

Number: **202336025** Release Date: 9/8/2023

UIL: 501.03-00

Date:

June 5, 2023

Taxpayer ID number (last 4 digits):

Form

Tax periods ended:

Person to contact:

Name:

ID number: Telephone:

E

Last day to file petition with United States

Tax Court:

## CERTIFIED MAIL - Return Receipt Requested

Dear

## Why we are sending you this letter

This is a final determination explaining why your organization doesn't qualify as an organization described in Internal Revenue Code (IRC) Section 501(c)(3) for the tax periods above.

Our adverse determination as to your exempt status was made for the following reasons:

You did not respond to our requests for additional information regarding your finances and activities as required under Treasury Regulation Section 1.6033-2(i)(2).

You have not demonstrated that you are both organized and operated exclusively for charitable, educational, or other exempt purposes within the meaning of IRC Section 501(c)(3). As such, you failed to meet the requirement of IRC Section 501(c)(3) and Treas. Reg. Sections 1.501(c)(3)-1(a).

Additionally, you have not demonstrated that you are operated exclusively for charitable, educational, or other exempt purposes within the meaning of IRC Section 501(c)(3) because the organization's assets inure to the benefit of a private shareholders or individuals.

Organizations that are not exempt under IRC Section 501 generally are required to file federal income tax returns and pay tax, where applicable. For further instructions, forms and information please visit **IRS.gov**.

Contributions to your organization are no longer deductible under IRC Section 170.

#### What you must do if you disagree with this determination

If you want to contest our final determination, you have 90 days from the date this determination letter was mailed to you to file a petition or complaint in one of the three federal courts listed below.

## How to file your action for declaratory judgment

If you decide to contest this determination, you can file an action for declaratory judgment under the provisions of Section 7428 of the Code in either:

- The United States Tax Court,
- The United States Court of Federal Claims, or
- The United States District Court for the District of Columbia

You must file a petition or complaint in one of these three courts within 90 days from the date we mailed this determination letter to you. You can download a fillable petition or complaint form and get information about filing at each respective court's website listed below or by contacting the Office of the Clerk of the Court at one of the addresses below. Be sure to include a copy of this letter and any attachments and the applicable filing fee with the petition or complaint.

You can eFile your completed U.S. Tax Court petition by following the instructions and user guides available on the Tax Court website at **ustaxcourt.gov/dawson.html**. You will need to register for a DAWSON account to do so. You may also file your petition at the address below:

United States Tax Court 400 Second Street, NW Washington, DC 20217 ustaxcourt.gov

The websites of the U.S. Court of Federal Claims and the U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia contain instructions about how to file your completed complaint electronically. You may also file your complaint at one of the addresses below:

US Court of Federal Claims 717 Madison Place, NW Washington, DC 20439 uscfc.uscourts.gov

US District Court for the District of Columbia 333 Constitution Avenue, NW Washington, DC 20001 dcd.uscourts.gov

Processing of income tax returns and assessments of any taxes due will not be delayed if you file a petition for declaratory judgment under IRC Section 7428.

We'll notify the appropriate state officials (as permitted by law) of our determination that you aren't an organization described in IRC Section 501(c)(3).

## Information about the IRS Taxpayer Advocate Service

The IRS office whose phone number appears at the top of the notice can best address and access your tax information and help get you answers. However, you may be eligible for free help from the Taxpayer Advocate Service (TAS) if you can't resolve your tax problem with the IRS, or you believe an IRS procedure just isn't working as it should. TAS is an independent organization within the IRS that helps taxpayers and protects taxpayer rights. Contact your local Taxpayer Advocate Office at:

Or call TAS at 877-777-4778. For more information about TAS and your rights under the Taxpayer Bill of Rights, go to **taxpayeradvocate.IRS.gov**. Do not send your federal court pleading to the TAS address listed above. Use the applicable federal court address provided earlier in the letter. Contacting TAS does not extend the time to file an action for declaratory judgment.

## Where you can find more information

Enclosed are Publication 1, Your Rights as a Taxpayer, and Publication 594, The IRS Collection Process, for more comprehensive information.

Find tax forms or publications by visiting IRS.gov/forms or calling 800-TAX-FORM (800-829-3676). If you have questions, you can call the person shown at the top of this letter.

If you prefer to write, use the address shown at the top of this letter. Include your telephone number, the best time to call, and a copy of this letter.

You may fax your documents to the fax number shown above, using either a fax machine or online fax service. Protect yourself when sending digital data by understanding the fax service's privacy and security policies.

Keep the original letter for your records.

Sincerely,

Lynn A. Brinkley

Director, Exempt Organizations Examinations

Enclosures: Form 886-A Form 4621-A Publications 1, 594, and 892

Form <b>886A</b>	-	t of the Treasury - Internal F		Schedule No. or Exhibit
Name of To		xplanation of It	ems	Year/Period Ended:
Name of Ta	kpayer:			Year/Period Ended:
_				
<u>lssues:</u>	,			
Issue 1 – Do		(	) qualif	fy for exemption under
Internal Reve	enue Code section	501(c)(3)?		
Janua 2 Da	es the available in	formation indicat		assets inure to the benefit
of anyone?	es the available in	iornation indicat	<del>e</del> a	assets indie to the benefit
or arryone:				
Facts:				
in	corporated in	on	and Article II	states
		aaa Attaahmant	4	
	, ;	see Attachment	1.	
Corporation, and liabilities corporation v and/or religio	its assets remaining of this corporation which is organized	ng after payment n shall be distribu and operated ex which has establ	, or provision for uted to a nonpro- clusively for ch	ution or winding up the or payment, of all debts ofit fund, foundation or naritable, educational, empt status under
purposes and the benefit of	d no part of the ne	t income or asse er or member th	ts of this corporered to the l	dedicated to religious ration shall ever inure to benefit of any private as the incorporator.
shows shows number. Par secretary & condicate that completed S 1023 to provauthorized reprovides a na	n 501(c)(3) of the , box 3 s as the print t V shows lirector, and , tion 5a shows the lithe application is a chedule A Churche de additional infor epresentative, but a arrative of	Internal Revenueshows mary contact, an as pressor as Ti as their corbox 509(a)(1) and church or a corbos. at mation and states a Form 2848 was activities and	d box 6b provided box 6b provided box 6b provided by the seasurer & Direct box 170(b)(1)(A)(b) by the season by the case of th	Recognition of Exemption tachment 2. Part I, box 2, box 6a des a contact phone or, as actor; and all show Part X Public Charity  (i) box is checked to ociation of churches and ment 4, Appendix to Form from is the e file. Attachment 4 will host Sunday yenings. Part XI is

as president.

signed by

Form <b>886A</b>	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service	Schedule No. or Exhibit
Name of Towns	Explanation of Items	Year/Period Ended:
Name of Taxpay	er:	Year/Period Ended:
-		
On the I Additional Information trustees, see A		r 1312, <i>Request for</i> Il of officers, directors, and
Establish, to information and is	RS issued Letter 1314, <i>EO Determination R</i> on which states the IRS did not receive closing the case without making a determination to consider the request, s	re the requested nation because the IRS
indicates initial readdress shows and in care of provides the same as the Treasurer from	filed Form 990-EZ, Short Form Return for the period ending turn, an application is pending, shows as and provides a contact number. Part I and as president & director, as Treasurer & Director. Part V shows to shows the e telephone number as the heading. Part VI & Director but it is not signed. The paid prep and shows a contact telephone number ed as a church. Schedule O states	d II show zeros. Part IV as secretary & director, he books and records are , and shows arer section shows per. Schedule A shows
the date of this re as the ir	cretary of State website shows port, and references the nactive date and shows a <i>Statement of Infor</i> esumably not filed.	status suspended, as of The website shows <i>mation</i> was due on
Revenue Agent (I certified mail, with	RA) mailed Letter 5307, <i>Church 7</i> inquiry questions to the ochment 5. Letter 5307 provided a	ies and the examining Fax Inquiry Notice, via , , response date, but a
Domestic Return	United States Postal Service (USPS) returned Receipt, to the RA. Box A of the receipt should be a selected as a selected should be a selected as a selected as a selected should be a selected as a se	
via certified mail,	RA mailed Letter 5308, <i>Follow Up Church Ta</i> as a follow up to Letter 5307, to the ee Attachment 7. Letter 5308 included Lette	,

Form <b>886A</b>	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service  Explanation of Items	Schedule No. or Exhibit		
Name of Taxpay	Name of Taxpayer:			
_		<u> </u>		
questions. Letter provided.	5308 provided as a response date,	but a response was not		
	tion, via certified mail, to the	Letter 5309, Notice of ,		
signature, box B	ed PS Form 3811 to the RA. Box A of the red shows an illegible name, and box C shows nent 9. Letter 5309 provided a resp t provided.	as the delivery		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Request (IDR), to dress, see Attachment 10		
On the RA mailed Letter 3164-E, <i>Third Party Contact</i> , to to the address to advising that the IRS plans to contact other individuals regarding . Letter 3164-E allowed to request a li of individuals the IRS plans to contact, a request was not received.				
On the land banking records r	RA mailed Forms 2039, <i>Summons</i> , to requesting banking information, each respectated to	onded and provided		
records show ,	responded and provided records for account of as the account owner a address. The signature card for as account holders. Condor signature.	and the r the account shows		
Bank records sho table below show	w utilized in the s the total incoming and outgoing funds:	and years, the		

Page: 3 of 19

Form <b>886A</b>	Department of the Treasury - Internal Reversible  Explanation of Item	Concadio 1 to: Of Emilion
Name of Taxpaye	er:	Year/Period Ended:
Ye	Deposits Debits	Checks Fees
The withdraws and oth establishments su	<i>er debit</i> s section show debit pur ch as , , ,	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
purchase withdraws totaling out the account.		statements show at least 16 bank withdraw on to close
ar	id total \$ , Some of the	of the checks were signed by he payee names indicate individuals st of the images show the memo line
\$ , Paye images show the r	heck images show ees were individuals and comme memo line is blank. Three check al \$ ,	signed checks totaling ercial businesses but most of the k images show the payee is
responses records show and/or	, address. The signa	account ending with . The count owner and the account shows ature card for the account shows ant holder. Check images show
Bank records show table below shows	v utilized the total incoming and outgoing	in the and years, the g funds:

Form 886A Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service				ervice	Schedule No. or Exhibit	
Explanation of Items					Year/Period	Endadi
Name of Tax					Teal/Period	Ended.
			ccount End	ing		
Year Sub Totals:	Deposits  2 2 2 2 5 cotal Deposits:	Checks : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : : :	Debits :	E Withdra	ws Other Withdr	aws Fees
	Expenditures:	2 2 2 .			-	
establishmer	E WITHDRAWA nts such as , and notaling \$	LS section sh , ,	now debit po	urchases fr , statements	, s also show r	ial , ,
. Pay show the me two check ma	total \$ and two check r	duals and col . Two checks tot , . , fi	mmercial bus made out al \$	usinesses I , seven made out to total \$	but most of th total \$ checks made o	out to totaling
records show available paid opened on	•	address. A	the accou	nt owner ai card was r	-	
	Total	Deposits: Deposits:	Account En	ding Checks	Fees	

The statements ATM/Debit Card transaction this statement period section shows six transactions including a \$ , withdraw on closing the account. The debit transaction show purchases from restaurants and gas stations.

Form <b>886A</b>	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Ser  Explanation of Items	Schedule No. or Exhibit
Name of Taxpay		Year/Period Ended:
were signed by	•	hose images show the checks uals and commercial businesses
The table below	shows the total deposit and expenditu	ire amounts:
	Total Deposits and Expend	ditures
	Deposits	Expenditures
	Totals: , ,	2 2 1 2
<i>Information</i> , to th	RA mailed Letters 1995, <i>Third Party</i> (e , , , , and sees are discussed later in this report.	Contact Letters to Request , and responded
	RA mailed Letters 1995 to and , but	they did not respond.
to pu received four pay revealed that the provided to	esponse states they funded a private rchase a property at remaining \$ , , see Attachr transaction was conducted by on . ar was paid to , see attachment	ment 11. A property search and a summons was check image
reviewed and the The Order Sheet	ponded to the summons with , dependent of the summons with , dependent of the dependent of the summons with , dependent of the summons with , dependent of the summons with , dependent of the summons with , dependent of the summons with	the buyer, shows Attention

Company dated

SOLE INCORPORATORS OF

Limited Liability Company Agreement

. The FINAL BUYER'S

, a

Limited Liability

, and

Limited Liability

, A

and states that

STATEMENT shows the contract sales price is \$ . M. The documents contained a

were elected to serve as Directors of

Explanation of Items   Year/Period Ended:	Form <b>886A</b>	Department of the Treas	ury - Internal Revenue Service	Schedule No. or	Exhibit
Company document executed by and on Exhibit A to that documents provides a list of member and shows and as their address, see Attachment 13.  ( ) filed Limited Liability Company Articles of Organization on with the Secretary of State and state purpose is to engage in any lawful act or activity for which a limited liability company may be organized under the Limited Liability Act.  IRS records show filed Forms 1065, U.S. Return of Partnership Income, for the tax years ending to and issued a Schedule K to and both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ , see attachment 14.  Con a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number was filed by the Attorney on ( ) and lists and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as located at , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as located at , The Complaint states in just the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Muricipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the marijuana and is located in a	Explanation of Items				
A to that documents provides a list of member and shows and both list and both list as their address, see  Attachment 13.  ( ) filed Limited Liability Company Articles of Organization on with the Secretary of State and state purpose is to engage in any lawful act or activity for which a limited liability company may be organized under the Limited Liability Act.  IRS records show filed Forms 1065, U.S. Return of Partnership Income, for the tax years ending to and issued a Schedule K to and both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ see attachment 14.  On a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number with the was filed by the Attorney on with the as a the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as located at sis owner/operator, manager of is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at Solved in a silocated in a	Name of Taxpayer:			Year/Period	Ended:
A to that documents provides a list of member and shows and both list and both list as their address, see  Attachment 13.  ( ) filed Limited Liability Company Articles of Organization on with the Secretary of State and state purpose is to engage in any lawful act or activity for which a limited liability company may be organized under the Limited Liability Act.  IRS records show filed Forms 1065, U.S. Return of Partnership Income, for the tax years ending to and issued a Schedule K to and both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ see attachment 14.  On a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number with the was filed by the Attorney on with the as a the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as located at sis owner/operator, manager of is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at Solved in a silocated in a					
A to that documents provides a list of member and shows and both list and both list as their address, see  Attachment 13.  ( ) filed Limited Liability Company Articles of Organization on with the Secretary of State and state purpose is to engage in any lawful act or activity for which a limited liability company may be organized under the Limited Liability Act.  IRS records show filed Forms 1065, U.S. Return of Partnership Income, for the tax years ending to and issued a Schedule K to and both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ see attachment 14.  On a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number with the was filed by the Attorney on with the said three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as located at sis owner/operator, manager of is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at Solved in a silocated in			•		
A to that documents provides a list of member and shows and both list and both list as their address, see  Attachment 13.  ( ) filed Limited Liability Company Articles of Organization on with the Secretary of State and state purpose is to engage in any lawful act or activity for which a limited liability company may be organized under the Limited Liability Act.  IRS records show filed Forms 1065, U.S. Return of Partnership Income, for the tax years ending to and issued a Schedule K to and both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ see attachment 14.  On a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number with the was filed by the Attorney on with the said three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as located at sis owner/operator, manager of is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at Solved in a silocated in	_				
A to that documents provides a list of member and shows and both list and both list as their address, see  Attachment 13.  ( ) filed Limited Liability Company Articles of Organization on with the Secretary of State and state purpose is to engage in any lawful act or activity for which a limited liability company may be organized under the Limited Liability Act.  IRS records show filed Forms 1065, U.S. Return of Partnership Income, for the tax years ending to and issued a Schedule K to and both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ see attachment 14.  On a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number with the was filed by the Attorney on with the said three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as located at sis owner/operator, manager of is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at Solved in a silocated in					
and both list Attachment 13.  (		-		on	. Exhibit
Attachment 13.  (		•	nember and shows		
: ( ) filed Limited Liability Company Articles of Organization on with the Secretary of State and state purpose is to engage in any lawful act or activity for which a limited liability company may be organized under the Limited Liability Act.  IRS records show filed Forms 1065, U.S. Return of Partnership Income, for the tax years ending to and issued a Schedule K to and both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ , see attachment 14.  CON a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number was filed by the Attorney on with the , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as located at , The Complaint states in is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the Municipal Code is is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the Marijuana and is located in a	-	ı list	, ,	as their addre	ess, see
on with the Secretary of State and state purpose is to engage in any lawful act or activity for which a limited liability company may be organized under the Limited Liability Act.  IRS records show filed Forms 1065, U.S. Return of Partnership Income, for the tax years ending to and issued a Schedule K to and both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ , see attachment 14.  CON a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number , was filed by the Attorney on with the , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as , located at , and as the Complaint states in jet the second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the marijuana and is located in a	Attachment 13.				
on with the Secretary of State and state purpose is to engage in any lawful act or activity for which a limited liability company may be organized under the Limited Liability Act.  IRS records show filed Forms 1065, U.S. Return of Partnership Income, for the tax years ending to and issued a Schedule K to and both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ , see attachment 14.  CON a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number , was filed by the Attorney on with the , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as , located at , and as the Complaint states in jet the second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the marijuana and is located in a					
on with the Secretary of State and state purpose is to engage in any lawful act or activity for which a limited liability company may be organized under the Limited Liability Act.  IRS records show filed Forms 1065, U.S. Return of Partnership Income, for the tax years ending to and issued a Schedule K to and both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ , see attachment 14.  CON a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number , was filed by the Attorney on with the , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as , located at , and as the Complaint states in jet the second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the marijuana and is located in a		. \	it-d Liebilit. Oessesse	A -4: -1	
engage in any lawful act or activity for which a limited liability company may be organized under the Limited Liability Act.  IRS records show filed Forms 1065, U.S. Return of Partnership Income, for the tax years ending to and issued a Schedule K to and both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ , see attachment 14.  On a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number was filed by the Attorney on with the , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as located at sowner/operator, manager of is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the marijuana and is located in a	و ملغانی د	•	•	-	•
IRS records show filed Forms 1065, U.S. Retum of Partnership Income, for the tax years ending to and issued a Schedule K to and both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ , see attachment 14.  On a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number was filed by the Attorney on with the , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as located at sowner/operator, manager of is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the marijuana and is located in a					
IRS records show filed Forms 1065, <i>U.S. Retum of Partnership Income</i> , for the tax years ending to and issued a Schedule K to and both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ , see attachment 14.  CON a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number was filed by the Attorney on with the , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a <i>Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana</i> at real property and business commonly known as located at is owner/operator, manager of is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is " <i>Public Nuisance</i> " and " <i>Nuisance Per Se</i> " Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at Aunicipal	-			company may t	е
tax years ending to and issued a Schedule K to and both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ , see attachment 14.  Con a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number was filed by the Attorney on with the , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as located at , The Complaint states in is owner/operator, manager of . is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the Marijuana and is located in a	organized under i	ne Lim	ited Liability Act.		
tax years ending to and issued a Schedule K to and both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ , see attachment 14.  Con a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number was filed by the Attorney on with the , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as located at , The Complaint states in is owner/operator, manager of . is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the Marijuana and is located in a	IRS records show	filed Forms	1065 U.S. Return of F	Partnershin Inco	me for the
both years. All Schedule K show and each had a 50% interest in check images show payee on seven checks totaling \$ , see attachment 14.  Con a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number with the , was filed by the Attorney on with the , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a <i>Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana</i> at real property and business commonly known as , located at , The Complaint states in jet is owner/operator, manager of , is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the ( ) . Title marijuana and is located in a			*	•	
each had a 50% interest in check images show as the payee on seven checks totaling \$ , see attachment 14.  Con a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number , was filed by the Attorney on with the , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a <i>Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana</i> at real property and business commonly known as , located at , The Complaint states in jet is owner/operator, manager of , is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the marijuana and is located in a	,				and
payee on seven checks totaling \$ , , , see attachment 14.  : On a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down , see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number , was filed by the Attorney on with the , ( ) and lists , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as , located at , The Complaint states in part is owner/operator, manager of , is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the ( ) Title marijuana and is located in a					as the
On a ( ) attorney initially responded to Letter 1995 with a telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number was filed by the Attorney on with the ( ) and lists ( ) an				•	
telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number with the sum of the complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as located at part is owner/operator, manager of secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at address. The Complaint states is is violating the Complaint states is violating the marijuana and is located in a	<b>P</b> 3.7 3.1, 3.2 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1 3.1	,	, ,		
telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number with the sum of the complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as located at part is owner/operator, manager of secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at address. The Complaint states is is violating the Complaint states is violating the marijuana and is located in a		:			
telephone call to the RA and said the was able to shut down because it was operating as a marijuana dispensary without proper approval. The attorney later provided documents related to the legal action to shut down see Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number with the sum of the complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as located at part is owner/operator, manager of secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at address. The Complaint states is is violating the Complaint states is violating the marijuana and is located in a	On a	( ) a	attorney initially respon	ided to Letter 19	95 with a
provided documents related to the Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number , was filed by the with the , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as , located at , The Complaint states in part is owner/operator, manager of , is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the (). Title marijuana and is located in a	telephone call to t				
Attachment 15.  The Complaint, Case Number , was filed by the attorney on with the , ( ) and lists , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a <i>Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana</i> at real property and business commonly known as , located at , . The Complaint states in part is owner/operator, manager of , is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the ( ). Title marijuana and is located in a	was operating as	a marijuana dispensa	ry without proper appr	oval. The attorn	ey later
The Complaint, Case Number , was filed by the with the , ( ) and lists , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a <i>Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana</i> at real property and business commonly known as , located at , . The Complaint states in part is owner/operator, manager of , is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the ( ). Title marijuana and is located in a	•	nts related to the	legal action to shut of	down	, see
with the , , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a <i>Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana</i> at real property and business commonly known as , located at , . The Complaint states in part is owner/operator, manager of , is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the ( ). Title marijuana and is located in a	Attachment 15.				
with the , , and as the defendants.  The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a <i>Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana</i> at real property and business commonly known as , located at , . The Complaint states in part is owner/operator, manager of , is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the ( ). Title marijuana and is located in a			en 11 m	_	
The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a <i>Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana</i> at real property and business commonly known as , located at , The Complaint states in part is owner/operator, manager of , is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the (). Title marijuana and is located in a	•	ase Number	, was filed by the		•
The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a <i>Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana</i> at real property and business commonly known as , located at , The Complaint states in part is owner/operator, manager of , is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , . address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the ( ). Title marijuana and is located in a	with the		,	( )	and lists
The Complaint enumerated three causes of action. The first cause is a <i>Public Nuisance Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana</i> at real property and business commonly known as , located at , . The Complaint states in part is owner/operator, manager of , is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the  ( ). Title marijuana and is located in a		,		, aa tha dafaa	d = =4=
Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as , located at , . The Complaint states in part is owner/operator, manager of , is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the marijuana and is located in a	• .	,	, and	as the defend	iants.
Based Upon Distribution of Marijuana at real property and business commonly known as , located at , . The Complaint states in part is owner/operator, manager of , is the secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the marijuana and is located in a	The Complaint on	numerated three cause	es of action. The first	cause is a Dubli	o Nuisanos
as , located at , , . The Complaint states in part is owner/operator, manager of , is the secretary/operator/owner/member of .  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the (). Title marijuana and is located in a	•				
part is owner/operator, manager of secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at solutions of the Second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Second Code at solutions of the Second Code at solutions of the Second Code at Second	•	_			•
Secretary/operator/owner/member of  The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the (). Title marijuana and is located in a	•			•	
The second cause is "Public Nuisance" and "Nuisance Per Se" Based Upon Violations of the Municipal Code at , , address. The Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the ( ). Title marijuana and is located in a	•	•		,	10 1110
of the Municipal Code at , , address. The Complaint states is violating the the ( ). Title marijuana and is located in a	ood ottally opporate		· ·		
of the Municipal Code at , , address. The Complaint states is violating the the ( ). Title marijuana and is located in a	The second cause	e is <i>"Public Nuisance</i> "	and "Nuisance Per Se	e" Based Upon	Violations
Complaint states is violating the Zoning Code, Title of the ( ). Title marijuana and is located in a				-	
the ( ). Title marijuana and is located in a		-		•	
•	the	( ).	Title		
and the of marijuana		•	and		
		and the		of marijuana	

Form <b>886A</b>		easury - Internal Revenue Service	Schedule No. or Exhibit
Name of Taxp			Year/Period Ended:
			,
The third cause	a is Unlawful and Unfa	ir Rusinassas Practic	ces Based Upon Violations of
Law and Acts/		ii Dusiiiesses Fraciid	es based opon violations of
	address. The Complai		• •
marijuana from	its location because i	does not have a pe	rmit to distribute marijuana.
	Declaration from the		for the on
			tates the facts set forth herein ess, I could and would testify
			ed on his own investigation
and review of		•	eedings he is familiar with the
property at violation of	, see Attachment 16		ribute marijuana, which is a
	,		
The Superviso filed with the C		iar with the provisior n prohibits the prope	of the Permanent Injunction
, , ,			ana available. The Permanen
Injunction lists	-,		, and
as	s the defendants, see	Attachment 16, Exnit	DIT A.
The Superviso	r states that on	he reviewed	page and he
is informed and	d believes that nd post photos and me		Illows businesses to set up a
indicates its lo	•	,	, and its website is
		, see A	Attachment 16, Exhibit E.
The Superviso	r states that on	he reviewed	page,
-1-11			eliable online resource to find
	fronts, doctors, and de cated at	als.	page indicates , and includes a menu of
different mariju	ana products and pric		lude different strains of
marijuana, suc Attachment 16	•	, as well as extra	acts, edibles and topicals, see
Attachment 10	, LAIIIDICI .		
	r states that he execut		at property
	id ne took photographs loor and took pictures		ond floors. He also inspected dressed to, see
	, Exhibits G,H, I, and J		, 55
filed	a page response to	o the Complaint on	with the Court and
obje	cts to Plaintiff's Reque	est in its entirety to th	e extent that it does not
specify a reason	nable time and place:	for inspection and co	nving see Attachment 17

Page and page are a copy of

Application for

Form <b>886A</b>	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Servi  Explanation of Items	Schedule No. or Exhibit
Name of Taxpay		Year/Period Ended:
lembership. The	Application states	
age of	response is titled	an
ovides:		
	•	
age provides	:	
	•	
also file	ed a page Declaration of	in support of w
	, see Attachment 18. The Declara	
, declare as	follows:	
1. I am a	at the	and have been since it
opened in	eekly on at nr	

Form <b>886A</b>	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service  Explanation of Items	Schedule No. or Exhibit
Name of Taxpay	Year/Period Ended:	
_		
Recently the accommoder	ne has requested an additional date additional members.	at : pm to
4. My	are based and focus on helping t	he members of the
	achieve a greater appreciation of the utilization of cannabis as	based on
5.	provides for greater plasticity	of thought in
analysis a	nd with	
The provided	la for	to
	, , and	responded. Some of the
information included completely, other	cted for employee information and sensitive ling pricing information. Some of the docur documents were printed emails exchange are not attached to this report. The respons	ments were redacted ad between and
invoices that show	w at	, , as the
customer, have a	date range from to , and , see Attachment 19.	d appear to be issued on the
	response contains copies of what copy on pages and show the same as the name of the dispensary, and show the same date	date , show
•	the name of the dispensary, and is signed	•
manager. Page	shows as the date, shows	as the
name of the dispe	ensary, and is signed by as the mar	nager. Page shows
as the d and is signed by	late, shows as the manager.	e name of the dispensary,
provide	ed a page response to the	of
relate provided a copy o , see Att	d to on and among t	ne documents ith executed on
identity of all person of all employees		the Request for the of Directors and the names see Attachment 21.
	:	
	page response contained a REPORT conducted on t	he property located at

\_\_\_\_

Page: 10 of 19

Form <b>886A</b>	Department of				Schedule No. or Exhibit
		lanation	of Items	3	
Name of Taxpay	er:				Year/Period Ended:
_					
,	,	on .			shows the inspection
was ordered by			•	is listed as	unknown, and the report
was sent to	, see <i>i</i>	Attachme	nt 22.		
	RA called			number fo	
					)-EZ. The call went right
	•	an leave	a messa	ge was prov	rided, RA left a message,
but did not get a	call back.				
On the F	RA called	,	this numl		cured from the
business si	ignature card for	7		, listed	as the president. The
person who answ	ered, presumab	ly a male	, said he	was not	nor was he
associated with	. He did	d say that		must have h	nad this number because
the person who a	nswered has red	ceived nu	merous o	alls looking	for .
•				•	
On the F	RA called	t	ecause t	his number	was found in a search
for	. The sea			number be	
					number was called
again on	and numerous			• .	
On the F	RA conducted a	search o	n :		and found that
				and	work for
, at	,	, in	,		. The search showed
	he chief executi	•••	Α	Secreta	ary of State search found
	the Agent at	10 0111001	, , ,	in	,
	RA conducted a	search fo	r	•••	with the
	s, as shown on the			7 and a hit r	provided the
audiess	, as snown on a		1 33062	•	e same address as the
address for	1	,		, 1113 13 111	c same address as the
auuless ioi	•	•			
On the F	RA spoke to		at	an	d he provided two
	•	and	aı	, associate	•
different numbers			alaa nray	•	
not sure who they	belonged to.		also prov	ided two po	ssible email addresses
		and	_:		The
	ne number show			gnature car	
					but no one responded to
the RA. The RA called a second time and left a voice message, the greeting did not					
reveal anything a	bout the owner.		was sent	t to both em	
		and			., but a response
was not received.	was not received.				

Page: 11 of 19

	D. C. T. L. I.				
Form <b>886A</b>	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service  Explanation of Items	Schedule No. or Exhibit			
Name of Taxpayer:		Year/Period Ended:			
<del>-</del>					
	the RA conducted a name search for	with the			
	dress and no hits were found. RA conducted a				
as	the resident city and did not identify any indivi-	dual associated with the			
•					
		vith the			
	no hits were found. RA conducted another sea				
as the r	resident city and did not identify any individual	associated with the			
	the RA conducted a name search for	with the			
	dress, as shown on the F990EZ and no nother search with the as the	resident city and did not			
	ndividual associated with the .	resident sity and did not			
_					
	the RA conducted a name search for Iress and a hit found. The hit showed	with			
add	. The hit also provided	, and			
pho	•	sly provided by			
the	number was called various time but no o	•			
	number was called various times but the pho	one was always busy.			
On	the RA conducted a name search for	with the			
add	lress and no hits were found.				
0-	the DA conducted a división license course for	The second			
	the RA conducted a driver's license search for ed with and without as the state of residence	. The search ce, but no hits were found.			
Was soriaus.	ou man and mandat — do the state of resident	se, sat no mie were round.			
	RA conducted an address search for	and in IRS records.			
The search for	. ,	, ,			
. The	, , , , and search for showed	, , ,			
, and	, , , , ,	,			
05	the DA cent a drafted letter to				
On	the RA sent a drafted letter to at the requesting his assistance with a tax	x matter, a response was not			
received, and the letter was later returned by the USPS, see Attachment 23.					
•					
On	the RA sent a drafted letter to at the	v matter a reconce was not			
received. and	requesting his assistance with a tax matter, a response was not received, and the letter was later returned by the USPS, see Attachment 24.				
The state of the s					

Page: 12 of 19

Form <b>886A</b>		•	t of the Treasury - Intern		Schedule No. or Exhibit
Explanation of Items			Items		
Name of Taxpayer: Year/Period Ended				Year/Period Ended:	
<del></del>				_	
On	the F	RA sent a dra		at the	1
, was not rece	havia				tax matter, a response S, see Attachment 25.
was not rece	iveu,	and the lette	i was later reti	unled by the COP	o, see Allaciineni 25.
On	the F	RA sent Lette	r 5464 and thr	ee Forms 4564 to	to the
	,				6. The Forms 4564
				ve rise to potential	
		requested col ms 4564 prov	•		nd documentation for the te, but a response was
not provided		nis 4304 prov	ide a	response due da	ite, but a response was
provided	•				
On	the F	RA sent Lette	r 5464 and thre	ee Forms 4564 to	to the
E 4504		, , ,	,		, see Attachment 27. The
					e to potential excess iation and documentation
		ns. Forms 45	•		due date, the letter was
retuned by th				responde	ado dato, the letter was
•					
On	the F	RA sent Lette	r 5464 and thre	ee Forms 4564 to	to the
Forms 4564	provi	, dod a listing (	of book transac		, see Attachment 28. The e to potential excess
	•	_		_	iation and documentation
		s. Forms 45			due date, but a
				s returned by the U	JSPS on .
0-	4h.a. F	24	- E464 and the	Forms 4564 to	4-46-
On	tne r	KA sent Lette	r 5464 and thre	ee Forms 4564 to	to the , see Attachment 29.
The Forms 4564 provided a listing of bank transactions that give rise to potential excess					
benefit transactions and requested contemporaneous substantiation and documentation					
for the transactions. Forms 4564 provide a response due date, but a response					
was not prov	rided.				
On	the F	RA sent a dra	fted letter to		to the
		o coont a ara		ess requesting as	sistance regarding seven
checks paid	to	by	·		Attachment 30. The
drafted letter	prov	ides a	response d	ue date; the letter	was returned by the
USPS on					
Law:					
	enue	Code (IRC) s	ection 501(c)(	3) exempts from for	ederal income tax
Internal Revenue Code (IRC) section 501(c)(3) exempts from federal income tax organizations organized and operated exclusively for charitable, educational, and other					

Form 886-A(Rev.4-68)

Page: 13 of 19

Form <b>886A</b>	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service  Explanation of Items	Schedule No. or Exhibit
Name of Taxpay	er:	Year/Period Ended:

exempt purposes, provided that no part of the organization's net earnings inures to the benefit of any private shareholder or individual.

IRC section 511 imposes a tax at corporate rates under section 11 on the unrelated business taxable income of certain tax-exempt organizations.

IRC section 6001 provides that every person liable for any tax imposed by this title, or for the collection thereof, shall keep such records, render such statements, make such returns, and comply with such rules and regulations as the Secretary may from time to time prescribe. Whenever in the judgment of the Secretary it is necessary, he may require any person, by notice served upon such person or by regulations, to make such returns, render such statements, or keep such records, as the Secretary deems sufficient to show if such person is liable for tax under this title.

IRC section 6033(a)(1), except as provided in section 6033(a)(2), provides in part that every organization exempt from tax undersection 501(a) shall file an annual return, stating specifically the items of gross income, receipts and disbursements, and such other information for the purposes of carrying out the internal revenue laws as the Secretary may by forms or regulations prescribe, and keep such records, render under oath such statements, make such other returns, and comply with such rules and regulations as the Secretary may from time to time prescribe.

Federal Tax Regulations (Regulations) section 1.501(c)(3)-1(a) states in part that in order to be exempt as an organization described in Code section 501(c)(3), the organization must be both organized and operated exclusively for one or more of the purposes specified in such section. If an organization fails to meet either the organizational test or the operational test, it is not exempt. The term "exempt purpose or purposes", as used in this section, means any purpose or purposes specified in section 501(c)(3).

Regulations section 1.501(c)(3)-1(b)(4) states in part that an organization is not organized exclusively for one or more exempt purposes unless its assets are dedicated to an exempt purpose. An organization's assets will be considered dedicated to an exempt purpose, for example, if, upon dissolution, such assets would, by reason of a provision in the organization's articles or by operation of law, be distributed for one or more exempt purposes, or to the Federal government, or to a State or local government, for a public purpose, or would be distributed by a court to another organization to be used in such manner as in the judgment of the court will best accomplish the general purposes for which the dissolved organization was organized. However, an organization does not meet the organizational test if its articles or the law

Form 886-A(Rev.4-68)

Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Page: 14 of 19

Form <b>886A</b>	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service  Explanation of Items	Schedule No. or Exhibit
Name of Taxpay	er:	Year/Period Ended:

of the State in which it was created provide that its assets would, upon dissolution, be distributed to its members or shareholders.

Regulations section 1.501(c)(3)-1(c)(1) provides that an organization will not be regarded as operated exclusively for exempt purposes if more than an insubstantial part of its activities is not in furtherance of exempt purposes.

United States Code (USC), Title 21, Section 802(16) defines marijuana as "all parts of the plant Cannabis sativa L. whether growing or not; the seeds thereof; the resin extracted from any part of such plant; and every compound, manufacture, salt, derivative, mixture, or preparation of such plant, its seeds or resin."

USC Title 21, Section 821(c), Sch. I(c)(10) lists marijuana as a hallucinogenic substance and includes it on schedule I of the Schedules of Controlled Substances. A schedule I substance is a substance that (1) has a high potential for abuse; (2) has no currently accepted medical use in treatment in the United States; and (3) there is a lack of accepted safety for use of the drug under medical supervision.

USC Title 21, Section 841(a), known as The Controlled Substances Act, states that it is illegal for anyone to knowingly or intentionally manufacture, distribute, or dispense, or possess with intent to manufacture, distribute, or dispense a controlled substance.

Revenue Ruling 75-384, 1975-2 C.B. 204, holds that a nonprofit organization, whose purpose was to promote world peace, disarmament, and nonviolent direct action, did not qualify for exemption under IRC Section 501(c)(3) or (c)(4). The organization's primary activity was to sponsor antiwar protest demonstrations in which demonstrators were urged to violate local ordinances and commit acts of civil disobedience. Citing the law of trusts, the ruling stated that all charitable organizations are subject to the requirement that their purposes cannot be illegal or contrary to public policy.

In Ould v. Washington Hospital for Foundlings, 95 U.S. 303, 311, 24 L. Ed. 450 (1877), the Court noted that "[a] charitable use, where neither law nor public policy forbids, may be applied to almost anything that tends to promote the well-doing and well-being of social man."

In Better Business Bureau of Washington, D.C., Inc. v. United States, 326 U.S. 279, 283, 66 S. Ct. 112, 90 L. Ed. 67, 1945 C.B. 375(1945), the Supreme Court held that the "presence of a single.. [nonexempt] purpose, if substantial in nature, will destroy the exemption regardless of the number or importance of truly... [exempt] purposes."

In Harding Hospital, Inc. v. United States, 505 F.2d 1068, 1071 (6th Cir. 1974), the court held that an organization has the burden of proving that it satisfies the requirements of

Form 886-A(Rev.4-68)

Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Page: 15 of 19

Form <b>886A</b>	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service  Explanation of Items	Schedule No. or Exhibit
Name of Taxpayer:		Year/Period Ended:

the particular exemption statute. The court noted that whether an organization has satisfied the operational test is a question of fact.

In *Mysteryboy Inc. v. Commissioner*, T.C. Memo 2010-13 (2010), the Tax Court held that the organization failed the operational test partly because the organization proposed to promote illegal activities.

# **Taxpayer's Position:**

did not respond to any of the IRS correspondence and as such position to either issue is not known.

## **Government's Position:**

Issue 1 — should not be recognized as an organization described in IRC section 501(c)(3) because it failed to demonstrate that it qualified for such recognition, the information uncovered by the IRS shows distributes cannabis, and members consume cannabis.

Based on the above facts, has not demonstrated that it is organized and operated exclusively for religious purposes or more of the purposes specified in IRC section 501(c)(3). If an organization fails to meet either the organizational test or the operational test, it is not exempt.

Based on the information we have uncovered, we determined that is not organized and operated exclusively for exempt purposes under IRC section 501(c)(3). An organization can be recognized as exempt under IRC section 501(c)(3) only if it shows that it is both organized and operated exclusively for charitable, educational, or other exempt purposes. If an organization fails to meet either the organizational test or the operational test, it is not exempt.

Federal law classifies cannabis as a controlled substance, as detailed in USC Title 21, Sections 802 and 821(c), Schedule I(c)(10). Federal law, under USC Title 21, Section 841, prohibits the manufacture, distribution, possession, or dispensing of a controlled substance. The consumption of cannabis is a substantial part of activity and The consumption and distribution of cannabis is an illegal activity current federal law prohibits the use of cannabis. Because engages in activities that contravene federal law, does not serve a substantial exempt

does not satisfy the operational test of Regulations section 1.501 (c)(3)-1(c)(1). Whether an organization operates exclusively in furtherance of an exempt purpose is a question of fact. An organization seeking tax-exempt status under IRC

Form 886-A(Rev.4-68)

purpose.

Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

Page: 16 of 19

Form <b>886A</b>	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service  Explanation of Items	Schedule No. or Exhibit
Name of Taxpay	er:	Year/Period Ended:

section 501(c)(3) carries the burden of proving that it satisfies the requirements of the statute, see *Harding Hospital*, 505 F.2dat 1071. Only an insubstantial portion of the activity of an exempt organization may further a nonexempt purpose. Because is engaged in activities that contravene federal law, serves a substantial nonexempt purpose. As the Supreme Court held in *Better Business Bureau of Washington*, *D.C.*, *Inc. v. United States*, the presence of a single nonexempt purpose, if substantial in nature, will destroy the exemption regardless of the number or importance of truly exempt purposes.

The common law of trusts specifies that a charitable trust cannot be created for an illegal purpose. See Restatement (Second) of Trusts, Section 377. Similarly, the Supreme Court noted in *Ould v. Washington Hospital for Foundlings*, that "[a]charitable use, where neither law nor public policy forbids, may be applied to almost anything that tends to promote the well-doing and well-being of social man.' Like a trust, an IRC Section 501(c)(3) organization cannot be created for a purpose that is illegal. The literature uncovered by the IRS and response to the complaint show specific purposes is the consumption and distribution of cannabis supposedly during and after religious services. Because engages in activities that contravene federal law, serves a substantial nonexempt purpose. See Rev. Rul.75-384, supra; *Mysteryboy, Inc. v. Comm'r.* 

is not described in Regulations section 1.501(c)(3)-1(d)(2) which provides that the term "charitable" as used in IRC section 501(c)(3) in its generally accepted legal sense. Advancement of religion has long been recognized as a charitable purpose. However, a trust is invalid if its purpose is illegal. The common law of trusts specifies that a charitable trust cannot be created for an illegal purpose. Because engages in activities that contravene federal law, serves a substantial nonexempt purpose.

Issue 2 – Based on the information in the fact section, funds inured to the benefit of and . Both individuals have authority over finances and both have a personal and private interests in activities.

The , , and expenditures indicate a personal use by and and did not establish the purchases furthered an exempt purpose.

is owned and operated by and and as such any payments to are indistinguishable from payment to and . Any payments to that are not substantiated to further

Form <b>886A</b>	Department of the Treasury - In		Schedule No. or Exhibit
Name of Taxpay	Explanation	OI ITEIIIS	Year/Period Ended:
Name of Taxpay	er.		real/Feriod Elided.
• •	es constitute inurement a hered an exempt purpose		did not establish
(Attachn	nent 12) shows that are not substant ent because the payments	vo of the directors. as one of the iated to further a cl s are in effect being	• •
	debits purchases and n, most indicate a persona he purchases further a ch tute inurement to	al benefit,	did not provide any
the payee's name did not	check payments total \$ e does not indicate the pay provide any support to sh constitute inurement to	yment further a cha	aritable purpose,
because clearly indicating	inurement is exemplified to se they ordered a pest ins they were doing work on to nds but lists as the	pection of the the property; the pr	
most indicate a po	debits purchases total \$ ersonal benefit, a charitable purpose, and .	did not provide ar	ears under examination, ny support to show the chase constitute inurement
	withdrawals totaling \$ naritable purpose, and able purpose.		e by the account holder, ablish that the funds
The two check paid to inuring to the ben provided to show to totaling benefit of are the or	efit of an an the payments furthered a g \$ , . are examp and	, . are examp nd l charitable purpose les of because	because no support was

Page: 18 of 19

Form <b>886A</b>	•	the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service	Schedule No. or Exhibit
		lanation of Items	<del></del>
Name of Taxpa	yer:		Year/Period Ended:
-	<del></del>		
		haritable purpose. The fiv another example of	e checks paid to assets inuring to the
benefit of	and	because	provided
service to the by	and	, which is owned by the	, which is controlled
	payment to		purchase the
by	, which is ope		and ,
purchase further	red a charitable p	because no support was pourpose. The RA identified	1\$ , . payments to
	for the purchase		property owned by , which is the loan number
		tatement obtained from	. The statement
further identifies the lender.		as the buyer of the pro	
the purchases s	ate a charitable p	ases and withdraws total ourpose, did no purpose, and thus the ex	t provide any support to show
inurement.			
Conclusion:	should not h	pe recognized as a tax ex	emnt organizations as '
described in IRC required to subn	section 501(c)(3 nit a recognition r	3). An organization claim request and is considered n. Therefore the effective	ing to be a is not to be exempt under IRC
issue 2 – Inurer expenditures tot	nent exists becau	use did not der . furthered a charitable	nonstrate that any of its purpose.

Page: 19 of 19