Form 1	4430	- A
--------	------	------------

Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

(July 2013)

SS-8 Determination—Determination for Public Inspection

Occupation	Determination:		
Construction/Technical Services/Trades	X Employee	Contractor	
UILC	Third Party Communication: X None	Yes	
I have read Notice 441 and am requesting:			
Additional redactions based on categories listed in section entitled "Deletions We May Have Made to Your Original Determination Letter"			
Delay based on an on-going transaction			
90 day delay		For IRS Use Only:	

Facts of Case

The worker submitted a request for a determination of worker status in regard to services performed, from May 2019 to March 2021, as a residential property appraiser. The firm issued the worker Form 1099-MISC for the tax period in question. The worker filed Form SS-8 as they disagree with their worker classification. There was no written agreement between the two parties. The firm provided specific training and/or instruction to the worker. The worker received work assignments from the firm. The firm determined the methods by which assignments were performed. The worker was required to contact the firm for problem or complaint resolution. Meeting and reports were required. The worker provided services at the firm's premises and remotely. The worker was required to personally perform services. The hiring of substitutes or helpers was not applicable in this work relationship. The firm provided a computer, computer software, camera, business cards, personal protective equipment, and a tablet. The worker provided nothing. The worker did not lease any equipment, space, or a facility. The worker received a percentage of pay based on parts of appraisal worked; a drawing account for advances was not allowed. The firm established the level of payment for the services provided. All customers paid the firm. No benefits were made available to the worker. The work relationship could be terminated by either party without incurring a liability or penalty. The worker did not perform similar services for others during the period in question. The work relationship has ended.

The firm's response states that the business specializes in residential appraisals. The firm describes the work done by the worker as an apprentice residential property appraiser. The firm classified the worker as an independent contractor due to worker not having enough hours to take State License Examination. The firm did not provide a written agreement signed between the two parties. The worker received work assignments from the firm. The firm determined the methods by which assignments were performed. The worker was required to contact the firm for problem or complaint resolution. Meetings and reports were required. The worker was not required to personally perform services. The firm provided a computer, monitor, and computer software. The worker provided printer ink and Internet. All equipment and finished product were returned to the firm. The worker did not lease any equipment, space, or a facility. The worker received piece work as the form of payment. The firm established the level of payment for services provided. All customers paid the firm. The firm did not cover the worker under its worker's compensation insurance policy. No benefits were made available to the worker. The work relationship could be terminated by either party without incurring a liability or penalty. The work relationship has ended.

Analysis

Generally, the relationship of employer and employee exists when the person for whom the services are performed has the right to control and direct the individual who performs the services, not only as to what is to be done, but also how it is to be done. It is not necessary that the employer actually direct or control the individual, it is sufficient if they have the right to do so.

Section 31.3121(d)-1(a)(3) of the regulations provides that if the relationship of an employer and employee exists, the designation or description of the parties as anything other than that of employer and employee is immaterial. Thus, if an employer-employee relationship exists, any contractual designation of the employee as a partner, co-adventurer, agent, or independent contractor must be disregarded. Furthermore, whether there is an employment relationship is a question of fact and not subject to negotiation between the parties.

Therefore, a statement that a worker is an independent contractor pursuant to a written or verbal agreement is without merit. For federal employment tax purposes, it is the actual working relationship that is controlling and not the terms of the contract (oral or written) between the parties. Furthermore, whether there is an employment relationship is a question of fact and not subject to negotiation between the parties.

Integration of the worker's services into the business operations generally shows that the worker is subject to direction and control. When the success or continuation of a business depends to an appreciable degree upon the performance of certain services, the workers who perform those services must necessarily be subject to a certain amount of control by the owner of the business. In this case, the firm provided work assignments, determinated the methods by which assignments were performed, and assumed responsibility for problem resolution. These facts are evidence that the payer retained the right to direct and control the worker to the extent necessary to ensure satisfactory job performance in a manner acceptable to the payer. Based on the worker's education, past work experience, and work ethic the payer may not have needed to frequently exercise its right to direct and control the worker; however, the facts evidence the payer retained the right to do so if needed.

Payment by the hour, week, or month generally points to an employer-employee relationship, provided that this method of payment is not just a convenient way of paying a lump sum agreed upon as the cost of a job. In such instances, the payer assumes the hazard that the services of the worker will be proportionate to the regular payments. This action warrants the assumption that, to protect its investment, the payer has the right to direct and control the performance of the workers. Also, workers are assumed to be employees if they are guaranteed a minimum salary or are given a drawing account of a specified amount that need not be repaid when it exceeds earnings. In this case, the worker did not invest capital or assume business risks. The term "significant investment" does not include tools, instruments, and clothing commonly provided by employees in their trade; nor does it include education, experience, or training. Based on the rate of pay arrangement the worker could not realize a profit or incur a loss.

Factors that illustrate how the parties perceive their relationship include the intent of the parties as expressed in written contracts; the provision of, or lack of employee benefits; the right of the parties to terminate the relationship; the permanency of the relationship; and whether the services performed are part of the service recipient's regular business activities. In this case, the worker was not engaged in an independent enterprise, but rather the services performed by the worker were a necessary and integral part of the payer's business. Both parties retained the right to terminate the work relationship at any time without incurring a liability. There is no evidence to suggest the worker performed similar services for others as an independent contractor or advertised business services to the general public during the term of this work relationship. The classification of a worker as an independent contractor should not be based primarily on the fact that a worker's services may be used on a temporary, part-time, or as-needed basis. As noted above, common law factors are considered when examining the worker classification issue.

Based on the above analysis, we conclude that the payer had the right to exercise direction and control over the worker to the degree necessary to establish that the worker was a common law employee, and not an independent contractor operating a trade or business.

The payer can obtain additional information related to worker classification online at www.irs.gov; Publication 4341.