of the failure(s) or the amount of the sanction, the plan will be disqualified or, in the case of a 403(b) Plan, SEP, or SIMPLE IRA Plan will not have reliance on this revenue procedure.

.05 *Effect of closing agreement.* A closing agreement constitutes an agreement between the Service and the Plan Sponsor that is binding with respect to the tax matters identified therein for the periods specified.

.06 *Other procedural rules.* The procedural rules for Audit CAP are set forth in Internal Revenue Manual ("IRM") 7.2.2, EPCRS.

SECTION 14. AUDIT CAP SANCTION

.01 *Determination of sanction.* The sanction under Audit CAP is a negotiated percentage of the Maximum Payment Amount. For 403(b) Plans, SEPs and SIMPLE IRA Plans, the sanction is a negotiated percentage of the Total Sanction Amount. Sanctions will not be excessive and will bear a reasonable relationship to the nature, extent, and severity of the failures, based on the factors below.

.02 *Factors considered.* Factors include: (1) the steps taken by the Plan Sponsor to ensure that the plan had no failures, (2) the steps taken to identify failures that may have occurred, (3) the extent to which correction had progressed before the examination was initiated, including full correction, (4) the number and type of employees affected by the failure, (5) the number of

nonhighly compensated employees who would be adversely affected if the plan were not treated as qualified or as satisfying the requirements of § 403(b), § 408(k), or § 408(p), (6) whether the failure is a failure to satisfy the requirements of § 401(a)(4), § 401(a)(26), or § 410(b), either directly or through § 403(b)(12), (7) the period over which the failure(s) occurred (for example, the time that has elapsed since the end of the applicable remedial amendment period under § 401(b) for a Plan Document Failure), and (8) the reason for the failure(s) (for example, data errors such as errors in transcription of data, the transposition of numbers, or minor arithmetic errors). Factors relating only to Qualified Plans also include: (1) whether the plan is the subject of a Favorable Letter, (2) whether the plan has both Operational and other failures, (3) the extent to which the plan has accepted Transferred Assets, and the extent to which the failure(s) relate to Transferred Assets and occurred before the transfer, and (4) whether the failure(s) were discovered during the determination letter process. Additional factors relating only to 403(b) Plans include: (1) whether the plan has a combination of Operational, Demographic, or Employer Eligibility Failures, (2) the extent to which the failure relates to Excess Amounts, and (3) whether the failure is solely an Employer Eligibility Failure.

.03 *Transferred Assets.* If the examination involves a plan with Transferred Assets and the Service determines that no new incidents of the failures that relate to the Transferred Assets occur after the end of the second plan year that begins after the corporate merger, acquisition, or other similar employer transaction, the sanction under Audit CAP will not exceed the sanction that would apply if the Transferred Assets were maintained as a separate plan.

PART VII. EFFECT ON OTHER DOCUMENTS; EFFECTIVE DATE; PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT

SECTION 15. EFFECT ON OTHER DOCUMENTS

.01 *Revenue procedure 2002-47 modified and superseded.* Rev. Proc. 2002-47 is modified and superseded by this revenue procedure.

SECTION 16. EFFECTIVE DATE

This revenue procedure is generally effective October 1, 2003; however, plan

sponsors are permitted, at their option, to apply the provisions of this revenue procedure on or after June 5, 2003.

Specifically, unless a plan sponsor applies the provisions of this revenue procedure earlier, this revenue procedure is effective:

(1) with respect to SCP, for failures for which correction is not complete before October 1, 2003;

(2) with respect to VCP, for applications submitted on or after October 1, 2003; and

(3) with respect to Audit CAP, for examinations begun on or after October 1, 2003.

SECTION 17. PAPERWORK REDUCTION ACT

The collection of information contained in this revenue procedure has been reviewed and approved by the Office of Management and Budget in accordance with the Paperwork Reduction Act (44 U.S.C. 3507) under control number 1545-1673.

An agency may not conduct or sponsor, and a person is not required to respond to, a collection of information unless the collection of information displays a valid control number.

The collection of information in this revenue procedure is in sections 4.05, 6.02(5)(d), 6.05, 6.06, 10.01, 10.02, 10.05, 10.06, 10.08, 11.02-11.04, 11.06-11.12, 13.01, section 2.01-2.07 of Appendix B, and Appendix C. This information is required to enable the Commissioner, Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division of the Internal Revenue Service to make determinations regarding the issuance of various types of closing agreements and compliance statements. This information will be used to issue closing agreements and compliance statements to allow individual plans to continue to maintain their tax qualified and tax-deferred status. As a result, favorable tax treatment of the benefits of the eligible employees is retained. The likely respondents are individuals, state or local governments, businesses or other for-profit institutions, nonprofit institutions, and small businesses or organizations.

The estimated total annual reporting and/or recordkeeping burden is 56,272 hours.

The estimated annual burden per respondent/recordkeeper varies from .5 to 42.5 hours, depending on individual cir-

cumstances, with an estimated average of 13.11 hours. The estimated number of respondents and/or recordkeepers is 4,292.

The estimated frequency of responses is occasional.

Books or records relating to a collection of information must be retained as long as their contents may become material in the administration of any internal revenue law. Generally tax returns and tax return information are confidential, as required by 26 U.S.C. § 6103.

DRAFTING INFORMATION

The principal authors of this revenue procedure are Maxine Terry and Carlton Watkins of the Tax Exempt and Government Entities Division. For further information concerning this revenue procedure, please contact the Employee Plans' taxpayer assistance telephone service at 1-877-829-5500 between 8:30 a.m. and 6:30 p.m., Eastern Time, Monday through Friday (a toll-free number). Ms. Terry and Mr. Watkins may be reached at (202) 283-9888 (not a toll-free number).

APPENDIX A

OPERATIONAL FAILURES AND CORRECTION METHODS

.01 *General rule.* This appendix sets forth Operational Failures and Correction Methods relating to Qualified Plans. In each case, the method described corrects the Operational Failure identified in the headings below. Corrective allocations and distributions should reflect earnings and actuarial adjustments in accordance with section 6.02(4). The correction methods in this appendix are acceptable under SCP and VCP. Additionally, the correction methods and the earnings adjustment methods in Appendix B are acceptable under SCP and VCP. To the extent a failure listed in this appendix could occur under a 403(b) Plan, a SEP or a SIMPLE IRA Plan, the correction method listed for such failure may be used to correct the failure.

.02 *Failure to properly provide the minimum top-heavy benefit under § 416 to non-key employees.* In a defined contribution plan, the permitted correction method is to properly contribute and allocate the required top-heavy minimums to the plan in the manner provided for in the plan on behalf of the non-key employees (and any

other employees required to receive top-heavy allocations under the plan). In a defined benefit plan, the minimum required benefit must be accrued in the manner provided in the plan.

.03 *Failure to satisfy the ADP test set forth in § 401(k)(3), the ACP test set forth in § 401(m)(2), or the multiple use test of § 401(m)(9).* The permitted correction method is to make qualified nonelective contributions (QNCs) (as defined in § 1.401(k)-1(g)(13)(ii)) on behalf of the nonhighly compensated employees to the extent necessary to raise the actual deferral percentage or actual contribution percentage of the nonhighly compensated employees to the percentage needed to pass the test or tests. The contributions must be made on behalf of all eligible nonhighly compensated employees (to the extent permitted under § 415) and must either be the same flat dollar amount or the same percentage of compensation. QNCs contributed to satisfy the ADP test need not be matched. Employees who would have been eligible for a matching contribution had they made elective contributions must be counted as eligible employees for the ACP test, and the plan must satisfy the ACP test. Under this correction method, a plan may not be treated as two separate plans, one covering otherwise excludable employees and the other covering all other employees (as permitted in § 1.410(b)-6(b)(3)) in order to reduce the number of employees eligible to receive QNCs. Likewise, under this correction method, the plan may not be restructured into component plans (as permitted in § 1.401(k)-1(h)(3)(iii) for plan years before January 1, 1992) in order to reduce the number of employees eligible to receive QNCs.

.04 *Failure to distribute elective deferrals in excess of the § 402(g) limit (in contravention of § 401(a)(30)).* The permitted correction method is to distribute the excess deferral to the employee and to report the amount as taxable in the year of deferral and in the year distributed. In accordance with § 1.402(g)-1(e)(1)(ii), a distribution to a highly compensated employee is included in the ADP test; a distribution to a nonhighly compensated employee is not included in the ADP test.

.05 *Exclusion of an eligible employee from all contributions or accruals under the plan for one or more plan years.* The permitted correction method is to make a con-

tribution to the plan on behalf of the employees excluded from a defined contribution plan or to provide benefit accruals for the employees excluded from a defined benefit plan. If the employee should have been eligible to make an elective contribution under a cash or deferred arrangement, the employer must make a QNC (as defined in § 1.401(k)-1(g)(13)(ii)) to the plan on behalf of the employee that is equal to the actual deferral percentage for the employee's group (either highly compensated or nonhighly compensated). If the employee should have been eligible to make employee contributions or for matching contributions (on either elective contributions or employee contributions), the employer must make a QNC to the plan on behalf of the employee that is equal to the actual contribution percentage for the employee's group (either highly compensated or nonhighly compensated). Contributing the actual deferral or contribution percentage for such employees eliminates the need to re-run the ADP or ACP test to account for the previously excluded employees. Under this correction method, a plan may not be treated as two separate plans, one covering otherwise excludable employees and the other covering all other employees (as permitted in § 1.410(b)-6(b)(3)) in order to reduce the amount of QNCs. Likewise, restructuring the plan into component plans under § 1.401(k)-1(h)(3)(iii) is not permitted in order to reduce the amount of QNCs.

.06 *Failure to timely pay the minimum distribution required under § 401(a)(9).* In a defined contribution plan, the permitted correction method is to distribute the required minimum distributions. The amount to be distributed for each year in which the failure occurred should be determined by dividing the adjusted account balance on the applicable valuation date by the applicable distribution period. For this purpose, adjusted account balance means the actual account balance, determined in accordance with § 1.401(a)(9)-5 Q&A-3 of the regulations, reduced by the amount of the total missed minimum distributions for prior years. In a defined benefit plan, the permitted correction method is to distribute the required minimum distributions, plus an interest payment representing the loss of use of such amounts.

.07 *Failure to obtain participant and/or spousal consent for a distribution subject to the participant and spousal consent rules*

under §§ 401(a)(11), 411(a)(11) and 417. The permitted correction method is to give each affected participant a choice between providing informed consent for the distribution actually made or receiving a qualified joint and survivor annuity. In the event that participant and/or spousal consent is required but cannot be obtained, the participant must receive a qualified joint and survivor annuity based on the monthly amount that would have been provided under the plan at his or her retirement date. This annuity may be actuarially reduced to take into account distributions already received by the participant. However, the portion of the qualified joint and survivor annuity payable to the spouse upon the death of the participant may not be actuarially reduced to take into account prior distributions to the participant. Thus, for example, if in accordance with the automatic qualified joint and survivor annuity option under a plan, a married participant who retired would have received a qualified joint and survivor annuity of \$600 per month payable for life with \$300 per month payable to the spouse upon the participant's death but instead received a single-sum distribution equal to the actuarial present value of the participant's accrued benefit under the plan, then the \$600 monthly annuity payable during the participant's lifetime may be actuarially reduced to take the single-sum distribution into account. However, the spouse must be entitled to receive an annuity of \$300 per month payable for life beginning at the participant's death.

.08 *Failure to satisfy the § 415 limits in a defined contribution plan.* The permitted correction for failure to limit annual additions (other than elective deferrals and employee contributions) allocated to participants in a defined contribution plan as required in § 415 (even if the excess did not result from the allocation of forfeitures or from a reasonable error in estimating compensation) is to place the excess annual additions into an unallocated account, similar to the suspense account described in § 1.415-6(b)(6)(iii), to be used as an employer contribution in the succeeding year(s). While such amounts remain in the unallocated account, the employer is not permitted to make additional contributions to the plan. The permitted correction for failure to limit annual additions that are elective deferrals or employee contributions (even if the excess did not result from a reasonable error in determin-

ing the amount of elective deferrals or employee contributions that could be made with respect to an individual under the § 415 limits) is to distribute the elective deferrals or employee contributions using a method similar to that described under § 1.415-6(b)(6)(iv). Elective deferrals and employee contributions that are matched may be returned, provided that the matching contributions relating to such contributions are forfeited (which will also reduce excess annual additions for the affected individuals). The forfeited matching contributions are to be placed into an unallocated account to be used as an employer contribution in succeeding periods.

APPENDIX B

CORRECTION METHODS AND EXAMPLES; EARNINGS ADJUSTMENT METHODS AND EXAMPLES

SECTION 1. PURPOSE, ASSUMPTIONS FOR EXAMPLES AND SECTION REFERENCES

.01 *Purpose.* (1) This appendix sets forth correction methods relating to Operational Failures under Qualified Plans. This appendix also sets forth earnings adjustment methods. The correction methods and earnings adjustment methods described in this appendix are acceptable under SCP and VCP.

(2) To the extent a failure listed in this appendix could occur under a 403(b) Plan, SEP, or a SIMPLE IRA Plan, the correction method listed for such failure may be used to correct the failure.

.02 *Assumptions for Examples.* Unless otherwise specified, for ease of presentation, the examples assume that:

(1) the plan year and the § 415 limitation year are the calendar year;

(2) the employer maintains a single plan intended to satisfy § 401(a) and has never maintained any other plan;

(3) in a defined contribution plan, the plan provides that forfeitures are used to reduce future employer contributions;

(4) the Qualification Failures are Operational Failures and the eligibility and other requirements for SCP, VCP or Audit CAP, whichever applies, are satisfied; and

(5) there are no Qualification Failures other than the described Operational Failures, and if a corrective action would re-

sult in any additional Qualification Failure, appropriate corrective action is taken for that additional Qualification Failure in accordance with EPCRS.

.03 *Section References.* References to section 2 and section 3 are references to the section 2 and 3 in this appendix.

SECTION 2. CORRECTION METHODS AND EXAMPLES

.01 *ADP/ACP Failures.*

(1) Correction Methods. (a) Appendix A Correction Method. Appendix A, section .03 sets forth a correction method for a failure to satisfy the actual deferral percentage ("ADP"), actual contribution percentage ("ACP"), or multiple use test set forth in §§ 401(k)(3), 401(m)(2), and 401(m)(9), respectively.

(b) One-to-One Correction Method. (i) General. In addition to the correction method in Appendix A, a failure to satisfy the ADP, ACP, or multiple use test may be corrected using the one-to-one correction method set forth in this section 2.01(1)(b). Under the one-to-one correction method, an excess contribution amount is determined and assigned to highly compensated employees as provided in paragraph (1)(b)(ii) below. That excess contribution amount (adjusted for earnings) is either distributed to the highly compensated employees or forfeited from the highly compensated employees' accounts as provided in paragraph (1)(b)(iii) below. That same dollar amount (*i.e.*, the excess contribution amount, adjusted for earnings) is contributed to the plan and allocated to non-highly compensated employees as provided in paragraph (1)(b)(iv) below. Under this correction method, a plan may not be treated as two separate plans, one covering otherwise excludable employees and the other covering all other employees (as permitted in § 1.410(b)-6(b)(3)). Likewise, restructuring the plan into component plans under § 1.401(k)-1(h)(3)(iii) is not permitted.

(ii) Determination of the Excess Contribution Amount. The excess contribution amount for the year is equal to the excess of (A) the sum of the excess contributions (as defined in § 401(k)(8)(B)), the excess aggregate contributions (as defined in § 401(m)(6)(B)), and the amount treated as excess contributions or excess aggregate contributions under the multiple use

test pursuant to § 401(m)(9) and § 1.401(m)-2(c) for the year, as assigned to each highly compensated employee in accordance with § 401(k)(8)(C) and (m)(6)(C), over (B) previous corrections that complied with § 401(k)(8), (m)(6), and (m)(9). See Notice 97-2, 1997-1 C.B. 348.

(iii) Distributions and Forfeitures of the Excess Contribution Amount. (A) The portion of the excess contribution amount assigned to a particular highly compensated employee under paragraph (1)(b)(ii) is adjusted for earnings through the date of correction. The amount assigned to a particular highly compensated employee, as adjusted, is distributed or, to the extent the amount was forfeitable as of the close of the plan year of the failure, is forfeited. If the amount is forfeited, it is used in accordance with the plan provisions relating to forfeitures that were in effect for the year of the failure. If the amount so assigned to a particular highly compensated employee has been previously distributed; the amount is an Excess Amount within the meaning of section 5.01(3) of this revenue procedure. Thus, pursuant to section 6.06 of this revenue procedure, the employer must notify the employee that the Excess Amount was not eligible for favorable tax treatment accorded to distributions from qualified plans (and, specifically, was not eligible for tax-free rollover).

(B) If any matching contributions (adjusted for earnings) are forfeited in accordance with § 411(a)(3)(G), the forfeited amount is used in accordance with the plan provisions relating to forfeitures that were in effect for the year of the failure.

(C) If a payment was made to an employee and that payment is a forfeitable match described in either paragraph (1)(b)(iii)(A) or (B), then it is an Overpayment defined in section 5.01(6) of this revenue procedure that must be corrected (see sections 2.04 and 2.05 below).

(iv) Contribution and Allocation of Equivalent Amount. (A) The employer makes a contribution to the plan that is equal to the aggregate amounts distributed and forfeited under paragraph (1)(b)(iii)(A) (*i.e.*, the excess contribution amount adjusted for earnings, as provided in paragraph (1)(b)(iii)(A), which does not include any matching contributions forfeited in accordance with § 411(a)(3)(G) as provided in paragraph (1)(b)(iii)(B)). The contribution must satisfy the vesting re-

quirements and distribution limitations of § 401(k)(2)(B) and (C).

(B)(I) This paragraph (1)(b)(iv)(B)(I) applies to a plan that uses the current year testing method described in Notice 98-1, 1998-1 C.B. 327. The contribution made under paragraph (1)(b)(iv)(A) is allocated to the account balances of those individuals who were either (I) the eligible employees for the year of the failure who were not highly compensated employees for that year or (II) the eligible employees for the year of the failure who were not highly compensated employees for that year and who also are not highly compensated employees for the year of correction. Alternatively, the contribution is allocated to account balances of eligible employees described in (I) or (II) of the preceding sentence, except that the allocation is made only to the account balances of those employees who are employees on a date during the year of the correction that is no later than the date of correction. Regardless of which of these four options (described in the two preceding sentences) the employer selects, the contribution is allocated to each such employee either as the same percentage of the employee's compensation for the year of the failure or as the same dollar amount for each employee. (See Examples 1, 2 and 3.) Under the one-to-one correction method, the amount allocated to the account balance of an employee (*i.e.*, the employee's share of the total amount contributed under paragraph (1)(b)(iv)(A)) is not further adjusted for earnings and is treated as an annual addition under § 415 for the year of the failure for the employee for whom it is allocated.

(2) This paragraph (1)(b)(iv)(B)(2) applies to a plan that uses the prior year testing method described in Notice 98-1. Paragraph (1)(b)(iv)(B)(I) is applied by substituting "the year prior to the year of the failure" for "the year of the failure".

(2) Examples.

Example 1:

Employer A maintains a profit-sharing plan with a cash or deferred arrangement that is intended to satisfy § 401(k) ("401(k) plan") using the current year testing method described in Notice 98-1. The plan does not provide for matching contributions or employee after-tax contributions. In 1999, it was discovered that the ADP test for 1997 was not performed correctly. When the ADP test was performed correctly, the test was not satisfied for 1997. For 1997, the ADP for highly compensated employees was 9% and the ADP for nonhighly compensated employees was 4%. Accordingly, the ADP for highly compensated employ-

ees exceeded the ADP for nonhighly compensated employees by more than two percentage points (in violation of § 401(k)(3)). (The ADP for nonhighly compensated employees for 1996 also was 4%, so the ADP test for 1997 would not have been satisfied even if the plan had used the prior year testing method described in Notice 98-1.) There were two highly compensated employees eligible under the 401(k) plan during 1997, Employee P and Employee Q. Employee P made elective deferrals of \$8,000, which is equal to 10% of Employee P's compensation of \$80,000 for 1997. Employee Q made elective deferrals of \$9,500, which is equal to 8% of Employee Q's compensation of \$118,750 for 1997.

Correction:

On June 30, 1999, Employer A uses the one-to-one correction method to correct the failure to satisfy the ADP test for 1997. Accordingly, Employer A calculates the dollar amount of the excess contributions for the two highly compensated employees in the manner described in § 401(k)(8)(B). The amount of the excess contribution for Employee P is \$3,200 (4% of \$80,000) and the amount of the excess contribution for Employee Q is \$2,375 (2% of \$118,750), or a total of \$5,575. In accordance with § 401(k)(8)(C), \$5,575, the excess contribution amount, is assigned \$2,037.50 to Employee P and \$3,537.50 to Employee Q. It is determined that the earnings on the assigned amounts through June 30, 1999, are \$407 and \$707 for Employees P and Q, respectively. The assigned amounts and the earnings are distributed to Employees P and Q. Therefore, Employee P receives \$2,444.50 (\$2,037.50 + \$407) and Employee Q receives \$4,244.50 (\$3,537.50 + \$707). In addition, on the same date, a corrective contribution is made to the 401(k) plan equal to \$6,689 (the sum of the \$2,444.50 distributed to Employee P and the \$4,244.50 distributed to Employee Q). The corrective contribution is allocated to the account balances of eligible nonhighly compensated employees for 1997, *pro rata* based on their compensation for 1997 (subject to § 415 for 1997).

Example 2:

The facts are the same as in Example 1.

Correction:

The correction is the same as in Example 1, except that the corrective contribution of \$6,689 is allocated in an equal dollar amount to the account balances of eligible nonhighly compensated employees for 1997 who are employees on June 30, 1999, and who are nonhighly compensated employees for 1999 (subject to § 415 for 1997).

Example 3:

The facts are the same as in Example 1, except that for 1997 the plan also provides (1) for employee after-tax contributions and (2) for matching contributions equal to 50% of the sum of an employee's elective deferrals and employee after-tax contributions that do not exceed 10% of the employee's compensation. The plan provides that matching contributions are subject to the plan's 5-year graded vesting schedule and that matching contributions are forfeited and used to reduce employer contributions if associated elective deferrals or employee after-tax contributions are distributed to correct an ADP, ACP or multiple use test failure. For 1997, nonhighly compensated employees made employee after-tax contributions and no highly compensated employee made

any employee after-tax contributions. Employee P received a matching contribution of \$4,000 (50% of \$8,000) and Employee Q received a matching contribution of \$4,750 (50% of \$9,500). Employees P and Q were 100% vested in 1997. It is determined that, for 1997, the ACP for highly compensated employees was not more than 125% of the ACP for non-highly compensated employees, so that the ACP and multiple use tests would have been satisfied for 1997 without any corrective action.

Correction:

The same corrective actions are taken as in Example 1. In addition, in accordance with the plan's terms, corrective action is taken to forfeit Employee P's and Employee Q's matching contributions associated with their distributed excess contributions. Employee P's distributed excess contributions and associated matching contributions are \$2,037.50 and \$1,018.75, respectively. Employee Q's distributed excess contributions and associated matching contributions are \$3,537.50 and \$1,768.75, respectively. Thus, \$1,018.75 is forfeited from Employee P's account and \$1,768.75 is forfeited from Employee Q's account. In addition, the earnings on the forfeited amounts are also forfeited. It is determined that the respective earnings on the forfeited amount for Employee P is \$150 and for Employee Q is \$204. The total amount of the forfeitures of \$3,141.50 (Employee P's \$1,018.75 + \$150 and Employee Q's \$1,768.75 + \$204) is used to reduce contributions for 1999 and subsequent years.

.02 Exclusion of Eligible Employees.

(1) Exclusion of Eligible Employees in a 401(k) or (m) Plan. (a) Correction Method. (i) Appendix A Correction Method for Full Year Exclusion. Appendix A, section .05 sets forth the correction method for the exclusion of an eligible employee from all contributions under a 401(k) or (m) plan for one or more full plan years. (See Example 4.) In section 2.02(1)(a)(ii) below, the correction method for the exclusion of an eligible employee from all contributions under a 401(k) or (m) plan for a full year is expanded to include correction for the exclusion of an eligible employee from all contributions under a 401(k) or (m) plan for a partial plan year. This correction for a partial year exclusion may be used in conjunction with the correction for a full year exclusion.

(ii) Expansion of Correction Method to Partial Year Exclusion. (A) In General. The correction method in Appendix A, section .05 is expanded to cover an employee who was improperly excluded from making elective deferrals or employee after-tax contributions for a portion of a plan year or from receiving matching contributions (on either elective deferrals or employee after-tax contributions) for a portion of a plan year. In such case, a permitted correction method for the failure is for the employer to satisfy this section 2.02(1)(a)(ii).

The employer makes a corrective contribution on behalf of the excluded employee that satisfies the vesting requirements and distribution limitations of § 401(k)(2)(B) and (C).

(B) Elective Deferral Failures. The appropriate corrective contribution for the failure to allow employees to make elective deferrals for a portion of the plan year is equal to the ADP of the employee's group (either highly or nonhighly compensated), determined prior to correction under this section 2.02(1)(a)(ii), multiplied by the employee's plan compensation for the portion of the year during which the employee was improperly excluded. The corrective contribution for the portion of the plan year during which the employee was improperly excluded from being eligible to make elective deferrals is reduced to the extent that (1) the sum of that contribution and any elective deferrals actually made by the employee for that year would exceed (2) the maximum elective deferrals permitted under the plan for the employee for that plan year (including the § 402(g) limit). The corrective contribution is adjusted for earnings. (See Examples 5 and 6.)

(C) Employee After-tax and Matching Contribution Failures.

The appropriate corrective contribution for the failure to allow employees to make employee after-tax contributions or to receive matching contributions because the employee was precluded from making employee after-tax contributions or elective deferrals for a portion of the plan year is equal to the ACP of the employee's group (either highly or nonhighly compensated), determined prior to correction under this section 2.02(1)(a)(ii), multiplied by the employee's plan compensation for the portion of the year during which the employee was improperly excluded. The corrective contribution is reduced to the extent that (1) the sum of that contribution and the actual total employee after-tax and matching contributions made by and for the employee for the plan year would exceed (2) the sum of the maximum employee after-tax contributions permitted under the plan for the employee for the plan year and the matching contributions that would have been made if the employee had made the maximum matchable contributions permitted under the plan for the employee for that plan year. The corrective contribution is adjusted for earnings.

(D) Use of Prorated Compensation. For purposes of this paragraph (1)(a)(ii), for administrative convenience, in lieu of using the employee's actual plan compensation for the portion of the year during which the employee was improperly excluded, a *pro rata* portion of the employee's plan compensation that would have been taken into account for the plan year, if the employee had not been improperly excluded, may be used.

(E) Special Rule for Brief Exclusion from Elective Deferrals. An employer is not required to make a corrective contribution with respect to elective deferrals, as provided in section 2.02(1)(a)(ii)(B), (but is required to make a corrective contribution with respect to any employee after-tax and matching contributions, as provided in section 2.02(1)(a)(ii)(C)) for an employee for a plan year if the employee has been provided the opportunity to make elective deferrals under the plan for a period of at least the last 9 months in that plan year and during that period the employee had the opportunity to make elective deferrals in an amount not less than the maximum amount that would have been permitted if no failure had occurred. (See Example 7.)

(b) Examples.

Example 4:

Employer B maintains a 401(k) plan. The plan provides for matching contributions for eligible employees equal to 100% of elective deferrals that do not exceed 3% of an employee's compensation. The plan provides that employees who complete one year of service are eligible to participate in the plan on the next January 1 or July 1 entry date. Twelve employees (8 nonhighly compensated employees and 4 highly compensated employees) who had met the one year eligibility requirement after July 1, 1995, and before January 1, 1996, were inadvertently excluded from participating in the plan beginning on January 1, 1996. These employees were offered the opportunity to begin participating in the plan on January 1, 1997. For 1996, the ADP for the highly compensated employees was 8% and the ADP for the nonhighly compensated employees was 6%. In addition, for 1996, the ACP for the highly compensated employees was 2.5% and the ACP for the nonhighly compensated employees was 2%. The failure to include the 12 employees was discovered during 1998.

Correction:

Employer B uses the correction method for full year exclusions to correct the failure to include the 12 eligible employees in the plan for the full plan year beginning January 1, 1996. Thus, Employer B makes a corrective contribution (that satisfies the vesting requirements and distribution limitations of § 401(k)(2)(B) and (C)) for each of the excluded employees. The contribution for each of the improperly excluded highly compensated employees is 10.5% (the highly compensated employees' ADP of 8% plus

ACP of 2.5%) of the employee's plan compensation for the 1996 plan year (adjusted for earnings). The contribution for each of the improperly excluded nonhighly compensated employees is 8% (the nonhighly compensated employees' ADP of 6% plus ACP of 2%) of the employee's plan compensation for the 1996 plan year (adjusted for earnings).

Example 5:

Employer C maintains a 401(k) plan. The plan provides for matching contributions for each payroll period that are equal to 100% of an employee's elective deferrals that do not exceed 2% of the eligible employee's plan compensation during the payroll period. The plan does not provide for employee after-tax contributions. The plan provides that employees who complete one year of service are eligible to participate in the plan on the next January 1 or July 1 entry date. A nonhighly compensated employee who met the eligibility requirements and should have entered the plan on January 1, 1996, was not offered the opportunity to participate in the plan. In August of 1996, the error was discovered and Employer C offered the employee an election opportunity as of September 1, 1996. The employee made elective deferrals equal to 4% of the employee's plan compensation for each payroll period from September 1, 1996, through December 31, 1996 (resulting in elective deferrals of \$500). The employee's plan compensation for 1996 was \$36,000 (\$23,500 for the first eight months and \$12,500 for the last four months). Employer C made matching contributions equal to \$250 for the excluded employee, which is 2% of the employee's plan compensation for each payroll period from September 1, 1996, through December 31, 1996 (\$12,500). The ADP for nonhighly compensated employees for 1996 was 3% and the ACP for nonhighly compensated employees for 1996 was 1.8%.

Correction:

Employer C uses the correction method for partial year exclusions to correct the failure to include the eligible employee in the plan. Thus, Employer C makes a corrective contribution (that satisfies the vesting requirements and distribution limitations of § 401(k)(2)(B) and (C)) for the excluded employee. In determining the amount of corrective contributions (both for the elective deferral and for the matching contribution), for administrative convenience, in lieu of using actual plan compensation of \$23,500 for the period the employee was excluded, the employee's annual plan compensation is pro rated for the eight-month period that the employee was excluded from participating in the plan. The failure to provide the excluded employee the right to make elective deferrals is corrected by the employer making a corrective contribution on behalf of the employee that is equal to \$720 (the 3% ADP percentage for nonhighly compensated employees multiplied by \$24,000, which is 8/12ths of the employee's 1996 plan compensation of \$36,000), adjusted for earnings. In addition, to correct for the failure to receive the plan's matching contribution, a corrective contribution is made on behalf of the employee that is equal to \$432 (the 1.8% ACP for the nonhighly compensated group multiplied by \$24,000, which is 8/12ths of the employee's 1996 plan compensation of \$36,000), adjusted for earnings. Employer C determines that \$682, the sum of the actual matching contribution received by the employee for the plan year (\$250) and the corrective contribution to correct the matching contribu-

tion failure (\$432), does not exceed \$720, the maximum matching contribution available to the employee under the plan (2% of \$36,000) determined as if the employee had made the maximum matchable contributions. In addition to correcting the failure to include the eligible employee in the plan, Employer C reruns the ADP and ACP tests for 1996 (taking into account the corrective contribution and plan compensation for 1996 for the excluded employee) and determines that the tests were satisfied.

Example 6:

The facts are the same as in Example 5, except that the plan provides for matching contributions that are equal to 100% of an eligible employee's elective deferrals that do not exceed 2% of the employee's plan compensation for the plan year. Accordingly, the actual matching contribution made by Employer C for the excluded employee for the last four months of 1996 is \$500 (which is equal to 100% of the \$500 of elective deferrals made by the employee for the last four months of 1996).

Correction:

The correction is the same as in Example 5, except that the corrective contribution made for the first 8 months of 1996 to correct the failure to make matching contributions is equal to \$220 (adjusted for earnings), instead of the \$432 (adjusted for earnings) in Example 5, because the corrective contribution is limited to the maximum matching contributions available under the plan for the employee for the plan year, \$720 (2% of \$36,000), reduced by the actual matching contributions made for the employee for the plan year, \$500.

Example 7:

The facts are the same as in Example 5, except that the error is discovered in March of 1996 and the employee was given the opportunity to make elective deferrals beginning on April 1, 1996. The amount of elective deferrals that the employee was given the opportunity to make during 1996 was not less than the maximum elective deferrals that the employee could have made if the employee had been given the opportunity to make elective deferrals beginning on January 1, 1996. The employee made elective deferrals equal to 4% of the employee's plan compensation for each payroll period from April 1, 1996, through December 31, 1996, of \$28,000 (resulting in elective deferrals of \$1,120). Employer C made a matching contribution equal to \$560, which is 2% of the employee's plan compensation for each payroll period from April 1, 1996, through December 31, 1996 (\$28,000). The employee's plan compensation for 1996 was \$36,000 (\$8,000 for the first three months and \$28,000 for the last nine months).

Correction:

Employer C uses the correction method for partial year exclusions to correct the failure to include an eligible employee in the plan. Because the employee was given an opportunity to make elective deferrals to the plan for at least the last 9 months of the plan year (and the amount of the elective deferrals that the employee had the opportunity to make was not less than the maximum elective deferrals that the employee could have made if the employee had been given the opportunity to make elective deferrals beginning on January 1, 1996), under the special rule set forth in section 2.02(1)(a)(ii)(E), Employer C is not required to make a corrective contribution for the

failure to allow the employee to make elective deferrals. In determining the amount of corrective contribution with respect to the failure to allow the employee to receive matching contributions, in lieu of using actual plan compensation of \$8,000 for the period the employee was excluded, the employee's annual plan compensation is pro rated for the three-month period that the employee was excluded from participating in the plan. Accordingly, a corrective contribution is made on behalf of the employee that is equal to \$160, which is the lesser of (i) \$162 (a matching contribution of 1.8% of \$9,000, which is 3/12ths of the employee's 1996 plan compensation of \$36,000), and (ii) \$160 (the excess of the maximum matching contribution for the entire plan year, which is equal to 2% of \$36,000, or \$720, over the matching contributions made after March 31, 1996, \$560). The contribution is adjusted for earnings.

(2) Exclusion of Eligible Employees In a Profit-Sharing Plan.

(a) Correction Methods. (i) Appendix A Correction Method. Appendix A, section .05 sets forth the correction method for correcting the exclusion of an eligible employee. In the case of a defined contribution plan, the correction method is to make a contribution on behalf of the excluded employee. Section 2.02(2)(a)(ii) below clarifies the correction method in the case of a profit-sharing or stock bonus plan that provides for nonelective contributions (within the meaning of § 1.401(k)-1(g)(10)).

(ii) Clarification of Appendix A Correction Method for Profit-Sharing Plans. To correct for the exclusion of an eligible employee from nonelective contributions in a profit-sharing or stock bonus plan under the Appendix A correction method, an allocation amount is determined for each excluded employee on the same basis as the allocation amounts were determined for the other employees under the plan's allocation formula (e.g., the same ratio of allocation to compensation), taking into account all of the employee's relevant factors (e.g., compensation) under that formula for that year. The employer makes a corrective contribution on behalf of the excluded employee that is equal to the allocation amount for the excluded employee. The corrective contribution is adjusted for earnings. If, as a result of excluding an employee, an amount was improperly allocated to the account balance of an eligible employee who shared in the original allocation of the nonelective contribution, no reduction is made to the account balance of the employee who shared in the original allocation on account of the improper allocation. (See Example 8.)

(iii) Reallocation Correction Method. (A) In General. Subject to the limitations set forth in section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(F) below, in addition to the Appendix A correction method, the exclusion of an eligible employee for a plan year from a profit-sharing or stock bonus plan that provides for non-elective contributions may be corrected using the reallocation correction method set forth in this section 2.02(2)(a)(iii). Under the reallocation correction method, the account balance of the excluded employee is increased as provided in paragraph (2)(a)(iii)(B) below, the account balances of other employees are reduced as provided in paragraph (2)(a)(iii)(C) below, and the increases and reductions are reconciled, as necessary, as provided in paragraph (2)(a)(iii)(D) below. (See Examples 9 and 10.)

(B) Increase in Account Balance of Excluded Employee. The account balance of the excluded employee is increased by an amount that is equal to the allocation the employee would have received had the employee shared in the allocation of the non-elective contribution. The amount is adjusted for earnings.

(C) Reduction in Account Balances of Other Employees. (1) The account balance of each employee who was an eligible employee who shared in the original allocation of the nonelective contribution is reduced by the excess, if any, of (I) the employee's allocation of that contribution over (II) the amount that would have been allocated to that employee had the failure not occurred. This amount is adjusted for earnings taking into account the rules set forth in section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(C)(2) and (3) below. The amount after adjustment for earnings is limited in accordance with section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(C)(4) below.

(2) This paragraph (2)(a)(iii)(C)(2) applies if most of the employees with account balances that are being reduced are nonhighly compensated employees. If there has been an overall gain for the period from the date of the original allocation of the contribution through the date of correction, no adjustment for earnings is required to the amount determined under section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(C)(1) for the employee. If the amount for the employee is being adjusted for earnings and the plan permits investment of account balances in more than one investment fund, for administrative convenience, the reduction to the employee's ac-

count balance may be adjusted by the lowest earnings rate of any fund for the period from the date of the original allocation of the contribution through the date of correction.

(3) If an employee's account balance is reduced and the original allocation was made to more than one investment fund or there was a subsequent distribution or transfer from the fund receiving the original allocation, then reasonable, consistent assumptions are used to determine the earnings adjustment.

(4) The amount determined in section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(C)(1) for an employee after the application of section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(C)(2) and (3) may not exceed the account balance of the employee on the date of correction, and the employee is permitted to retain any distribution made prior to the date of correction.

(D) Reconciliation of Increases and Reductions. If the aggregate amount of the increases under section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(B) exceeds the aggregate amount of the reductions under section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(C), the employer makes a corrective contribution to the plan for the amount of the excess. If the aggregate amount of the reductions under section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(C) exceeds the aggregate amount of the increases under section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(B), then the amount by which each employee's account balance is reduced under section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(C) is decreased on a *pro rata* basis.

(E) Reductions Among Multiple Investment Funds. If an employee's account balance is reduced and the employee's account balance is invested in more than one investment fund, then the reduction may be made from the investment funds selected in any reasonable manner.

(F) Limitations on Use of Reallocation Correction Method. If any employee would be permitted to retain any distribution pursuant to section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(C)(4), then the reallocation correction method may not be used unless most of the employees who would be permitted to retain a distribution are nonhighly compensated employees.

(b) Examples.

Example 8:

Employer D maintains a profit-sharing plan that provides for discretionary nonelective employer contributions. The plan provides that the employer's contributions are allocated to account balances in the ratio that each eligible employee's compensation for the

plan year bears to the compensation of all eligible employees for the plan year and, therefore, the only relevant factor for determining an allocation is the employee's compensation. The plan provides for self-directed investments among four investment funds and daily valuations of account balances. For the 1997 plan year, Employer D made a contribution to the plan of a fixed dollar amount. However, five employees who met the eligibility requirements were inadvertently excluded from participating in the plan. The contribution resulted in an allocation on behalf of each of the eligible employees, other than the excluded employees, equal to 10% of compensation. Most of the employees who received allocations under the plan for the year of the failure were nonhighly compensated employees. No distributions have been made from the plan since 1997. If the five excluded employees had shared in the original allocation, the allocation made on behalf of each employee would have equaled 9% of compensation. The excluded employees began participating in the plan in the 1998 plan year.

Correction:

Employer D uses the Appendix A correction method to correct the failure to include the five eligible employees. Thus, Employer D makes a corrective contribution to the plan. The amount of the corrective contribution on behalf of the five excluded employees for the 1997 plan year is equal to 10% of compensation of each excluded employee, the same allocation that was made for other eligible employees, adjusted for earnings. The excluded employees receive an allocation equal to 10% of compensation (adjusted for earnings) even though, had the excluded employees originally shared in the allocation for the 1997 contribution, their account balances, as well as those of the other eligible employees, would have received an allocation equal to only 9% of compensation.

Example 9:

The facts are the same as in Example 8.

Correction:

Employer D uses the reallocation correction method to correct the failure to include the five eligible employees. Thus, the account balances are adjusted to reflect what would have resulted from the correct allocation of the employer contribution for the 1997 plan year among all eligible employees, including the five excluded employees. The inclusion of the excluded employees in the allocation of that contribution would have resulted in each eligible employee, including each excluded employee, receiving an allocation equal to 9% of compensation. Accordingly, the account balance of each excluded employee is increased by 9% of the employee's 1997 compensation, adjusted for earnings. The account balance of each of the eligible employees other than the excluded employees is reduced by 1% of the employee's 1997 compensation, adjusted for earnings. Employer D determines the adjustment for earnings using the earnings rate of each eligible employee's excess allocation (using reasonable, consistent assumptions). Accordingly, for an employee who shared in the original allocation and directed the investment of the allocation into more than one investment fund or who subsequently transferred a portion of a fund that had been credited with a portion of the 1997 allocation to another fund, reasonable, consistent assumptions are followed to determine the adjustment for earnings. It is determined that the total of the initially deter-

mined reductions in account balances exceeds the total of the required increases in account balances. Accordingly, these initially determined reductions are decreased *pro rata* so that the total of the actual reductions in account balances equals the total of the increases in the account balances, and Employer D does not make any corrective contribution. The reductions from the account balances are made on a *pro rata* basis among all of the funds in which each employee's account balance is invested.

Example 10:

The facts are the same as in Example 8.

Correction:

The correction is the same as in Example 9, except that, because most of the employees whose account balances are being reduced are nonhighly compensated employees, for administrative convenience, Employer D uses the earnings rate of the fund with the lowest earnings rate for the period of the failure to adjust the reduction to each account balance. It is determined that the aggregate amount (adjusted for earnings) by which the account balances of the excluded employees is increased exceeds the aggregate amount (adjusted for earnings) by which the other employees' account balances are reduced. Accordingly, Employer D makes a contribution to the plan in an amount equal to the excess. The reduction from account balances is made on a *pro rata* basis among all of the funds in which each employee's account balance is invested.

.03 Vesting Failures.

(1) Correction Methods. (a) Contribution Correction Method. A failure in a defined contribution plan to apply the proper vesting percentage to an employee's account balance that results in forfeiture of too large a portion of the employee's account balance may be corrected using the contribution correction method set forth in this paragraph. The employer makes a corrective contribution on behalf of the employee whose account balance was improperly forfeited in an amount equal to the improper forfeiture. The corrective contribution is adjusted for earnings. If, as a result of the improper forfeiture, an amount was improperly allocated to the account balance of another employee, no reduction is made to the account balance of that employee. (See Example 11.)

(b) Reallocation Correction Method. In addition to the contribution correction method, in a defined contribution plan under which forfeitures of account balances are reallocated among the account balances of the other eligible employees in the plan, a failure to apply the proper vesting percentage to an employee's account balance which results in forfeiture of too large a portion of the employee's account balance may be corrected under the reallocation correction method set forth in this paragraph. A corrective reallocation is made in

accordance with the reallocation correction method set forth in section 2.02(2)(a)(iii), subject to the limitations set forth in section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(F). In applying section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(B), the account balance of the employee who incurred the improper forfeiture is increased by an amount equal to the amount of the improper forfeiture and the amount is adjusted for earnings. In applying section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(C)(1), the account balance of each employee who shared in the allocation of the improper forfeiture is reduced by the amount of the improper forfeiture that was allocated to that employee's account. The earnings adjustments for the account balances that are being reduced are determined in accordance with sections 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(C)(2) and (3) and the reductions after adjustments for earnings are limited in accordance with section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(C)(4). In accordance with section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(D), if the aggregate amount of the increases exceeds the aggregate amount of the reductions, the employer makes a corrective contribution to the plan for the amount of the excess. In accordance with section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(D), if the aggregate amount of the reductions exceeds the aggregate amount of the increases, then the amount by which each employee's account balance is reduced is decreased on a *pro rata* basis. (See Example 12.)

(2) Examples.

Example 11:

Employer E maintains a profit-sharing plan that provides for nonelective contributions. The plan provides for self-directed investments among four investment funds and daily valuation of account balances. The plan provides that forfeitures of account balances are reallocated among the account balances of other eligible employees on the basis of compensation. During the 1997 plan year, Employee R terminated employment with Employer E and elected and received a single-sum distribution of the vested portion of his account balance. No other distributions have been made since 1997. However, an incorrect determination of Employee R's vested percentage was made resulting in Employee R receiving a distribution of less than the amount to which he was entitled under the plan. The remaining portion of Employee R's account balance was forfeited and reallocated (and these reallocations were not affected by the limitations of § 415). Most of the employees who received allocations of the improper forfeiture were nonhighly compensated employees.

Correction:

Employer E uses the contribution correction method to correct the improper forfeiture. Thus, Employer E makes a contribution on behalf of Employee R equal to the incorrectly forfeited amount (adjusted for earnings) and Employee R's account balance

is increased accordingly. No reduction is made from the account balances of the employees who received an allocation of the improper forfeiture.

Example 12:

The facts are the same as in Example 11.

Correction:

Employer E uses the reallocation correction method to correct the improper forfeiture. Thus, Employee R's account balance is increased by the amount that was improperly forfeited (adjusted for earnings). The account of each employee who shared in the allocation of the improper forfeiture is reduced by the amount of the improper forfeiture that was allocated to that employee's account (adjusted for earnings). Because most of the employees whose account balances are being reduced are nonhighly compensated employees, for administrative convenience, Employer E uses the earnings rate of the fund with the lowest earnings rate for the period of the failure to adjust the reduction to each account balance. It is determined that the amount (adjusted for earnings) by which the account balance of Employee R is increased exceeds the aggregate amount (adjusted for earnings) by which the other employees' account balances are reduced. Accordingly, Employer E makes a contribution to the plan in an amount equal to the excess. The reduction from the account balances is made on a *pro rata* basis among all of the funds in which each employee's account balance is invested.

.04 § 415 Failures.

(1) Failures Relating to a § 415(b) Excess.

(a) Correction Methods. (i) Return of Overpayment Correction Method. Overpayments as a result of amounts being paid in excess of the limits of § 415(b) may be corrected using the return of Overpayment correction method set forth in this paragraph (1)(a)(i). The employer takes reasonable steps to have the Overpayment (with appropriate interest) returned by the recipient to the plan and reduces future benefit payments (if any) due to the employee to reflect § 415(b). To the extent the amount returned by the recipient is less than the Overpayment, adjusted for earnings at the plan's earnings rate, then the employer or another person contributes the difference to the plan. In addition, in accordance with section 6.06 of this revenue procedure, the employer must notify the recipient that the Overpayment was not eligible for favorable tax treatment accorded to distributions from qualified plans (and, specifically, was not eligible for tax-free rollover). (See Examples 15 and 16.)

(ii) Adjustment of Future Payments Correction Method. (A) In General. In addition to the return of Overpayment correction method, in the case of plan benefits that are being distributed in the form of peri-

odic payments, Overpayments as a result of amounts being paid in excess of the limits in § 415(b) may be corrected by using the adjustment of future payments correction method set forth in this paragraph (1)(a)(ii). Future payments to the recipient are reduced so that they do not exceed the § 415(b) maximum limit and an additional reduction is made to recoup the Overpayment (over a period not longer than the remaining payment period) so that the actuarial present value of the additional reduction is equal to the Overpayment plus interest at the interest rate used by the plan to determine actuarial equivalence. (See Examples 13 and 14.)

(B) Joint and Survivor Annuity Payments. If the employee is receiving payments in the form of a joint and survivor annuity, with the employee's spouse to receive a life annuity upon the employee's death equal to a percentage (e.g., 75%) of the amount being paid to the employee, the reduction of future annuity payments to reflect § 415(b) reduces the amount of benefits payable during the lives of both the employee and spouse, but any reduction to recoup Overpayments made to the employee does not reduce the amount of the spouse's survivor benefit. Thus, the spouse's benefit will be based on the previous specified percentage (e.g., 75%) of the maximum permitted under § 415(b), instead of the reduced annual periodic amount payable to the employee.

(C) Overpayment Not Treated as an Excess Amount. An Overpayment corrected under this adjustment of future payment correction method is not treated as an Excess Amount as defined in section 5.01(3) of this revenue procedure.

(b) Examples.

Example 13:

Employer F maintains a defined benefit plan funded solely through employer contributions. The plan provides that the benefits of employees are limited to the maximum amount permitted under § 415(b), disregarding cost-of-living adjustments under § 415(d) after benefit payments have commenced. At the beginning of the 1998 plan year, Employee S retired and started receiving an annual straight life annuity of \$140,000 from the plan. Due to an administrative error, the annual amount received by Employee S for 1998 included an Overpayment of \$10,000 (because the § 415(b)(1)(A) limit for 1998 was \$130,000). This error was discovered at the beginning of 1999.

Correction:

Employer F uses the adjustment of future payments correction method to correct the failure to satisfy the limit in § 415(b). Future annuity benefit pay-

ments to Employee S are reduced so that they do not exceed the § 415(b) maximum limit, and, in addition, Employee S's future benefit payments from the plan are actuarially reduced to recoup the Overpayment. Accordingly, Employee S's future benefit payments from the plan are reduced to \$130,000 and further reduced by \$1,000 annually for life, beginning in 1999. The annual benefit amount is reduced by \$1,000 annually for life because, for Employee S, the actuarial present value of a benefit of \$1,000 annually for life commencing in 1999 is equal to the sum of \$10,000 and interest at the rate used by the plan to determine actuarial equivalence beginning with the date of the first Overpayment and ending with the date the reduced annuity payment begins. Thus, Employee S's remaining benefit payments are reduced so that Employee S receives \$129,000 for 1999, and for each year thereafter.

Example 14:

The facts are the same as in Example 13.

Correction:

Employer F uses the adjustments of future payments correction method to correct the § 415(b) failure, by recouping the entire excess payment made in 1998 from Employee S's remaining benefit payments for 1999. Thus, Employee S's annual annuity benefit for 1999 is reduced to \$119,400 to reflect the excess benefit amounts (increased by interest) that were paid from the plan to Employee S during the 1998 plan year. Beginning in 2000, Employee S begins to receive annual benefit payments of \$130,000.

Example 15:

The facts are the same as in Example 13, except that the benefit was paid to Employee S in the form of a single-sum distribution in 1998, which exceeded the maximum § 415(b) limits by \$110,000.

Correction:

Employer F uses the return of Overpayment correction method to correct the § 415(b) failure. Thus, Employer F notifies Employee S of the \$110,000 Overpayment and that the Overpayment was not eligible for favorable tax treatment accorded to distributions from qualified plans (and, specifically, was not eligible for tax-free rollover). The notice also informs Employee S that the Overpayment (with interest at the rate used by the plan to calculate the single-sum payment) is owed to the plan. Employer F takes reasonable steps to have the Overpayment (with interest at the rate used by the plan to calculate the single-sum payment) paid to the plan. Employee S pays the \$110,000 (plus the requested interest) to the plan. It is determined that the plan's earnings rate for the relevant period was 2 percentage points more than the rate used by the plan to calculate the single-sum payment. Accordingly, Employer F contributes the difference to the plan.

Example 16:

The facts are the same as in Example 15.

Correction:

Employer F uses the return of overpayment correction method to correct the § 415(b) failure. Thus, Employer F notifies Employee S of the \$110,000 Overpayment and that the Overpayment was not eligible for favorable tax treatment accorded to distributions from qualified plans (and, specifically, was not eli-

gible for tax-free rollover). The notice also informs Employee S that the Overpayment (with interest at the rate used by the plan to calculate the single-sum payment) is owed to the plan. Employer F takes reasonable steps to have the Overpayment (with interest at the rate used by the plan to calculate the single-sum payment) paid to the plan. As a result of Employer F's recovery efforts, some, but not all, of the Overpayment (with interest) is recovered from Employee S. It is determined that the amount returned by Employee S to the plan is less than the Overpayment adjusted for earnings at the plan's earnings rate. Accordingly, Employer F contributes the difference to the plan.

(2) Failures Relating to a § 415(c) Excess.

(a) Correction Methods. (i) Appendix A Correction Method. Appendix A, section .08 sets forth the correction method for correcting the failure to satisfy the § 415(c) limits on annual additions.

(ii) Forfeiture Correction Method. In addition to the Appendix A correction method, the failure to satisfy § 415(c) with respect to a nonhighly compensated employee (A) who in the limitation year of the failure had annual additions consisting of both (I) either elective deferrals or employee after-tax contributions, or both, and (II) either matching or nonelective contributions or both, (B) for whom the matching and nonelective contributions equal or exceed the portion of the employee's annual addition that exceeds the limits under § 415(c) ("§ 415(c) excess") for the limitation year, and (C) who has terminated with no vested interest in the matching and nonelective contributions (and has not been reemployed at the time of the correction), may be corrected by using the forfeiture correction method set forth in this paragraph. The § 415(c) excess is deemed to consist solely of the matching and nonelective contributions. If the employee's § 415(c) excess (adjusted for earnings) has previously been forfeited, the § 415(c) failure is deemed to be corrected. If the § 415(c) excess (adjusted for earnings) has not been forfeited, that amount is placed in an unallocated account, similar to the suspense account described in § 1.415-6(b)(6)(iii), to be used to reduce employer contributions in succeeding year(s) (or if the amount would have been allocated to other employees who were in the plan for the year of the failure if the failure had not occurred, then that amount is reallocated to the other employees in accordance with the plan's allocation formula). Note that while this correction method will permit more favorable tax treatment of elective deferrals for the em-

ployee than the Appendix A correction method, this correction method could be less favorable to the employee in certain cases, for example, if the employee is subsequently reemployed and becomes vested. (See Examples 17 and 18.)

(iii) Return of Overpayment Correction Method. A failure to satisfy § 415(c) that includes a distribution of the § 415(c) excess attributable to nonelective contributions and matching contributions may be corrected using the return of Overpayment correction method set forth in this paragraph. The employer takes reasonable steps to have the Overpayment (*i.e.*, the distribution of the § 415(c) excess adjusted for earnings to the date of the distribution), plus appropriate interest from the date of the distribution to the date of the repayment, returned by the employee to the plan. To the extent the amount returned by the employee is less than the Overpayment adjusted for earnings at the plan's earnings rate, then the employer or another person contributes the difference to the plan. The Overpayment, adjusted for earnings at the plan's earnings rate to the date of the repayment, is to be placed in an unallocated account, similar to the suspense account described in § 1.415-6(b)(6)(iii), to be used to reduce employer contributions in succeeding year(s) (or if the amount would have been allocated to other eligible employees who were in the plan for the year of the failure if the failure had not occurred, then that amount is reallocated to the other eligible employees in accordance with the plan's allocation formula). In addition, the employer must notify the employee that the Overpayment was not eligible for favorable tax treatment accorded to distributions from qualified plans (and, specifically, was not eligible for tax-free rollover).

(b) Examples.

Example 17:

Employer G maintains a 401(k) plan. The plan provides for nonelective employer contributions, elective deferrals, and employee after-tax contributions.

The plan provides that the nonelective contributions vest under a 5-year cliff vesting schedule. The plan provides that when an employee terminates employment, the employee's nonvested account balance is forfeited five years after a distribution of the employee's vested account balance and that forfeitures are used to reduce employer contributions. For the 1998 limitation year, the annual additions made on behalf of two nonhighly compensated employees in the plan, Employees T and U, exceeded the limit in § 415(c). For the 1998 limitation year, Employee T had § 415 compensation of \$60,000, and, accordingly, a § 415(c)(1)(B) limit of \$15,000. Employee T made elective deferrals and employee after-tax contributions. For the 1998 limitation year, Employee U had § 415 compensation of \$40,000, and, accordingly, a § 415(c)(1)(B) limit of \$10,000. Employee U made elective deferrals. Also, on January 1, 1999, Employee U, who had three years of service with Employer G, terminated his employment and received his entire vested account balance (which consisted of his elective deferrals). The annual additions for Employees T and U consisted of:

| | T | U |
|---------------------------|----------|----------|
| Nonelective Contributions | \$7,500 | \$4,500 |
| Elective Deferrals | 10,000 | 5,800 |
| After-tax Contributions | 500 | 0 |
| Total Contributions | \$18,000 | \$10,300 |
| § 415(c) Limit | \$15,000 | \$10,000 |
| § 415(c) Excess | \$3,000 | \$300 |

Correction:

Employer G uses the Appendix A correction method to correct the § 415(c) excess with respect to Employee T (*i.e.*, \$3,000). Thus, a distribution of plan assets (and corresponding reduction of the account balance consisting of \$500 (adjusted for earnings) of employee after-tax contributions and \$2,500 (adjusted for earnings) of elective deferrals) is made to Employee T. Employer G uses the forfeiture correction method to correct the § 415(c) excess with respect to Employee U. Thus, the § 415(c) excess is deemed to consist solely of the nonelective contributions. Accordingly, Employee U's nonvested account balance is reduced by \$300 (adjusted for earnings) which is placed in an unallocated account, similar to the suspense account described in § 1.415-6(b)(6)(iii), to be used to reduce employer contributions in succeeding year(s). After correction, it is determined that the ADP and ACP tests for 1998 were satisfied.

Example 18:

Employer H maintains a 401(k) plan. The plan provides for nonelective employer contributions, matching contributions and elective deferrals. The plan provides for matching contributions that are equal to 100% of an employee's elective deferrals that do not exceed 8% of the employee's plan compensation for the

plan year. For the 1998 limitation year, Employee V had § 415 compensation of \$50,000, and, accordingly, a § 415(c)(1)(B) limit of \$12,500. During that limitation year, the annual additions for Employee V totaled \$15,000, consisting of \$5,000 in elective deferrals, a \$4,000 matching contribution (8% of \$50,000), and a \$6,000 nonelective employer contribution. Thus, the annual additions for Employee V exceeded the § 415(c) limit by \$2,500.

Correction:

Employer H uses the Appendix A correction method to correct the § 415(c) excess with respect to Employee V (*i.e.*, \$2,500). Accordingly, \$1,000 of the unmatched elective deferrals (adjusted for earnings) are distributed to Employee V. The remaining \$1,500 excess is apportioned equally between the elective deferrals and the associated matching employer contributions, so Employee V's account balance is further reduced by distributing to Employee V \$750 (adjusted for earnings) of the elective deferrals and forfeiting \$750 (adjusted for earnings) of the associated employer matching contributions. The forfeited matching contributions are placed in an unallocated account; similar to the suspense account described in § 1.415-6(b)(6)(iii), to be used to reduce employer con-

tributions in succeeding year(s). After correction, it is determined that the ADP and ACP tests for 1998 were satisfied.

.05 Correction of Other Overpayment Failures.

An Overpayment, other than one described in section 2.04(1) (relating to a § 415(b) excess) or section 2.04(2) (relating to a § 415(c) excess), may be corrected in accordance with this section 2.05. An Overpayment from a defined benefit plan is corrected in accordance with the rules in section 2.04(1). An Overpayment from a defined contribution plan is corrected in accordance with the rules in section 2.04(2)(a)(iii).

.06 § 401(a)(17) Failures.

(1) Reduction of Account Balance Correction Method. The allocation of contributions or forfeitures under a defined contribution plan for a plan year on the basis of compensation in excess of the limit un-

der § 401(a)(17) for the plan year may be corrected using the reduction of account balance correction method set forth in this paragraph. The account balance of an employee who received an allocation on the basis of compensation in excess of the § 401(a)(17) limit is reduced by this improperly allocated amount (adjusted for earnings). If the improperly allocated amount would have been allocated to other employees in the year of the failure if the failure had not occurred, then that amount (adjusted for earnings) is reallocated to those employees in accordance with the plan's allocation formula. If the improperly allocated amount would not have been allocated to other employees absent the failure, that amount (adjusted for earnings) is placed in an unallocated account, similar to the suspense account described in § 1.4156(b)(6)(iii), to be used to reduce employer contributions in succeeding year(s). For example, if a plan provides for a fixed level of employer contributions for each eligible employee, and the plan provides that forfeitures are used to reduce future employer contributions, the improperly allocated amount (adjusted for earnings) would be used to reduce future employer contributions. (See Example 19.) If a payment was made to an employee and that payment was attributable to an improperly allocated amount, then it is an Overpayment defined in section 5.01(6) of this revenue procedure that must be corrected (see sections 2.04 and 2.05).

(2) Example.

Example 19:

Employer J maintains a money purchase pension plan. Under the plan, an eligible employee is entitled to an employer contribution of 8% of the employee's compensation up to the § 401(a)(17) limit (\$160,000 for 1998). During the 1998 plan year, an eligible employee, Employee W, inadvertently was credited with a contribution based on compensation above the § 401(a)(17) limit. Employee W's compensation for 1998 was \$220,000. Employee W received a contribution of \$17,600 for 1998 (8% of \$220,000), rather than the contribution of \$12,800 (8% of \$160,000) provided by the plan for that year, resulting in an improper allocation of \$4,800.

Correction:

The § 401(a)(17) failure is corrected using the reduction of account balance method by reducing Employee W's account balance by \$4,800 (adjusted for earnings) and crediting that amount to an unallocated account, similar to the suspense account described in § 1.4156(b)(6)(iii), to be used to reduce employer contributions in succeeding year(s).

.07 Correction by Amendment.

(1) § 401(a)(17) Failures. (a) Contribution Correction Method. In addition to the

reduction of account balance correction method under section 2.06 of this Appendix B, an employer may correct a § 401(a)(17) failure for a plan year under a defined contribution plan under VCP and SCP (in accordance with the requirements of sections 8, 10 and 11 of this revenue procedure) by using the contribution correction method set forth in this paragraph. The employer contributes an additional amount on behalf of each of the other employees (excluding each employee for whom there was a § 401(a)(17) failure) who received an allocation for the year of the failure, amending the plan (as necessary) to provide for the additional allocation. The amount contributed for an employee is equal to the employee's plan compensation for the year of the failure multiplied by a fraction, the numerator of which is the improperly allocated amount made on behalf of the employee with the largest improperly allocated amount, and the denominator of which is the limit under § 401(a)(17) applicable to the year of the failure. The resulting additional amount for each of the other employees is adjusted for earnings. (See Example 20.)

(b) Examples.

Example 20:

The facts are the same as in Example 19.

Correction:

Employer J corrects the failure under VCP using the contribution correction method by (1) amending the plan to increase the contribution percentage for all eligible employees (other than Employee W) for the 1998 plan year and (2) contributing an additional amount (adjusted for earnings) for those employees for that plan year. To determine the increase in the plan's contribution percentage (and the additional amount contributed on behalf of each eligible employee), the improperly allocated amount (\$4,800) is divided by the § 401(a)(17) limit for 1998 (\$160,000). Accordingly, the plan is amended to increase the contribution percentage by 3 percentage points (\$4,800/\$160,000) from 8% to 11%. In addition, each eligible employee for the 1998 plan year (other than Employee W) receives an additional contribution of 3% multiplied by that employee's plan compensation for 1998. This additional contribution is adjusted for earnings.

(2) Hardship Distribution Failures. (a) Plan Amendment Correction Method. The Operational Failure of making hardship distributions to employees under a plan that does not provide for hardship distributions may be corrected under VCP and SCP using the plan amendment correction method set forth in this paragraph. The plan is amended retroactively to provide for the hardship distributions that were made available. This paragraph does not apply un-

less (i) the amendment satisfies § 401(a), and (ii) the plan as amended would have satisfied the qualification requirements of § 401(a) (including the requirements applicable to hardship distributions under § 401(k), if applicable) had the amendment been adopted when hardship distributions were first made available. (See Example 21.)

(b) Example.

Example 21:

Employer K, a for-profit corporation, maintains a 401(k) plan. Although plan provisions in 1998 did not provide for hardship distributions, beginning in 1998 hardship distributions of amounts allowed to be distributed under § 401(k) were made currently and effectively available to all employees (within the meaning of § 1.401(a)(4)-4). The standard used to determine hardship satisfied the deemed hardship distribution standards in § 1.401(k)-1(d)(2). Hardship distributions were made to a number of employees during the 1998 and 1999 plan years, creating an Operational Failure. The failure was discovered in 2000.

Correction:

Employer K corrects the failure under VCP by adopting a plan amendment, effective January 1, 1998, to provide a hardship distribution option that satisfies the rules applicable to hardship distributions in § 1.401(k)-1(d)(2). The amendment provides that the hardship distribution option is available to all employees. Thus, the amendment satisfies § 401(a), and the plan as amended in 2000 would have satisfied § 401(a) (including § 1.401(a)(4)-4 and the requirements applicable to hardship distributions under § 401(k)) if the amendment had been adopted in 1998.

(3) Inclusion of Ineligible Employee Failure. (a) Plan Amendment Correction Method. The Operational Failure of including an ineligible employee in the plan who either (i) has not completed the plan's minimum age or service requirements, or (ii) has completed the plan's minimum age or service requirements but became a participant in the plan on a date earlier than the applicable plan entry date, may be corrected under VCP and SCP by using the plan amendment correction method set forth in this paragraph. The plan is amended retroactively to change the eligibility or entry date provisions to provide for the inclusion of the ineligible employee to reflect the plan's actual operations. The amendment may change the eligibility or entry date provisions with respect to only those ineligible employees that were wrongly included, and only to those ineligible employees, provided (i) the amendment satisfies § 401(a) at the time it is adopted, (ii) the amendment would have satisfied § 401(a) had the amendment been adopted at the earlier time when it is effective, and

(iii) the employees affected by the amendment are predominantly nonhighly compensated employees.

(b) Example

Example 22:

Employer L maintains a 401(k) plan applicable to all of its employees who have at least six months of service. The plan is a calendar year plan. The plan provides that Employer L will make matching contributions based upon an employee's salary reduction contributions. In 2001, it is discovered that all four employees who were hired by Employer L in 2000 were permitted to make salary reduction contributions to the plan effective with the first weekly paycheck after they were employed. Three of the four employees are nonhighly compensated. Employer L matched these employees' salary reduction contributions in accordance with the plan's matching contribution formula. Employer L calculates the ADP and ACP tests for 2000 (taking into account the salary reduction and matching contributions that were made for these employees) and determines that the tests were satisfied.

Correction:

Employer L corrects the failure under SCP by adopting a plan amendment, effective for employees hired on or after January 1, 2000, to provide that there is no service eligibility requirement under the plan and submitting the amendment to the Service for a determination letter.

SECTION 3. EARNINGS ADJUSTMENT METHODS AND EXAMPLES

.01 Earnings Adjustment Methods. (1) In general. (a) Under section 6.02(4)(a) of this revenue procedure, whenever the appropriate correction method for an Operational Failure in a defined contribution plan includes a corrective contribution or allocation that increases one or more employees' account balances (now or in the future), the contribution or allocation is adjusted for earnings and forfeitures. This section 3 provides earnings adjustment methods (but not forfeiture adjustment methods) that may be used by an employer to adjust a corrective contribution or allocation for earnings in a defined contribution plan. Consequently, these earnings adjustment methods may be used to determine the earnings adjustments for corrective contributions or allocations made under the correction methods in section 2 and under the correction methods in Appendix A. If an earnings adjustment method in this section 3 is used to adjust a corrective contribution or allocation, that adjustment is treated as satisfying the earnings adjustment requirement of section 6.02(4)(a) of this revenue procedure. Other earnings ad-

justment methods, different from those illustrated in this section 3, may also be appropriate for adjusting corrective contributions or allocations to reflect earnings.

(b) Under the earnings adjustment methods of this section 3, a corrective contribution or allocation that increases an employee's account balance is adjusted to reflect an "earnings amount" that is based on the earnings rate(s) (determined under section 3.01(3)) for the period of the failure (determined under section 3.01(2)). The earnings amount is allocated in accordance with section 3.01(4).

(c) The rule in section 6.02(5)(a) of this revenue procedure permitting reasonable estimates in certain circumstances applies for purposes of this section 3. For this purpose, a determination of earnings made in accordance with the rules of administrative convenience set forth in this section 3 is treated as a precise determination of earnings. Thus, if the probable difference between an approximate determination of earnings and a determination of earnings under this section 3 is insignificant and the administrative cost of a precise determination would significantly exceed the probable difference, reasonable estimates may be used in calculating the appropriate earnings.

(d) This section 3 does not apply to corrective distributions or corrective reductions in account balances. Thus, for example, while this section 3 applies in increasing the account balance of an improperly excluded employee to correct the exclusion of the employee under the reallocation correction method described in section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(B), this section 3 does not apply in reducing the account balances of other employees under the reallocation correction method. (See section 2.02(2)(a)(iii)(C) for rules that apply to the earnings adjustments for such reductions.) In addition, this section 3 does not apply in determining earnings adjustments under the one-to-one correction method described in section 2.01(1)(b)(iii).

(2) Period of the Failure. (a) General Rule. For purposes of this section 3, the "period of the failure" is the period from the date that the failure began through the date of correction. For example, in the case of an improper forfeiture of an employee's account balance, the beginning of the

period of the failure is the date as of which the account balance was improperly reduced.

(b) Rules for Beginning Date for Exclusion of Eligible Employees from Plan.

(i) General Rule. In the case of an exclusion of an eligible employee from a plan contribution, the beginning of the period of the failure is the date on which contributions of the same type (*e.g.*, elective deferrals, matching contributions, or discretionary nonelective employer contributions) were made for other employees for the year of the failure. In the case of an exclusion of an eligible employee from an allocation of a forfeiture, the beginning of the period of the failure is the date on which forfeitures were allocated to other employees for the year of the failure.

(ii) Exclusion from a 401(k) or (m) Plan. For administrative convenience, for purposes of calculating the earnings rate for corrective contributions for a plan year (or the portion of the plan year) during which an employee was improperly excluded from making periodic elective deferrals or employee after-tax contributions, or from receiving periodic matching contributions, the employer may treat the date on which the contributions would have been made as the midpoint of the plan year (or the midpoint of the portion of the plan year) for which the failure occurred. Alternatively, in this case, the employer may treat the date on which the contributions would have been made as the first date of the plan year (or the portion of the plan year) during which an employee was excluded, provided that the earnings rate used is one half of the earnings rate applicable under section 3.01(3) for the plan year (or the portion of the plan year) for which the failure occurred.

(3) Earnings Rate. (a) General Rule. For purposes of this section 3, the earnings rate generally is based on the investment results that would have applied to the corrective contribution or allocation if the failure had not occurred.

(b) Multiple Investment Funds. If a plan permits employees to direct the investment of account balances into more than one investment fund, the earnings rate is based on the rate applicable to the employee's investment choices for the period of the failure. For administrative convenience, if most of the employees for whom the corrective contribution or allocation is made

are nonhighly compensated employees, the rate of return of the fund with the highest earnings rate under the plan for the period of the failure may be used to determine the earnings rate for all corrective contributions or allocations. If the employee had not made any applicable investment choices, the earnings rate may be based on the earnings rate under the plan as a whole (*i.e.*, the average of the rates earned by all of the funds in the valuation periods during the period of the failure weighted by the portion of the plan assets invested in the various funds during the period of the failure).

(c) Other Simplifying Assumptions. For administrative convenience, the earnings rate applicable to the corrective contribution or allocation for a valuation period with respect to any investment fund may be assumed to be the actual earnings rate for the plan's investments in that fund during that valuation period. For example, the earnings rate may be determined without regard to any special investment provisions that vary according to the size of the fund. Further, the earnings rate applicable to the corrective contribution or allocation for a portion of a valuation period may be a *pro rata* portion of the earnings rate for the entire valuation period, unless the application of this rule would result in either a significant understatement or overstatement of the actual earnings during that portion of the valuation period.

(4) Allocation Methods. (a) In General. For purposes of this section 3, the earnings amount generally may be allocated in accordance with any of the methods set forth in this paragraph (4). The methods under paragraph (4)(c), (d), and (e) are intended to be particularly helpful where corrective contributions are made at dates between the plan's valuation dates.

(b) Plan Allocation Method. Under the plan allocation method, the earnings amount is allocated to account balances under the plan in accordance with the plan's method for allocating earnings as if the failure had not occurred. (See Example 23.)

(c) Specific Employee Allocation Method. Under the specific employee allocation method, the entire earnings amount is allocated solely to the account balance of the employee on whose behalf the corrective contribution or allocation is made

(regardless of whether the plan's allocation method would have allocated the earnings solely to that employee). In determining the allocation of plan earnings for the valuation period during which the corrective contribution or allocation is made, the corrective contribution or allocation (including the earnings amount) is treated in the same manner as any other contribution under the plan on behalf of the employee during that valuation period. Alternatively, where the plan's allocation method does not allocate plan earnings for a valuation period to a contribution made during that valuation period, plan earnings for the valuation period during which the corrective contribution or allocation is made may be allocated as if that employee's account balance had been increased as of the last day of the prior valuation period by the corrective contribution or allocation, including only that portion of the earnings amount attributable to earnings through the last day of the prior valuation period. The employee's account balance is then further increased as of the last day of the valuation period during which the corrective contribution or allocation is made by that portion of the earnings amount attributable to earnings after the last day of the prior valuation period. (See Example 24.)

(d) Bifurcated Allocation Method. Under the bifurcated allocation method, the entire earnings amount for the valuation periods ending before the date the corrective contribution or allocation is made is allocated solely to the account balance of the employee on whose behalf the corrective contribution or allocation is made. The earnings amount for the valuation period during which the corrective contribution or allocation is made is allocated in accordance with the plan's method for allocating other earnings for that valuation period in accordance with section 3.01(4)(b). (See Example 25.)

(e) Current Period Allocation Method. Under the current period allocation method, the portion of the earnings amount attributable to the valuation period during which the period of the failure begins ("first partial valuation period") is allocated in the same manner as earnings for the valuation period during which the corrective contribution or allocation is made in accor-

dance with section 3.01(4)(b). The earnings for the subsequent full valuation periods ending before the beginning of the valuation period during which the corrective contribution or allocation is made are allocated solely to the employee for whom the required contribution should have been made. The earnings amount for the valuation period during which the corrective contribution or allocation is made ("second partial valuation period") is allocated in accordance with the plan's method for allocating other earnings for that valuation period in accordance with section 3.01(4)(b). (See Example 26.)

.02 Examples.

Example 23:

Employer L maintains a profit-sharing plan that provides only for nonelective contributions. The plan has a single investment fund. Under the plan, assets are valued annually (the last day of the plan year) and earnings for the year are allocated in proportion to account balances as of the last day of the prior year, after reduction for distributions during the current year but without regard to contributions received during the current year (the "prior year account balance"). Plan contributions for 1997 were made on March 31, 1998. On April 20, 2000, Employer L determines that an operational failure occurred for 1997 because Employee X was improperly excluded from the plan. Employer L decides to correct the failure by using the Appendix A correction method for the exclusion of an eligible employee from nonelective contributions in a profit-sharing plan. Under this method, Employer L determines that this failure is corrected by making a contribution on behalf of Employee X of \$5,000 (adjusted for earnings). The earnings rate under the plan for 1998 was +20%. The earnings rate under the plan for 1999 was +10%. On May 15, 2000, when Employer L determines that a contribution to correct for the failure will be made on June 1, 2000, a reasonable estimate of the earnings rate under the plan from January 1, 2000, to June 1, 2000 is +12%.

Earnings Adjustment on the Corrective Contribution:

The \$5,000 corrective contribution on behalf of Employee X is adjusted to reflect an earnings amount based on the earnings rates for the period of the failure (March 31, 1998, through June 1, 2000) and the earnings amount is allocated using the plan allocation method. Employer L determines that a *pro rata* simplifying assumption may be used to determine the earnings rate for the period from March 31, 1998, to December 31, 1998, because that rate does not significantly understate or overstate the actual earnings for that period. Accordingly, Employer L determines that the earnings rate for that period is 15% (9/12 of the plan's 20% earnings rate for the year). Thus, applicable earnings rates under the plan during the period of the failure are:

Time Periods

3/31/98 - 12/31/98 (First Partial Valuation Period)
 1/1/99 - 12/31/99
 1/1/00 - 6/1/00 (Second Partial Valuation Period)

Earnings Rate

+ 15%
 + 10%
 + 12%

If the \$5,000 corrective contribution had been contributed for Employee X on March 31, 1998, (1) earnings for 1998 would have been increased by the amount of the earnings on the additional \$5,000 contribution from March 31, 1998, through December 31, 1998, and would have been allocated as 1998 earnings in proportion to the prior year (December 31, 1997) account balances, (2) Employee X's account balance as of December 31, 1998, would have been increased by the additional \$5,000 contribution, (3) earnings for 1999 would have been increased by the 1999 earnings on the additional \$5,000 contribution (including 1998 earnings thereon) allocated in proportion to the prior year (December 31, 1998) account balances along with other 1999 earnings, and (4) earnings for 2000 would have been increased by the earnings on the additional \$5,000 (including 1998 and 1999 earnings thereon) from January 1 to June 1, 2000, and would be allocated in proportion to the prior year (De-

ember 31, 1999) account balances along with other 2000 earnings. Accordingly, the \$5,000 corrective contribution is adjusted to reflect an earnings amount of \$2,084 ($\$5,000[(1.15)(1.10)(1.12)-1]$) and the earnings amount is allocated to the account balances under the plan allocation method as follows:

(a) Each account balance that shared in the allocation of earnings for 1998 is increased, as of December 31, 1998, by its appropriate share of the earnings amount for 1998, \$750 ($\$5,000(.15)$).

(b) Employee X's account balance is increased, as of December 31, 1998, by \$5,000.

(c) The resulting December 31, 1998, account balances will share in the 1999 earnings, including the \$575 for 1999 earnings included in the corrective contribution ($\$5,750(.10)$), to determine the account balances as of December 31, 1999. However, each account balance other than Employee X's account balance has already shared in the 1999 earnings, ex-

cluding the \$575. Accordingly, Employee X's account balance as of December 31, 1999, will include \$500 of the 1999 portion of the earnings amount based on the \$5,000 corrective contribution allocated to Employee X's account balance as of December 31, 1998 ($\$5,000(.10)$). Then each account balance that originally shared in the allocation of earnings for 1999 (*i.e.*, excluding the \$5,500 additions to Employee X's account balance) is increased by its appropriate share of the remaining 1999 portion of the earnings amount, \$75.

(d) The resulting December 31, 1999, account balances (including the \$5,500 additions to Employee X's account balance) will share in the 2000 portion of the earnings amount based on the estimated January 1, 2000, to June 1, 2000, earnings included in the corrective contribution equal to \$759 ($\$6,325(.12)$). (See Table 1.)

TABLE 1
 CALCULATION AND ALLOCATION OF THE
 CORRECTIVE AMOUNT ADJUSTED FOR EARNINGS

| | Earnings Rate | Amount | Allocated to: |
|--|---------------|------------------|---|
| Corrective Contribution | | \$5,000 | Employee X |
| First Partial Valuation Period Earnings | 15% | 750 ¹ | All 12/31/1997 Account Balances ⁴ |
| 1999 Earnings | 10% | 575 ² | Employee X (\$500)/All 12/31/1998 Account Balances (\$75) ⁴ |
| Second Partial Valuation Period Earnings | 12% | 759 ³ | All 12/31/1999 Account Balances (including Employee X's \$5,500) ⁴ |
| Total Amount Contributed | | \$7,084 | |

¹\$5,000 x 15%

²\$5,750(\$5,000 + 750) x 10%

³\$6,325(\$5,000 + 750 + 575) x 12%

⁴After reduction for distributions during the year for which earning are being determined but without regard to contributions received during the year for which earnings are being determined.

Example 24:

The facts are the same as in Example 23.

Earnings Adjustment on the Corrective Contribution:

The earnings amount on the corrective contribution is the same as in Example 23, but the earnings amount is allocated using the specific employee al-

location method. Thus, the entire earnings amount for all periods through June 1, 2000 (*i.e.*, \$750 for March 31, 1998, to December 31, 1998, \$575 for 1999, and \$759 for January 1, 2000, to June 1, 2000) is allocated to Employee X. Accordingly, Employer L makes a contribution on June 1, 2000, to the plan of \$7,084 ($\$5,000(1.15)(1.10)(1.12)$). Employee X's account bal-

ance as of December 31, 2000, is increased by \$7,084. Alternatively, Employee X's account balance as of December 31, 1999, is increased by \$6,325 ($\$5,000(1.15)(1.10)$), which shares in the allocation of earnings for 2000, and Employee X's account balance as of December 31, 2000, is increased by the remaining \$759. (See Table 2.)

TABLE 2
CALCULATION AND ALLOCATION OF THE
CORRECTIVE AMOUNT ADJUSTED FOR EARNINGS

| | Earnings Rate | Amount | Allocated to: |
|--|---------------|------------------|---------------|
| Corrective Contribution | | \$5,000 | Employee X |
| First Partial Valuation Period Earnings | 15% | 750 ¹ | Employee X |
| 1999 Earnings | 10% | 575 ² | Employee X |
| Second Partial Valuation Period Earnings | 12% | 759 ³ | Employee X |
| Total Amount Contributed | | \$7,084 | |

¹\$5,000 x 15%

²\$5,750(\$5,000 + 750) x 10%

³\$6,325(\$5,000 + 750 + 575) x 12%

Example 25:

The facts are the same as in Example 23.

Earnings Adjustment on the Corrective Contribution:

The earnings amount on the corrective contribution is the same as in Example 23, but the earnings amount is allocated using the bifurcated allocation

method. Thus, the earnings for the first partial valuation period (March 31, 1998 to December 31, 1998) and the earnings for 1999 are allocated to Employee X. Accordingly, Employer L makes a contribution on June 1, 2000, to the plan of \$7,084 (\$5,000(1.15)(1.10)(1.12)). Employee X's account balance as of December 31, 1999, is increased by \$6,325 (\$5,000

(1.15)(1.10)); and the December 31, 1999, account balances of employees (including Employee X's increased account balance) will share in estimated January 1, 2000, to June 1, 2000, earnings on the corrective contribution equal to \$759 (\$6,325(.12)). (See Table 3.)

TABLE 3
CALCULATION AND ALLOCATION OF THE
CORRECTIVE AMOUNT ADJUSTED FOR EARNINGS

| | Earnings Rate | Amount | Allocated to: |
|--|---------------|------------------|---|
| Corrective Contribution | | \$5,000 | Employee X |
| First Partial Valuation Period Earnings | 15% | 750 ¹ | Employee X |
| 1999 Earnings | 10% | 575 ² | Employee X |
| Second Partial Valuation Period Earnings | 12% | 759 ³ | 12/31/99 Account Balances (including Employee X's \$6,325) ⁴ |
| Total Amount Contributed | | \$7,084 | |

¹\$5,000 x 15%

²\$5,750(\$5,000 + 750) x 10%

³\$6,325(\$5,000 + 750 + 575) x 12%

⁴After reduction for distributions during the 2000 year but without regard to contributions received during the 2000 year.

Example 26:

The facts are the same as in Example 23.

Earnings Adjustment on the Corrective Contribution:

The earnings amount on the corrective contribution is the same as in Example 23, but the earnings amount is allocated using the current period allocation method. Thus, the earnings for the first partial valuation period (March 31, 1998, to December 31, 1998) are allocated as 2000 earnings. Accordingly, Em-

ployer L makes a contribution on June 1, 2000, to the plan of \$7,084 (\$5,000 (1.15)(1.10)(1.12)). Employee X's account balance as of December 31, 1999, is increased by the sum of \$5,500 (\$5,000(1.10)) and the remaining 1999 earnings on the corrective contribution equal to \$75 (\$5,000(.15)(.10)). Further, both (1) the estimated March 31, 1998, to December 31, 1998, earnings on the corrective contribution equal to \$750 (\$5,000(.15)) and (2) the estimated January 1, 2000, to June 1, 2000, earnings on the corrective contribu-

tion equal to \$759 (\$6,325(.12)) are treated in the same manner as 2000 earnings by allocating these amounts to the December 31, 2000, account balances of employees in proportion to account balances as of December 31, 1999 (including Employee X's increased account balance). (See Table 4.) Thus, Employee X is allocated the earnings for the full valuation period during the period of the failure.

TABLE 4
CALCULATION AND ALLOCATION OF THE
CORRECTIVE AMOUNT ADJUSTED FOR EARNINGS

| | Earnings Rate | Amount | Allocated to: |
|--|---------------|------------------|---|
| Corrective Contribution | | \$5,000 | Employee X |
| First Partial Valuation Period Earnings | 15% | 750 ¹ | 12/31/99 Account Balances (including Employee X's \$5,575) ⁴ |
| 1999 Earnings | 10% | 575 ² | Employee X |
| Second Partial Valuation Period Earnings | 12% | 759 ³ | 12/31/99 Account Balances (including Employee X's \$5,575) ⁴ |
| Total Amount Contributed | | \$7,084 | |

¹\$5,000 x 15%

²\$5,750(\$5,000 + 750) x 10%

³\$6,325(\$5,000 + 750 + 575) x 12%

⁴After reduction for distributions during the year for which earnings are determined but without regard to contributions received during the year for which earnings are being determined.

APPENDIX C
VCP CHECKLIST
IS YOUR SUBMISSION COMPLETE?

INSTRUCTIONS

The Service will be able to respond more quickly to your VCP request if it is carefully prepared and complete. To ensure that your request is in order, use this checklist. Answer each question in the checklist by inserting yes, no, or N/A, as appropriate, in the blank next to the item. *Sign and date the checklist (as taxpayer or authorized representative) and place it on top of your request.*

You must submit a completed copy of this checklist with your request. If a completed checklist is not submitted with your request, substantive consideration of your submission will be deferred until a completed checklist is received.

TAXPAYER'S NAME _____
TAXPAYER'S I.D. NO. _____
PLAN NAME & NO. _____
ATTORNEY/P.O.A. _____

The following items relate to all submissions:

- _____ 1. Have you identified the type of plan or group plans submitted and included a complete description of the failure(s) and the years in which the failure(s) occurred (including the years for which the statutory period has expired)? (See sections 11.02(1) & (2) of Rev. Proc. 2003-44.) (Hereafter, all section references are to Rev. Proc. 2003-44.)
- _____ 2. Have you included an explanation of how and why the failure(s) arose, including a description of the administrative procedures for the plan in effect at the time the failure(s) occurred? (See section 11.02(3) and (4).)
- _____ 3. Have you included a detailed description of the method for correcting the failure(s) identified in your submission? This description must include, for example, the number of employees affected and the expected cost of correction (both of which may be approximated if the exact number cannot be determined at the time of the request), the years involved, and calculations or assumptions the Plan Sponsor used to determine the amounts needed for correction. In lieu of providing correction calculations with respect to each employee affected by a failure, you may submit calculations with respect to a representative sample of affected employees. However, the representative sample calculations must be sufficient to demonstrate each aspect of the correction method proposed. Note that each step of the correction method must be described in narrative form. (See section 11.02(5).)
- _____ 4. Have you described the earnings or interest methodology (indicating computation period and basis for determining earnings or interest rates) that will be used to calculate earnings or interest on any corrective contributions or distributions? (As a general rule, the interest rate (or rates) earned by the plan during the applicable period(s) should be used in determining the earnings for corrective contributions or distributions.) (See section 11.02(6).)
- _____ 5. Have you submitted specific calculations for either affected employees or a representative sample of affected employees? (See section 11.02(7).)
- _____ 6. Have you described the method that will be used to locate and notify former employees or, if there are no former employees affected by the failure(s) or the correction(s), provided an affirmative statement to that effect? (See section 11.02(8).)
- _____ 7. Have you provided a description of the administrative measures that have been or will be implemented to ensure that the same failure(s) do not recur? (See section 11.02(9).)
- _____ 8. Have you included a statement that, to the best of the Plan Sponsor's knowledge, the plan is not currently under an Employee Plans examination? (See section 11.02(10).)
- _____ 9. Have you included a statement that, to the best of the Plan Sponsor's knowledge, the Plan Sponsor is not under an Exempt Organizations examination? (See section 11.02(10).)
- _____ 10. If the submission includes a failure related to Transferred Assets, have you included a description of the related employer transaction, including the date of the employer transaction and the date the assets were transferred to the plan? (See section 11.02(11).)
- _____ 11. Have you included a copy of the portions of the plan document (and adoption agreement, if applicable) relevant to the failure(s) and method(s) of correction? (See section 11.03(2).)

- _____ 12. Have you included the appropriate voluntary compliance fee due with the submission? (See section 11.04.)
- _____ 13. Have you included the original signature of the sponsor or the sponsor's authorized representative? (See section 11.06.)
- _____ 14. Have you included a Power of Attorney (Form 2848)? Note: representation under VCP is limited to attorneys, certified public accountants, enrolled agents, and enrolled actuaries; unenrolled return preparers are not eligible to act as representatives under VCP. (See section 11.07.)
- _____ 15. Have you included a Penalty of Perjury Statement signed (original signature only) and dated by the Plan Sponsor? (See section 11.08.)
- _____ 16. Have you designated your submission for a Qualified Plan, 403(b) Plan, SEP or SIMPLE IRA Plan, and as a Group Submission, an Anonymous Submission or nonamender submission, if applicable? (See section 11.10.)
- _____ 17. If you are requesting a waiver of the excise tax under § 4974 of the Code, have you included the request, and, if applicable, an explanation supporting the request for any affected owner-employee or 10 percent owner? (See section 6.09(3).)
- _____ 18. Have you submitted an application for a determination letter? (See section 10.06.)
- _____ 19. If the plan is currently being considered in an unrelated determination letter application, have you included a statement to that effect? (See section 11.02(12).)
- _____ 20. Have you included a copy of the first three pages of the Form 5500 (which includes employee census information) of the most recently filed Form 5500 series return? Note: If a Form 5500 is not applicable, insert N/A and furnish the name of the plan, and the census information required of Form 5500 series filers. (See section 11.03(1).)
- _____ 21. Have you included a check for the compliance fee made payable to the U.S. Treasury? (See sections 12.01)

If you inserted "N/A" for any item enter explanation:

Signature

Date

Title or Authority

Typed or printed name of person signing checklist

APPENDIX D
SAMPLE FORMATS FOR VCP SUBMISSIONS
I. SAMPLE FORMAT FOR VCP SUBMISSION FOR QUALIFIED PLAN

Plan Type, Group or Anonymous Submission

Identification of Failures

A complete description of the failures and the years in which the failures occurred, including (but not limited to):

- 1) Years in which the failure(s) occurred (including closed years)
- 2) Number of participants affected (may be estimated)
- 3) A description of the administrative procedures in effect at the time the failures occurred
- 4) Explanation of how and why the failures occurred

Description of Proposed Method of Correction

A narrative description of each step of the correction method, including (but not limited to):

- 1) the number of employees affected (may be estimated)
- 2) the expected cost of correction (may be estimated)
- 3) the years involved
- 4) calculations or assumptions used to determine the amounts needed for correction
- 5) a description of the methodology that will be used to calculate earnings or actuarial adjustments on any corrective contributions or distributions (indicating the computation periods and the basis for determining earnings or actuarial adjustments in accordance with section 6.02(4) of Rev. Proc. 2003-44)
- 6) Specific calculations, sufficient to demonstrate each aspect of the correction method proposed, for each affected employee or a representative sample of affected employees
- 7) The method that will be used to locate and notify former employees and beneficiaries, or an affirmative statement that no former employees or beneficiaries were affected by the failures or will be affected by the correction
- 8) If a submission includes a failure that refers to Transferred Assets and the failure occurred prior to the transfer, a description of the transaction (including the dates of the employer change and the plan transfer)

Description of Administrative Procedures

A description of the administrative measures that have been or will be implemented to ensure that the failure(s) will not recur.

Statement regarding status of examination:

To the best of the Plan Sponsor's knowledge (1) the subject Plan is not currently under examination of either an Employee Plans Form 5500 series return or other Employee Plans examination, (2) the Plan Sponsor is not under an Exempt Organizations examination (that is, an examination of a Form 990 series return or other Exempt Organizations examination, and (3) neither the Employer nor any of its representatives have received verbal or written notification from the TEGE Division of an impending examination or of any impending referral for such examination, nor is the Plan in Appeals or litigation for any issues raised in such an examination.

Statement (if applicable) regarding status of any determination letter application not related to the VCP submission

Example: The Plan Sponsor applied for and has currently pending an application for a favorable determination letter with the Service filed on *(insert date)*.

Sample Penalty of Perjury:

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this submission, including accompanying documents and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the facts and information presented in support of this submission are true, correct and complete.

Name and Title (Executed by Plan Sponsor)

Required Documentation:

_____ Copy of plan document (or relevant plan provisions, i.e., those provisions relating to the failure(s) described in the submission.)

- _____ Copy of the first three pages of the most recently filed Form 5500 series return. (In the case of a terminated plan, the Form 5500 must be the one filed for the plan year prior to the plan year for which the Final Form 5500 return was filed.)
- _____ Power of Attorney (Form 2848), if applicable

Determination letter application

Where correction of a Qualification Failure includes correction of a Plan Document Failure or Demographic Failure, or an Operational Failure by plan amendment, as permitted under section 4.05, other than the adoption of an amendment designated by the Service as a model amendment or the adoption of a prototype or volume submitter plan for which the Plan Sponsor has reliance on the plan's opinion or advisory letter as provided in Rev. Proc. 2003-6, 2003-1 I.R.B. 191, please submit the following documents:

- _____ a copy of the amendment
- _____ the appropriate application form (i.e., Form 5300 series or Form 6406), and
- _____ Form 8717 and the appropriate user fee.

Assembling your submission

Please assemble your submission package in the following order:

1. Checklist — Appendix C
2. Submission signed by the Plan Sponsor or Plan Sponsor's authorized representative
3. Form 5500
4. Determination application and associated documentation (if applicable)
5. Power of attorney
6. Penalty of perjury statement
7. Plan document

**II. SAMPLE FORMAT FOR VCP SUBMISSION FOR QUALIFIED PLAN
FAILURE TO AMEND TIMELY FOR TAX LEGISLATION**

Plan Type, Group or Anonymous Submission

Identification of Failures

1) Indicate which tax legislation is the subject of the submission: (check all that apply)

CRA

TEFRA/DEFRA/REA

GUST

TEFRA

UCA/OBRA '93

DEFRA

UCA

REA

OBRA '93

ERISA

TRA '86

2) Years in which the failure(s) occurred (including closed years)

3) A description of the administrative procedures in effect at the time the failures occurred

4) Explanation of how and why the failures occurred

Description of Proposed Method of Correction

Include appropriate Determination Letter Application (see "Required Documentation," below).

Description of Administrative Procedures

A description of the administrative measures that have been or will be implemented to ensure that the failure(s) will not recur

Statement regarding status of examination:

To the best of the Plan Sponsor's knowledge (1) the subject Plan is not currently under examination of either an Employee Plans Form 5500 series return or other Employee Plans examination, (2) the Plan Sponsor is not under an Exempt Organizations examination (that is, an examination of a Form 990 series return or other Exempt Organizations examination, and (3) neither the Employer nor any of its representatives have received verbal or written notification from the TEGE Division of an impending examination or of any impending referral for such examination, nor is the Plan in Appeals or litigation for any issues raised in such an examination.

Statement (if applicable) regarding status of any determination letter application not related to the VCP submission

Example: The Plan Sponsor applied for and has currently pending an application for a favorable determination letter with the Service filed on *(insert date)*.

Sample Penalty of Perjury:

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this submission, including accompanying documents and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, the facts and information presented in support of this submission are true, correct, and complete.

Name and Title (Executed by Plan Sponsor)

Required Documentation:

- ___ Appropriate determination letter application form (i.e., Form 5300 series or Form 6406)
- ___ Copy of plan document in effect prior to proposed amendment
- ___ Copy of the proposed plan amendment
- ___ Form 8717 and determination user fee
- ___ Copy of determination letter most recently issued with respect to the plan
- ___ Any other materials required to be submitted with determination letter application (see Forms 5300, 6406, 5310 & Schedule Q, and 5303)
- ___ Copy of the first three pages of the most recently filed Form 5500 series return. (In the case of a terminated plan, include the Form 5500 filed for the plan year prior to the plan year for which the Final Form 5500 return was filed.)
- ___ Power of Attorney (Form 2848), if applicable

Assembling your submission

Please assemble your submission package in the following order:

1. Checklist — Appendix C
2. Submission signed by the Plan Sponsor or Plan Sponsor's authorized representative
3. Form 5500
4. Determination application and associated documentation
5. Power of attorney
6. Penalty of perjury statement
7. Plan document

Part IV. Items of General Interest

Tax Exempt Bond Mediation Dispute Resolution Pilot Program

Announcement 2003–36

SECTION 1. BACKGROUND AND SUMMARY

.01 This announcement contains the procedures for the Tax Exempt Bond Mediation Dispute Resolution Pilot Program (TEB Mediation). In furtherance of the Service's goal of resolving tax controversies on a basis that is fair and impartial to both the government and taxpayers, TEB Mediation establishes new opportunities for Issuers (as defined in Rev. Proc. 96–16, 1996–1 C.B. 630) of tax-exempt debt, with the assistance of the Office of Appeals, to expedite the resolution of cases within the Tax Exempt Bond organization.

.02 TEB Mediation is jointly administered by TEB and Appeals and is available to Issuers of tax-exempt debt with cases under examination within TEB. Due to the nature of these cases, conduit borrowers (and in certain limited cases, other interested parties) may participate in TEB Mediation, but every TEB Mediation must involve a person with decision making authority for the Issuer, whether the Issuer itself, an authorized representative of the Issuer pursuant to a power or attorney, or another entity (e.g., a conduit borrower) pursuant to a power of attorney from the Issuer. See §§ 4.05, 4.09, and 4.10. TEB Mediation takes place prior to the issuance of the proposed adverse determination letter to the Issuer and is designed to be completed in approximately 60 days or less.

.03 TEB Mediation utilizes the services of a trained Appeals mediator with TEB experience (Appeals Mediator), serving as a neutral participant, to facilitate the resolution of factual disputes between the parties. At the Issuer's expense, TEB and the Issuer (the parties) may use a non-Service co-mediator in the TEB Mediation.

SECTION 2. SCOPE

.01 TEB Mediation is an optional process. TEB Mediation may not be the appropriate dispute resolution process for all cases. The TEB Field Manager and the Is-

suer must evaluate their individual circumstances to determine if this process meets their needs.

.02 TEB Mediation is generally available for all TEB cases within the jurisdiction of TEB in which:

(1) The factual issues are fully developed,

(2) There are a limited number of unagreed issues,

(3) The preliminary adverse determination letter has been issued (*see* Rev. Proc. 99–35, § 4.04(1), 1999–2 C.B. 501, and any succeeding revenue procedure); and

(4) A written response to the preliminary adverse determination letter has been provided by the Issuer.

.03 TEB Mediation will *not* be available for any of the following issues:

(1) Legal issues for which there is no precedent;

(2) Issues in a taxpayer's case designated for litigation;

(3) Issues docketed in any court;

(4) Issues for which mediation would not be consistent with sound tax administration, e.g., issues governed by closing agreements, by *res judicata*, or by controlling precedent; and

(5) Issues for which a proposed adverse determination letter has been issued (*see* Rev. Proc. 99–35, § 4.05(1), 1999–2 C.B. 501).

The exclusion of an issue under this section, however, does not preclude the consideration of another issue in the case through the TEB Mediation process.

SECTION 3. REQUESTS FOR MEDIATION

.01 Either the Issuer or the TEB Field Manager may suggest the use of TEB Mediation procedures.

.02 To initiate formal consideration of a request for mediation, the Issuer must send the TEB Field Manager a written request that includes the following information:

(1) A description of the issue for which TEB Mediation is being requested;

(2) A representation that the issue for which TEB Mediation is being requested is not an excluded issue described in section 2.03, above; and

(3) A request by the Issuer to use a non-Service co-mediator, if applicable.

.03 TEB Mediation requests will be evaluated to determine if the particular issue(s) is appropriate for inclusion in the program. If TEB denies the mediation request, the TEB Field Manager will promptly inform the Issuer and the Appeals TEB Mediation Program Manager. The decision not to approve a request for TEB Mediation is final and not subject to administrative appeal or judicial review.

.04 If TEB and the Issuer agree that the use of mediation procedures is appropriate, the TEB Field Manager and the Issuer will enter into a written agreement to mediate, in the form of Exhibit 1, TEB Agreement to Mediate. The TEB Agreement to Mediate will specify the issues that the parties have agreed to mediate, identify a neutral conference site (e.g., the Appeals Mediator's office), and an agreed projected process ending date. The preliminary adverse determination letter and a written response from the Issuer should be attached to the TEB Agreement to Mediate.

SECTION 4. PROCEDURES FOR CONDUCTING THE TEB MEDIATION

.01 TEB Mediation utilizes the services of a trained Appeals Mediator to facilitate the resolution of factual disputes between the Issuer and TEB. The Appeals Mediator, as a neutral participant, will assist the parties in defining the issues. The Appeals Mediator will not render a decision regarding any issue in dispute. Prior to the commencement of the mediation, the Appeals Mediator will advise the parties of the procedures and ground rules for the mediation process. At the conclusion of the TEB Mediation process, the Appeals Mediator will prepare a brief written report in the form set forth in Exhibit 2, Model Mediator's Report.

.02 Within three business days of receiving a TEB Agreement to Mediate, the Appeals TEB Mediation Program Manager will assign an Appeals Mediator, selected from a list of eligible individuals who, generally, will be from the same Appeals office or geographic area where the case is assigned.

.03 The Issuer also may request, at the Issuer's expense, to use a non-Service co-mediator. If the Issuer requests to use a non-Service co-mediator, the Issuer and the TEB Field Manager shall make the selection from

any local or national organization that provides a roster of qualified neutrals. To qualify, the proposed non-Service co-mediator must have completed mediation training, have previous mediation experience, and have a substantive knowledge of relevant tax law or knowledge of industry practices.

.04 Generally, the Appeals Mediator begins the TEB Mediation with an initial joint session at which all parties are present. Both the Issuer and TEB will be provided ample opportunity to present their respective positions. After the initial joint session, the Appeals Mediator may hold, as necessary, individual sessions with the parties, or additional joint sessions, as deemed appropriate in the sole judgment of the Appeals Mediator.

.05 During the TEB Mediation session, both the Issuer and TEB will have at least one representative present with decision-making authority, unless there is an agreement to the contrary (e.g., a decision maker, while not physically present, must be available by telephone). The Issuer and TEB should also include individuals with the information and expertise necessary to assist the parties and the Appeals Mediator during the mediation process. In cases where doing so will facilitate the process, the Appeals Mediator may ask that the number of participants be limited. Any person engaged in practice before the Service, as defined in Publication 216, *Conference and Practice Requirements*, must have a power of attorney from the issuer or other represented party (Form 2848, *Power of Attorney and Declaration of Representative*).

.06 The goal of the TEB Mediation Program is to complete the mediation process in approximately 60 days.

.07 The TEB mediation session generally will be held at the Appeals Mediator's office, unless the TEB Field Manager and the Issuer specify another neutral location, on a date agreeable to the parties.

.08 The TEB mediation process is confidential. All information concerning any dispute resolution communication is confidential and may not be disclosed by any party, participant, observer or mediator, except as provided by statute, such as in sections 6103 and 7214(a)(8) and 5 U.S.C. § 574. A dispute resolution communication includes all oral or written communications prepared for purposes of a dis-

pute resolution proceeding, including the mediator's report prepared at the conclusion of the mediation process.

.09 In executing the TEB Agreement to Mediate, the Issuer consents under section 6103 to the disclosure by the Service of its returns and return information incident to the TEB Mediation to any participant or observer identified in the initial lists of participants and observers and to any subsequent participants and observers identified in writing by the parties. (See Exhibit 1 of this announcement). In addition, the Issuer may authorize any person (e.g., conduit borrower) to inspect or receive confidential information during the mediation process by submitting a duly executed Form 8821, *Tax Information Authorization*, to the TEB Field Manager. Where appropriate for the mediation of the issues involved, the conduit borrower (or any other interested party participating in the TEB Mediation) must consent under section 6103 to the disclosure by the Service of its returns and return information incident to the TEB Mediation to any participant or observer identified in the initial lists of participants and to any subsequent participants and observers identified in writing by the parties.

.10 The Issuer may authorize certain persons to represent the Issuer during the mediation process by submitting a duly executed Form 2848, *Power of Attorney and Declaration of Representative*, to the TEB Field Manager. If the TEB Agreement to Mediate is executed by a person pursuant to a Form 2848, that power of attorney must clearly express the Issuer's grant of authority to consent to disclose its returns and return information by the Service to third parties, and a copy of that power of attorney must be attached to the agreement.

.11 Employees of the Service and the Treasury Department who participate in or observe the mediation process in any way, and any person under contract to the Service, pursuant to section 6103(n), that the Service invites to participate or observe, will be subject to the confidentiality and disclosure provisions of the Code, including sections 6103, 7213, and 7431.

.12 The prohibition of *ex parte* communications between Appeals Officers and other Service employees provided by § 1001(a) of the Internal Revenue Service Restructuring and Reform Act of 1998 does not apply to the communications aris-

ing in the TEB Mediation process because the Appeals personnel, in facilitating the resolution of a factual dispute between the Issuer and TEB, are not acting in their traditional Appeals' settlement role.

.13 At the conclusion of the TEB Mediation process, the Appeals Mediator will prepare a brief written report, in the form attached as Exhibit 2, Model Mediator's Report, which will summarize the Appeals Mediator's findings. A copy of the Mediator's Report shall be provided to the TEB Field Manager and the Issuer. If a non-Service co-mediator is involved in the process, a single report will be prepared for joint signature. The Mediator's Report may not be used as precedent by any party.

.14 If the parties reach an agreement on all or some issues through TEB Mediation, TEB will use established issue or case closing procedures, which may include the preparation of a Form 906, *Closing Agreement on Final Determination Covering Specific Matters*, or the mailing of a no-change closing letter.

.15 If any issues remain unresolved after TEB Mediation, the Issuer retains the option of requesting that the issue be heard through the traditional Appeals process in accordance with Rev. Proc. 99-35.

SECTION 5. WITHDRAWAL FROM THE TEB MEDIATION DISPUTE RESOLUTION PILOT PROGRAM

Either party may withdraw from TEB Mediation at any time by notifying the other party and the Appeals Mediator in writing. The Appeals Mediator, but not the non-Service co-mediator, may terminate the TEB Mediation process, by notifying the parties and, if applicable, the non-Service co-mediator, in writing, if it becomes apparent that meaningful progress toward resolution of the issues has stopped.

SECTION 6. EFFECTIVE DATE

The TEB Mediation Dispute Resolution Pilot Program is effective beginning June 3, 2003, and applications to the program will be accepted through June 3, 2005.

SECTION 7. COMMENTS

The Service invites interested persons to comment on this pilot program. Written comments on the announcement should be delivered or mailed by December 3, 2004, to:

Internal Revenue Service
Office of the Chief, Appeals
1099 14th Street, NW
Suite 4035 — East
Washington, D.C. 20005

Alternatively, comments may be submitted by e-mail to the following address:
Notice.comments@irscounsel.treas.gov.

SECTION 8. FURTHER INFORMATION

For further information regarding this announcement, contact: Jacqueline A. Harris, Appeals TEB Mediation Program Manager, at (972) 308-7330; Ralph G. Messenger, Appeals TEB Team Manager, Oklahoma City, OK at (405) 297-4910; Brian W. Haley, Appeals Area Director, Dallas, TX at (972) 308-7455; or Thomas C. Louthan, Appeals Area Director, Washington, DC at (202) 694-1842 (not toll-free calls).

EXHIBIT 1
TEB Agreement to Mediate

Date

The undersigned requests that an Appeals Mediator be assigned in the TEB Mediation Process as described in Announcement 2003-36. The Issuer (as defined in Rev. Proc. 96-16, 1996-1 C.B. 630) may also use a non-Internal Revenue Service (Service) co-mediator, at the Issuer's expense. The Issuer, by signing this Agreement to Mediate and participating in the mediation, acknowledges that the Appeals Mediator is a current employee of the Service.

IRS and Treasury employees who participate in any way in the TEB Mediation process and any person under contract to the IRS invited to participate, will be subject to the confidentiality and disclosure provisions of the Internal Revenue Code, including *I.R.C. sections 6103, 7213, and 7431*. See also *5 U.S.C. section 574*. The parties also acknowledge that IRS and all other Treasury employees involved in the mediation are bound by *I.R.C. section 7214(a)(8)* and must report information concerning violations of any revenue law to the Secretary. The Appeals Mediator will have the right to ask either party for additional information if deemed necessary for a full understanding of the issues being mediated. A copy of any submission a party gives to the Appeals Mediator will be provided simultaneously to the other party.

The Issuer consents to the disclosure by the IRS of the Issuer's returns and return information incident to the mediation to any participant or observer for the Issuer. If the mediation agreement is executed by a person pursuant to a power of attorney executed by the Issuer, that power of attorney must clearly express the Issuer's grant of authority to consent to disclose the Issuer's returns and return information by the IRS to third parties, and a copy of that power of attorney must be attached to this agreement.

The issues for which mediation is requested are as follows: *(provide attachment if necessary)*

Each party will prepare a discussion summary of the issues, including the party's arguments in favor of the party's position, for consideration by the Mediator(s), not to exceed 20 pages (exclusive of exhibits consisting of pre-existing documents and reports), double-spaced and using a typeface no smaller than 12 characters per inch. The discussion summaries should be submitted to the Mediator(s) and the other party no later than two weeks before the mediation session is scheduled to begin. The Mediator(s) will have the right to ask either party for additional information before the mediation session, if deemed necessary for a full understanding of the issues to be mediated.

____ Estimated TEB Mediation Process End Date:

Neutral Conference Site:

TEB Field Manager Name:

Telephone #: (_____)

Fax #: (_____)

Issuer's Name:

Fax #: (_____)

Issuer's Address:

Issuer's Representative (if applicable):

Name of Firm:

Address:

Telephone #: (_____)

Fax #: (_____)

SIGNATURES

Issuer:

Date _____

TEB Field Manager:

Date _____

Issuer's Representative (if applicable):

Date _____

Comments and Other Participants (attach additional sheets as necessary)

Name

Position or Affiliation

Phone

Appeals Mediator Assigned, Name: phone number

Appeals TEB Mediation Program Manager

Date

EXHIBIT 2
Model Mediator's Report

*The parties below agreed to mediate their dispute and attended a mediation session on **Month, Day, Year** in an attempt to resolve the following factual issue(s):*

ISSUE:

RESOLUTION Yes
 No
 Partial

ISSUE:

RESOLUTION: Yes
 No
 Partial

DATED this _____ day of _____

/s/ Mediator(s)

/s/ Co-Mediator (if necessary)

/s/ Party

/s/ Party

Rev. Proc. 2003–30, General Rules and Specifications for Substitute Forms W–2 and W–3; Correction

Announcement 2003–41

This document contains corrections to Rev. Proc. 2003–30, 2003–17 I.R.B. 822, the specifications for the private printing of paper and laser-printed substitute Form W–2, *Wage and Tax Statement*, and Form W–3, *Transmittal of Wage and Tax Statements*.

As published in the Internal Revenue Bulletin on April 28, 2003, Rev. Proc. 2003–30 contains errors that may be misleading and are in need of correction. Accordingly, the below sections of Rev. Proc. 2003–30 are corrected to read as follows:

* * *

Part B, Section 1A* * *

.12 The checkboxes in box 13 of Form W–2 (Copy A) must be .14 inches each; the spacing on each side of the 3 checkboxes is .36 inches; the space after the 3rd checkbox is .46 inches (see Exhibit A). The checkboxes in box b of Form W–3 **must** be .14 inches (see Exhibit B).

* * *

Exhibit A***

This exhibit was corrected to accurately reflect the requirements listed in Part B, Section 1A.06. See revised exhibit, attached.

* * *

Exhibit B***

This exhibit was corrected to accurately reflect the requirements listed in Part B,

Section 1A.06 and Section 1A.08. See revised exhibit, attached.

* * *

Exhibit D***

This exhibit was corrected to accurately reflect the requirements listed in Part B, Section 2. See revised exhibit, attached.

* * *

Exhibit E and Exhibit F***

This exhibit was corrected to accurately reflect the requirements listed in Part B, Section 1B.01(11). See revised exhibit, attached.

* * *

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT: Paul Finger of the Special Products Branch, Tax Forms and Publications Division, at (202) 622–4078 (not a toll-free number).

**Exhibit
A
Form
W-2
(Copy A)**
(Red Ink)

| | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|---------------|--|--|--|---|--|----------------------------------|--|---------------------------|--|
| a Control number 1.6" | | 22222 .94" | | Void <input type="checkbox"/> | | For Official Use Only OMB No. 1545-0008 4.82" | | | | .375" | |
| b Employer identification number | | | | 1 Wages, tips, other compensation \$ | | 2 Federal income tax withheld \$ | | | | .2" | |
| c Employer's name, address, and ZIP code 4.4" | | | | 3 Social security wages \$ | | 4 Social security tax withheld \$ | | | | | |
| | | | | 5 Medicare wages and tips \$ | | 6 Medicare tax withheld \$ | | 1.8" | | 1.8" | |
| | | | | 7 Social security tips \$ | | 8 Allocated tips \$ | | | | | |
| d Employee's social security number | | | | 9 Advance EIC payment \$ | | 10 Dependent care benefits \$ | | | | | |
| e Employee's first name and initial | | Last name | | 11 Nonqualified plans \$ | | 12a See instructions for box 12 \$ | | | | | |
| 4.94" | | | | 13 Statutory employee Retirement plan Third-party sick pay | | 12b \$ | | 4.56" | | | |
| | | | | 14 Other | | 12c \$ | | | | | |
| | | | | .2" | | 12d \$ | | | | | |
| f Employee's address and ZIP code | | | | 16 State wages, tips, etc. \$ | | 17 State income tax \$ | | 18 Local wages, tips, etc. \$ | | 19 Local income tax \$ | |
| 15 State Employee's state ID number | | | | | | | | | | 20 Locality name | |

Form W-2 Wage and Tax Statement 2003

Department of the Treasury—Internal Revenue Service
For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see separate instructions.

Copy A For Social Security Administration—Send this entire page with Form W-3 to the Social Security Administration; photocopies are not acceptable.

Cat. No. 10134D

Do Not Cut, Fold, or Staple Forms on This Page — Do Not Cut, Fold, or Staple Forms on This Page

8.5"

11.0"

Exhibit B
Form W-3
(Red Ink)

DO NOT STAPLE OR FOLD

| | | |
|---|---|--|
| a Control number 1.6" | 33333 94 | For Official Use Only OMB No. 1545-0008 5.46" |
| b Kind of Payer 3" | 941 .36 Military .36 943 CT-1 14 "emp." Medicare gov't emp. Third-party sick pay .36 14 .14 .36 | 1 Wages, tips, other compensation \$ |
| | | 2 Federal income tax withheld \$ |
| c Total number of Forms W-2 1.6" | d Establishment number 1.9" | 3 Social security wages \$ |
| | | 4 Social security tax withheld \$ |
| e Employer identification number 3.5" | | 5 Medicare wages and tips \$ |
| | | 6 Medicare tax withheld \$ |
| f Employer's name 8.0" | | 7 Social security tips 2.3" |
| | | 8 Allocated tips 2.2" |
| | | 9 Advance EIC payments \$ |
| | | 10 Dependent care benefits \$ |
| | | 11 Nonqualified plans \$ |
| | | 12 Deferred compensation \$ |
| | | 13 For third-party sick pay use only \$ |
| g Employer's address and ZIP code | | 14 Income tax withheld by payer of third-party sick pay \$ |
| h Other EIN used this year | | |
| 15 State Employer's state ID number | | 16 State wages, tips, etc. \$ |
| | | 17 State income tax \$ |
| | | 18 Local wages, tips, etc. \$ |
| | | 19 Local income tax \$ |
| Contact person | Telephone number () | For Official Use Only |
| E-mail address | Fax number () | |

4.8"

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return and accompanying documents, and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, they are true, correct, and complete.

Signature _____ Title _____ Date _____

Form **W-3 Transmittal of Wage and Tax Statements** **2003** Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Send this entire page with the entire Copy A page of Form(s) W-2 to the Social Security Administration. Photocopies are not acceptable.
Do not send any payment (cash, checks, money orders, etc.) with Forms W-2 and W-3.

An Item To Note

Separate instructions. See the separate **2003 Instructions for Forms W-2 and W-3** for information on completing this form.

Purpose of Form

Use this form to transmit Copy A of **Form(s) W-2**, Wage and Tax Statement. Make a copy of Form W-3, and keep it with Copy D (For Employer) of Form(s) W-2 for your records. Use Form W-3 for the correct year. **File Form W-3 even if only one Form W-2 is being filed.** If you are filing Form(s) W-2 on magnetic media or electronically, **do not** file Form W-3.

When To File

File Form W-3 with Copy A of Form(s) W-2 by March 1, 2004.

Where To File

Send this entire page with the entire Copy A page of Form(s) W-2 to:

**Social Security Administration
Data Operations Center
Wilkes-Barre, PA 18769-0001**

Note: If you use "Certified Mail" to file, change the ZIP code to "18769-0002." If you use an IRS approved private delivery service, add "ATTN: W-2 Process, 1150 E. Mountain Dr." to the address and change the ZIP code to "18702-7997." See **Circular E, Employer's Tax Guide (Pub. 15)**, for a list of IRS approved private delivery services.

Do not send magnetic media to the address shown above.

8.5"

For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2003 Instructions for Forms W-2 and W-3.

Cat. No. 10159Y
Printed on recycled paper

11.0"

**Exhibit
D
Form
W-2
Alternative
Employee
Copies**

(Illustrating
Horizontal and
Vertical Formats)

Form W-2 Wage and Tax Statement

2003

| | | | | | | |
|--|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|---------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| b Employer identification number | | 1 Wages, tips, other compensation | | 2 Federal income tax withheld | | |
| c Employer's name, address, and ZIP code | | 3 Social security wages | | 4 Social security tax withheld | | |
| | | 5 Medicare wages and tips | | 6 Medicare tax withheld | | |
| d Employee's social security number | | e Employee's name | | | | |
| f Employee's address and ZIP code | | | | | | |
| 15 State | Employer's state ID number | 16 State wages, tips, etc. | 17 State income tax | 18 Local wages, tips, etc. | 19 Local income tax | 20 Locality name |

Copy C for EMPLOYEE'S RECORDS.

Department of the Treasury—Internal Revenue Service

Horizontal Format

| | | | |
|--|----------------------------|--------------------------------|--|
| 1 Wages, tips, other compensation | | 2 Federal income tax withheld | |
| 3 Social security wages | | 4 Social security tax withheld | |
| 5 Medicare wages and tips | | 6 Medicare tax withheld | |
| Employer identification number | | | |
| Employer's name, address, and ZIP code | | | |
| Employee's social security number | | | |
| Employee's name | | | |
| Employee's address and ZIP code | | | |
| 15 State | Employer's state ID number | 18 Local wages, tips, etc. | |
| 16 State wages, tips, etc. | | 19 Local income tax | |
| 17 State income tax | | 20 Locality name | |

Note: Exhibit D provides examples of employee copies of Form W-2 only. For examples of Copy A, see Exhibit A or Exhibit E. For the specifications of Copy A, which must be filed with the SSA, see Part B, sections 1A and 1B.

The core data boxes are 1 through 6 and, if applicable, 15 through 20. The core data must be similarly positioned, exactly numbered, and exactly titled as shown for each format. Other data may be placed in unoccupied areas based upon the employer's needs. Form identification may be placed before or after the core data. However, the employer's non-core elements may be positioned only between the sections of core data.

Form W-2
Wage and Tax
Statement

2003

Vertical Format

Department of the Treasury—
Internal Revenue Service

Exhibit E Form W-2 (Copy A)

(Laser-Printed)

This form may be subject to change.

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------|-----------|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|----------------------------|--|---------------------|--|------------------|--|
| a Control number | | 22222 | Void | For Official Use Only ▶ OMB No. 1545-0008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| b Employer identification number | | 1.6" | | .9" | | .7" | | 4.3" | | | | | | | | | |
| c Employer's name, address, and ZIP code | | | | | | 1 Wages, tips other compensation | | 2 Federal income tax withheld | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1" | | | | | | 3 Social security wages | | 4 Social security tax withheld | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 5 Medicare wages and tips | | 6 Medicare tax withheld | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 7 Social security tips | | 8 Allocated tips | | | | | | | | | |
| d Employee's social security number | | | | | | 9 Advance EIC payment | | 10 Dependent care benefits | | | | | | | | | |
| e Employee's first name and initial | | | Last name | | | 11 Nonqualified plans | | 12a See instructions for box 12 | | | | | | | | | |
| 1.9" | | | 2.2" | | | 13 Statutory employee Retirement plan Third-party sick pay | | 12b | | | | | | | | | |
| 7.5" | | | | | | 14 Other | | 12c | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 1.7" | | 12d | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| f Employee's address and ZIP code | | | | | | 15 State Employer's state ID number | | 16 State wages, tips, etc. | | 17 State income tax | | 18 Local wages, tips, etc. | | 19 Local income tax | | 20 Locality name | |
| .4" | | 1.8" | | 1.2" | | 1.1" | | 1.2" | | 1.1" | | .7" | | | | | |

Form **W-2 Wage and Tax Statement** **2003**
Copy A For Social Security Administration—Send this entire page with Form W-3 to the Social Security Administration; photocopies are **not** acceptable.

2003
0000/0000

Department of the Treasury—Internal Revenue Service
For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see separate instructions.

Do Not Cut, Fold, or Staple Forms on This Page

| | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|-------|-----------|--|--|--|--|---------------------------------|--|---------------------|--|----------------------------|--|---------------------|--|------------------|--|
| a Control number | | 22222 | Void | For Official Use Only ▶ OMB No. 1545-0008 | | | | | | | | | | | | | |
| b Employer identification number | | 1.6" | | .9" | | .7" | | 4.3" | | | | | | | | | |
| c Employer's name, address, and ZIP code | | | | | | 1 Wages, tips other compensation | | 2 Federal income tax withheld | | | | | | | | | |
| 4.1" | | | | | | 3 Social security wages | | 4 Social security tax withheld | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 5 Medicare wages and tips | | 6 Medicare tax withheld | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 7 Social security tips | | 8 Allocated tips | | | | | | | | | |
| d Employee's social security number | | | | | | 9 Advance EIC payment | | 10 Dependent care benefits | | | | | | | | | |
| e Employee's first name and initial | | | Last name | | | 11 Nonqualified plans | | 12a See instructions for box 12 | | | | | | | | | |
| 7.5" | | | | | | 13 Statutory employee Retirement plan Third-party sick pay | | 12b | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | 14 Other | | 12c | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | 12d | | | | | | | | | |
| f Employee's address and ZIP code | | | | | | 15 State Employer's state ID number | | 16 State wages, tips, etc. | | 17 State income tax | | 18 Local wages, tips, etc. | | 19 Local income tax | | 20 Locality name | |
| .4" | | 1.8" | | 1.2" | | 1.1" | | 1.2" | | 1.1" | | .7" | | | | | |

Form **W-2 Wage and Tax Statement** **2003**
Copy A For Social Security Administration—Send this entire page with Form W-3 to the Social Security Administration; photocopies are **not** acceptable.

2003
0000/0000

Department of the Treasury—Internal Revenue Service
For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see separate instructions.

Exhibit F Form W-3

(Laser-Printed)

This form may be subject to change.

| | | | |
|--|-----------------------------------|--|--------------------------------|
| a Control number 33333 | | For Official Use Only OMB No. 1545-0008 | |
| b Kind of Payer 941 Military 943 | 1 Wages, tips, other compensation | | 2 Federal income tax withheld |
| c Total number of Forms W-2 1.6" | d Establishment number 1.6" | 3 Social security wages | 4 Social security tax withheld |
| e Employer identification number 3.2" | 7 Social security tips | | 8 Allocated tips |
| f Employer's name 7.5" | 9 Advance EIC payments | | 10 Dependent care benefits |
| g Employer's address and ZIP code | | 11 Nonqualified plans | |
| h Other EIN used this year | | 12 Deferred compensation | |
| 15 State Employer's state ID number | | 16 State wages, tips, etc. | 17 State income tax |
| | | 18 Local wages, tips, etc. | 19 Local income tax |
| Contact person | Telephone number | For Official Use Only | |
| E-mail address | Fax number | 0000/0000 | |

Under penalties of perjury, I declare that I have examined this return and accompanying documents, and, to the best of my knowledge and belief, they are true, correct, and complete.

Signature _____ Title _____ Date _____

Form **W-3 Transmittal of Wage and Tax Statements** **2003** Department of the Treasury Internal Revenue Service

Send this entire page with the entire Copy A page of Form(s) W-2 to the Social Security Administration. Photocopies are not acceptable.

Do not send any payment (cash, checks, money orders, etc.) with Forms W-2 and W-3.

An Item To Note

Separate instructions. See the separate **2003 Instructions for Forms W-2 and W-3** for information on completing this form.

Purpose of Form

Use this form to transmit Copy A of **Form(s) W-2**, Wage and Tax Statement. Make a copy of Form W-3, and keep it with Copy D (For Employer) of Form(s) W-2 for your records. Use Form W-3 for the correct year. **File Form W-3 even if only one Form W-2 is being filed.** If you are filing Form(s) W-2 on magnetic media or electronically, **do not** file Form W-3.

When To File

File Form W-3 with Copy A of Form(s) W-2 by March 1, 2004.

Where To File

Send this entire page with the entire Copy A page of Form(s) W-2 to:

**Social Security Administration
Data Operations Center
Wilkes-Barre, PA 18769-0001**

Note: If you use "Certified Mail" to file, change the ZIP code to "18769-0002." If you use an IRS approved private delivery service, add "ATTN: W-2 Process, 1150 E. Mountain Dr." to the address and change the ZIP code to "18702-7997." See **Circular E, Employer's Tax Guide (Pub. 15)**, for a list of IRS approved private delivery services.

Do not send magnetic media to the address shown above.

For Privacy Act and Paperwork Reduction Act Notice, see the 2003 Instructions for Forms W-2 and W-3.

Foundations Status of Certain Organizations

Announcement 2003-42

The following organizations have failed to establish or have been unable to maintain their status as public charities or as operating foundations. Accordingly, grantors and contributors may not, after this date, rely on previous rulings or designations in the Cumulative List of Organizations (Publication 78), or on the presumption arising from the filing of notices under section 508(b) of the Code. This listing does *not* indicate that the organizations have lost their status as organizations described in section 501(c)(3), eligible to receive deductible contributions.

Former Public Charities. The following organizations (which have been treated as organizations that are not private foundations described in section 509(a) of the Code) are now classified as private foundations:

- 2B Healthy Nutrition Network,
Modesto, CA
- 4Ts Productions, White Plains, NY
- 22nd National Conference of Women and the Law, San Francisco, CA
- 3890 VIP Housing Development Fund Corporation, Fort Lee, NJ
- A. C. Crowder Sr. Scholarship Fund, Inc., Hillsborough, NC
- Acoustic Concerts of Southern Utah, St. George, UT
- Advantage Foster Family Agency, Anaheim, CA
- Affordable Housing Association of Pittsburg, Martinez, CA
- African Children's Dream, Inc., Snellville, GA
- Ajewelyon Enterprises, Cincinnati, OH
- Alaska Deaf Hard of Hearing and Deafblind Council, Anchorage, AK
- Alliance of Christian Youth for Christ, Memphis, TN
- Alternative Education, Los Angeles, CA
- Alternative Energy Institute, Inc., Tahoe City, CA
- Alviso Community in Action, Inc., Alviso, CA
- American Association of University Women Foundation Valparaiso Br., Valparaiso, IN
- Americans Making a Difference, Inc., Boise, ID
- Any Body Can Youth Resorts Foundation, Santee, CA
- Association of Chevros Kadisha, Inc., Brooklyn, NY
- Autism Community Services, Vancouver, WA
- Bailey Christian Education Fund, Kansas City, KS
- Baytown Agape Community Services, Inc., Baytown, TX
- Behavioral Health Network, Inc., Coral Gables, FL
- Belmont Seniors Organization, Inc., Belmont, MA
- Benbrook Lions Club, Inc., Benbrook, TX
- Bill Blackwood Law Enforcement Management Institute Graduate Assoc., San Marcos, TX
- Blessed Hand, St. Louis, MO
- Booneys Corporation, Hempstead, NY
- B R O T H E R S, Rockville, MD
- Butterfly Palace, Inc., Arroyo Grande, CA
- California Citrus Mutual Scholarship Foundation, Exeter, CA
- California Latino Council of the Deaf and Hard of Hearing, Inc., Lakewood, CA
- California Signrise Corporation, Oakland, CA
- Carl and Dorothy Crow Scholarship Fund, Portland, OR
- Carl Rauser Mental Health Housing Foundation, Sacramento, CA
- Carmel Middle School Theatre Arts Association, Carmel, CA
- Center for Corporate Giving, St. Paul, MN
- Center for the Arts, Inc., Honolulu, HI
- Central Christian Missionary Conference, Inc., Jasper, TX
- Central Hardin High School JROTC Booster Club, Cecilia, KY
- Centralia Emergency Shelter, Inc., Centralia, IL
- Centralized Leasing Office, Inc., Woodland Hills, CA
- Charitable Foundation of the Vail Eagle Valley Rotary Club, Edwards, CO
- Charleston Volunteer Fire Fighters Association, Coos Bay, OR
- Children at Risk, New York, NY
- Childrens Haven, Lancaster, CA
- Childrens Services of Monterey Bay, Inc., Marina, CA
- Chipman Booster Club, Bakersfield, CA
- Christian Covenant Group, Columbus, OH
- Christian Education Mission Center, Inc., Granada Hills, CA
- Chuck Muncie Youth Foundation, Inc., Antioch, CA
- Circle of Life-Living Dying Project of Hawaii, Kapaa, HI
- Clovis Primary Parent Booster Club, Clovis, CA
- Coldbrook Foundation, Martinez, CA
- Colville Main Street Revitalization Program, Colville, WA
- Community Agency Support Team Cast, Pasco, WA
- Community Arts & Cultural Enhancement Corporation, Berkeley, CA
- Community Connections Clinic for Children, Bend, OR
- Community Onramp, Inc., Atlanta, GA
- Community Partnerships, Inc., Kahului, HI
- Creative Counseling Concepts, Seattle, WA
- Crimes Drugs & Prison Alternatives, Salem, OR
- Cross Purpose Ministries, Inc., Duncanville, TX
- Crossties Teacher Network, Woodstock, GA
- Crosstimbers Heritage Alliance, Inc., Keller, TX
- Culpeper Interfaith Volunteer Caregivers, Culpeper, VA
- Culver City Civic Light Opera, Culver City, CA
- D C Kinshipcare Coalition, Inc., Washington, DC
- Dawns Early Light, Breaux Bridge, LA
- Daybreak Prayer Ministry, Anchorage, AK
- Decatur Macon County Senior Center Foundation, Decatur, IL
- Detroit Workforce Development Board, Detroit, MI
- Domestic Peace, Tacoma, WA
- Earth Protection Fund, Miami, FL
- East West Adoptions, Incorporated, Berkeley, CA
- Eastside Network, Bellevue, WA
- Eden Alvarado Niles, Inc., Hayward, CA
- Educational Distribution Corporation, Walnut, CA
- El Capitan Educational Foundation, Oakhurst, CA

Emmanuel Temple Youth Center,
Orange, TX

Estero Community Access,
Morro Bay, CA

Fame Institute, San Diego, CA

Family Enrichment Housing
Development Corporation,
Long Beach, CA

Family Works, Mesa, AZ

Fang Education Association, Inc.,
Fresno, CA

Fannin County Community Development
Corporation, Sherman, TX

Feed-A-Child, Inc., New Orleans, LA

Fierro Preservation Association, Inc.,
Silver City, NM

Filipino Youth Coalition-Community
Services & Dev of Sta Clara Co.,
Inc., San Jose, CA

Flight Path Learning Center of Southern
California, Los Angeles, CA

Florissant Valley Community
Development Foundation,
Florissant, MO

Fort Worth Ser-Jobs for Progress, Inc.,
Fort Worth, TX

Fred Shipman Ministries, Inc.,
West Palm Beach, FL

Freedom Mobility Innovations,
Marysville, WA

Freedom Quest, Colorado Springs, CO

Friends of the Cypress Masterworks
Chorale, Signal Hill, CA

FS Access, Inc., Philadelphia, PA

Future of Detroit Community
Development, Clinton Township, MI

Garden Oaks Elementary Exploratorium
Group, Houston, TX

Globaltrek, Inc., Salem, OR

Golden State Warriors Foundation,
Oakland, CA

Grandview Rotary Club Scholarship,
Inc., Grandview, WA

Haitian American Community
Broadcasting Association, Inc.,
Miami, FL

Hamilton Court Housing Corporation,
Glendale, CA

Hands of Angels Foundation, Brea, CA

Harbor Lights Festival, Inc.,
Corpus Christi, TX

Harrison-Rochon CPAs Educational
Foundation, Inc., New Orleans, LA

Hawaiis International Institute of Plastic
& Reconstructive Microsurg,
Hilo, HI

Hazeldale Parent Teacher Club,
Incorporated, Aloha, OR

Hearers of the Cry, Kansas City, MO

Help the Children See Foundation, Inc.,
Houston, TX

Helping Elderly Residents Out,
Houston, TX

Helping Hand Foundation, Dallas, TX

Helping Ministry, St. Louis, MO

Higgy Foundation, Santa Paula, CA

His Healing Hands, Inc., Tulsa, OK

Homes 2000, Modesto, CA

Hope for Girls Group Home, Inc.,
Midland, TX

Housing Opportunities Program for the
Elderly, Long Beach, CA

How to Spiritually Heal, Inc.,
Hyannis, MA

Hyperbaric Research Foundation, Inc.,
Boca Raton, FL

Image Elementary School PTO,
Vancouver, WA

International Rehabilitation Consulting
Center, Spring, TX

Jackson Elementary School Parent
Teacher Organization,
El Dorado Hills, CA

Janus Foundation USA, Inc., Miami, FL

Jasper High School Parent Teacher
Student Organization, Plano, TX

Jesus Christ is Lord and Savior
Ministries, Lake Mary, FL

Jewish Home of Bergen, Inc.,
Rockleigh, NJ

Johnson Foundation, Inc.,
Knoxville, TN

Joseph Lavalley Foundation,
Incorporated, Springfield, MA

Just-Us-For-All Legal Aid,
Stockton, CA

Justin C. Stewart Plaza Housing
Corporation, Salt Lake City, UT

Kau High School Foundation,
Mililani, HI

Kemet-Nu Empowerment,
Winston Salem, NC

Kids Ambassador Foundation,
Grosse Isle, MI

Kids on Stage Foundation, Inc.,
Littleton, CO

Klein Intermediate Parent Teacher
Organization, Inc., Houston, TX

La Bel Opera Foundation,
West Point, UT

La Center Booster Club, La Center, WA

Lake Washington Foundation, Inc.,
Greenville, MS

Lakeland Manor Housing Corporation,
Pasadena, CA

Latino Family Drug and Alcohol
Council, Inc., Whittier, CA

Lees Jr Tournament Fund, Mesquite, TX

Lifeshare, Inc., Oklahoma City, OK

Lincoln High School Band Booster
Club, Dallas, TX

Love Outreach, Inc., Carol City, FL

Lynwood Community Partnership, Inc.,
Lynwood, CA

Maui Center for Health Care Education,
Kahului, HI

Maxwell Conservation Trust, Ltd.,
Scituate, MA

McKinney Housing Opportunities
Corporation, McKinney, TX

Mediation Association of Guadalupe
County, Inc., Seguin, TX

Medical Education Loan Fund of
McGehee, McGehee, AR

Medical Missionary Evangelists,
Fresno, CA

Mercy House Ministries, Inc.,
Euless, TX

Merry Enterprises, Inc., Wichita, KS

Mesopotamia Museum,
Prospect Heights, IL

Mexican Cultural Institute of
Mid-America, St. Louis, MO

Millennium Elementary PTSA,
Tacoma, WA

Milwaukee Area Select High School
Hockey (MASHH), Brookfield, WI

Mission of T.E.A.R.S., Raleigh, NC

Mountains for Miracles, Missoula, MT

Multicultural Student Alliance,
Las Cruces, NM

N. C. Minority Plwa Coalition, Inc.,
Smithfield, NC

Nantucket Center for the Arts,
Nantucket, MA

National Housing Associates, Inc.,
Westerville, OH

Nehemiah Project, Inc., Anchorage, AK

Neighborhood Assistance Program,
Sacto, CA

Nevada Youth Football League, Inc.,
Las Vegas, NV

New Directions for Men, Inc.,
Phoenix, AZ

New Hope Community Development
Corporation, Detroit, MI

New Hope Educational Institute, Inc.,
Detroit, MI

New Mexico Teen Court Association,
Truth or Consequences, NM

New Museum for Children, Encino, CA

New Neighbors, Inc., Anchorage, AK

Newport Boys Basketball Association,
Bellevue, WA

Nia Foundation Seven Principle Cultural
Comm., Missouri City, TX

North Area Community Progress Team,
Salem, OR

North Brunswick Educational
Foundation, Incorporated,
North Brunswick, NJ

North Richmond Senior Housing, Inc.,
Hayward, CA

North Shore Recovery Together,
Incline Village, NV

Northeast Louisiana Youthbuild Delta
Council, Inc., Lake Providence, LA

Northern Calif First Jurisdiction Church
of God in Christ, Inc., Oakland, CA

Notable Youth Foundation, Sandy, UT

NW Senior Resource Services,
Seattle, WA

Oak Park Drug Free Zone Council,
Sacramento, CA

Oceanic Climate Sciences Center,
Amarillo, TX

Odyssey Community Group,
Redmond, WA

Options Activities Support Information
Self-Help, Inc.,
Colorado Springs, CO

O'Rourke Family Foundation,
Harbor Springs, MI

Outreach Alive Youth Impact Ministries,
Federal Way, WA

Owen Roe Oneill House,
Amesbury, MA

Pacific Northwest Unitarian Universalist
Growth Foundation, Bellingham, WA

Panoramic Productions, Inc.,
Tucson, AZ

Paradise for Birds Rehab., Inc.,
Cary, NC

Parvuli Dei, Inc., Rathdrum, ID

PCMC, Inc., Northampton, MA

Pearl Ubungen Dancers and Musicians,
Boulder, CO

People Set Free Ministries,
Wilmington, DE

Peoria Lions Foundation, Inc.,
Peoria, AZ

Phi Delta Theta-Oklahoma Delta
Educational Fund, Norman, OK

Phila Graduate Chapter of Grove Phi
Grove Social Fellowship, Inc.,
Philadelphia, PA

Prayer for Pur Schools, Inc.,
Jacksonville, FL

Programme for Technological Careers,
Philadelphia, PA

Project Achieve of Glendale,
Glendale, CA

Project Amistad of Deming,
Deming, NM

Property Development Engineers, Inc.,
Stratford, CT

Puyallup Rotary Foundation,
Puyallup, WA

Quicktime Resolve Services, Inc.,
Los Angeles, CA

Quilombo, Oakland, CA

Ra Foundation, Carbondale, IL

Rams Club, Inc., Rio Rancho, NM

Raymond Elementary PTA 4 75,
Tacoma, WA

REACH for the Stars, Inc.,
Bradenton, FL

Redlands Senior Housing Two,
Pleasanton, CA

Research and Investigations,
Fort Washington, MD

Resource Training Center, Inc.,
Brooklyn, NY

Resources for Independence,
Torrance, CA

Retreat Learning Center, Inc.,
Indianapolis, IN

Rice Family Foundation, La Mesa, CA

Richland, P T O, Johnstown, PA

Rock That Rolls, Greenbrier, TN

Rock the Nations,
Colorado Springs, CO

Rose House, Inc., Pahoa, HI

Rose Petal Associates, Taylorsville, UT

Rotary Club of Hingham Foundation a
Charitable Trust, Hingham, MA

Rowland Foundation, Webster, FL

Russian-American Cultural &
Educational Center, Inc.,
Studio City, CA

Russian Schools of Evangelism, Inc.,
Dallas, TX

Salt & Pepper Tr, Inc., Cambridge, MA

Samuel Dewitt Proctor Academy Charter
School Foundation, Princeton, NJ

San Mateo County Women Lawyers
Section Educational Foundation,
San Carlos, CA

San Miguel Education Foundation,
San Miguel, CA

Saudia Foundation, St. Paul, MN

Saul B. and Naomi R. Cohen
Foundation, Inc., Chestnut Hill, MA

Second Ward Association, Inc.,
Houston, TX

Secure Beginnings, Inc., Boulder, CO

Seeds of Texas Seed Exchange, Inc.,
College Station, TX

Sembrando El Futuro, Santa Cruz, CA

Severly Disabled Youth Association,
Snohomish, WA

Shear Kindness, Vallejo, CA

SHIM Community Development, Inc.,
Miami, FL

SOCIAL, Inc., St. Louis, MO

Solana County Rescue Mission, Inc.,
Vallejo, CA

Solo Strategies for Widowed Persons,
Spokane, WA

South County Hope Villa Esperanza,
Inc., Gilroy, CA

South Hill Aquatic and Recreation
Enthusiasts, Puyallup, WA

Southern California CISD Team,
Upland, CA

Southwest Montana Rehabilitation
Center, Inc., Butte, MT

Southwest Volunteer Services, Inc.,
Avondale, AZ

Sowin Love, Inc., Glendale, AZ

Sserulanda International Center of
Los Angeles, Los Angeles, CA

Stanly County Firemens Association,
Inc., Albemarle, NC

Star-Fire Corporation, Tijeras, NM

Stone-Bonding Enterprises,
Norwalk, CA

Straight Talk on Prevention,
Rancho Palos Verdes, CA

Strategy Research Foundation, Inc.,
West Lafayette, IN

Stray Katz a New Jersey Non Profit
Corporation, Trenton, NJ

Students At Work, Inc., Augusta, GA

Superior Wildlands Conservancy, Inc.,
Oshkosh, WI

Supporting Elementary Students for
Success, Charlottesville, VA

Tc Pops Orchestra, Rogers, MN

Texas Institute of Human Development,
Inc., Grand Prairie, TX

Texas Rural Networks Foundation,
Austin, TX

Three Doors, Inc., Kenilworth, NJ

TLC Services, Inc., Show Low, AZ

Tulare County Quest for Youth,
Visalia, CA

Tvp Residents Association I, Inc.,
Detroit, MI

Tvp Residents Association II, Inc.,
Detroit, MI

Tvp Residents Association III, Inc.,
Detroit, MI

Tvp Residents Association IV, Inc.,
Detroit, MI

UCAAN, Anchorage, AK

UJF Retreat Center, Inc., Latham, NY
Unidad Latina, Inc., Farmingville, NY
United Services Association, Mesa, AZ
Unity Fellowship Breaking Ground, Inc.,
Brooklyn, NY
US AIDS Foundation, Inc.,
Baltimore, MD
USS Forrestal Naval Museum, Inc.,
Bensalem, PA
Valley Rotary Charitable Association,
Spokane, WA
Ventura High School Music Boosters,
Ventura, CA
Vernonia Hands on Art Center,
Vernonia, OR
Virgin Islands Comprehensive Mental
Health Agency, Inc., St. Thomas, VI
Visual Arts Resources, Springfield, OR
Washington East Opera,
Kennewick, WA

Waukesha Area Music Preservation
Society, Inc., Caledonia, WI
Western Counties Resources Policy
Institute, Salt Lake City, UT
West Valley Middle School Pack
Parents, Yakima, WA
Wetlands Action Network, Malibu, CA
Wildlife Education,
Lake Hauasu City, AZ
Windsor Arts Council, Inc.,
Windsor, CT
Wine Society of Texas-Scholarships,
Bedford, TX
Woodlands Holiday Home Tour, Inc.,
The Woodlands, TX
World Apostolate of Fatima Archdiocese
of Boston Division, Inc.,
E. Bridgewater, MA
YFC Youth National Network, Inc.,
Cleveland, OH

Young Women Empowerment Training,
Inc., Denver, CO

If an organization listed above submits information that warrants the renewal of its classification as a public charity or as a private operating foundation, the Internal Revenue Service will issue a ruling or determination letter with the revised classification as to foundation status. Grantors and contributors may thereafter rely upon such ruling or determination letter as provided in section 1.509(a)-7 of the Income Tax Regulations. It is not the practice of the Service to announce such revised classification of foundation status in the Internal Revenue Bulletin.

Definition of Terms

Revenue rulings and revenue procedures (hereinafter referred to as "rulings") that have an effect on previous rulings use the following defined terms to describe the effect:

Amplified describes a situation where no change is being made in a prior published position, but the prior position is being extended to apply to a variation of the fact situation set forth therein. Thus, if an earlier ruling held that a principle applied to A, and the new ruling holds that the same principle also applies to B, the earlier ruling is amplified. (Compare with *modified*, below).

Clarified is used in those instances where the language in a prior ruling is being made clear because the language has caused, or may cause, some confusion. It is not used where a position in a prior ruling is being changed.

Distinguished describes a situation where a ruling mentions a previously published ruling and points out an essential difference between them.

Modified is used where the substance of a previously published position is being changed. Thus, if a prior ruling held that a principle applied to A but not to B, and the new ruling holds that it

applies to both A and B, the prior ruling is modified because it corrects a published position. (Compare with *amplified* and *clarified*, above).

Obsoleted describes a previously published ruling that is not considered determinative with respect to future transactions. This term is most commonly used in a ruling that lists previously published rulings that are obsoleted because of changes in law or regulations. A ruling may also be obsoleted because the substance has been included in regulations subsequently adopted.

Revoked describes situations where the position in the previously published ruling is not correct and the correct position is being stated in the new ruling.

Superseded describes a situation where the new ruling does nothing more than restate the substance and situation of a previously published ruling (or rulings). Thus, the term is used to republish under the 1986 Code and regulations the same position published under the 1939 Code and regulations. The term is also used when it is desired to republish in a single ruling a series of situations, names, etc., that were previously published over a period of time in separate rulings. If the

new ruling does more than restate the substance of a prior ruling, a combination of terms is used. For example, *modified* and *superseded* describes a situation where the substance of a previously published ruling is being changed in part and is continued without change in part and it is desired to restate the valid portion of the previously published ruling in a new ruling that is self contained. In this case, the previously published ruling is first modified and then, as modified, is superseded.

Supplemented is used in situations in which a list, such as a list of the names of countries, is published in a ruling and that list is expanded by adding further names in subsequent rulings. After the original ruling has been supplemented several times, a new ruling may be published that includes the list in the original ruling and the additions, and supersedes all prior rulings in the series.

Suspended is used in rare situations to show that the previous published rulings will not be applied pending some future action such as the issuance of new or amended regulations, the outcome of cases in litigation, or the outcome of a Service study.

Abbreviations

The following abbreviations in current use and formerly used will appear in material published in the Bulletin.

A—Individual.
Acq.—Acquiescence.
B—Individual.
BE—Beneficiary.
BK—Bank.
B.T.A.—Board of Tax Appeals.
C—Individual.
C.B.—Cumulative Bulletin.
CFR—Code of Federal Regulations.
CI—City.
COOP—Cooperative.
Ct.D.—Court Decision.
CY—County.
D—Decedent.
DC—Dummy Corporation.
DE—Donee.
Del. Order—Delegation Order.
DISC—Domestic International Sales Corporation.
DR—Donor.
E—Estate.
EE—Employee.

E.O.—Executive Order.
ER—Employer.
ERISA—Employee Retirement Income Security Act.
EX—Executor.
F—Fiduciary.
FC—Foreign Country.
FICA—Federal Insurance Contributions Act.
FISC—Foreign International Sales Company.
FPH—Foreign Personal Holding Company.
F.R.—Federal Register.
FUTA—Federal Unemployment Tax Act.
FX—Foreign Corporation.
G.C.M.—Chief Counsel's Memorandum.
GE—Grantee.
GP—General Partner.
GR—Grantor.
IC—Insurance Company.
I.R.B.—Internal Revenue Bulletin.
LE—Lessee.
LP—Limited Partner.
LR—Lessor.
M—Minor.
Nonacq.—Nonacquiescence.
O—Organization.
P—Parent Corporation.
PHC—Personal Holding Company.

PO—Possession of the U.S.
PR—Partner.
PRS—Partnership.
PTE—Prohibited Transaction Exemption.
Pub. L.—Public Law.
REIT—Real Estate Investment Trust.
Rev. Proc.—Revenue Procedure.
Rev. Rul.—Revenue Ruling.
S—Subsidiary.
S.P.R.—Statements of Procedural Rules.
Stat.—Statutes at Large.
T—Target Corporation.
T.C.—Tax Court.
T.D.—Treasury Decision.
TFE—Transferee.
TFR—Transferor.
T.I.R.—Technical Information Release.
TP—Taxpayer.
TR—Trust.
TT—Trustee.
U.S.C.—United States Code.
X—Corporation.
Y—Corporation.
Z—Corporation.

Numerical Finding List¹

Bulletins 2003–1 through 2003–24

Announcements:

2003–1, 2003–2 I.R.B. 281
2003–2, 2003–3 I.R.B. 301
2003–3, 2003–4 I.R.B. 361
2003–4, 2003–5 I.R.B. 396
2003–5, 2003–5 I.R.B. 397
2003–6, 2003–6 I.R.B. 450
2003–7, 2003–6 I.R.B. 450
2003–8, 2003–6 I.R.B. 451
2003–9, 2003–7 I.R.B. 490
2003–10, 2003–7 I.R.B. 490
2003–11, 2003–10 I.R.B. 585
2003–12, 2003–10 I.R.B. 585
2003–13, 2003–11 I.R.B. 603
2003–14, 2003–11 I.R.B. 603
2003–15, 2003–11 I.R.B. 605
2003–16, 2003–12 I.R.B. 641
2003–17, 2003–15 I.R.B. 722
2003–18, 2003–13 I.R.B. 675
2003–19, 2003–15 I.R.B. 723
2003–20, 2003–15 I.R.B. 750
2003–21, 2003–17 I.R.B. 846
2003–22, 2003–17 I.R.B. 846
2003–23, 2003–16 I.R.B. 808
2003–24, 2003–16 I.R.B. 810
2003–25, 2003–17 I.R.B. 846
2003–26, 2003–18 I.R.B. 862
2003–27, 2003–18 I.R.B. 862
2003–28, 2003–19 I.R.B. 899
2003–29, 2003–20 I.R.B. 928
2003–30, 2003–20 I.R.B. 929
2003–31, 2003–20 I.R.B. 930
2003–32, 2003–20 I.R.B. 933
2003–33, 2003–21 I.R.B. 953
2003–34, 2003–21 I.R.B. 953
2003–35, 2003–21 I.R.B. 956
2003–37, 2003–24 I.R.B. 1025
2003–38, 2003–24 I.R.B. 1029
2003–39, 2003–24 I.R.B. 1030

Court Decisions:

2077, 2003–19 I.R.B. 868

Notices:

2003–1, 2003–2 I.R.B. 257
2003–2, 2003–2 I.R.B. 257
2003–3, 2003–2 I.R.B. 258
2003–4, 2003–3 I.R.B. 294
2003–5, 2003–3 I.R.B. 294
2003–6, 2003–3 I.R.B. 298
2003–7, 2003–4 I.R.B. 310
2003–8, 2003–4 I.R.B. 310
2003–9, 2003–5 I.R.B. 369
2003–10, 2003–5 I.R.B. 369
2003–11, 2003–6 I.R.B. 422
2003–12, 2003–6 I.R.B. 422
2003–13, 2003–8 I.R.B. 513
2003–14, 2003–8 I.R.B. 515
2003–15, 2003–9 I.R.B. 540
2003–16, 2003–10 I.R.B. 575

Notices—Continued:

2003–17, 2003–12 I.R.B. 633
2003–18, 2003–14 I.R.B. 699
2003–19, 2003–14 I.R.B. 703
2003–20, 2003–19 I.R.B. 894
2003–21, 2003–17 I.R.B. 817
2003–22, 2003–18 I.R.B. 851
2003–23, 2003–17 I.R.B. 821
2003–24, 2003–18 I.R.B. 853
2003–25, 2003–18 I.R.B. 855
2003–26, 2003–18 I.R.B. 855
2003–27, 2003–19 I.R.B. 898
2003–28, 2003–22 I.R.B. 971
2003–29, 2003–20 I.R.B. 917
2003–31, 2003–21 I.R.B. 948
2003–32, 2003–21 I.R.B. 949
2003–33, 2003–23 I.R.B. 990
2003–34, 2003–23 I.R.B. 990
2003–35, 2003–23 I.R.B. 992
2003–36, 2003–23 I.R.B. 992

Proposed Regulations:

REG–209500–86, 2003–2 I.R.B. 262
REG–113007–99, 2003–23 I.R.B. 1004
REG–104385–01, 2003–12 I.R.B. 634
REG–116641–01, 2003–8 I.R.B. 518
REG–125638–01, 2003–5 I.R.B. 373
REG–126016–01, 2003–7 I.R.B. 486
REG–126485–01, 2003–9 I.R.B. 542
REG–164754–01, 2003–22 I.R.B. 975
REG–103580–02, 2003–9 I.R.B. 543
REG–124069–02, 2003–7 I.R.B. 488
REG–131478–02, 2003–13 I.R.B. 669
REG–138882–02, 2003–8 I.R.B. 522
REG–139768–02, 2003–10 I.R.B. 583
REG–141097–02, 2003–16 I.R.B. 807
REG–141659–02, 2003–20 I.R.B. 927
REG–142605–02, 2003–23 I.R.B. 1010
REG–151043–02, 2003–3 I.R.B. 300
REG–152524–02, 2003–22 I.R.B. 979
REG–157302–02, 2003–24 I.R.B. 1021
REG–164464–02, 2003–2 I.R.B. 262

Revenue Procedures:

2003–1, 2003–1 I.R.B. 1
2003–2, 2003–1 I.R.B. 76
2003–3, 2003–1 I.R.B. 113
2003–4, 2003–1 I.R.B. 123
2003–5, 2003–1 I.R.B. 163
2003–6, 2003–1 I.R.B. 191
2003–7, 2003–1 I.R.B. 233
2003–8, 2003–1 I.R.B. 236
2003–9, 2003–8 I.R.B. 516
2003–10, 2003–2 I.R.B. 259
2003–11, 2003–4 I.R.B. 311
2003–12, 2003–4 I.R.B. 316
2003–13, 2003–4 I.R.B. 317
2003–14, 2003–4 I.R.B. 319
2003–15, 2003–4 I.R.B. 321
2003–16, 2003–4 I.R.B. 359
2003–17, 2003–6 I.R.B. 427
2003–18, 2003–6 I.R.B. 439
2003–19, 2003–5 I.R.B. 371
2003–20, 2003–6 I.R.B. 445

Revenue Procedures—Continued:

2003–21, 2003–6 I.R.B. 448
2003–22, 2003–10 I.R.B. 577
2003–23, 2003–11 I.R.B. 599
2003–24, 2003–11 I.R.B. 599
2003–25, 2003–11 I.R.B. 601
2003–26, 2003–13 I.R.B. 666
2003–27, 2003–13 I.R.B. 667
2003–28, 2003–16 I.R.B. 759
2003–29, 2003–20 I.R.B. 917
2003–30, 2003–17 I.R.B. 822
2003–31, 2003–17 I.R.B. 838
2003–32, 2003–16 I.R.B. 803
2003–33, 2003–16 I.R.B. 803
2003–34, 2003–18 I.R.B. 856
2003–35, 2003–20 I.R.B. 919
2003–36, 2003–18 I.R.B. 859
2003–37, 2003–21 I.R.B. 950
2003–38, 2003–24 I.R.B. 1017
2003–39, 2003–22 I.R.B. 971
2003–42, 2003–23 I.R.B. 993
2003–43, 2003–23 I.R.B. 998

Revenue Rulings:

2003–1, 2003–3 I.R.B. 291
2003–2, 2003–2 I.R.B. 251
2003–3, 2003–2 I.R.B. 252
2003–4, 2003–2 I.R.B. 253
2003–5, 2003–2 I.R.B. 254
2003–6, 2003–3 I.R.B. 286
2003–7, 2003–5 I.R.B. 363
2003–8, 2003–3 I.R.B. 290
2003–9, 2003–4 I.R.B. 303
2003–10, 2003–3 I.R.B. 288
2003–11, 2003–3 I.R.B. 285
2003–12, 2003–3 I.R.B. 283
2003–13, 2003–4 I.R.B. 305
2003–14, 2003–4 I.R.B. 302
2003–15, 2003–4 I.R.B. 302
2003–16, 2003–6 I.R.B. 401
2003–17, 2003–6 I.R.B. 400
2003–18, 2003–7 I.R.B. 467
2003–19, 2003–7 I.R.B. 468
2003–20, 2003–7 I.R.B. 465
2003–21, 2003–8 I.R.B. 509
2003–22, 2003–8 I.R.B. 494
2003–23, 2003–8 I.R.B. 511
2003–24, 2003–10 I.R.B. 557
2003–25, 2003–13 I.R.B. 642
2003–26, 2003–10 I.R.B. 563
2003–27, 2003–11 I.R.B. 597
2003–28, 2003–11 I.R.B. 594
2003–29, 2003–11 I.R.B. 587
2003–30, 2003–13 I.R.B. 659
2003–31, 2003–13 I.R.B. 643
2003–32, 2003–14 I.R.B. 689
2003–33, 2003–13 I.R.B. 642
2003–34, 2003–17 I.R.B. 813
2003–35, 2003–14 I.R.B. 687
2003–36, 2003–18 I.R.B. 849
2003–37, 2003–15 I.R.B. 717
2003–38, 2003–17 I.R.B. 811
2003–39, 2003–17 I.R.B. 811
2003–40, 2003–17 I.R.B. 813

¹ A cumulative list of all revenue rulings, revenue procedures, Treasury decisions, etc., published in Internal Revenue Bulletins 2002–26 through 2002–52 is in Internal Revenue Bulletin 2003–1, dated January 6, 2003.

Revenue Rulings—Continued:

2003-41, 2003-17 I.R.B. 814
2003-42, 2003-16 I.R.B. 754
2003-43, 2003-21 I.R.B. 935
2003-44, 2003-18 I.R.B. 848
2003-45, 2003-19 I.R.B. 876
2003-46, 2003-19 I.R.B. 878
2003-47, 2003-19 I.R.B. 866
2003-48, 2003-19 I.R.B. 863
2003-49, 2003-20 I.R.B. 903
2003-50, 2003-21 I.R.B. 944
2003-51, 2003-21 I.R.B. 938
2003-52, 2003-22 I.R.B. 960
2003-53, 2003-22 I.R.B. 969
2003-54, 2003-23 I.R.B. 982
2003-55, 2003-22 I.R.B. 961
2003-56, 2003-23 I.R.B. 985
2003-57, 2003-22 I.R.B. 959
2003-58, 2003-22 I.R.B. 959
2003-59, 2003-24 I.R.B. 1014
2003-60, 2003-23 I.R.B. 987
2003-61, 2003-24 I.R.B. 1015

Tax Conventions:

Ann. 2003-21, 2003-17 I.R.B. 846

Treasury Decisions:

9024, 2003-5 I.R.B. 365
9025, 2003-5 I.R.B. 362
9026, 2003-5 I.R.B. 366
9027, 2003-6 I.R.B. 413
9028, 2003-6 I.R.B. 415
9029, 2003-6 I.R.B. 403
9030, 2003-8 I.R.B. 495
9031, 2003-8 I.R.B. 504
9032, 2003-7 I.R.B. 471
9033, 2003-7 I.R.B. 483
9034, 2003-7 I.R.B. 453
9035, 2003-9 I.R.B. 528
9036, 2003-9 I.R.B. 533
9037, 2003-9 I.R.B. 535
9038, 2003-9 I.R.B. 524
9039, 2003-10 I.R.B. 561
9040, 2003-10 I.R.B. 568
9041, 2003-8 I.R.B. 510
9042, 2003-10 I.R.B. 564
9043, 2003-12 I.R.B. 611
9044, 2003-14 I.R.B. 690
9045, 2003-12 I.R.B. 610
9046, 2003-12 I.R.B. 614
9047, 2003-14 I.R.B. 676
9048, 2003-13 I.R.B. 644
9049, 2003-14 I.R.B. 685
9050, 2003-14 I.R.B. 693
9051, 2003-16 I.R.B. 755
9052, 2003-19 I.R.B. 879
9053, 2003-20 I.R.B. 914
9054, 2003-20 I.R.B. 909
9055, 2003-21 I.R.B. 945
9056, 2003-21 I.R.B. 940
9057, 2003-22 I.R.B. 964
9058, 2003-22 I.R.B. 962

Finding List of Current Actions on Previously Published Items²

Bulletins 2003–1 through 2003–24

Notices:

97–19

Modified by
Rev. Proc. 2003–1, 2003–1 I.R.B. *1*

97–32

Revoked by
T.D. 9058, 2003–22 I.R.B. *962*

2000–38

Modified by
Notice 2003–20, 2003–19 I.R.B. *894*

2001–26

Obsoleted by
T.D. 9032, 2003–7 I.R.B. *471*

2001–46

Modified by
Notice 2003–6, 2003–3 I.R.B. *298*

2001–69

Modified and superseded by
Notice 2003–1, 2003–2 I.R.B. *257*

2002–20

Superseded by
Rev. Proc. 2003–36, 2003–18 I.R.B. *859*

2002–27

Clarified by
Notice 2003–3, 2003–2 I.R.B. *258*

2002–40

Modified by
Ann. 2003–18, 2003–13 I.R.B. *675*

2002–46

Modified by
Notice 2003–10, 2003–5 I.R.B. *369*

Proposed Regulations:

REG–209500–86

Corrected by
Ann. 2003–6, 2003–6 I.R.B. *450*

REG–103829–99

Corrected by
Ann. 2003–12, 2003–10 I.R.B. *585*

REG–126485–01

Withdrawn by
REG–126485–01, 2003–9 I.R.B. *542*
Corrected by
Ann. 2003–25, 2003–17 I.R.B. *846*

REG–164754–01

Supplemented by
REG–164754–01, 2003–22 I.R.B. *975*

REG–131478–02

Corrected by
Ann. 2003–24, 2003–16 I.R.B. *810*

Proposed Regulations—Continued:

REG–143321–02

Corrected by
Ann. 2003–12, 2003–10 I.R.B. *585*

REG–164464–02

Corrected by
Ann. 2003–6, 2003–6 I.R.B. *450*

Revenue Procedures:

83–23

Supplemented by
Rev. Proc. 2003–21, 2003–6 I.R.B. *448*

84–37

Modified by
Rev. Proc. 2003–1, 2003–1 I.R.B. *1*

93–38

Obsoleted by
Rev. Proc. 2003–15, 2003–4 I.R.B. *321*

97–31

Modified by
Rev. Proc. 2003–9, 2003–8 I.R.B. *516*

98–13

Obsoleted by
T.D. 9032, 2003–7 I.R.B. *471*

98–55

Superseded by
Rev. Proc. 2003–43, 2003–23 I.R.B. *998*

2002–1

Superseded by
Rev. Proc. 2003–1, 2003–1 I.R.B. *1*

2002–2

Superseded by
Rev. Proc. 2003–2, 2003–1 I.R.B. *76*

2002–3

Superseded by
Rev. Proc. 2003–3, 2003–1 I.R.B. *113*

2002–4

Superseded by
Rev. Proc. 2003–4, 2003–1 I.R.B. *123*

2002–5

Superseded by
Rev. Proc. 2003–5, 2003–1 I.R.B. *163*

2002–6

Superseded by
Rev. Proc. 2003–6, 2003–1 I.R.B. *191*

2002–7

Superseded by
Rev. Proc. 2003–7, 2003–1 I.R.B. *233*

2002–8

Superseded by
Rev. Proc. 2003–8, 2003–1 I.R.B. *236*

Revenue Procedures—Continued:

2002–9

Modified and amplified by
Rev. Proc. 2003–20, 2003–6 I.R.B. *445*
Rev. Rul. 2003–3, 2003–2 I.R.B. *252*
Rev. Rul. 2003–54, 2003–23 I.R.B. *982*
Suspended in part by
Notice 2003–36, 2003–23 I.R.B. *992*

2002–20

Supplemented by
Rev. Proc. 2003–26, 2003–13 I.R.B. *666*

2002–22

Modified by
Rev. Proc. 2003–3, 2003–1 I.R.B. *113*

2002–29

Modified by
Rev. Proc. 2003–10, 2003–2 I.R.B. *259*

2002–37

Modified by
Rev. Proc. 2002–34, 2002–18 I.R.B. *856*

2002–39

Modified by
Rev. Proc. 2002–34, 2002–18 I.R.B. *856*

2002–51

Superseded by
Rev. Proc. 2003–31, 2003–17 I.R.B. *838*

2002–52

Modified by
Rev. Proc. 2003–1, 2003–1 I.R.B. *1*

2002–53

Superseded by
Rev. Proc. 2003–30, 2003–17 I.R.B. *822*

2002–57

Superseded by
Rev. Proc. 2003–28, 2003–16 I.R.B. *759*

2002–67

Supplemented by
Ann. 2003–3, 2003–4 I.R.B. *361*

2002–75

Superseded by
Rev. Proc. 2003–3, 2003–1 I.R.B. *113*

2003–3

Amplified by
Rev. Proc. 2003–14, 2003–4 I.R.B. *319*

2003–7

Corrected by
Ann. 2003–4, 2003–5 I.R.B. *396*

Revenue Rulings:

53–131

Modified by
Rev. Rul. 2003–12, 2003–3 I.R.B. *283*

57–190

Obsoleted by
Rev. Rul. 2003–18, 2003–7 I.R.B. *467*

² A cumulative list of current actions on previously published items in Internal Revenue Bulletins 2002–26 through 2002–52 is in Internal Revenue Bulletin 2003–1, dated January 6, 2003.

Revenue Rulings—Continued:

65–190

Revoked by
Rev. Rul. 2003–3, 2003–2 I.R.B. 252

66–118

Distinguished by
Rev. Rul. 2003–41, 2003–17 I.R.B. 814

68–345

Obsoleted by
Rev. Rul. 2003–54, 2003–23 I.R.B. 982

69–372

Revoked by
Rev. Rul. 2003–3, 2003–2 I.R.B. 252

70–140

Distinguished by
Rev. Rul. 2003–51, 2003–21 I.R.B. 938

70–522

Distinguished by
Rev. Rul. 2003–51, 2003–21 I.R.B. 938

79–70

Distinguished by
Rev. Rul. 2003–51, 2003–21 I.R.B. 938

79–194

Distinguished by
Rev. Rul. 2003–51, 2003–21 I.R.B. 938

86–88

Supplemented by
Rev. Rul. 2003–46, 2003–19 I.R.B. 878

88–36

Supplemented by
Rev. Rul. 2003–46, 2003–19 I.R.B. 878

92–19

Supplemented in part by
Rev. Rul. 2003–24, 2003–10 I.R.B. 557

2000–49

Modified and superseded by
Rev. Rul. 2003–49, 2003–20 I.R.B. 903

2002–80

Distinguished by
Rev. Rul. 2003–43, 2003–21 I.R.B. 935

2003–2

Revoked by
Rev. Rul. 2003–22, 2003–8 I.R.B. 494

Treasury Decisions:

9002

Corrected by
Ann. 2003–8, 2003–6 I.R.B. 451

9021

Corrected by
Ann. 2003–16, 2003–12 I.R.B. 641

9022

Supplemented by
Ann. 2003–7, 2003–6 I.R.B. 450
Corrected by
Ann. 2003–11, 2003–10 I.R.B. 585

9048

Corrected by
Ann. 2003–23, 2003–16 I.R.B. 808

2003–25 I.R.B.