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Overview

Created in 1968, the Art Advisory Panel of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue (the Panel) provides advice and makes recommendations to the Art Appraisal Services (AAS) unit in the Independent Office of Appeals for the Internal Revenue Service (IRS). Chartered under the Federal Advisory Committee Act (FACA), the Panel assists by providing value recommendations regarding the acceptability of tangible personal property appraisals taxpayers submit to support the fair market value claimed on the wide range of works of art involved in income, estate, and gift tax returns.

When a tax return selected for audit includes an appraisal of a single work of art or cultural property valued at $50,000 or more, the IRS examining agent or appeals officer must refer the case to AAS for possible referral to the Panel, unless a specific exception exists. The AAS staff supports and coordinates the Panel meetings, while the AAS appraisers independently review taxpayers' appraisals for art works not referred to the Panel.

The Panel provides essential information to help foster voluntary compliance. The information and recommendations play an important role in the IRS’s efforts to cost-effectively address the potentially high abuse area of art valuation. The panelists provide information, advice, and insight into the world of art which cannot be obtained effectively from within the IRS. The Panel does not duplicate work performed in the IRS. The AAS appraisers review appraisals by researching publicly available information; the Panel provides additional knowledge of private sales based on their personal experience as dealers, scholars, and museum curators, and from information obtained from other members of their relatively small industry. The panelists’ knowledge is particularly beneficial when questions exist about the authenticity or condition of works of art.

Art Appraisal Services takes steps to ensure objectivity and safeguard taxpayer privacy. Information provided to the panelists does not include the taxpayer’s name, the type of tax, the tax consequences of any adjustments to the value, or who did the appraisal. To minimize the possibility that panelists recognize a taxpayer’s entire collection, the art works are usually discussed in alphabetical order by artist or, in the case of decorative art, by object type. If there is a conflict of interest with a panelist and a work of art under review, the panelist does not participate in the discussion and is excused from that portion of the meeting.

Before Panel meetings, AAS appraisers send photographs and written materials to the panelists about the works of art under review. The materials include information from the taxpayer’s appraisal, such as size, medium, physical condition, provenance, any comparable sales, and appraised value. The materials also include the AAS appraiser’s own research, including available information on public and private sales of relevant art work.
During the Panel meetings, the panelists review the information provided, along with the research and findings of both the panelists and AAS appraisers. After discussing each item individually, the Panel reaches consensus on the value of a subject work and they provide their verbal recommendation to the AAS appraisers. Despite the different perspectives of dealers, museum curators, and scholars, substantial disagreements are rare. When disagreements happen, they generally result from insufficient information. In these cases, the panelists may recommend additional research, such as physical inspection of the subject property or consulting with additional experts, before making a recommendation as to value. Once the AAS appraiser completes the additional work, the item may be brought up for review at a subsequent Panel meeting.

The Panel’s recommendations are strictly advisory. The AAS staff reviews all of the Panel's recommendations, which become the position of the IRS only with AAS concurrence. In Fiscal Year 2018, AAS adopted 69 percent of the Panel’s recommendations.¹

The AAS staff provides written reports or memos to the requesting IRS office, with a copy for the taxpayer, outlining the AAS appraiser’s final value determination. These reports or memos may reference any adjustments to fair market value recommended by the Panel.

Taxpayers may request reconsideration of an adjusted claimed value only if they provide substantial new information or probative evidence. The AAS staff may submit such information to the Panel for reconsideration at a subsequent meeting.

**Panel Leadership**

The Director, Art Appraisal Services serves as the Panel Chair and Designated Federal Officer (DFO) for FACA purposes.

**Panel Subcommittees**

The DFO has the authority to create subcommittees or workgroups. Subcommittees may be established for any purpose consistent with the Panel’s charter, and are comprised of Panel members. There are currently two subcommittees: the Fine Arts Panel, which reviews items such as paintings, sculpture, watercolors, prints, and drawings; and the Decorative Arts Panel, which reviews items such as antique furniture, decorative art, ceramics, textiles, carpets, and silver.

**Meetings**

---

¹ This percentage was calculated by dividing the number of items where AAS accepted the Panel value by the total number of items reviewed by the Panel. The Panel reviewed a total of 251 items. AAS accepted the Panel’s full recommendations on 174 items. The Panel’s recommendations assume full ownership of a work of art. However, case specific facts (i.e., partial ownership interests, discounts, etc.) are considered by the IRS in the ultimate value determination. In charitable contribution cases AAS considers the Panel’s recommendations and also the substantiation requirements set forth in the Internal Revenue Code and corresponding regulations.
The Panel generally meets twice a year. Panel meetings are closed to the public since all portions of the meetings concern matters that are exempted from disclosure under the provisions of sections 552b(c)(3), (4), (6) and (7) of Title 5 of the U.S. Code. This determination, which is consistent with section 10(d) of the Federal Advisory Committee Act, as amended (5 U.S.C. App. 2), is necessary to protect the confidentiality of tax returns and return information as required by section 6103 of the Internal Revenue Code.

The meetings held during this reporting period included:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Location</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>April 19, 2018</td>
<td>New York, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fine Arts</td>
<td>September 13, 2018</td>
<td>Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The Decorative Arts Panel did not meet in Fiscal Year 2018.

**Summary of Panel Recommendations**

During Fiscal Year 2018, the Panel reviewed 251\(^2\) items with an aggregate taxpayer valuation of $360,866,084 on 67 taxpayer cases. The average claimed value for an item reviewed by the Panel was $1,437,713.

The Panel recommended accepting the value of 94 items or 37 percent of the items presented. It adjusted 157 items or 63 percent of the appraisals it reviewed. On the 157 items adjusted, the Panel recommended total net adjustments of $(64,621,787) to the appraised values, an 18 percent decrease.

The Panel reconsidered ten items originally valued at $7,150,000 by the taxpayers and $12,398,000 by the Panel. After reviewing the additional information, the Panel revised their original recommendation to $12,273,000 ($125,000 decrease). The items reconsidered are not included in the information above or that follows.

\(^2\) This figure does not include items the panel may have declined to value.
## Comprehensive Recommendations Report

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Adjustment</th>
<th>Number of items</th>
<th>Taxpayer Claimed Value</th>
<th>Panel Recommendation</th>
<th>Net Change (Panel less-Claimed Value)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Items Decreased</td>
<td>68 (27%)</td>
<td>$244,180,939</td>
<td>$138,230,000</td>
<td>$(105,950,939)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Items Increased</td>
<td>89 (35%)</td>
<td>$65,950,348</td>
<td>$107,279,500</td>
<td>$41,329,152</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Items Accepted</td>
<td>94 (37%)</td>
<td>$50,734,797</td>
<td>$50,734,797</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Totals</td>
<td>251 (100%)</td>
<td>$360,866,084</td>
<td>$296,244,297</td>
<td>$(64,621,787)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Average Claimed Value Per Item: $1,437,713

### Net Change as a Percent

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Taxpayer Claimed Value</td>
<td>$360,866,084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Panel Recommendation</td>
<td>$296,244,297</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Adjustment</td>
<td>$(64,621,787)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Adjustment as a Percentage</td>
<td>-18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Ms. Stephanie Barron  Senior Curator of Modern Art
                       Los Angeles County Museum of Art
                       Los Angeles, CA

Mr. Andrew W. Butterfield  President
                          Andrew Butterfield Fine Arts, LLC
                          New York, NY

Ms. Carol Conover  Kaikodo, LLC
                   New York, NY

Mr. Leon Dalva  Dalva Brothers, Inc.
                New York, NY

Ms. Alice Duncan  Director
                     Gerald Peters Gallery
                     New York, NY

Mr. Michael Findlay  Director
                      Acquavella Galleries, Inc.
                      New York, NY

Mr. Steven P. Henry  Director
                     Paula Cooper Gallery
                     New York, NY

Mr. Brock Jobe  Professor of American Decorative Arts
                Winterthur Museum
                Winterthur, DE

Mr. Christian Jussel  Independent Scholar/Art Adviser
                      New York, NY

Mr. James Lally  Lally & Co
                New York, NY
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Position</th>
<th>Company/Institution</th>
<th>City, State</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Barbara Mathes</td>
<td></td>
<td>Barbara Mathes Gallery</td>
<td>New York, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ms. Susan Menconi*</td>
<td>Partner</td>
<td>Menconi &amp; Schoelkopf Fine Art</td>
<td>New York, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Howard Rehs</td>
<td>Director</td>
<td>Rehs Galleries, Inc.</td>
<td>New York, NY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dr. Andrew Robison</td>
<td>Former Mellon Senior Curator of Prints and Drawings</td>
<td>National Gallery of Art</td>
<td>Washington, DC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. Louis Stern</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>Louis Stern Fine Arts Inc.</td>
<td>Los Angeles, CA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mr. David Tunick</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>David Tunick, Inc.</td>
<td>New York, NY</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Resigned from Panel in 2018