Form 14430-A

agreed he was paid a lump sum stipend.

Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service

SS-8 Determination—Determination for Public Inspection		
Occupation	•	Determination:
06AAS.15 Aide/Assistant		▼ Employee
UILC		Third Party Communication: X None Yes
Facts of Case		
features national speaker operated in an internship which was entered into f forth by the university. Tresponsibilities were des in accordance with the university report/paper from the work coursework and school at personally. The firm pro-	s who present lectures on topics of national and gle that was meant to complement and enhance the stop the purpose of earning course credit from the stop the firm adhered to the university requirements are igned around the student's curriculum and educationiversities educational requirements. The firm indurker describing the work completed as part of the ctivities. Services were performed on Firm premixided all equipment. He was paid a lump sum. To	•
The worker agreed he was work experience. He state assignments. All work w	, Finance Director, was responsib	p performing services for academic credit, combining instruction with le for training and managing his actions. She was responsible for all work offices with the exception of trips off-site for special speakers etc. He

Catalog Number 64746V www.irs.gov Form **14430-A** (7-2013)

Analysis

We have applied the above law to the information submitted. As is the case in almost all worker classification cases, some facts point to an employment relationship while other facts indicate independent contractor status. The determination of the worker's status, then, rests on the weight given to the factors, keeping in mind that no one factor rules. The degree of importance of each factor varies depending on the occupation and the circumstances.

Evidence of control generally falls into three categories: behavioral control, financial control, and relationship of the parties, which are collectively referred to as the categories of evidence. In weighing the evidence, careful consideration has been given to the factors outlined below.

Factors that illustrate whether there is a right to control how a worker performs a task include training and instructions. In this case, you retained the right to change the worker's methods and to direct the worker to the extent necessary to protect your financial investment.

Factors that illustrate whether there is a right to direct and control the financial aspects of the worker's activities include significant investment, unreimbursed expenses, the methods of payment, and the opportunity for profit or loss. In this case, the worker did not invest capital or assume business risks, and therefore, did not have the opportunity to realize a profit or incur a loss as a result of the services provided.

Factors that illustrate how the parties perceive their relationship include the intent of the parties as expressed in written contracts; the provision of, or lack of employee benefits; the right of the parties to terminate the relationship; the permanency of the relationship; and whether the services performed are part of the service recipient's regular business activities. In this case, the worker was not engaged in an independent enterprise, but rather the services performed by the worker were a necessary and integral part of your business. Both parties retained the right to terminate the work relationship at any time without incurring a liability.

CONCLUSION

Based on the above analysis, we conclude that the firm had the right to exercise direction and control over the worker to the degree necessary to establish that the worker was a common law employee, and not an independent contractor operating a trade or business. Per IRS Publication 15 since the worker was a full time student and the income earned was in accordance to academic credit the income is subject to Federal income tax withholding and Social Security and Medicare (FICA) tax and should have been reported on Form W-2.