Form 1	443	0-A
--------	-----	-----

Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

(July 2013)

SS-8 Determination—Determination for Public Inspection

Occupation	Determination:
05CSI.27 Companion Sitter	Employee Contractor
UILC	Third Party Communication:
	X None Yes
I have read Notice 441 and am requesting:	
Additional redactions based on categories listed in section enti Letter"	tled "Deletions We May Have Made to Your Original Determination
Delay based on an on-going transaction	
90 day delay	For IRS Use Only:
	-

Facts of Case

The firm provides non-medical in-home care for seniors. The worker was engaged by the firm to provide cleaning, cooking, laundry, shopping, and companion services to the firm's client. The firm did not withhold taxes from the worker's remuneration for 2013, 2014, and 2015.

Information from the parties supports that the firm relied upon the worker's prior training and experience to perform her services. The worker performed her services according to the firm's and its client's expectations. The firm provided daily reviews of time and function. If problems or complaints occurred, the worker contacted the firm for resolution. The worker performed her services on the firm's client's premises. She was required to perform her services personally.

The worker did not incur expenses in the performance of her services. The firm paid the worker at an hourly rate. It did not cover her under workers' compensation. Customers paid the firm directly at prices established by the firm. Neither party indicated an investment by the worker in the firm or a related business, or the risk of the worker incurring a financial loss beyond the normal loss of compensation.

The firm did not make benefits available to the worker. Both parties reserved the right to terminate the work relationship at any time without incurring a penalty or liability. The firm stated that the worker performed similar services for others during the same time period. There is no evidence presented that the worker advertised her services or maintained a business listing.

Analysis

Factors that illustrate whether there was a right to control how a worker performed a task include training and instructions. In this case, while the firm relied upon the worker's prior training and experience to perform her services, it is only reasonable to assume that the firm retained the right to change the worker's methods and to direct the worker to the extent necessary to protect its financial investment and ensure its client's satisfaction with the work. The worker performed her services in the firm's client's home. Control over the place of work is indicated when the person or persons for whom the services are performed have the right to compel the worker to work at specific places as required. The worker was required to perform her services personally, meaning she could not engage and pay others to perform services for the firm on her behalf. If the services must be rendered personally, presumably the person or persons for whom the services are performed are interested in the methods used to accomplish the work as well as in the results. These facts show that the firm retained behavioral control over the services of the worker.

Factors that illustrate whether there was a right to direct and control the financial aspects of the worker's activities include significant investment, unreimbursed expenses, the methods of payment, and the opportunity for profit or loss. In this case, the worker did not invest capital or assume business risks, and therefore, did not have the opportunity to realize a profit or incur a loss as a result of the services provided. "Profit or loss" implies the use of capital by a person in an independent business of his or her own. Lack of significant investment by a person in facilities or equipment used in performing services for another indicates dependence on the employer and, accordingly, the existence of an employer-employee relationship. The firm paid the worker at an hourly rate. Payment by the hour generally points to an employer-employee relationship. These facts show that the firm retained control over the financial aspects of the worker's services.

Factors that illustrate how the parties perceived their relationship include the intent of the parties as expressed in written contracts; the provision of, or lack of employee benefits; the right of the parties to terminate the relationship; the permanency of the relationship; and whether the services performed were part of the service recipient's regular business activities. In this case, the worker performed her services on a continuing basis. She performed her services under the firm's name, enabling the firm to fulfill its contract with its client. The worker was not engaged in an independent enterprise, but rather the caregiving services performed by the worker were a necessary and integral part of the firm's senior care business. Integration of the worker's services into the business operations generally shows that the worker is subject to direction and control. When the success or continuation of a business depends to an appreciable degree upon the performance of certain services, the workers who perform those services must necessarily be subject to a certain amount of control by the owner of the business. The worker could have performed similar services for others during the same time period; however, it is possible for a person to work for a number of people or firms concurrently and be an employee of one or all of them. Although the firm did not provide benefits to the worker, neither party incurred a liability when the work relationship terminated, a factor indicating an employer-employee relationship. These facts show that the firm retained control over the work relationship and services of the worker.

Based on the above analysis, we conclude that the firm had the right to exercise direction and control over the worker to the degree necessary to establish that the worker was a common law employee, and not an independent contractor operating a trade or business.