

## ATS and Production Issues

(Beginning November 2016 through April 2017)

| <b>Production: January 23-26, 2017</b> |   |             |  |                                 |        |
|--|---|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--------|
| Item#                                  | Issue/Question  | Date Opened | Resolution   | Environment<br>(ATS/Production) | Status |
|  | No issues reported  | 01-26-2017  | NA   | Production                      | NA     |
|  | No issues reported  | 01-25-2017  | NA   | Production                      | NA     |
|  | No issues reported  | 01-24-2017  | NA   | Production                      | NA     |
|  | No issues reported  | 01-23-2017  | NA   | Production                      | NA     |
|  |   |             | <b>ATS and Production calls ended for TY 2016/PY 2017</b>  |                                 |        |
| <b>ATS: November 1-3, 2016</b>         |   |             |  |                                 |        |
| 1                                      | Form 56 scenarios - Specifically the "Return Version" Attribute? The value is a string type that is equal required, "2009v4.1" as a fixed value. These are rejecting. | 11-01-2016  | The return version "2009v4.1" of Form 56 is invalid and causes Form 56 to be rejected. The valid return version is "CUv12.0". The CUv13.0 version will have the Return 56 corrected in the next code which is tentatively scheduled for the second week of December. | ATS                             | Closed |

## ATS and Production Issues

(Beginning November 2016 through April 2017)

|   |  |            |   |     |        |
|---|--|------------|---|-----|--------|
| 2 | Some Security Summit business rules appear to be setting improperly. | 11-01-2016 | <p>Some programming logic related to the Security Summit business rules was not implemented in the most recent code deployment. As a result, 1040 test scenarios 2, 4, 6, 7, 8, and 9, as well as 1040NR test scenarios 2 and 4 are impacted. The workaround is to treat these returns as if the refund is being deposited into a bank account and then enter the banking information. You may use the banking information from 1040 scenario 1 if you like. If the scenario is actually a balance due return, continue to treat the return that way but also enter the banking information so the return may be accepted. More detailed information will be provided with the next delivery of the IMF schemas. The updated schemas are scheduled to be delivered to the Secure Object Repository mailbox of your company's point of contact within the next week.</p> <p>This logic will be incorporated in the next code deployment tentatively scheduled for the second week of December.</p> | ATS | Closed |
| 3 | How do we identify Security Summit business rules?                   | 11-02-2016 | <p>Security Summit business rules are listed in an attachment that will be delivered in the next schema drop within the next week. They can also be identified by going to the business rule package you received with version 2 of the 1040 schemas. Open the "1040BusRulesTY2016v2.0Rpt.zip" file and then open the csv file with the same name. Now set the following filters:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Filter on the Rule Number column to find rules that begin with "IND"</li> <li>Filter on the Rule Status column to find rules with a status of "New"</li> <li>Filter on the "Severity" column to find rules with "Reject and Stop" severity</li> </ul> <p>The resultant rules are the Security Summit rules.</p>  | ATS | Closed |

## ATS and Production Issues

(Beginning November 2016 through April 2017)

| Item# | Issue/Question   | Date Opened | Resolution   | Environment<br>(ATS/Production) | Status |
|-------|--|-------------|--|---------------------------------|--------|
| 4     | What is the workaround for the IP Address rejects?   | 11-02-2016  | Please submit an email to the MeF mailbox providing a submission ID as an example so that we may determine if it is a coding or IP Address issue.  | ATS                             | Closed |
| 5     | Versions on web would tell the dates when schemas were live. How will they learn the dated now for ATS and Production?   | 11-02-2016  | Dates still available on the website and also within the release memos posted on the webpages. <u><i>Modernized e-File (MeF) Schemas and Business Rules</i></u>  | ATS                             | Closed |
| 6     | 1040 Scenario for Form 8867  |             | For 1040 ATS scenarios 2 & 6 the Form 8867 is not included in those scenarios until a later date due to the coding changes for the Form. We apologize, but if you support the Form 8867 you will need to retest these two scenarios once the Form becomes available. | ATS                             | Closed |
| 7     | Can we make sure that FTA & State Agencies are informed about the workaround, since that will be the only way to get their Federal Version of the return accepted before sending in their Linked return? |             | FTA has been notified of the workaround.   | ATS                             | Closed |
|       |  |             | <b>ATS and Production calls ended for TY 2016/PY 2017</b>  |                                 |        |

*0 issues remain open.*

\*