Form 14430-A (July 2013)	Department of the Treasury - Internal Revenue Service		
	SS-8 Determination—D	etermination	for Public Inspection
Occupation		Determination:	_
09DVC Drivers & Vessel control		x Employee	Contractor
UILC		Third Party Communication:	
		X None	Yes
I have read Notice 441 and am requesting:			
Additional redactions based on categories listed in section entitled "Deletions We May Have Made to Your Original Determination			

Facts of Case

90 day delay

Letter"

Delay based on an on-going transaction

According to the information and documentation submitted, the firm's business is hauling bulk mail. The worker performed services hauling bulk mail between the main post office and other post offices. The firm reported the worker's earnings on Form 1099-MISC at year end.

For IRS Use Only:

The firm provided the worker with the route that he would drive. The firm provided the vehicle for the worker to pick-up and deliver the bulk mail. The worker performed his work assignments on an established daily schedule. The worker reported his hours worked to the firm. The firm paid the worker an hourly wage for his services. The worker terminated his services.

Analysis

According to the information and documentation submitted by the firm and the worker concerning the work relationship, the firm provided the worker with his route assignment. The worker personally performed his services according to an established work schedule.

The firm provided the vehicle for the worker to perform his services. The firm paid the worker an hourly wage for his services. The worker had no investment in facilities and did not have the opportunity for profit or loss. The worker was free to terminate his services without incurring any liabilities.

Payment by the hour, week, or month generally points to an employer-employee relationship, provided that this method of payment is not just a convenient way of paying a lump sum agreed upon as the cost of a job. In such instances, the firm assumes the hazard that the services of the worker will be proportionate to the regular payments. This action warrants the assumption that, to protect its investment, the firm has the right to direct and control the performance of the workers. Also, workers are assumed to be employees if they are guaranteed a minimum salary or are given a drawing account of a specified amount that need not be repaid when it exceeds earnings.

The fact that the person or persons for whom the services are performed furnish significant tools, materials, and other equipment tends to show the existence of an employer-employee relationship. Lack of significant investment by a person in facilities or equipment used in performing services for another indicates dependence on the employer and, accordingly, the existence of an employer-employee relationship. The term "significant investment" does not include tools, instruments, and clothing commonly provided by employees in their trade; nor does it include education, experience, or training. Also, if the firm has the right to control the equipment, it is unlikely the worker had an investment in facilities. Special scrutiny is required with respect to certain types of facilities, such as home offices.

If the worker has the right to end his or her relationship with the person for whom the services are performed at any time he or she wishes without incurring liability, that factor indicates an employer-employee relationship.

Therefore, the firm exercised direction and control over the services performed by the worker to establish that an employee/employer relationship existed.