Form 14430-A (July 2013)	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service	
	SS-8 Determination—Determination for Public Inspection	
Occupation	Determination:	
03TD A T 1	│ x │ Fmployee │ │ Contractor	

	X None Yes
UILC	Third Party Communication:
03TRA Tradespersons	x Employee Contractor
Occupation	Determination:

Facts of Case

The firm is a marine repair shop that services boats and recreational products. The worker was engaged to perform services as a mechanic. The firm treated the worker status as independent contractor, reporting the monies the worker received for his services as non-employee compensation.

The worker received training from the firm's owner on how to shrink wrap boats. The firm provided the worker with daily work assignments, and determined the work methods to be used to perform the services. The worker was required to perform his services personally, at the firm's shop, and to report problems to the firm's owner for resolution purposes.

The firm provided the worker with the facilities, materials, and supplies needed to perform the services. Both parties provided the tools needed to perform the services. The worker did not incur work related expenses. The firm paid the worker on an hourly wage basis for his services. Customers paid the firm for services rendered. There was no information provided to evidence that the worker incurred economic loss or financial risks related to the services he performed for the firm.

The firm did not cover the worker under workers' compensation insurance. The firm did not make employment benefits available to the worker. The worker did not perform similar services for others, nor did he advertise his services to the public while engaged by the firm. The work relationship was continuous, and could have been terminated by either party at any time without incurring liabilities.

Analysis

The facts provided for this case do not evidence the worker's behavioral control of the work relationship. The worker followed the firm's instructions, work methods, schedule, and routine in the performance of his services. The worker's services were performed personally, at the firm's location, following the firm's business hours. The worker used the firm's facilities, tools, materials and supplies, and he represented the firm's business operations in the performance of his services. As a result, the firm retained the right to direct and control the worker to the extent necessary to protect its investments, and the reputation of its business operations.

The facts provided for this case do not evidence the worker's financial control of the work relationship. The worker's remuneration was established by the firm. The worker had no opportunity for profit or loss as a result of the services he performed for the firm. "Profit or loss" implies the use of capital by a person in an independent business of his or her own. The worker did not have a significant investment in the facilities, equipment, tools, or supplies used to perform his services for the firm. The term "significant investment" does not include tools, instruments, and clothing commonly provided by employees in their trade; nor does it include education, experience, or training. Also, if the firm has the right to control the equipment, it is unlikely the worker had an investment in facilities.

The worker performed services as requested by the firm, for an indefinite period of time, and both parties retained the right to terminate the work relationship at any time without incurring liabilities. The facts provided for this case do not evidence that the worker was engaged in an independent enterprise, but rather show that he performed his services as a necessary and integral part of the firm's business operations. Integration of the worker's services into the business operations generally shows that the worker is subject to direction and control. When the success or continuation of a business depends to an appreciable degree upon the performance of certain services, the workers who perform those services must necessarily be subject to a certain amount of control by the owner of the business.

Based on common law principles, the worker shall be found to be an employee for Federal employment tax purposes. For correction assistance, you may refer to Publication 4341, which can be obtained at www.irs.gov