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By Marvin Schwartz*

Preliminary estimates of the personal weal th of individuals in 1982 showed that approximately 4.4 million people had gross assets of $\$ 300,000$ or more. These individuals, hereafter referred to as "top weal thholders," represented only 2.8 percent of the nation's adult population. Their net worth, the value of their assets after reduction for debts, was more than $\$ 2.4$ trillion-and made up approximately 28 percent of the personal weal th in the United States in 1982 [1].

While a substantial portion of the weal th appeared to be in the hands of a small percentage of the population, there was some evidence of a slight downward trend in the concentration of weal th between 1976 and 1982. There has, in fact, been a long run decline in the concentration of weal th since 1922 [2, 3 ]. However, the downward trend observed between 1976 and 1982 may have been a function of the particular 2 years being compared, the preliminary nature of the 1982 estimates, and of course, sampling error.

Net Worth of the Weal thiest One Percent of the Adult Population

| Year | Net worth (billions) | Percent of weal th |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 | $\$ 960$ | $20.8 \%$ |

Despite the fact that there was only a slight change in the concentration of wealth, a large lincrease in the number of millionaires has occurred. Nearly 410,000 top weal thhol ders had net worth of $\$ 1$ million or more in 1982, more than double the 180,000 millionaires in 1976. The sharp increase in the number of millionaires between 1976 and 1982 was in part due to inflation. Using the Gross National Product Implicit Price Deflator [4], \$1 million in 1982 had the equivalent value of $\$ 639,700$ in 1976.
estimating personal wealth from estate tax RETURNS

While there is great interest in measuring the personal weal th of individuals in the

United States, opportunities for doing so are limited since individuals are not required to report information on weal th regularly on any tax return or other public document. Al though the ideal alternative for measuring weal th would be a comprehensive survey of a representative sample of the population, individuals' reluctance to reveal personal financial information diminishes the reliability of the estimates that could be generated [1].

The "estate multiplier technique," however, enables one to use administrative records, in particular, estate tax returns, for the purpose of estimating the personal weal th of that segment of the population which holds a substantial portion of the total weal th of all individuals. The superiority of this estimation technique over the sample survey method is based on the presumption that the nonresponse rate is less [5]. That is, the estate tax return is filed as required for decedents, thus, providing a ready-made sample frame. In addition, the administrative nature of the document results in more accurate data than that obtained from surveys which often are only an approximation of the actual weal th held.

The estate multiplier technique assumes that the estate tax returns represent a sampling frame designated by death from the living population. The weal th of the living population can be estimated from those returns by using the mortality rates of weal thy individuals to develop multipliers to weight the data up to the living population. However, weal th estimates using the estate multiplier technique depend upon the development of proper estate multipliers [6]. (A further discussion of the estimating technique is included under "Data Sources and Limitations.")

For 1982, estimates of weal th of these individuals were derived from the application of this estimating technique to data extracted from samples of Federal estate tax returns filed during 1983. The estimates of wealth included herein, therefore, were limited to those living individuals for whom an estate tax return would have been required had they died
during a 1 -year period extending from early 1982 to early 1983. These individuals are referred to as "top weal thhol ders." The weal th included on the returns was centered around mid-year 1982 and, therefore, can be said to reflect the weal th of the living population at that time.

As the level of gross estate or gross assets required for filing an estate tax return has increased, the definition of top weal thholders has also changed. The level of weal th to which the estimates are limited has risen from $\$ 60,000$, which defined top weal thholders in 1972, to $\$ 120,000$ for 1976 , and subsequently to $\$ 300,000$ for 1982. While summary estimates of wealth are provided for those wealthholders with gross assets of $\$ 300,000$ or more, asset detail is presented only for individuals with gross assets in excess of $\$ 500,000$ since asset detail was not required for the estates of decedents with gross assets below $\$ 500,000$.

The gross estate criterion is a Federal estate tax concept of weal th that does not conform to the usual definitions of weal th primarily because the face value of. life insurance is included in the wealth of the decedent. Therefore, three measures of weal th have been used in this article: gross assets (or gross estate), total assets, and net worth.

Gross assets (or gross estate) reflects the gross value of all assets, including the full face value of life insurance reduced by policy loans; but before any reduction for indebtedness. This measure defines those individuals included in the top weal thholder group. The amount of total assets, a lower wealth value, is still essentially a gross measure. Total assets are obtained by using the cash value of the life insurance asset, that is, the value the insurance had inmediately before the policyholder's death. [6]. Net worth, the level of wealth after all debts have been removed, includes the cash value of life insurance.

## PERSONAL WEALTH IN 1982

Female weal thholders were approximately 39.3 percent, or 1.7 million of the 4.4 million top weal thholders in 1982. This was signtficant increase from 1976, not only in the number of women holding this level of weal th, but in the proportion of the weal thhol ders who were female. Also increasing, though not as significantly as the number of female weal thhol ders, was the portion of weal th held by women.

Female Weal thhol ders with Gross Assets of $\$ 300,000$ or More: Percent of Weal thhol ders, Total Assets, and Net Worth


Much of the increase in the number of weal thy women was among those of moderate weal th, that is, those with net worth under $\$ 500,000$.

[^0]
## Average Net Worth of Weal thholders with Gross Assets of $\$ 300,000$ or More

|  | Year | Females | Males |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1976 |  | \$661,200 | \$477,900 |
| 1982 |  | 605,900 | 519,600 |
| Wealth and Asset Composition: A Comparison of |  |  |  |
| the Changes in the Types of Assets Held by Men |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

In 1982, real estate constituted the greatest share of assets held by all individuals with gross assets in excess of $\$ 500 ; 000$ (see Figure A). This situation continued the trend first observed for top weal thholders in 1981 [7]. In contrast, estimates of weal th for 1976. and earlier years showed corporate stock to be, in terms of their dollar value, the most commonly
held asset. In any case, real estate and corporate stock together accounted for more than 56 percent of the assets of the top weal thholders in 1982, slightly less than the 59 percent of the assets they represented in 1976. Corporate stock, long the most prominent asset in the portfolios of top weal thholders, declined from nearly 35 percent of their assets in 1976 to less than 25 percent in 1982. This likely reflected the modest increase in the stock market during this period as well as a more vigorous increase in the value of real estate during that period. The Standard and Poor's Composite Index of Common Stock Prices went up only 17 percent between 1976 and 1982 [81, while the consumer price index for home purchases rose 67.2 percent during the same period [9].

Bonds and noncorporate business assets also switched positions of importance. The share of assets held as bonds declined from more than 9 percent in 1976 to just 6 percent in 1982, reflecting the high inflation rates of the late 1970's which made the holding of bonds less attractive. The resulting capital losses associated with older bonds also contributed to the change in the relative position of bonds. Noncorporate business assets increased from 5 percent of the assets in 1976 to more than 8 percent of the assets in 1982.

The composition of the wealth held by men and women revealed some interesting differences. Real estate and corporate stock together were the greatest share of the weal th of both males and females. These two assets made up 59 percent of the estates of males and 52 percent of the estates of females. Corporate stock represented nearly 27 percent of the assets of men but only 21 percent of the assets of women. A greater contrast was observed in the proportion of the assets held by each sex as noncorpo-
rate business assets and bonds. Noncorporate business assets made up nearly 11 percent of the assets of men in 1982, compared with less than 5 percent of the assets of women. Conversely, weal th held by females as bonds was nearly 9 percent of assets as opposed to only 4 percent for males. Examination of the other assets showed that notes and mortgages and life insurance constituted a more significant portion of men's assets, while cash represented a greater share of women's assets.

Examination of the composition of the assets held by the weal thiest of the top weal thholders, those with net worth of $\$ 1$ million or more, revealed that corporate stock constituted the largest share (see Figure B). This contrasted with the previous observation of real estate as the largest single asset held by all top weal thhol ders.

The relative importance among millionaires of corporate stock and real estate changed significantly between 1976 and 1982. Corporate stock declined from 42 percent to 31 percent of the assets, and real estate rose from less than 15 percent to nearly 24 percent of the assets.

The increase in the share of millionaires' weal th held as noncorporate business assets was even more significant than the aforementioned increase for all top weal thholders. While noncorporate business assets were less than 4 percent of millionaires' assets in 1976, their share increased to nearly 10 percent in 1982. This large increase in the value of noncorporate business assets is in part a reflection of the large ( 222 percent) increase in the value of the assets of partnerships between 1976 and 1982 [10]. Proportionately, millionaires held more corporate stock, bonds, and noncorporate business assets and less real estate, cash, and life insurance than all top weal thholders.

Figure A.--All Top Weal thhol ders with Gross Assets in Excess of $\$ 500,000$ : Percent of Total Assets by Asset Type, by Sex, 1976 and 1982

| Type of asset | Total |  | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1976 | 1982 | 1976 | 1982 | 1976 | 1982 |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Cash | 7.1 | 7.8 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 7.3 | 9.4 |
| Corporate stock | 34.7 | 24.8 | 36.3 | 26.8 | 32.3 | 21.5 |
| Bonds ..... | 9.1 | 6.0 | 7.4 | 4.3 | 11.9 | 8.8 |
| Life insurance | 0.9 | 1.2 | 1.4 | 1.6 | 0.2 | 0.5 |
| Notes and mortgages | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 3.2 | 3.5 |
| Real estate .... | 24.3 | 31.5 | 26.2 | 32.1 | 21.4 | 30.6 |
| Noncorporate business asse | 5.2 | 8.5 | 6.9 | 10.7 | 2.6 | 4.8 |
| Other assets ........... | 14.5 | 15.7 | 10.4 | 12.5 | 21.1 | 21.1 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Figure B. --All Top Weal thhol ders with Net Worth of $\$ 1$ Million or More: Percent of Total Assets by Asset Type, by Sex, 1976 and 1982

| Type of asset | Total |  | Males |  | Females |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1976 | 1982 | 1976 | 1982 | 1976 | 1982 |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) |
| Total | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% | 100.0\% |
| Cash | 5.7 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 5.3 | 5.8 | 7.1 |
| Corporate stock | 42.0 | 31.2 | 46.3 | 33.4 | 36.0 | 27.5 |
| Bonds | 12.3 | 7.8 | 10.5 | 5.7 | 14.9 | 11.3 |
| Life insurance | 0.5 | 0.6 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 |
| Notes and mortgages | 3.1 | 4.5 | 4.0 | 5.5 | 1.9 | 2.9 |
| Real estate ....... | 14.7 | 23.6 | 15.6 | 25.1 | 13.4 | 21.3 |
| Noncorporate business assets | 3.8 | 9.9 | 5.0 | 12.0 | 2.1 | 6.4 |
| Other assets ................ | 17.9 | 16.4 | 12.2 | 12.1 | 25.8 | 23.4 |

NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

## SUMMARY

For 1982, nearly 4.4 million top weal thholders with gross assets of $\$ 300,000$ or more, or 2.8 percent of the nation's adult population, held approximatel-y 28 percent of the nation's-weal-th. The net worth of these individuals, \$2.4 trillion, was more than double the $\$ 1.0$ trillion that the 1.9 million people with gross assets of $\$ 300,000$ or more held in 1976.

The top weal thholder in 1982 was apt to be a male under 50 years of age with net worth between $\$ 250,000$ and $\$ 500,000$. More than one-half of his weal th was held as real estate and corporate stock, with real estate surpassing corporate stock as the most prominent asset in the top weal thhol der's portfolio.

Slightly more than 60 percent of the top weal thhol ders were men. Female weal thhol ders, while still the minority, nevertheless significantly increased their representation from less than 33 percent in 1976 to nearly 40 percent of the top weal thholders in 1982. Of the total, approximately 40 percent were under 50 years of age, and nearly 36 percent were between 50 and 64 years of age.

## DATA SOURCES AND LIMITATIONS

Estimates of personal wealth were based on information reported on Federal estate tax returns filed during 1983. The sampled returns primarily reflected deaths that occurred during a 1-year period extending from early 1982 to early 1983. Because a time extension could be obtained for filing the estate tax return, estimates of personal weal th for 1982 were also based on a limited number of returns for persons who died before 1982. The effect on the estimates of the inclusion of pre-1982 decedents and the corresponding exclusion of some 1982 decedents was a slight downward bias.

As indicated previously, the weal th included on the sampled returns was centered around mid-year of 1982 and can be said to represent the wealth of the living population at that time. While the Federal estate tax return is an- exceptional source of economic information, the accuracy of the estimates of personal wealth for a particular time is limited somewhat by the time span during which the sampled estates are valued. For assets such as corporate stock, the timing of the valuation can be quite significant; however, about 75 percent of the estate returns filed during 1983 were for persons who died in 1982.

The "estate multiplier technique," which has been used since the beginning of the 20 th century (financial records were used as early as 1864 to estimate total personal weal th by a related technique), enables conclusions to be drawn about the wealth of the living population through the knowledge of the weal th of the deceased [11, 12]. The underlying assumption in making these estimates from estate tax returns is that death draws a random sample of the living population. In actuality, however, death is not a random event and therefore is not a simple representative sample of the living population under consideration.

The probability of "death's selection" of an individual depends on the particulars of one's life. Age and sex are usually taken as gross indicators of these conditions. By knowing the mortality rate and the number of deaths for each age and sex group, the population of weal thholders can be derived by multiplying the inverse of the mortality rate by the number of deaths in each group.

Vital to the estimates of personal wealth is the use of a mortality rate appropriate to the top wealthholder population. This figure is essential to the estimates in that there is
much evidence that the mortality rates of the weal thy are lower than those of the population as a whole [13], that is, social class also is a determinant in the "selection of the sample." Therefore, an adjustment to the general mortality rates is necessary.

The mortality rates assumed to approximate those of the weal thy are generated by using data prepared by the Metropolitan Life Insurance Company [14]. Three sets of age-specific multipliers for male and female decedents for 1982 were produced by using the insurance experience for a 4 -year period.

While the estimates of weal th for 1982 were based on estate tax returns sampled during a specific filing year, the returns selected during 1983 were designated as part of a new sample design. Returns selected for earlier studies primarily reflected deaths that occurred during the year prior to the year in which they were sampled; however, they also reflected deaths from several preceding years as well as some that occurred that same year. For example, returns selected during 1977 primarily reflected deaths that occurred during 1976, but also included some deaths in 1977 and 1975 and several preceding years. Therefore, the estimates were achieved by using values determined over an extended period. To reflect the weal th at a particular time more accurately, a "year of death" basis for selecting the sample was used in 1983. Returns selected for the sample were based on persons dying in 1982. These returns were augmented by a sample of all returns for wealthy and young decedents, regardless of the year of death. This sample was selected for a 3-year period ending Decenber 1984 and will serve as the basis for final estimates of personal wealth in 1982. (Revised 1982 estimates will be available when all 3 years have been fully processed.)

Wile estimates of wealth are provided for all weal thhol ders with gross assets of $\$ 300,000$ or more, an examination of their asset composition is provided only for those weal thholders with gross assets in excess of $\$ 500,000$. This limitation is the result of a change in the reporting requirements for the estate tax returns on which these estimates are based. Detailed asset information is not required to be filed on the returns of persons who died after December 31, 1981 with a gross estate (gross assets) of $\$ 500,000$ or less. Nevertheless, 70 percent of the assets of the wealthholders derived from this group were reported on the returns. The inclusion of estimates of weal th based on this incomplete detail would distort the estimates and introduce a bias into the estimates. (The decision to provide asset detail on the return may be a reflection of the asset composition of the estate.)

Because the data presented in this article are estimates based on a sample of estate tax returns filed with the Internal Revenue Service, they are subject to sampling, as well as nonsampling, error. For proper use of the statistical data, the magnitude of the potential sampling error must be known.

The following table presents preliminary estimates of the coefficients of variation (CV's) for frequency estimates. The approximate CV's shown here are intended only as a general indication of the reliability of the data. For a number other than that shown, the corresponding CV's can be estimated by interpolation.

| Number of <br> Weal thhol ders | Approximated <br> Coefficient of Variation |
| :---: | :---: |
| $3,400,000$ | 0.05 |
| 850,000 | 0.10 |
| 210,000 | 0.20 |
| 136,000 | 0.25 |
| 69,000 | 0.35 |
| 34,000 | 0.50 |

The reliability of estimates based on samples, and the use of coefficients of variation for evaluating the precision of sample estimates, are discussed in the Appendix.

Nonsampling error of the estate tax return data was controlled during statistical processing by a variety of methods. Among them was a systematic verification of the manual data editing at the field processing locations. As a further check on the quality of the editing, small subsamples selected after field verification were reprocessed in the National Office. Key entry of the data at the processing locations was also subjected to 100 -percent verification.

Prior to tabulation, numerous computer tests were applied to each return record to check for inconsistencies. Before publication, all statistics and tables were reviewed for accuracy and reasonableness.
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Table 1A.--All Top Wealthholdere Wlth Gross Assets of $\$ 300,000$ ur Mure, by Slze of Ne? Wurth, 1982
 dallars]

| Slze of net worth | Number ol top wialtiholders | Total assels | Debts and mortganies |  | Net wurth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number | Amount |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Total. | 4,377.9 | 2,897,185 | 3.902 .5 | 474,074 | 2.423.111 |
| Under \$100,000 1/. | 378.9 | . 47.456 | 368.2 | 104,165 | -6,709 |
| \$100,000 under $\$ 250,000$. | 724:8 | 207,432. | $690 . \mathrm{B}$ | - 77,368 | 130.064 |
| \$250,000 under \$500,000. | 1.948 .6 | 818,445 | 1.700 .5 | 101,849 | 716,596 |
| \$500,000 under \$ $1,000,000$. | . 868.0 | 651,494 | 770.2 | 69,618 | 581,876 |
| \$1,000,000 under $\$ 5,000,000$. | 375.7 | 780,014 | 342.3 | 98.855 | 681.159 |
| \$5,000,000 or murc. . . . . . . . | 32.0 | 342,343 | 30.3 | 22.219 | 320,124 |

1/Includes those wealthholders with negative net worth.
NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 1B. - All Top Wealthholders With Cross Assets Greater Than $\$ 500,000$, by Sixe of Net Worth. 1982
 dollars!


Types of assets

| ze of net wort | Cash |  | Corporate stock |  | Ponds |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | total |  | - Corporate and foreign |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number | Amount , | Number | Amount |
| Total | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | 1,911.1 |  | 1,555.3: | 530,520 |  | ; 128,282 | 328.2 | 13,81i |
| Under $\$ 250,000$ 1/. | 236.7 | 5,243 | 169.3 | 10,895 | 43.7 | 824 | $\therefore \quad 13.1$ | 196 |
| \$250,000 under $\$ 500,000 .$. | 422.7 | 16,000 | 307.4 | 34,942 | 96.7 | 3,585 | - 40.5 | - 1,167 |
| \$500,000 under \$1,000,000. | 851.4 | 78,228 | 710.5 | - 134,744 | 398.5 | 35,951 | : 191.5 | $\cdots$ ' 5,631 |
| \$1,000,000 under \$5,000,000. | 368.7 | 53,040 | 338.2 | 226,912 | 195.4 | 55,028 | - 74.7 | $3,679$ |
| \$5,000,000 or more. . . . . . | 31.6 | 14,298 | 29.9 | 123,026 | 20.9 | 32,894 | - 8.4 | 3,138 |



[^1]Table 2A. --All Men: Top Wealehholders With Lirose Assets of $\$ 300,000$ or Mure, by Size of Net Worth. 1982
 dullarsl

| - Slze oi nel worth | Number of top wealthholders | Tutal asscts | 1)ebts and mortgages |  | Nel wurth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number | Amwunt |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Total. | 2.658 .7 | 1,746,052 | 2.392 .8 | 364,627 | 1,381,425 |
| Under \$100,000 1/. | 318.8 | 88,356 | 308.2 | 93.708 | -5,152 |
| \$100,000 under $\$ 250,000$. | 595.2 | 162,995 | 565.0 | 57.517 | 105,478 |
| \$250,000 under \$500,000. | 1,013.3 | 424,345 | 855.5 | 68,938 | 355,407 |
| \$ 500,000 under $\$ 1,000,000$. | 482.4 | 372,456 | 432.4 | 45.736 | 326,720 |
| \$1,000,000 under \$5,000,000. | 231.5 | 504,472 | 215.6 | 82.489 | 421,483 |
| \$5,000,000 or more.. | 17.5 | 193,428 | 16.1 | 16.238 | 177.189 |

1/Includes those wealthholders with negative net worth.
NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 2B. --All Men: Top Wealthholders With Gross Assets Greater Than $\$ 500,000$, by Size of Net Worth, 1982
 dollars

| Size of net worth |  |  |  | Number of top wealthholdurs | Total assets | Debts and mortgages |  | Net worth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Number |  | Amount |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Total..... |  |  |  | 1,243.5 | 1,342,125 | 1.157 .6 | 297,828 | 1,044,297 |
| Under \$250,000 $1 / \ldots$ |  |  |  | 218.7 | 105,697 | 215.1 | 99,909 | 5,789 |
| \$250,000 under $\$ 500,000$. |  |  |  | 293.4 | 166,073 | 278.4 | 53,456 | 112,616 |
| \$500,000 under $\$ 1,000,000$. |  |  |  | 482.4 | 372,456 | 432.4 | 45,736 | 326,720 |
| \$1,000,000 under \$5,000,000. |  |  |  | 231.5 | 504,472 | 215.6 | 82,489 | 421,983 |
| \$5,000,000 or more... |  |  |  | 17.5 | 193,428 | 16.1 | 16,238 | 177,189 |
| Size of net worth | Types of assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cash |  | Corporate stuck |  | Bonds |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Total |  | Corporate and foreign |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number | Amount | Number | Armount |
|  | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
| Under $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total....... }\end{aligned}$ | $1,205.2$ 203.2 | 91,840 4,006 | $1,013.5$ 141.6 | 359.268 9,854 | 444.6 37.3 | 58,193 588 | 182.4 ** | 5,643 $* *$ |
| \$250,000 under $\$ 500,000$. | 283.8 | 11,506 | 238.2 | 29,472 | 68.4 | 2,306 | **33.9 | **930 |
| \$500,000 under $\$ 1,000,000 \ldots$ | 472.3 | 39,200 | 401.2 | 86.775 | 216.9 | 15,395 | 105.9 | 2,469 |
| \$1,000,000 under $\$ 5,000,000$. | 228.5 | 30,476 | 215.7 | 154,530 | 111.6 | 24,610 | 38.7 | 1,764 |
| \$5,000,000 or more.. | 17.4 | 6,651 | 16.7 | 78,636 | 10.3 | 15,295 | 3.9 | 479 |
| Size of net worth | Types of assets--Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bonds--Continued |  |  |  |  |  | Life insurance equity |  |
|  | Covernment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Federal savings |  | Other Federal |  | State and local |  | Number | Amount |
|  | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |  |  |
|  | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| Under $\begin{aligned} & \text { Total. } \$ 250,000 \text { il. }\end{aligned}$ | 142.2 ** | 3,697 $* *$ | 98.3 | 16,275 | 242.6 | 32,579 | 1,088.2 | 22,044 |
| \$250,000 under \$500,000.. | **42.4 | **199 | **23.7 |  |  | ** | 213.2 | 4,717 |
| \$ 500,000 under $\$ 1,000,000$. | 68.8 | 1,543 | +44.2 | 4,259 | $* * 34.6$ 121.4 | $* * 1,178$ 7,124 | 273.9 387.7 | 5,229 5,819 |
| \$1,000,000 under $\$ 5,000,000$. | 29.2 | 713 | 26.9 | 5,526 | 78.9 | 16,606 | 199.1 | 5,501 |
| \$5,000,000 or more. | 1.8 | 1,241 | 3.6 | 5,905 | 7.7 | 7,670 | 14.2 | 778 |
| Size of net worth | Types of assets--Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Notes and mortgages |  | Real estate |  | Noncorporate business assets |  | Other assets |  |
|  | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
|  | (22) | (23) | (24) |  | (26) | (27) | (28) | (29) |
| Total. | 598.2 | 68,839 | 1,159.2 |  | 632.6 | 142,960 | 1,208.1 | 168,221 |
| Under $\$ 250,000$ 1/.......... | 54.0 | 5,556 | $203.3$ | 54,229 | 104.1 | 13,758 | 211.6 | 12,989 |
| \$250,000 under $\$ 500,000 \ldots$ | 118.2 | 6,346 | 282.9 | 72,347 | 149.9 | 18,778 | 288.5 | 20,090 |
| \$500,000 under $\$ 1,000,000 \ldots$ | 263.1 | 18,381 | $\begin{aligned} & 446.5 \\ & 210.4 \end{aligned}$ | 129,154 | 225.6 | 26,754 | 467.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 50,978 \\ & 54,453 \end{aligned}$ |
| \$1,000,000 under $\$ 5,000,000$. | 150.8 | 27.657 |  | 146,853 | 141.4 | 60,390 | 223.6 |  |
| \$5,000,000 or more. . . . . . . . . | 12.1 | 10,898 | 16.1 | 28,176 | 11.7 | 23,281 | 17.1 | 29,711 |

[^2]Table 3A,-All Women: Top Wealchholders With Gross Assets of $\$ 300,000$ or More, by Size of Net Worth, 1982
 dollars

|  | Number of top wealthholders | Total -asscts | Debls and murtgages |  | Net wurth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sle of net worth |  |  | Number | Amount |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Total. | 1,719.2 | 1,151,133 | 1.509 .7 | 109.447 | 1,041.686 |
| Under \$ $100,000 \mathrm{l} /$. | 60.1 | 9,100 | 60.1 | 10,457 | -1,357 |
| \$100,000 under $\$ 250,000$. | 129.6 | 44,438 | 125.8 | 19,851 | 24.587 |
| \$250,000 under $\$ 500,000$. | 985.3 | 394.100 | 845.0 | 32.911 | 361.189 |
| \$500,000 under $\$ 1,000,000$. | 385.6 | 279.038 | 337.9 | 23,883 | 255.155 |
| \$ $1,000,000$ under $\$ 5,000,000$. | 144.2 | 275,542 | 126.7 | 16,366 | 259.176 |
| \$5,000,000 or more........ | 14.4 | 148,915 | 14.2 | 5.980 | 142,935 |

I/Includes those wealthholders with negative net worth.
NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 3B.--All Women: Top Wealthholders With Gross Assets Greater Than $\$ 500,000$, by Size of Net Worth, 1982
 dollars]



[^3]Table 4A. - Top Wealthholders Under 50 Years of Age With Gross Asuete of $\$ 300,000$ or More, by Size uf Net Wurth, 1982
 dollars]

| Size of net worth | Number of top wealthholders | Tutal assets | Debts and murtgages |  | Net worth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number | Amount |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Total. | 1.756 .6 | 941,728 | 1,636.8 | 278,853 | 662,874 |
| Under $\$ 100,000 \mathrm{l} /$ | 356.7 | 88,381 | 346.4 | 90,799 | $-2,414$ |
| \$100,000 under $\$ 250,000 \ldots$ | 520.9 | 148,693 | 499.5 | 57,466 | 91,227 |
| \$250,000 under $\$ 500,000 \ldots$ | 569.8 | 257,852 | 516.9 | 59,130 | 198,722 |
| \$500,000 under \$1,000,000. | 218.7 | 174,370 | 189.9 | 29,314 | 145,056 |
| \$1,000,000 under $\$ 5,000,000 \ldots$ | 80.3 | 181,079 | 74.3 | 35.875 | 145,205 |
| \$5,000,000 or more......... | 10.1 | 91,352 | 9.8 | 6,269 | 85,083 |

I/Includes those wealthholders with negative net worth.
NOTE: Detall may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 4B. --Top Wealthholders Under 50 Years of Age With Gross Assets Graitar Than $\$ 500,000$, by Size of Net Worth, 1982
 dollars]

| Size of net worth |
| :--- |


| Size of net worth | Types of assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash |  | Corporate stock |  | Bonds |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Total |  | Corporate and foreign |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
|  | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
| Total...... | 729.2 | 42,163 | 575.5 | 151,101 | 198.6 | 33,904 | 74.8 | 4,015 |
| Under \$250,000 1/. | 207.7 | 4,451 | 146.1 | 9,407 | 37.1 | 411 | 10.9 | 112 |
| \$250,000 under $\$ 500,000$. | 219.7 | 7,830 | 179.0 | 22,383 | 41.9 | 1,412 | 18.5 | 684 |
| \$ 500,000 under $\$ 1,000,000$. | 213.0 | 17,620 | 170.5 | 34,954 | 81.5 | 10,592 | 36.1 | 1,800 |
| \$1,000,000 under $\$ 5,000,000$. | 78.7 | 9,127 | 69.8 | 49,979 | 32.1 | 15,955 | . 7.1 | 209 |
| \$5,000,000 or more. ........ | 10.1 | 3,135 | 10.1 | 34,378 | 6.0 | 5,535 | 2.3 | 1,210 |

Types of assets--Continued

| Size of net worth |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Federal savings |  | Other Federal |  | State and local |  | Number | Amount |
|  | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |  |  |
|  | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
| Total.. | 49.5 | 1,649 | 68.1 | 18,779 | 75.9 | 9,461 | 609.5 | 8,901 |
| Under \$250,000 1/.. | 16.8 | 8 | 10.6 | 152 | 6.1 | 139 | 217.6 | 3,965 |
| \$250,000 under \$ $500,000$. | 13.9 | 53 | 13.4 | 254 | 10.8 | 421 | 186.4 | 2,332 |
| \$500,000 under \$1,000,000. | 14.7 | 445 | 33.0 | 6,383 | 34.3 | 1,964 | 149.3 | 1,232 |
| \$1,000,000 under \$ $5,000,000$ | **4.1 | **1,142 | **11.1 | **11,990 | 20.3 | 4,928 | 50.8 | 1,144 |
| \$5,000,000 or more....... | ** | ** | ** | ** | 4.4 | 2,011 | 5.5 | 228 |
| Size of net worth | Types of assets--Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Notes and mortgages |  | Real estate |  | Noncorporate business assets |  | Other assets |  |
|  | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
|  | (22) | (23) | (24) | (25) | (26) | (27) | (28) | (29) |
| Total. | 275.5 | 28,316 | 685.9 | 246,238 | 347.0 | 72,033 | 730.3 | 100,360 |
| Under $\$ 250,000$ 1/........ | 48.7 | 4,954 | 208.1 | 54,412 | 96.2 | 12,721 | 216.2 | 14,480 |
| \$250,000 under \$500,000.. | 82.2 | 5,041 | 218.2 | 64,074 | 103.5 | 12,249 | 223.4 | 16,095 |
| \$500,000 under $\$ 1,000,000$. | 95.5 | 7,497 | 178.4 | 61,436 | 92.7 | 13,480 | 203.6 | 27,561 |
| \$1,000,000 under \$5,000,000. | 43.9 | 6,478 | 72.0 | 54,587 | 49.6 | 23,876 | 77.3 | 19,933 |
| \$5,000,000 or more. . . . . . . . | 5.1 | 4,347 | 9.1 | 11,729 | 5.1 | 9,709 | 9.8 | 22,292 |

[^4]Table 5A.--Top Wealthholders 50 to 64 Years of Age With Gruss Assete of $\$ 300,000$ or More, by Size of Net Worth, 1982
 dullars]

| Stiee of net worth | Number of top wealthholders | Tutal assets | Debls and murtyages |  | Net worth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number | Amount |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Total.... | 1,564.4 | 1,109,945 | 1.389 .8 | 155.722 | 954.223 |
| Under \$100,000 $1 / \ldots .$. | 21.8 | 8,688 | 21.5 | 12.630 | -3.943. |
| \$100,000 under \$250,000. | 189.0 | 53.363 | 176.8 | 17.732 | 35.632 |
| \$250,000 under \$500,000.. | 835.6 | 334,007 | 715.1 | 34.422 | 299,585 |
| \$ 500,000 under $\$ 1,000,000$. | 344.5 | 263,934 | . 315.0 | 32.177 | 231.757 |
| \$1,000,000 under \$5,000,000. | 163.2 | 346,098 | 151.8 | S1.897 | 294.201 |
| \$5,000,000 or more. | 10.4 | 103,856 | 9.6 | 6.863 | 96.992 |

[^5]Table 5B. --Top Wealthholders 50 to 64 Years of Age With Gross Assets Greater Than $\$ 500.000$, by Size of Net Worth; 1982
 dollars]

**Data combined to avoid disclosure of information for specific estate tax returns.
1/Includes those wealthholders with negative net worth.
NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 6A.--All Top Wealtholders 65 Years of Age or Older With Gruss Assety Greater Than $\$ 300,000$, by Size of Net Worth, 1982
 dollars]

| Size of net worth | Number of top wealthholders | Total assets | Dubte and mortgages |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Net } \\ & \text { worth } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number | Amount |  |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
| Total. | 1.021 .4 $* 0.4$ | 824,537 +390 | 848.0 00.4 | 38.718 $\mathbf{\$ 7 3 6}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 785,819 \\ *-346 \end{array}$ |
| Under \$100,000 $1 /$. | *0.4 | +390 5,376 | $* 0.4$ 14.5 | . 2.170 | +,346 3,207 |
| \$100,000 under $\$ 250,000$. | 14.9 574.0 | 5,376 219,303 | 455.9 | 8,037 | 211,267 |
| \$250,000 under \$500,000... | 590.1 | 202,772 | 251.6 | 7,817 | 194.954 |
| \$500,000 under $\$ 1,000,000 \ldots$ | 130.5 | 249,561 | 114.7 | 10,872 | 238.689 |
| \$1,000,000 under $\$ 5,000,000 .$. | 130.5 11.4 | 147,135 | 10.9 | 9.087 | 138,049 |

*Estimate should be used with caution because of the small number of sample estate tax returns on which it is based.
I/Includes those wealthholders with negative net worth.
NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 6B. --All Top Wealthholders 65 Years of Age or Older With Gross Assets Greater Than $\$ 500,000$, by Size of Net Worth, 1982
 dollars]

| Size of net worth |  |  |  | Number of top wealthholders | Total assets | Debrs and mortgages |  | Net worth |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Number |  | Amount |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) |
|  |  |  | . . | 459.0 1.4 25.6 290.1 130.5 11.4 | $\begin{array}{r} 615,247 \\ 1,372 \\ 14,407 \\ 202,772 \\ 249,561 \\ 147,135 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 403.5 \\ 1.4 \\ 24.9 \\ 251.6 \\ 114.7 \\ 10.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 32,104 \\ 1,513 \\ 2,816 \\ 7,817 \\ 10,872 \\ 9,087 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 583,143 \\ -141 \\ 11,592 \\ 194,954 \\ 238,689 \\ 138,049 \end{array}$ |
| Size of net worth | Types of assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Cash |  | Corporate stock |  | Bonds |  |  |  |
|  | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Total |  | Corporate and foreign |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
|  | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 449.9 \\ 1.1 \\ 25.0 \\ 284.1 \\ 128.4 \\ 11.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 66,677 \\ 155 \\ 1,922 \\ 33,239 \\ 24,915 \\ 6,445 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 378.3 \\ 1.2 \\ 19.3 \\ 231.5 \\ 116.1 \\ 10.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 170,041 \\ 123 \\ 2,101 \\ 43,031 \\ 69,515 \\ 55,272 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 282.0 \\ * 0.1 \\ 10.7 \\ 167.6 \\ 94.9 \\ 8.7 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 62,710 \\ \star 22 \\ 619 \\ 16,991 \\ 26,382 \\ 18,697 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 132.2 \\ * * \\ * * 4.3 \\ 80.8 \\ 43.8 \\ 3.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 5,598 \\ \star * \\ * * 130 \\ 2,462 \\ 2,255 \\ 751 \end{array}$ |
| Size of net worth | Types of assets--Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Bonds--Continued |  |  |  |  |  | Life insurance equity |  |
|  | Government |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Federal savings |  | Other Federal |  | State and local |  | Number | Amount |
|  | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |  |  |
|  | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (18) | (19) | (20) | (21) |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} 70.0 \\ * * \\ * * 3.6 \\ 44.4 \\ 21.0 \\ 1.0 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 3,017 \\ * * \\ * * 90 \\ 1,884 \\ 880 \\ 164 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 92.8 \\ \star \star \\ \star \star 2.9 \\ 52.5 \\ 33.1 \\ 4.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 20,799 \\ \star * \\ \star * 193 \\ 4,971 \\ 7,720 \\ 7,916 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 171.6 \\ k * \\ * * 5.0 \\ 90.8 \\ 68.6 \\ 7.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33,296 \\ \star * \\ \star * 228 \\ 7,674 \\ 15,528 \\ 9,866 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 237.7 \\ 0.9 \\ 18.2 \\ 142.6 \\ 69.7 \\ 6.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 4,052 \\ 40 \\ 391 \\ 1,802 \\ 1,522 \\ 298 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Size of net worth | Types of assets--Continued |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Notes and mortgages |  | Real estate |  | Noncorporate business assets |  | Other assets |  |
|  | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount | Number | Amount |
|  | (22) | (23) | (24) | (25) | (26) | (27) | (28) | (29) |
| Total.. <br> Under $\$ 250,000$ 1/ <br> $\$ 250,000$ under $\$ 500,000$. <br> $\$ 500,000$ under $\$ 1,000,000$. <br> $\$ 1,000,000$ under $\$ 5,000,000$. <br> $\$ 5,000,000$ or more. | 207.6 0.7 10.7 126.3 63.0 6.9 | $\begin{array}{r} 28,183 \\ 89 \\ 802 \\ 10,191 \\ 11,229 \\ 5,871 \end{array}$ | 385.7 1.3 22.7 247.9 103.9 10.0 | $\begin{array}{r} 144,177 \\ 714 \\ 6,513 \\ 65,797 \\ 54,326 \\ 16,827 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 150.0 \\ 0.9 \\ 7.1 \\ 86.2 \\ 50.2 \\ 5.6 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34,212 \\ 80 \\ 603 \\ 4,174 \\ 18,843 \\ 10,512 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 442.6 \\ 1.4 \\ 24.8 \\ 280.3 \\ 124.7 \\ 11.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 105,196 \\ 149 \\ 1,456 \\ 27,548 \\ 42,829 \\ 33,214 \end{array}$ |

[^6]Table 7A. --All Top Wealthholders With Cross Aasety of $\$ 300,000$ or Mure, by Size of Total Aascts. 1982
 dullars)


I/Includes those wealthholders with negative net worth.
NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 7B. --All Top Wealthholders With Gross Assets Greater Than $\$ 500,000$, by Size of Total Assets, 1982
 dollars]



[^7]Table 8A. --All Top Wealthholders With Gross Agsets of $\$ 300,000$ or More, by State of Residence, 1982
[All figures are estimates based on estate tax return samples-numbers of top wealthholders are in thousands; all money amounts are in millions of dollars)

| State of residence | Number of top wealthholders | Total assets | Debre and mortgages |  | Net worth | Men |  | Women |  | Millionaries as defined by: |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Number | Amount |  | Number | Net worth | Number | Net worth | Net worth |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Number | Amount |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) | (11) |
| Total............ | 4,377.9 | 2,897,185 | 3,902.5 | 474.074 | 2,423.111 | 2,658.7 | 1,381,425 | 1,719.2 | 1,041.686 | 407.7 | 1,001,282 |
| Alabama... | 33.9 | 21,333 | 30.4 | 2,297 | 19,036 | 22.4 | 13.206 | 11.6 | 5,830 | 4.1 | 8,935 |
| Alaska. | 16.1 | 8,180 | 15.5 | 1,372 | 6,807 | 6.9 | 4,581 | *9. 2 | *2.227 | *1.2 | *2,917 |
| Arizona. . . . . . . . . . . . | 66.0 | 42,491 | 51.7 | 6,787 | 35,704 | 39.3 | 19,100 | 26.7 | 16,604 | 5.5 | 12,884 |
| Arkansas. | 36.2 | 20,611 | 32.9 | 4,802 | 15,809 | 19.8 | 8,193 | 16.4 | 7.616 | 2.6 | 5.056 |
| California............... | 617.0 | 467,248 | 552.7 | 83,898 | 383,351 | 280.6 | 166,265 | 336.5 | 217,085 | 64.5 | 166.686 |
| Colorado. | 72.9 | 52,869 | 56.5 | 10,835 | 42.034 | 51.5 | 27.456 | 21.4 | 14.578 | 6.9 | 19,297 |
| Connecticut............ | 75.8 | 58,967 | 71.9 | 4,435 | 54,532 | 49.5 | 32,706 | 26.3 | 21,826 | 9.4 | 25,601 |
| Delaware, .............. | 8.0 | 4,972 | 7.1 | 974 | 3,998 | 5.4 | 2,606 | 2.5 | 1,391 | 0.7 | 1,488 |
| Florida................ | 279.5 | 239,167 | 248.0 | 33,772 | 205,395 | 191.1 | 132.630 | 88.3 | 72.765 | 40.6 | 111,792 |
| Georg1a................ | 72.9 | 46,817 | 65.2 | 9,423 | 37,394 | 47.8 | 25,059 | 25.1 | 12,335 | 5.8 | 14,447 |
| Hawaii.. | 14.2 | 8,815 | 13.1 | 4,471 | 4,344 | 11.2 | 2,421 | 2.9 | 1,923 | 0.8 | 1,663 |
| Idaho.. | 14.5 | 10,775 | 12.8 | 3,037 | 7,738 | 7.2 | 4.010 | 7.3 | 3.728 | 1.0 | 2,460 |
| Illinois. | 241.0 | 147,033 | 223.0 | 30,626 | 116,408 | 178.8 | 80.753 | 62.2 | 35.654 | 14.5 | 34,368 |
| Indiana. | 60.6 | 32.546 | 47.1 | 4,429 | 28,117 | 39.4 | 17,968 | 21.2 | 10,149 | 4.5 | 9.215 |
| Iowa. | 115.5 | 56,871 | 98.5 | 12,222 | 44,649 | 77.9 | 30.973 | 37.6 | 13,677 | 3.3 | 4,933 |
| Kansas.. | 60.8 | 37,683 | 49,3 | 4,516 | 33,167 | 37.4 | 20.997 | 23.4 | 12,170 | 3.2 | 10,362 |
| Kentucky, | 42.2 | 23,848 | 36.8 | 5,392 | 18,456 | 31.5 | 12,619 | 10.7 | 5,837 | 3.5 | 7,414 |
| Louisiana. | 59.9 | 40,194 | 56.8 | 7,377 | 32,817 | 30.2 | 16,441 | 29.7 | 16.376 | 6.2 | 15,367 |
| Maine.. | 10.8 | 8,565 | 9.3 | 3,950 | 4,615 | 5.9 | 2,360 | 4.9 | 2,254 | 0.8 | 1,824 |
| Maryland (includes DC). | 98.0 | 67,880 | 86.6 | 7,713 | 60,167 | 67.3 | 31,959 | 30.8 | 28,208 | 8.9 | 33,284 |
| Massachusetts. | 78.9 | 49,810 | 74.8 | 6,354 | 43,456 | 50.4 | 25,393 | 28.5 | 18,063 | 7.6 | 18,299 |
| Michigan. . | 118.1 | 64,237 | 100.3 | 7,560 | 56,677 | 82.9 | 37,139 | 35.3 | 19,538 | 7.3 | 17,222 |
| Minnesota. | 91.0 | 102,364 | 77.9 | 39,991 | 62,373 | 74.6 | 54,326 | 16.4 | 8,047 | 17.6 | 37,711 |
| Mississippi. | 29.2 | 16,052 | 26.1 | 2,858 | 13,194 | 21.1 | 9,709 | 8.0 | 3,484 | 2.0 | 3,846 |
| Missouri.... | 72.7 | 48,632 | 60.7 | 7,590 | 41,042 | 51.7 | 28,845 | 21.1 | 12,197 | 7.7 | 15,583 |
| Montana. | 23.0 | 13,098 | 20.4 | 4.043 | 9.055 | 15.7 | 6.125 | 7.2 | 2,930 | 0.9 | 2.061 |
| Nebraska. | 66.5 | 36,495 | 60.0 | 6,647 | 29,848 | 43.5 | 16.346 | 23.1 | 13,502 | 3.3 | 7,305 |
| Nevada. | 26.0 | 15,839 | 24.6 | 3,052 | 12,787 | 5.9 | 4,193 | 20.1 | 8,594 | 1.5 | 3,784 |
| New Hampahire. | 14.5 | 8,506 | 14.1 | 572 | 7,935 | 7.9 | 3,358 | 6.6 | 4,576 | 2.1 | 3.096 |
| New Jersey............... | 144.8 | 79,797 | 108.7 | 6,498 | 73,299 | 84.1 | 38,451 | 60.7 | 34,848 | 8.3 | 22,655 |
| New Mexico. | 13.6 | 9,052 | 12.3 | 2,088 | 6,964 | 9.7 | 5,114 | 3.9 | 1,850 | 1.1 | 2,803 |
| New York. ........ | 306.0 | 209,508 | 268.3 | 20,188 | 189,320 | 207.7 | 102.656 | 98.3 | 86,663 | 30.9 | 98,113 |
| North Carolina. . | 70.1 | 36,376 | 66.3 | 6,785 | 29,592 | 50.2 | 18,608 | 20.0 | 10,984 | 3.6 | 7,953 |
| North Dakota. . | 51.1 | 23,663 | 48.0 | 3.475 | 20.188 | 20.8 | 9.286 | 30.3 | 10,902 | 3.8 | 4,490 |
| Ohio.................. | 158.5 | 86,052 | 148.0 | 10,445 | 75,607 | 74.4 | 34,588 | 84.0 | 41,019 | 12.6 | 25,630 |
| Oklahoma. ..... |  | 40,171 | 54.8 | 8,266 | 31,905 | 48.8 | 18,436 | 19.6 | 13,469 | 4.5 | 10,531 |
| Oregon........ | 48.5 | 27,249 | 43.1 | 3,948 | 23,300 | 29.7 | 11.798 | 18.8 | 11,503 | 2.1 | 4,140 |
| Pennaylvania... | 159.2 | 101,892 | 148.3 | 13,907 | 87.985 | 112.9 | 60.239 | 46.3 | 27.745 | 25.7 | 37,774 |
| Rhode Island. . . . . | 7.8 | 4,331 | 5.8 | 832 | 3,499 | 5.9 | 2,513 | 1.9 | 986 | 0.8 | 1,362 |
| South Carolina... | 42.1 | 18,863 | 39.9 | 2,583 | 16,280 | 29.5 | 10.037 | 12.6 | 6,243 | 2.2 | 3.951 |
| South Dakota.. | 15.4 | 8,486 | 14.0 | 1,926 | 6,560 | 12.1 | 5,205 | 3.3 | 1,356 | 1.2 | 2,069 |
| Tennessee. | 47.9 | 28,785 | 46.3 | 5,674 | 23,111 | 30.3 | 15,323 | 17.6 | 7,788 | 5.3 | 10.037 |
| Texas.. | 417.5 | 281,027 | 398.9 | 38,453 | 242,574 | 172.5 | 95.610 | 245.0 | 146.963 | 39.5 | 101.207 |
| Utah.. | 22.2 | 18,331 | 18.7 | 2,785 | 15,546 | 14.4 | 12,718 | 7.8 | 2,828 | 4.3 | 9,685 |
| Vermont. | 7.5 | 4,921 | 6.2 | 923 | 3,998 | 5.9 | 2,449 | 1.6 | 1,549 | 0.7 | 1,799 |
| Virginia...... | 99.2 | 43,795 | 91.1 | 5,255 | 38,540 | 82.4 | 30,997 | 16.8 | 7,542 | 4.9 | 14,753 |
| Washington... | 65.4 | 56,205 | 55.8 | 6,638 | 49,567 | 38.6 | 35,272 | 26.8 | 14,295 | 10.4 | 26,468 |
| West Virginia. | 8.4 | 7,724 | 7.4 | 3,018 | 4,706 | 5.8 | 3,409 | 2.6 | 1,298 | 0.6 | 1,452 |
| Wisconsin.. | 85.8 | 42,481 | 78.2 | 7,504 | 34,977 | 54.6 | 23,100 | 31.1 | 11,877 | 4.3 | 9,987 |
| Wyoming.. | 13.3 | 10,109 | 11.9 | 1,659 | 8,449 | 7.8 | 4,581 | 5.4 | 3,868 | 1.3 | 2,830 |
| Other areas $1 / \ldots .$. | 9.8 | 6,471 | 6.8 | 229 | 6,242 | 6.0 | 3,295 | 3.9 | 2,946 | 1.5 | 2,693 |

*Estimate should be used with caution because of the small number of sample estate tax recurns on which it is based
$\frac{1}{1 / U . S . ~ c i t i z e n s ~ d o m i c i l e d ~ a b r o a d . ~ P e r s o n s ~ w h o ~ a c q u i r e d ~ U . S . ~ c i t i z e n s h i p ~ s o l e l y ~ b y ~ v i r t u e ~ o f ~ b e i n g ~ a ~ c i t i z e n ~ o f ~ P u e r t o ~ R i c o ~ o r ~ c h e ~ V i r g i n ~ l a l a n d ~ a r e ~ n o t ~ i n c l u d e d . ~}$
NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

Table 8B.--All Top Wealthholders With Gross Assets Greater Than $\$ 500,000$, by State of Residence, 1982 .
[All figures are estimates based on estate tax return samples--numbers of top wealthbolders are in thousands; all money anounts are in alllions of dollars]

| State of residence |  | Number of top wealthholders | Total assets | Debis and mortgages |  | Nut worth | Men |  | Women |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number |  | Amount | Number |  | Net worth | Number | Net wurth |
| - Total. |  |  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (1) | (8) | (9) |
|  |  | 1.965.1 | 2,140,019 | 1,813.5 | 374,570 | 1,765,450 | 1,243.5 | 1.044,297 | 721.6 | 721.153 |
| Alabama.. |  | 15.8 | -16,216 | 14.9 | 1,571 | 14.645 | 11.4 | 10,106 | 4.3 | 4.539 |
| Alaska.. |  | 3.7 | - 5,419 | 3.6 | - 793 | 4.625 | **8.1 | **4,652 | **1.3 | **1,860 |
| Arizona. |  | 36.4 | 32,458 | 33.7 | 5.871 | 26.587 | 24.1 | 15.004 | 12.2 | 11,582 |
| Arkansas. |  | 16.7 | 14,765 | 14.6 | 3.867 | 10,898 | 13.1 | 7,005 | 3.6 | 3,893 |
| California. |  | 301.5 | 358,865 | 270.6 | 65,618 | 293,248 | 130.8 | 128,451 | 170.7 | 164,797. |
| Colorado. |  | 27.4 | 38,482 | 23.4 | 8,158 | 30,324 | 18.2 | 20.119 | 9.1 | 10,205 |
| Connecticut. |  | 46.6 | 49,256 | 45.9 | 4,033 | 45,223 | 34.6 | 28,342 | 12.0 | 16.881 |
| Delaware. |  | 3.0 | 3,557 | 2.8 | 914 | 2,643 | 2.7 | 2,061 | 0.3 | 582 |
| Florida. |  | 151.8 | 197,485 | 139.1 | 28,947. | 168,539 | 111.2 | 110,788 | 40.6 | 57,751 |
| Georgia. |  | 35.5 | 34,592 | 31.1 | 7,296 | 27,296 | 25.5 | 20,058 | 10.0 | 7,238 |
| Hawali. |  | . 5.7 | 5,891 | - 5.5 | 4.005 | 1,887 | ** | * | ** | ** |
| Idaho. |  | 7.7 | 8,261 | 7.4 | 2,802 | 5,459 | 4.5 | 3.158 | 3.3 | 2,302 |
| Illionis |  | 108.0 | 106,483 | 101.6 | 26,118 | 80,364 | 84.2 | 58.147 | 23.9 | 22,217 |
| Indiana. |  | 23.1 | 20,560 | 19.7 | 3,482 | 17.077 | 14.1 | 11,377 | 9.0 | 5,700 |
| Iowa. |  | 50.8 | 37,292 | . 44.0 | -9,211 | 28.081 | 40.1 | 21,109 | 10.7 | 6,972 |
| Kansas. |  | 28.0 | 27,240 | 25.7 | 3,012 | 24,228 | 17.0 | 16,024 | 11.1 | 8,204 |
| Kentucky. |  | 17.1 | 16.661 | 17.1 | 3,926 | 12,735 | 12.7 | 9,120 | 4.4 | 3,615 |
| Louisiana. |  | - 30.4 | 31,136 | 29.9 | 6.030 | 25.106 | 14.8 | 13,510 | 15.6 | 11,596 |
| Maine....... |  | 4.8 | 6,937 | 4.2 | . 3,664 | - 3.274 | 3.3 | 1.791 | 1.5 | $1,483$ |
| Maryland (inclu | DC) | 39.8 | ..52,428 | - 36.9 | 6,155 | 46,273 | 24.4 | 22,630 | 15.4 |  |
| Massachusetts. |  | 32.7 | 35.725 | 32.2 | 4,977 | 30,747 | 19.0 | 18,177 | 13.7 | 12,570 |
| Michigan...... |  | - 48.1 | 43,654 | 43.3 | 4,848 | 38,806 | 37.3 | 26,105 | 10.8 | 12,701 |
| Minnesota.... |  | : 44.1 | 86,664 | 41.8 | 37,545 | 49,120 | 40.9 | 46,140 | 3.2 | . 2,980 |
| Mississippi. |  | 10.4 | 9,904 | 9.2 | 2,038 | 7,866 | 8.7 | 6,567 | 1.6 | 1,300 |
| Missouri.... |  | 32.2 | . 33,767 | 26.8 | 4,648 | 29,119 | 25.6 | 22,367 | 6.6 | 6,752 |
| Montana. . |  | 13.0 | - 10,375 | $11.5$ | 3,462 | 6,913 |  | 4,505 | 5.8 | 2,408 |
| Nebraska. |  | 29.9 | 24,316 | 28.5 | 5,322 | 18,993 | 17.4 | $8,904$ | 12.4 | 10,090 |
| Nevada.. |  | 7.5 | 8,821 | 7.0 | 1,354 | 7,466 | 2.6 | - 3,187 | 5.0 | 4,280 |
| New Hampshire. |  | 8.2 | - 7,082 | 7.9 | +346 | 6,736 | 3.1. | 2,565 | 5.2 | 4,170 |
| New Jersey.... |  | 51.3 | 48.676 | 47.5 | 4.905 | 43.772 | 38.4 | 26,706 | 12.9 | 17,066 |
| New Mexico. |  | 5.1 | 6,184 | 4.6 | 1,528 | 4,656 | 4.2 | 3,644 | *0.9 | *1,012 |
| New York... |  | 110.1 | 152,811 | 98.4 | 14,961 | 137,851 | 70.0 | 70,369 | 40.1 | 67,482 |
| North Carolina. |  | 33.3 | 25,845 | 31.3 | 5,147 | . 20,698 | 23.6 | 13,377 | 9.7 | 7,321 |
| North Dakota. |  | 15.8 | 12,066 | 13.9 | 2,372 | 9,694 | 12.4 | 7,467 | *3.4 | *2,227 |
| Ohio.. |  | 52.5 | 51,587 | 49.7 | 7,353 | 44,233 | 35.7 | $\therefore .24,726$ | 16.8 | 19,507 |
| Oklahoma. |  | - 34.7 | $\ldots 31,049$ | - 28.0 | -6,979 | -24,070 | -24.4 | 13,220 | 10.3 | - 10,849 |
| Oregon.... |  | 25.7 | 19,699 | $24.9{ }^{-1}$ | 3,101 | 16,598 | 12.1 | 7,004 | 13.6 | 9,594 |
| Pennsylvania. |  | $\because 86.8$ | 81,042 | 84.0 | 11,563 | 69.478 | 64.5 | , 49,250 | 22.3 | 20,229. |
| Rhode Island. |  | 2.5 | 2,944 | 2.4 | 708 | 2.236 | 2.1 | . 1,792 | 0.4 | - 445 |
| South Carolina. |  | 14.6 | 11,620 | 14.3 | 1,860 | 9,760 | 9.4 | 6,126 | 5.2 | 3.634 |
| South Dakota. |  | 5.8 | 5,079 | 5.7 | 1,470 | 3,609 | 4.6 | 3,022 | *1.2 | *588 |
| Tennessee... |  | 21.0 | 21,067 | 20.2 | 4,713 | 16,353 | 13.7 | 11,357 | 7.3 | 4,997. |
| Texas... |  | 204.8 | 209,864 | - 199.8 | 28,912 | 180,952 | 86.6 | 75,405 | 118.2 | 105,547 |
| Utah.. |  | 14.0 | 15,834 | +11.7 | 2,107 | . 13,727 | $-\quad 9.3$ | 11,713 | 4.7 | $2,014$ |
| Vermont. |  | 3.8 | 3,857 | . 3.7 | 731 | - 3,126 | $\times \quad 3.1$ | -1,967 | 0.8 | ' 1,159 |
| Virginia. |  | 26.6 | 27,026 | 24.2 | 2,118 | 24,908 | 20.9 | 20,130 | 5.7 | 4,778 |
| Washington... |  | 30.1 | 44.247 | 27.0 | 5.421 | 38,826 | 18.8 | 29,920 | 11.3 | 8,906 |
| West Virginia. |  | 5.9 | 6,910 | 5.5 | 2,978 | 3,933 | 4.5 | 3,006 | 1.3 | 927 |
| Wisconsin.. |  | $\therefore 25.1$ | 23,964 | 24.0 | 4,145 | 19,819 | 20.6 | 15,521 | 4.5 | 4,298 |
| Wyoming. . . |  | 8.5 | 8,787 | 8.2 | 1,366 | 7,421 | 4.3 | 3,967 | 4.2 | 3,454 |
| Other areas 1/. |  | 7.4 | 5.569 | 4.4 | 118 | 5,451 | 3.9 | 2,642 | 3.5 | 2,808 |

[^8]Table 8B. --All Top Wealthholders With Gross Assets Greater Than $\$ 500,000$, by State of Residence, 1982-Civitinued


| State of residence | Sulucted unsels |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cash |  | Corpurate stock |  | Bunds |  | Ke.al (9, t.tte |  | : -•••路 |  |
|  | Number | Amount | Number | Amuant | Number | Asmount | Number | Nasume | Sumble s | Aarıи! |
|  | (10) | (11) | (12) | (13) | (14) | (15) | (16) | (17) | (1x) | (19) |
| Total.................... | 1,911.1 | 165,809 | 1.555 .3 | 530.520 | 755.2 7.6 | 128,282 | 1,740.3 | 674.524 4.823 | 829.7 7.9 | $181,113$ |
| Alabama............................. | $1,915.4$ | 1.225 160 | 14.1 3.1 | 4.921 1.756 | 7.6 $* 0.8$ | 973 $* 88$ | 15.3 3.7 | 4.823 1.650 | 7.9 $\therefore .8$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,20 \prime) \\ & 1,0 \times 1 \end{aligned}$ |
| Alaska..... | 3.7 | 160 | 3.1 | 1.756 6.995 | $* 0.8$ 9.0 | 1,222 | 31.2 | 10,958 | 21.2 | -1,001 |
| Arízona. | 33.7 16.3 | 2,093 1.175 | 30.6 13.4 | 6,995 3,226 | 9.0 5.9 | 1,222 899 | 15.5 | 10,958 5,476 | 10.1 | 1, i, ${ }^{\text {l }}$, |
| Arkansas.... . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 16.3 288.2 | 1,175 23,131 | 13.4 230.2 | 3,226 69.065 | 99.3 | 11,617 | 262.0 | 138,478 | 107.5 | 24.711 |
| California. | 26.9 | 3,416 | 20.2 | 8,605 | 9.2 | 824 | 25.2 | 13,619 | 13.6 | 6,210 |
| Colorado. . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . . | 26.9 45.7 | 3,416 | 43.3 | 18,903 | 15.7 | 4,953 | 43.4 | 11,733 | 9.1 | y9y |
| Connecticut. | 2.9 | 473 | 2.6 | 935 | 1.3 | 230 | 2.9 | 1,043 | 1.4 | 319 |
| Florida.... | 147.1 | 15,515 | 127.4 | 66,133 | 73.2 | 24,989 | 139.6 | 49,712 12,244 | 43.5 | 8.8'16 |
| Georgia... | 32.8 | 2.618 | 29.3 | 11.695 | 11.9 | 1,115 | 33.2 | 12,244 |  |  |
| Hawail... | 5.7 | 313 | 2.8 | 328 | 1.1 | 138 | 5.2 | 3,363 | 1.7 | 278 |
| Idaho.. | 7.0 | 439 | 5.0 | 834 | 2.3 | 365 | 7.3 | 3,843 3439 | 5.5 | 1.539 7.686 |
| Illinois. | 105.9 | 8,926 | 90.9 | 22,648 | 39.3 9.6 | 4,089 | 98.0 22.5 | 34,739 6,403 | 53.4 7.7 | $\begin{array}{r}7,686 \\ \hline 989\end{array}$ |
| Indiana. | 22.7 | 1,810 | 17.9 35.4 | 6,761 3,678 | 9.6 23.8 | 1,529 1,366 | 22.5 49.4 | 6,403 20,917 | 23.1 | 3,526 |
| lowa. | 50.5 | 3,958 | 35.4 | 3,678 |  |  |  |  |  | 3,442 |
| Kansas.. | 26.5 16.0 | 1,918 1,372 | 18.4 15.1 | 5,156 6,956 | 12.4 | 3.427 $1.0 \%$ | 24.5 16.6 | 9,302 4,957 | 16.9 7.6 | 3,442 560 |
| Kentucky...... . . . . . . . . . | 16.0 29.8 | 1,567 | 28.1 | 9,726 | 17.2 | 2,195 | 29.9 | 10,229 | 15.2 | 2,133 |
| Maine.... | 4.8 | 506 | 4.7 | 1,932 | 2.3 | 239 | 4.4 | 1.320 | *1.2 | 1,343 3,478 |
| Maryland (includes DC). | 39.5 | 3,354 | 30.0 | 10.999 | 18.4 | 3.334 | 35.0 | 14,288 | 13.1 |  |
| Massachusetts. | 32.7 | 4,452 | 25.7 | 10,627 | 12.7 | 3.492 | 30.0 | 8,162 | 7.9 23.8 | 931 2,355 |
| Michigan.... | 46.6 | 4,690 | 36.5 | 9,883 5,356 | 19.8 11.8 | 2,005 | 41.9 | 49,619 | 29.8 | 21,023 |
| Minnesota.. | 42.4 9.8 | 2,372 923 | 36.5 8.8 | 5,386 1,798 8,314 | 11.8 5.1 | , 368 | 9.9 | 2,868 | 4.7 | 1,265 |
| Mississippi. | 9.8 31.8 | 3,259 | 22.3 | 8.314 | 14.0 | 1,792 | 28.5 | 9,523 | 15.0 | 2,000 |
| Missouri. |  | 788 | 10.0 | 1.944 | 5.6 | 331 | 12.8 | 4,943 | 8.8 | 1,102 |
| Montana. | 29.3 | 1,989 | 22.8 | 2,925 | 13.7 | 1,194 | 29.2 | 11,709 | 23.6 | 2,639 |
| Nevada... | 7.5 | -738 | 6.6 | 2,062 | 1.3 | 178 | 6.9 | 2,708 | 4.2 $\times 0.6$ | 1,071 |
| New Hampshire...... | 8.2 | 912 | 7.7 | 3,099 | 5.2 | 1.024 | 5.1 | 876 11.288 | *0.6 | 2,111 |
| New Jersey.................... | 49.9 | 4,084 | 43.6 | 15.416 | 28.1 | 4,268 | 48.6 | 11,288 |  |  |
| New Mexico. | 4.9 | 371 | 3.3 | 2,203 | 1.6 57.0 | 163 19.466 | 5.0 86.1 | 1,485 27,723 | 3.6 35.7 | $\begin{array}{r} 374 \\ 13,225 \end{array}$ |
| New York.. | 107.6 | 14,033 | 97.2 | 49,768 8,365 | 57.0 10.2 | 19,466 1,273 | 86.1 32.5 | 17,85 8,408 | 10.1 | 1.300 |
| North Carolina. | 31.8 | 2,414 | 28.9 | 8,765 | 10.2 8.4 | 1,273 237 | 14.6 | 5,870 | 10.6 | 2,112 |
| North Dakota.. | 14.6 | 1,007 4,072 | 10.4 42.5 | 19,312 | 19.9 | 2,123 | 45.3 | 11,263 | 19.3 | 2,212 |
| Ohio... | 50.3 | 4,072 | 42.5 |  |  |  | 30.5 | 10,606 | 12.5 | 1,258 |
| Oklahoma. | 32.1 | 4,163 1,747 | 20.3 21.3 | 5,564 2,577 | 9.1 4.4 | 1,106 743 | 24.9 | 10,606 8,082 | 12.4 | 1,718 |
| Oregon........... | 25.4 86.6 | 1,747 7,107 | 21.3 81.4 | 2,577 24,313 | 4.4 40.9 | 4,391 | 82.4 | 18,966 | 47.0 | 4,964 |
| Pennsylvania. . . | 86.6 2.5 | +180 | 2.3 | 24, 990 | 2.0 | 199 | 2.2 | 630 3.598 | *0.6 | *60 |
| South Carolina. | 14.5 | 1,013 | 12.3 | 3,596 | 7.3 | 603 | 13.2 | 3,598 | 5.8 | 659 |
| South Dakota.... | 5.4 | 228 | 5.0 | 1,318 | 1.3 | 92 | 5.8 20.9 | 1,717 7,031 | 4.2 9.8 | 1,232 876 |
| Tennessee...... | 20.7 | 1,643 | 17.7 | 6,078 | 7.0 | 888 10,430 | 20.9 152.8 | 1,031 63,606 | 9.8 84.3 | 16,038 |
| Texas. | 203.4 | 16,303 | 133.5 9.6 | 48,285 2,973 | 51.7 3.0 | 10,430 541 | 152.8 11.8 | 4,555 | 7.0 | 702 |
| Utah......... | 13.3 3.8 | 957 237 | 9.6 3.6 | 2,973 1,169 | 1.9 | 816 | 3.7 | 909 | 2.5 | 109 |
| Vermont... |  |  |  |  | 10.9 | 1,189 | 23.9 | 6,298 | 9.3 | 5,555 |
| Virginia...................... | 26.2 30.0 | 1,725 | 26.5 | 6,835 $\mathbf{9 , 6 6 6}$ | 16.0 | 1,392 | 24.6 | 11,057 | 19.7 | 14,313 |
| West Virginia.. | 5.7 | 2, 461 | 5.3 | 2,890 | 1.7 | 107 | 5.8 | 1,625 | 3.1 | 388 |
| Wisconsin...... | 24.9 | 1,463 | 20.6 | 8,877 | 9.4 | 1,120 | 24.3 | 6,260 | 13.0 | 2,305 |
| Wyoming... | 8.4 | 1,062 | 3.6 | 1,361 | 1.9 | 342 | 8.2 | 4,486 391 | 7.2 $* 1.8$ | 512 $* 544$ |
| Other Areas 1/......... | 6.9 | 569 | 5.4 | 940 | 1.6 | 493 | 2.2 | 391 |  |  |

*Estimate should be used with caution because of the small number of sample estate tax returns on which it is based.
Estimate shourd be used with caution

1/U.S. citizens domiciled abroad, Persons who acquired


[^0]:    Percent Increase in Number of Weal thholders with Gross Assets of $\$ 300,000$ or More, 1976 to 1982

    | Size of net worth | Females | Males |
    | :---: | :---: | :---: |
    | Under \$500,000 | 199.5\% | 96.2\% |
    | \$500,000 or more | 123.4 | 128.5 |

    While the rate of increase in the number of wealthholders with net worth of $\$ 500,000$ or more was approximately the same for both men and women, the rate of growth of the number of female-top weal-thhol ders wi th net worth under $\$ 500,000$ was double that of the males.

    This relatively large increase in the number of women of "modest" wealth had the effect of decreasing the average net worth of the female top weal thholders. Thus, while as in previous years women were wealthier than their male counterparts, the average wealth of women was approaching that of men.

[^1]:    //Includes those wealthholders with negative net worth.
    NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

[^2]:    **Data combined to avoid disclosure of information for specific estate tax returns.
    l/Includes those wealthholders with negative net worth.
    NOTE: Detall may not add to total because of rounding.

[^3]:    Estimate should be used with caution because of the small number of sample estate
    I/Includes those whealthholders with negative net worth.
    NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

[^4]:    **Data combined to avoid disclosure of information for specific estate tax returns
    1/Includes those wealthholders with negative net worth.
    NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

[^5]:    I/Includes those wealthholders with negative net worth.
    NOTE: Detall may not add to cotal because of rounding.

[^6]:    *Estimate should be used with caution because of the small number of sample estate tax returns on which it is based.
    **Data combined to avoid disclosure of information for specific estate tax returns.
    $1 /$ Includes those wealthholders with negative net worth.
    NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

[^7]:    *Escimate should be used with caution because of the small number of sumple estate tax returns on which it is based
    1/Includes those wealthholders with negative net worth
    NOTE: Detail may not add to total because of rounding.

[^8]:    Footnotes at end of table.

