

**Internal Revenue Service**

**Department of the Treasury**

Washington, DC 20224

Person to Contact:

**199906051**

Telephone Number:

Refer Reply to:

OP:E:EP:T:4

Date:

NOV 18 1998

Attn:

**Legend:**

State A =

Employer M =

Plan X =

Group B Employees =

Ladies and Gentlemen:

This letter is in response to your request for a private letter ruling dated March 17, 1998, submitted on your behalf by your authorized representative, regarding the federal income tax treatment of certain contributions to Plan X under section 414(h)(2) of the Internal Revenue Code ("Code").

The following facts and representations have been submitted:

Pursuant to the law of State A, Employer M, a municipality under the law of State A, has established Plan X for the benefit of Group B Employees. The law of State A requires Group B Employees to contribute 9 percent of their salary to Plan X. Further, it has been represented that Plan X satisfies the qualification requirements under section 401(a) of the Code.

On February 25, 1998, Employer M adopted a resolution, effective upon receipt of a favorable ruling by the Internal Revenue Service, providing that Employer M shall pick up Group B Employees' contributions to Plan X in accordance with the law of State A and that Group B Employees' current

274

199906051

cash salaries shall be reduced by the amount picked up. Group B Employees will not be given the option to receive cash directly in lieu of contributions.

Based on the aforementioned facts and representations, you have requested the following rulings:

1. The mandatory employee contributions "picked up" by Employer M shall be excluded from the current gross income of Group B Employees until distributed or otherwise made available.

2. The "picked up" contributions paid by Employer M are not wages for federal income tax withholding purposes and federal income taxes need not be withheld on the "picked up" contributions.

Section 414(h) of the Code provides that contributions, otherwise designated as employee contributions shall be treated as employer contributions if such contributions are made to a plan described in section 401(a), established by a state government or a political subdivision thereof, and are picked up by the employing unit.

The federal income tax treatment to be accorded contributions which are picked up by the employer within the meaning of section 414(h)(2) of the Code is specified in Revenue Ruling 77-462, 1977-2 C.B. 358. In that revenue ruling, the employer school district agreed to assume and pay the amounts employees were required by state law to contribute to a state pension plan. Revenue Ruling 77-462 concluded that the school district's picked-up contributions to the plan are excluded from the employee's gross income until such time as they are distributed to the employees. The revenue ruling held further that under the provisions of section 3401(a)(12)(A) of the Code, the school district's contributions to the plan are excluded from wages for purposes of the Collection of Income Tax at Source on Wages; therefore, no withholding is required from the employees' salaries with respect to such picked-up contributions.

The issue of whether contributions have been picked up by an employer within the meaning of section 414(h)(2) of the Code is addressed in Revenue Ruling 81-35, 1981-1 C.B. 255, and Revenue Ruling 81-36, 1981-1 C.B. 255. These revenue rulings established that the following two criteria must be met: (1) the employer must specify that the contributions, although designated as employee contributions, are being paid by the employer in lieu of contributions by the employee; and (2) the employee must not be given the option of choosing to receive amounts directly

275

instead of having them paid by the employer to the pension plan. Furthermore, it is immaterial whether an employer picks up contributions through a reduction in salary, an offset against future salary increases, or a combination of both.

In Revenue Ruling 87-10, 1987-1 C.B. 136, the Internal Revenue Service considered whether contributions designated as employee contributions to a governmental plan are excludable from the gross income of the employee. The Service concluded that to satisfy the criteria set forth in Revenue Rulings 81-35 and 81-36 with respect to particular contributions, the required specification of employee contributions must be completed before the period to which such contributions relate.

In this case, the resolution adopted by Employer M, the law of State A, and the representations made by Employer M satisfy the criteria set forth in Revenue Ruling 81-35 and Revenue Ruling 81-36 because Employer M will assume and pay mandatory employee contributions to Plan X in lieu of contributions by Group B Employees, and Group B Employees may not elect to receive such contributions directly instead of having such contributions paid by Employer M to Plan X. Furthermore, the resolution satisfies the requirement set forth in Revenue Ruling 87-10 since it takes effect upon receipt of this private letter ruling from the Internal Revenue Service.

Accordingly, we conclude that (1) the mandatory employee contributions "picked up" by Employer M shall be excluded from the current gross income of Group B Employees until distributed or otherwise made available, and (2) the "picked up" contributions paid by Employer M are not wages for federal income tax withholding purposes and federal income taxes need not be withheld on the "picked up" contributions.

These rulings apply only to contributions specified in the resolution adopted on February 25, 1998. The effective date for the commencement of the pick up of Group B Employees' contributions cannot be earlier than the later of the date the resolution is signed or the date it is put into effect.

These rulings are based on the assumption that Plan X meets the requirements for qualification under section 401(a) of the Code at the time of the proposed contributions and distributions.

276

199906051

No opinion is expressed as to whether the amounts in question are subject to tax under the Federal Insurance Contributions Act. No opinion is expressed as to whether the amounts in question are paid pursuant to a "salary reduction agreement" within the meaning of section 3121(v)(1)(B) of the Code.

A copy of this letter is being sent to your authorized representative in accordance with the power of attorney on file in this office.

Sincerely yours,

*John G. Riddle, Jr.*  
John G. Riddle, Jr.  
Chief, Employee Plans  
Technical Branch 4

Enclosures:  
Deleted copy of letter  
Notice 437

cc:

*Dna*  
*11/18/98*

277