Form 14430-A (July 2013) Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service SS-8 Determination—Determination for Pu			
(July 2013)	55-6 Determination—L		or Fublic Inspection
Occupation 03TRA Tradespersons		Determination: X Employee] Contractor
UILC		Third Party Communication: X] Yes
I have read Notice 441 and am requesting:			
Additional redactions based on categories listed in section entitled "Deletions We May Have Made to Your Original Determination Letter"			
Delay based on an on-going transaction			
90 day delay			For IRS Use Only:
Facts of Case			

The worker initiated the request for a determination of his work status as a carpenter in tax year 2018, for which he received Form 1099-MISC. The worker stated he took less because of the Room and Board. The firm's business is described as a construction business – house building.

The firm's response, signed by the owner, indicates the firm is a builder and the worker provided services as a carpenter.

The worker stated he was given training and instructions as to basic framing/building. The firm responded no training and instructions were provided to the worker. Both parties acknowledged the firm disseminated the job assignments every day; and, it was the firm that determined the methods by which the worker's services were performed. Any problems or complaints encountered by the worker were directed to the firm for resolution. The worker performed the services between 7 am to 4 pm working alongside the firm, at the customer's location. The worker was not required to perform the services personally; any additional personnel were hired and paid by the firm.

The worker and firm concur the firm provided basic carpentry and siding tools, equipment, and materials; and, the worker furnished personal hand tools such as tape measure, square, etc. The worker did not lease equipment, space, or a facility. The firm paid the worker an hourly wage. The customers paid the firm. The worker was not covered under the firm's workers' compensation insurance policy. The worker was not at risk for a financial loss in this work relationship. The firm indicated the worker did establish the level of payment for services provided or products sold; the worker disagreed.

The firm and worker agree that no benefits were extended to the worker and that either party could terminate the work relationship without incurring a liability or penalty. The worker stated he was not performing same or similar services for others during the same time frame. The worker indicated he was referred to as an employee with worker's compensation. The firm stated the worker was a subcontractor with an LLC. The worker responded that he quit; the firm indicated the job was completed.

Analysis

beginning of the relationship.

If the services must be rendered personally, presumably the person or persons for whom the services are performed are interested in the methods used to accomplish the work as well as in the results.

Payment by the hour, week, or month generally points to an employer-employee relationship, provided that this method of payment is not just a convenient way of paying a lump sum agreed upon as the cost of a job. In such instances, the firm assumes the hazard that the services of the worker will be proportionate to the regular payments. This action warrants the assumption that, to protect its investment, the firm has the right to direct and control the performance of the workers. Also, workers are assumed to be employees if they are guaranteed a minimum salary or are given a drawing account of a specified amount that need not be repaid when it exceeds earnings.

The fact that the person or persons for whom the services are performed furnish significant tools, materials, and other equipment tends to show the existence of an employer-employee relationship.

A person who can realize a profit or suffer a loss as a result of his or her services is generally an independent contractor, while the person who cannot is an employee. "Profit or loss" implies the use of capital by a person in an independent business of his or her own. The risk that a worker will not receive payment for his or her services, however, is common to both independent contractors and employees and, thus, does not constitute a sufficient economic risk to support treatment as an independent contractor. If a worker loses payment from the firm's customer for poor work, the firm shares the risk of such loss. Control of the firm over the worker would be necessary in order to reduce the risk of financial loss to the firm. The opportunity for higher earnings or of gain or loss from a commission arrangement is not considered profit or loss.

We have considered the information provided by both parties to this work relationship. In this case, the firm retained the right to change the worker's methods and to direct the worker to the extent necessary to protect its financial investment and business reputation and to ensure its customers' satisfaction and that its contractual obligations were met. The worker was not operating a separate and distinct business; the worker did not invest capital or assume business risks, and therefore, did not have the opportunity to realize a profit or incur a loss as a result of the services provided. Integration of the worker's services into the business operations generally shows that the worker is subject to direction and control. When the success or continuation of a business depends to an appreciable degree upon the performance of certain services, the worker was not engaged in an independent enterprise, but rather the services performed by the worker were a necessary and integral part of the firm's business.

CONCLUSION

We conclude that the firm had the right to exercise direction and control over the worker to the degree necessary to establish that the worker was a common law employee, and not an independent contractor operating a trade or business.

Please see www.irs.gov for more information including Publication 4341 Information Guide for Employers Filing Form 941 or Form 944 Frequently Asked Questions about the Reclassification of Workers as Employees and Publication 15 (Circular E) Employer's Tax Guide