Form *	14430-A
--------	---------

Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

(July 2013)

SS-8 Determination—Determination for Public Inspection

Occupation	Determination:		
03TRA Tradespersons	X Employee	Contractor	
.C Third Party Communication:			
	X None	⁄es	
I have read Notice 441 and am requesting:			
Additional redactions based on categories listed in section entitled "Deletions We May Have Made to Your Original Determination Letter"			
Delay based on an on-going transaction			
90 day delay		For IRS Use Only:	

Facts of Case

The firm is a steel fabrication and installation business. The firm engaged the worker through a referral and application process to perform services on a flexible schedule for the firm's business operation. The firm provided the worker with job instructions and procedure instructions with regard to performance of the services. The firm assigned the worker jobs to perform and determined the methods used by the worker to perform the services. The firm required the worker to contact the firm regarding problems or complaints for resolution. The worker performed services during firm business hours at firm's place of business or other assigned locations. The worker attended on site job related meetings to discuss safety procedures and job completion issues. The worker performed the services personally. The firm hired and paid substitutes or helpers if needed.

The firm provided equipment, materials, certification, and supplies. The worker provided a safety welding mask. The worker did not lease equipment or incur any business expenses. The firm paid the worker and the customers paid the firm. The firm determined the level of payment for the products and services. The worker could not suffer any economic loss and had no financial risk.

There were no contracts between the firm and the worker. The firm did not provide the worker with any benefits. The worker did not perform similar services for others while performing services for the firm. The worker did not advertising as a business to the public. The worker performed the services personally under the firm's business name. Both parties retained the right to terminate the working relationship at any time without incurring any liability.

Analysis

When a firm determines or retains the right to determine directly or through designation what, how, when, and where workers perform services an employer/employee relationship exists. For federal employment tax purposes, it is not necessary for firms to exert direct or continuous control nor that services be performed full-time on a fixed scheduled basis, it is sufficient that the firm retains the right to change the workers services, as they deem necessary for business purposes. This control may come from verbal instructions, training, meetings, reporting, as well as supervision. Also, the methods used by workers to perform services are not only controlled through verbal instructions but also by equipment, materials, and supplies provided. In this case, the firm not the worker had control over the methods and means used in the performance of the services. These facts evidence behavioral control by the firm over the services performed by the worker.

When a worker does not have a significant financial investment in a business requiring capital outlays with business risks an employer/employee relationship is evident. In this case, the worker had no financial business investments and no control over profit and loss due to significant business capital outlays being made. The firm had the business investment and control over profit and risk of loss with regard to the services the worker performed for the firm's business. The firm paid the worker and the customers paid the firm. The firm had control over the level of payment for the products and services. The worker could not suffer any economic loss and had no financial risk. These facts evidence financial control by the firm over the services performed by the worker.

There were no contracts between the firm and the worker. The worker did not perform similar services for others while performing services for the firm. The worker did not advertise as a business to the public. The worker personally performed services for the firm's business as an employee under the firm's business name. Both the firm and the worker retained the right to terminate the working relationship at any time without incurring any liability. The right to discharge a worker at any time without incurring a liability for termination is a factor indicating that the worker is an employee and the person possessing the right is an employer. An employer exercises control through the threat of dismissal, which causes the worker to obey the employer's instructions. An independent contractor, on the other hand, cannot be fired without a liability so long as the independent contractor produces a result that meets the contract specifications. Likewise, if the worker has the right to end his or her relationship with the person for whom the services are performed at any time he or she wishes without incurring liability, that factor indicates an employer-employee relationship.

Based on the autonomy of the working relationship we have determined the worker to have been an employee under common law.