

Internal Revenue Service

Number: **200404038**

Release Date: 01/23/2004

Index Number: 1295.02-02

Department of the Treasury

Washington, DC 20224

Person To Contact:

, ID No.

Telephone Number:

In Re:

Refer Reply To:

CC:INTL:B02 – PLR-124780-02

Date:

October 09, 2003

DO:

TY:

LEGEND:

Accountant =

Attorney =

Corporation =

Fund Management =

Grantor Trust =

Husband =

Limited Partnership =

PFIC 1 =

Taxpayer =

Wife =

Dear :

PLR-124780-02

This is in response to a letter dated April 23, 2002, supplemented and corrected by information submitted on June 19, 2002, October 2, 2002, January 30, 2003 and April 11, 2003, requesting consent, under Treasury Regulation section 1.1295-3(f), to make a retroactive qualified electing fund ("QEF") election to treat the stock owned by Taxpayer in the passive foreign investment company ("PFIC") listed below as stock in a QEF effective as of the first taxable year that Taxpayer owned stock in the PFIC.¹

The rulings contained in this letter are based upon information and representations submitted by the taxpayer and accompanied by a penalty of perjury statement executed by an appropriate party. While this office has not verified any of the material submitted in support of the request for rulings, it is subject to verification on examination.

FACTS AND REPRESENTATIONS:

In connection with its private letter ruling request, Taxpayer has represented the following facts.

Taxpayer is a limited partnership organized in the United States. It is 98.8% owned by Grantor Trust, and 1.2% owned by Corporation. Corporation is wholly-owned by Husband and Wife, who are the grantors of Grantor Trust. Husband serves as Vice President of Taxpayer. Taxpayer is part of Husband's and Wife's investment structure.

In 1999, Taxpayer acquired stock of PFIC 1, a passive foreign investment company. PFIC 1 is not a controlled foreign corporation (CFC) within the meaning of sections 951 through 964 of the Internal Revenue Code.

Prior to his retirement in 2001, Husband and Wife were advised by Attorney regarding various tax matters, such as the formation of the investment structure that includes Taxpayer. Attorney specialized in tax law. While representing Husband and Wife, Attorney was aware that Husband and Wife, and Taxpayer, invested in foreign corporations either directly, or indirectly through investments in foreign limited partnership funds. However, Attorney failed to examine whether some such foreign corporations were PFICs, and as a result, Attorney failed to advise Taxpayer of the possibility of making, or the consequences of failing to make, a QEF election with respect to PFIC 1.

¹ All section references are to the Internal Revenue Code of 1986 or the corresponding Treasury regulations thereunder.

PLR-124780-02

In addition to Attorney, Husband and Wife relied on Accountant since 1995 to prepare their Federal income tax returns and the Federal income tax returns for Taxpayer, including matters such as the tax consequences of making or failing to make QEF elections. Although Accountant was aware that Taxpayer invested in PFIC 1, he was unaware that PFIC 1 was a PFIC, and as a result, did not advise Taxpayer of the possibility of making, or the consequences of failing to make, a QEF election.

Taxpayer has submitted affidavits executed by Attorney and Accountant which reflect the above statements concerning their failures to advise Taxpayer of the possibility of making, or the consequences of failing to make, a QEF election.

Taxpayer first became aware that it might own stock in a PFIC in April 2001 when Limited Partnership, part of Husband's and Wife's investment structure that includes Taxpayer, received notification from Fund Management that it was investigating whether the funds invested in through Husband's and Wife's investment structure included foreign corporations that were PFICs. Taxpayer investigated its own investments upon Limited Partnership's receipt of confirmation from Fund Management in July of 2001 that Limited Partnership did own stock in various PFICs.

RULING REQUESTED:

Taxpayer requests the consent of the Commissioner of Internal Revenue to make a retroactive QEF election under Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f) to treat PFIC 1 as a QEF effective as of the first taxable year which it owned stock in PFIC 1.

LAW AND ANALYSIS:

Section 1295(a) provides the general rule that any PFIC shall be treated as a QEF with respect to the taxpayer provided (1) an election by the taxpayer under section 1295(b) applies to such company for the taxable year, and (2) the company complies with requirements that the Secretary may prescribe for purposes of determining the ordinary earnings and net capital gain of such company, and otherwise carrying out the purposes of Subpart B ("Treatment of Qualified Electing Funds").

Section 1295(b)(1) provides that a taxpayer may make a QEF election with respect to a PFIC for any taxable year of the taxpayer. Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-1(c)(2)(i) provides that a foreign corporation with respect to which a section 1295 election is made will be treated as a QEF for its taxable year ending with or within the shareholder's election year and all subsequent taxable years of the foreign corporation that are included wholly or partly in the shareholder's holding period (or periods) of stock of the foreign corporation.

PLR-124780-02

Section 1295(b)(2) states that an election may be made for any taxable year at any time on or before the due date (determined with regard to extensions) for filing the return of the tax imposed by Chapter 1 for such taxable year ("election due date"). To the extent provided in regulations, an election may be made later than the election due date where the taxpayer fails to make a timely election because the taxpayer reasonably believed the company was not a PFIC ("retroactive election").

Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f) provides that a shareholder may request the consent of the Commissioner to make a retroactive QEF election if the shareholder satisfies the following requirements:

- (1) the shareholder reasonably relied on a qualified tax professional;
- (2) granting consent will not prejudice the interests of the United States government;
- (3) the shareholder requests consent before a representative of the Internal Revenue Service raises upon audit the PFIC status of the corporation for any taxable year of the shareholder; and
- (4) the shareholder satisfies all procedural requirements set forth in Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f)(4).

As provided in Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f)(2), a shareholder is deemed to have reasonably relied on a qualified tax professional only if the shareholder reasonably relied on a qualified tax professional who failed to identify the foreign corporation as a PFIC or failed to advise the shareholder of the consequences of making, or failing to make, a QEF election. The section further states that a shareholder will not be considered to have reasonably relied on a qualified tax professional if the shareholder knew, or reasonably should have known, that the foreign corporation was a PFIC and of the availability of a section 1295 election, or knew or reasonably should have known that the qualified tax professional was not competent to render tax advice with respect to ownership of shares of a foreign corporation or did not have access to all relevant facts and circumstances.

In the present case, Taxpayer relied upon Attorney to advise it as to the tax consequences of Taxpayer's investments. Taxpayer also relied on Accountant for its Federal income tax return preparation, including the consequences of making or failing to make available elections, such as a QEF election. Attorney and Accountant were competent to render tax advice with respect to stock ownership in a foreign corporation, but did not identify the foreign corporation in which Taxpayer invested directly as a PFIC, or inform Taxpayer of the availability of a QEF election. Taxpayer reasonably relied on a qualified tax professional within the meaning of Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f)(1)(i) and (2).

The second requirement of Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f)(1) states that the Commissioner may grant consent to a taxpayer to make a retroactive election only if granting consent will not prejudice the interests of the United States government. Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f)(3)(i) provides that the interests of the United States government are prejudiced if granting the request would result in the shareholder having a lower tax liability, taking into account applicable interest charges, in the aggregate for all years affected by the retroactive election (other than by a de minimis amount) than the shareholder would have had if the shareholder had made the QEF election by the election due date, taking into account the time value of money for purposes of the computation. If granting relief would prejudice the interests of the United States government, the Commissioner may, in his sole discretion, grant consent to make a retroactive election, provided the shareholder enters into a closing agreement with the Commissioner that requires the shareholder to pay an amount sufficient to eliminate any prejudice to the government as a consequence of the shareholder's inability to file amended returns for the closed taxable years. Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f)(3)(ii). In the present case, it has been determined that granting relief will not prejudice the interests of the United States government.

The third requirement to be met under Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f)(1) is that the request must be made before a representative of the Internal Revenue Service raises upon audit the PFIC status of the corporation for any taxable year of the shareholder. Taxpayer represent that it made the request for a retroactive QEF election before the issue was raised on audit.

The final requirement for a retroactive election under Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f)(1) is that the procedural requirements set forth in Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f)(4) must be met. The procedural requirements set forth include filing a request for consent to make a retroactive election and appropriate user fee with the Office of the Associate Chief Counsel (International). Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f)(4)(i). Additionally, affidavits signed under penalties of perjury must be submitted by the shareholder and any qualified tax professional upon whose advice the shareholder relied. Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f)(4)(ii) and (iii). These affidavits must describe the events that led to the failure to make a QEF election by the election due date, the discovery of such failure, and the engagement and responsibilities of the qualified tax professional and the extent to which the shareholder relied on such professional. Taxpayer has submitted affidavits meeting the requirements set forth in Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f) describing the failures of Attorney and Accountant to inform Taxpayer of the need to make a QEF election. Taxpayer has submitted the appropriate user fee. Therefore, Taxpayer has met the procedural requirements of Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f)(4).

PLR-124780-02

Based on the information submitted and representations made, Taxpayer is granted consent to make a retroactive QEF election under Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(f) with respect to PFIC 1 effective for its taxable year 1999, provided Taxpayer complies with the rules under Treas. Reg. § 1.1295-3(g) regarding time and manner for making the retroactive QEF election.

This ruling is directed only to the taxpayer(s) requesting it. Section 6110(k)(3) of the Code provides that it may not be used or cited as precedent.

A copy of this letter must be attached to any income tax return to which it is relevant.

In accordance with the Power of Attorney on file with this office, a copy of this letter is being sent to Taxpayer.

Sincerely,

Valerie A. Mark Lippe
Senior Technical Reviewer, Branch 2
Office of Office of Associate Chief Counsel
(International)

cc: